

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
REGARDING: JUVENILE COURT STAKEHOLDERS TRAINING SERIES
RFP NUMBER: CFCC23-163RB

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Question 1: For the 6 live trainings, will each training have a (at least somewhat) different focus/content, or is the expectation one training replicated 6 times in different regions over the time span? We note meeting the requirements a-n in every training will limit the amount of interaction/depth for topics other than a brief overview of the specific content area if all subjects are to be covered in one training.

Answer: The regional trainings are meant to be the same content in different regions of California.

Question 2: Who decides whether the trainings are in person or remote? The actual cost of in person training is approximately double the amount of money apparently allocated.

Answer: The Judicial Council will decide if the training is in person or remote, but will consult with the contractor before deciding.

Question 3: How will the locations be identified/selected?

Answer: Locations will be identified collaboratively with the Judicial Council and the contractor. However, if specific courts have asked for in person trainings, those counties will take priority.

Question 4: Is the webinar a recording of each regional training, or is it separate (but aligned) content?

Answer: No. The webinar recordings are separate discrete content. They can be similar topics, but they are separate.

Question 5: Are there any complications or conditions we should be aware of for creating content that will synch with the Drupel platform?

Answer: No.

Question 6: Is the payment schedule that the full amount of the year be allocated at the end of the year, meaning that the contractor will have to bear the cost of services/labor for the full year until completion? The proposed fixed amount column on page 15 is missing the specifics from most JCC RFPs.

Answer: Under section 7.2, there are deliverable and estimated due dates. Funding will be fixed for each deliverable at a total for \$100,000 for the first year and \$80,000 for coming year. Because the funding is different for the first year, the firm fixed rates were not listed.

RFP TITLE: JUVENILE COURT STAKEHOLDERS TRAINING SERIES

RFP NUMBER: CFCC23-163RB

Question 7: Should the proposer reference and complete attachments requested in Section 4.0 - "RFP Attachments" of the RFP or Section 7.0 - "Proposal Contents" of the RFP, as they differ?

Answer: Regardless of the attachments mentioned in Section 7 and elsewhere, bidder must submit all attachments from 1 to 10 referred to in Section 4.