

### ANSWERS TO SUBMITTED QUESTIONS - ROUND 4 (QUESTIONS 83- 126)

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
83	Drawing M0.02 calls for Emergency Power for the Heat Pump Chiller and the hot water pumps. There is currently not a generator for the project. Please confirm the intent.	Drawing M0.02	Building mechanical equipment, with the exception of fire smoke dampers and code required fire life safety components, do not require emergency power. The air source heat pump, pumps, and roof package air handling units will not be provided with emergency power. Sheet M0.02 has been updated. Refer to Addendum No. 5.
84	Kone is not among the listed Qualified Manufacturers. Can Kone be used as a substitute / "or equal" in this proposal? Kone's Monospace 500 product will require approximately 14'-6" - 15'-0" from the top landing (in this case, Level 2 finished floor) to the top of hoist beam / bottom of roof structure, considering a 8'-0" interior cab height. Given a 14'-0" floor to floor from L2 to roof, this is only on the order of 1'0" above the main roof elevation. The building parapet height, by comparison, is +18'-0" in the SD docs (3'-0" above the likely Kone Monospace 500 overrun). The elevation of the top of courtroom is +22'-0" (7'-0" above the likely Kone Monospace 500 overrun). Is there a height limitation to the elevator overrun and if so, what is it?	Addendum 3 - spec section 14 24 00	Kone is not listed because the specification is for a hydraulic elevator. The Judicial Council's preference is for a hydraulic elevator and not traction. Refer additionally to Question No. 45.
85	Please confirm that the JCC will contract with their Modular Systems Furniture (MSF) vendor shortly after completion of the Design Development phase to facilitate coordination of power and data floor box placement and completion of the SFM Package III/Working Drawings. If this is not the case, please provide the timing for this contract. Please clarify if electrical/data floor boxes should be cored if final shop drawings will not be provided prior to submission of OSFM Package III.	Spec Section 011000-03, Exhibit D - Design Deliverables; Atch 9 Section 7.6	Per Section 7.6 and the FF&E Procurement Matrix, the DBE is responsible to coordinate selection of furniture (MSF and freestanding) and prepare design layouts and specifications into procurement packages (Refer to sample packages in Attachment 8, B.15) and incorporate the space planning information into the construction documents for correct location of required utilities. With the DBE defining the furniture and creating the MSF design layouts and specifications, the DBE has all information they require to coordinate and locate power and data box placement and complete the SFM Pkg III/Working Drawings. The vendor will only be responsible for installation drawings/specs. and will rely on the DBEs design layouts and specs defined in the procurement packages; the timing of the vendor contract is immaterial to completion of SFM Pkg III/Working Drawings.

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
86	Sheet A2.01 shows exterior blast glazing. Confirm if it is the intent to protect people outside of the courthouse from an interior blast threat. This is not typical in our experience, as blowout of the non-structural glazed facade to exterior spaces advantageously allows blast pressures to vent.	Drawing A2.01	It is not the intent to protect people outside of the courthouse from an interior blast threat. Addendum No. 3 RFP Attachment 9, Criteria Chapter 7, Section 7.7 states, "Ideally, the glass pane shall be as weak as possible, so as not to transmit additional load to the frames, mullions, and anchorage."
87	Sheet A2.01 designates several doors, including double doors at the Mail Room and the glazed entrance door at the Lobby, as blast-resistant. Blast hardening of doors require robust detailing and enhancements that often conflict with life safety and egress requirements. Doors are not typically hardened for blast loads, rather any glazed portions of the door prescriptively match the debris mitigating glazing layup of adjacent panes.  Please confirm it is acceptable to use enhanced glazing in doors but not harden the doors in question.	Drawing A2.01	Sheet A2.01 does not state that the double doors at the Mail Room or the glazed entrance doors at the unscreened lobby to be "blast-resistant." The referenced doors are within <u>walls</u> that are defined as either a "Blast hardened wall assembly" or "Blast Rating per Performance Criteria 7.7." Refer to Addendum No. 3, RFP Attachment 9 Criteria, Section 7.7 for exterior wall criteria and Section 9.2.9 for Blast Design Criteria for the noted wall assemblies that include hardening of interior columns, floors above, interior walls, glass and window mullions, frames and anchorage. Doors are not required to be hardened.
88	Section 9.2.9 of the Performance Criteria states that critical spaces must either be located a minimum of 25 feet from secure parking or be hardened to resist blast loads. We currently understand the following to be classified as critical spaces: the Electrical Room, UPS Room, and Fire Riser Room.  Please confirm whether these are the only critical spaces, or if there are additional rooms that should be included in this classification.  Additionally, if the Fire Riser Room is indeed considered a critical space, please confirm whether the north and east partitions must also be hardened against an interior mail room threat.	Attachment 9; Section 9.2.9	Only the Electrical Room and UPS Room are considered as critical spaces. The Fire Riser Room is not considered a critical space and there are no other rooms adjacent the secure parking that require blast hardening.
89	Interior Partition Types shown on A6.01 and 6.02 are stud wall assemblies. Preliminary calculations show the blast load environment in protected interior spaces require CMU or Concrete walls. Please confirm if this wall type should be changed in order to resist blast loads.	Drawing A6.01 and 6.02	For non-load bearing and/or load bearing interior partition walls that have been designated in Addendum No. 3, RFP Attachment 9 Criteria, Section 9.2.9 to require hardening against a defined blast threat level, the DBE shall design and create an appropriate partition type during the Design Development phase and document in the Construction Documents in accordance with Blast Design Criteria of Section 9.2.9.

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
90	Detail 2 on Sheet A3.01 shows window tag W1 at the second level near Gridline B.5. This location appears to be a Justice Chamber, which we understand requires a ballistic-rated assembly and glazing layup (window type W2).  Please confirm whether this window should be type W2 in accordance with ballistic protection requirements, or advise if W1 is correct as shown.	Drawing A3.01	Correct. This window should be type W2. Refer to forthcoming Addendum No. 5.
91	The Hydraulic Elevator specification calls for pricing for an additional five (5) year maintenance agreement after the initial 12 months. Please clarify whether the five (5) year agreement pricing is to be carried within the stipulated sum amount.	Attach 9 - Spec Section 142400-1.10	No. The 5-year maintenance agreement shall not be provided by the DBE or included in the Stipulated Sum. Section 1.10 of Specification 142400 has been deleted. Refer to Addendum No. 5.
92	The Hydraulic Elevator specification calls for regular maintenance of the elevators to be performed for a minimum of four (4) hours every two (2) weeks of preventative maintenance service. Please confirm that this time frame and interval of service is to be included within the stipulated sum amount.	Attach 9 - Spec Section 142400-1.10.2	Section 1.10 of Specification 142400 has been deleted in its entirety. Elevator maintenance service during the warranty period is not required of the DBE. The Judicial Council's service provider will provide elevator preventative maintenance service during the warranty period. Refer to Addendum No. 5.
93	Section 15.2.5 Shows A/V Equipment within the Level 2 Electrical Room 8.43 while 14.2.4 shows AV equipment within IDF Rooms. Installation within the Electrical Room will require the addition of conditioning of the space, UPS, as well as a potential increase in room size. Clarify which space A/V equipment shall reside.	ADD 02 - Attach 9 - Perf Criteria (Page 266)	There is specific courtroom AV equipment and control that must be immediately adjacent to and directly off of the courtroom. This AV equipment will be located in Courtroom Storage 2.05 per the Architectural Program (Pg. 33) and the Functional Area Requirements, Section 3.2.2 (Pg. 44). Room Data Sheets 2.05 and 8.24, Section 3.1.2-2.0 Court sets (Pg. 33) and Section 15.2.5 have been updated. Refer to Addendum No. 5.
94	Section 15.2.5b Requires a UPS for A/V Equipment. Can this be shared with central IT UPS?	ADD 02 - Attach 9 - Perf Criteria (Page 266)	Section 5.2.5b requires, "rack-mounted power conditioner and rack mounted PDUs." The design intent of the requirement stated in 5.2.5b is that AV equipment would be powered by vertical PDUs (power distribution units) and power conditioners mounted in the AV cabinet. AV equipment is not required to be on UPS/backup power.

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
95	<p>Section 14.6.1 outlines the requirement for an IP-Based network. Will JCC Network team provide the design of the active network (Core and distribution switching, WAN, LAN, etc)?</p> <p>Section also details IP Telephony. Will JCC Network team provide the design of the phone system?</p>	ADD 02 - Attach 9 - Perf Criteria (Page 262)	<p>Per Section 7.6, Furniture Fixtures and Equipment (FF&amp;E) Procurement Matrix, Section F. Technology, LAN/WAN Network, including hardware routers, switches, etc. are Judicial Council (Owner) Furnished and Installed (OFOI). Yes, the JCC Network Team will provide the design of the active network.</p> <p>Section 14.6.2 was updated in Addendum No. 4 and the reference to an IP Telephony system was removed since this is a system used by the Trial Courts (Superior Courts) and replaced with VOIP telephone system. Per Section 7.6 FF&amp;E Procurement Matrix, Section F. Technology, the VOIP system will be furnished and installed by the Court. Yes, the JCC Network team will provide the design of the phone system.</p>
96	<p>Section 15.6 outlines the requirement digital signage displays, players and dockets management. Who shall be responsible for determining the software and use requirements of the JCC managed digital signage and docket systems?</p> <p>We assume that the displays and required infrastructure will be the responsibility of the design team. Please clarify whether the software, software contracts, ongoing licensing, and long term signage management solutions shall be provided by the Court.</p>	ADD 02 - Attach 9 - Perf Criteria (Page 269)	<p>The Court will determine the software and use requirements of the digital signage and docking systems. Currently, the court connects a USB drive to the TV/Monitor that has a mini-PC and it displays the media.</p> <p>Yes, the displays and required infrastructure are the responsibility of the Design Build Entity per Section 7.6, Furniture Fixtures and Equipment Procurement Matrix, Section F. Technology. AV Cabling, Devices, Components &amp; Equipment, including at Conference Rooms, are DBE Furnished and Installed (CFCI). Software, software contracts, ongoing licensing and long term management solutions of signage management solutions will be provided by the Court.</p>
97	In Section 15.2.1.d of the performance criteria & bridging documents it references the public-facing Granicus stream. Is the Granicus system part of the AV scope or will it be owner furnished? If part of the AV scope, please provide Granicus system requirements.	ADD 02 - Attach 9 - Perf Criteria (Page 265)	The public-facing <i>Granicus livestream</i> is a URL accessible with an internet connection. A live stream software platform/solution (either Granicus or another solution) will need to be integrated into the new AV system in the courtroom to ensure the ability to livestream and provide the URL to view. Compatibility of the AV system with a selected live stream solution must be coordinated between the DBE and the Judicial Council/Court during design.

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
98	Attachment 7, Exhibit A, Para 13.17.11 outlines the FF&E scope and references a FF&E Responsibility Matrix Exhibit R which is blank. Can you please provide the responsibilities matrix?	Attachment 7, Exhibit A, Para 13.17.11	Exhibit R, FF&E Responsibility Matrix was inadvertently omitted from issuance of Attachment 7, Agreement in Addendum No. 2. The Exhibit R, FF&E Responsibility Matrix is additionally included and duplicated in Attachment 9, Section 7.6.
99	Attachment 7, Exhibit A, Para 13.17.28: JCC indicates they will review and approve deliverables. Are their time durations for these JCC reviews that should be included in our schedule and will design work pause or be allowed to proceed in series with JCC review?	Attachment 7, Exhibit A, Para 13.17.28	Refer to Attachment 7, Exhibit A, paragraph 13.17.25 and Attachment 8, Section B, Item 8, Design Review Table per response to Question No. 56.  The Judicial Council will not preclude the DBE from continuing the design work in series with Reviews; however, any revisions to the design resulting from the Reviews shall be addressed and incorporated by the DBE at the DBE's sole cost and expense.
100	Are there any anti-graffiti coating requirements for the exterior façade?	Attachment 9 (General)	The use of anti-graffiti coatings are encouraged at locations vulnerable to graffiti and where suitable for the substrate. The Project is not requiring anti-graffiti coatings at the exterior façade.
101	Is glass at the courtroom clerestories required to be bullet-resistant?	Attachment 9 (General)	No.
102	Is glass at the roof monitors required to be bullet-resistant?	Attachment 9 (General)	No.
103	What is the dynamic penetration distance requirement for the anti-ram barriers when resisting a 4,000lbs vehicle at 30mph. Additionally, it is noted that an engineered solution is acceptable; however, providing the needed analytical effort to show that the depicted barriers on sheet L3.00 (18" spheres) may cost more than the bollards. Will simplistic engineering methods be accepted or will a finite element analysis be required? Alternatively, it may be preferred to use a pretested barrier in accordance with either ASTM F2656 or F3016. Please advise.	Addendum 3 - Attachment 9 Performance Criteria Section 2.3 and Bridging Drawing Sheet L1.00 & L3.00	There is no dynamic penetration distance requirement. Use of a simplistic engineering method or a pre-engineered/pretested product is acceptable.

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
104	The entrance screening area is required to have exterior glass designed to resist the resultant loading and be adhered to mullions with structural silicone sealant. This exterior glazing area provides critical venting in a blast event. Suggest that only laminated or thermally tempered glazing is provided and omit design for blast and structural silicone sealant. Please advise.	Addendum 3 - Attachment 9 Performance Criteria Section 7.7	Laminated or fully tempered glazing per Section 7.7 is required. Ideally, the glass pane shall be as weak as possible, so as not to transmit additional load to the frames, mullions, and anchorage. It is agreed that the intent that exterior glazing is intended to provide critical venting in a blast event; however, it is believed that the use of structural silicone may fix the glass pane in the frame to allow it to break more readily and prevent ejection of the glass panel. The use or omission of structural silicone sealant can be discussed further during design.
105	What are the reponse limits for structural primary and secondary elements in response to blast if a ductile yield (failure) mode is provided (i.e., superficial, moderate, heavy). Please advise.	Addendum 3 - Attachment 9 Performance Criteria Section 9.2.9	The Blast Design Criteria of Addendum No. 3 RFP Attachment 9 Criteria, Section 9.2.9 provides sufficient criteria, guidelines, intent and outcomes for the design of the structural primary and secondary elements by an engineer.
106	Section 9.2.9 of Attachment 9 states to design "structural columns in high-risk areas to resist the specified explosive...located 3' away." Does this standoff distance assumption extend to walls (both opaque and transparent) that are specified to be hardened? If not, please specify the standoff distance that should be assumed for hardened walls.	Section 9.2.9 of Attachment 9	Yes, the same standoff distance may be utilized.
107	For walls (both opaque and transparent) that are specified to be hardened, is localized breach acceptable or must the walls be designed to prevent breach and/or spall?	General	Per Addendum No. 3 RFP Attachment 9 Criteria, Section 9.2.9, Blast Design Criteria Notes, "b. Heavy damage to these interior enclosure walls is acceptable as long as adjacent occupied or critical space is protected and the walls are non-load bearing, c. If these interior walls are load bearing, they shall be limited to superficial damage unless a high-fidelity analysis can demonstrate sufficient service load carrying capacity following a blast, and d. The Lobby is considered a transient and is ot required to be protected from the unscreened lobby." Localized breach is acceptable with cosideration of the above.
108	What is the highest elevation above finished floor that the 5 lb threat inside the mail room or security screening areas can be located?	General	It is expected that a sachel or package threat would not exceed 34 inches above finish floor.

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
109	Section 7.7 of Attachment 9 states “interior and exterior glass shall be designed to achieve a blast hazard rating of Low as defined by ASTM Standard F1642.” For an internal explosion, it is generally considered best practice to allow for frangible panels on the exterior of the building to relieve internal gas pressures, and thereby limit the effective blast load on other interior structural elements. Designing the exterior glass to exhibit a Low hazard per ASTM F1642 would appear to work against this best practice. Please confirm that the exterior glazing system must be designed to resist blast loading.	Section 7.7 of Attachment 9	As described in Addendum No. 3 RFP Attachment 9, Criteria Chapter 7, Section 7.7, "Ideally the glass pane shall be as weak as possible, so as not to transmit additional load to the frames, mullions and anchorage." Refer additionally to Question No. 86 and response.
110	It is understood that there may be a 25 lb TNT blast threat in the Secure Parking area. Please confirm that in the unsecured parking areas and roadways encircling the courthouse that there is no blast threat that must be considered.	Blast Specifications	In the 100% Schematic Design, the unsecured parking areas (public and staff) and roadways are a minimum of 25-feet from the building; therefore, there is no blast threat necessary to be considered.
111	Section 9.2.9.3 of Attachment 9 states that Critical Space must be located at least 25-feet away from secure parking or be hardened for a screened vehicle threat of 25 lb TNT equivalent. Is the standoff to Critical Space to be measured from the nearest vehicle parking space or from the nearest paved portion of the parking lot?	Section 9.2.9.3 of Attachment 9	The nearest paved portion of the parking lot.
112	Are the front cover, back cover, tabs, and TOC be counted towards the 75 pages?	Proposal Specifications	No.
113	Can the second part of Attachment 5, DESIGNATED SUBCONTRACTOR QUALIFICATIONS FORM be moved to an Appendix?	Proposal Specifications	Yes.
114	Exhibit E calls for Watchman Service as part of General Conditions provided by the DBE. Please confirm if a 24/7 watchguard is needed.	Attachment 7 – Exhibit E	Refer to Addendum No. 2, Attachment 7 Agreement, Exhibit A, article 13.19 DBE's Responsibilities for Construction Work and Attachment 9, Chapter 21 Specifications, Section 01 50 00-Temporary Facilities, 1.3.H. Security.

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
115	Exhibit E calls for covered walkways as part of DBE General Conditions. Please confirm if all pedestrian walkways around the jobsite perimeter need to be covered	Attachment 7 – Exhibit E	Exhibit E is a generic and all encompassing list of any and all temporary facilities that may be required by a project and not necessarily dictating temporary facilities required by the project. Pedestrian walkways around the jobsite perimeter shall be covered as required by Cal-OSHA, OSHA and any applicable code requirements or regulations. Refer additionally to Addendum No. 2 Attachment 7, Agreement Exhibit A, article 13.19.15 and Attachment 9, Chapter 21, Specifications Sections 01 14 00-Work Restriction and 01 50 00-Temporary Facilities and Controls.
116	OCIP manual – Section One - 1.2.B calls for a full time safety person that has no other collateral duties. Please confirm and clarify this requirement.	Attachment 9 – OCIP Manual	This is correct, the requirement is for a full-time safety person on the project site with no other collateral duties. This individual should be dedicated to overseeing safety on the project site and needs to meet the requirements of Section 1.5 of the safety manual.
117	A stand-alone Courtroom Bench and millwork mockup is called for in Specification Section 01 43 39. Please confirm that a stand alone mockup is the intent or whether a Benchmark of the Courtroom Bench and millwork would be sufficient.	Attach 9 - Spec Section 014339	Per 01 43 39-1.4A, a Benchmark is defined as the first construction of assemblies to be repeated throughout the work. With only one courtroom, it does not seem that the courtroom bench would be considered a Benchmark and the Courtroom Bench is not listed in 1.4E. The intent of the Courtroom Bench Mock-up is described in 1.3E and per 1.3E.2.j the DBE may reuse as much of the approved mockup as practical when approved by the Judicial Council.
118	Attachment 9 Section 1.1 indicates 12 secured parking spaces and approximately 50 unsecured parking spaces for the general public and staff. Section 3.3 indicates 12 secured parking spaces and 50 unsecured parking spaces. <del>Appendix B</del> Section 8.5, item B.6 indicates min 116 spaces, max 140 spaces. Drawing C-3.0 shows 12 secured parking spaces and 42 new unsecured parking spaces.  Please confirm the number of required unsecured parking spaces.	General	The court performed a study of the parking demand anticipated for the courthouse and determined that a minimum of 42 unsecured parking spaces is sufficient. Section 8.5 Appendix B Zoning Code Considerations is noted as "(Reference Only)." The Judicial Council, as a State Agency, is not required to follow or comply with local municipal or zoning codes, so the parking requirements referenced therein and quoted in the Question are not applicable to the Project.
119	Please confirm that Addendum 4 removes Coaxial RG11 backbone cabling from section 14.4.2 and the project?	ADD. 04- Attachment 9 - Performance Criteria Docs (page 264) - Section 14.4.2	Coaxial cabling was removed from 14.4.2 Intra-Building Backbone cabling; however, it remains in 14.7 and 14.8.



Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
120	Confirm that Public Address / Paging System and Queing System has been removed from this Section 14.6.2 and are not part of the project.	ADD. 04- Attachment 9 - Performance Criteria Docs (page 265) - Section 14.6.2	Confirmed. There is no PA / Paging System required by the Court.
121	Please clarify the expected service provider qty and space requirements for SP cabinets (e.g. 1/2 of a four post server cabinet per provider/carrier)	ADD. 04- Attachment 9 - Performance Criteria Docs (page 266) - Section 14.7	Per Addendum No. 4, Attachment 9 Criteria, Chapter 21 Specifications, Section 27 53 19, DBE shall coordinate with the Wireless SPs (up to 4 SPs) for design requirements.
122	Please confirm that section 14.8 intends to include the latest NFPA 1225 requirements?	ADD. 04- Attachment 9 - Performance Criteria Docs (page 266) - Section 14.8	As we understand the applicable codes, CFC Section 510.5 requires design and installation to be in accordance with 2019 NFPA 1221. The 2019 NFPA 1221 is the latest publication and will be consolidated into the NFPA 1225; however, the current 2022 CBC does not adopt or reference NFPA 1225 and is not expected to be adopted/reference until the next code cycle: 2025 CBC which has an effective date of January 2026. NFPA 1225 is intentionally not included in Section 14.8 as the Judicial Council expects the DBE to submit the first AHJ package prior to 01/01/2026, establishing that the project is considered under the 2022 CBC.
123	Attachment 9 – Performance Criteria 9.2.9. Subsection “Guidelines for Improved Structural Performance” recommends providing structural redundancy and alternative load paths. Industry standard practice in UFC 4-023-03 “Design of Buildings to Resist Progressive Collapse” and the GSA Progressive Collapse guidelines do not recommend alternative load paths for buildings less than three stories tall. Please confirm that alternative load path design is not required for a two story tall building.	Attachment 9 – Performance Criteria 9.2.9. Subsection “Guidelines for Improved Structural Performance”	Confirmed.
124	Sections shows MDF & IDF cooling units need to be on UPS emergency power service. RFI - Question #70 (Item 4) response confirmed UPS system will ONLY serve IT and Security equipment, not MDF/IDF Mechanical Cooling Units. Additionally, there is currently not a Generator back-up power for the project. Please confirm Mechanical equipment will be fed from Normal Power.	ADD. 04- Attachment 9 - Performance Criteria Docs - Section 14.2.3 iii. (page 259) & Section 14.2.4 iii. (page 261)	Confirmed. Mechanical equipment will be fed from normal power. Refer additionally to Question No. 83 response. Attachment 9 Criteria, Section 14.2.3.c.iii and 14.2.4.f.iii will be deleted and issued in forthcoming addenda.

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
125	Article 25.2.6.6 and 25.3.2.3 conflict as to who is providing the pollution liability insurance. Please confirm that this coverage will be include in the OCIP.	Attachment 7 - General Conditions (DB Contract Docs) Add #2 Rev 4/4/25	The DBE is required to provide Contractor's Pollution Liability for work performed during demolition. The Judicial Council is not providing insurance during the demolition phase. The Judicial Council will provide insurance during the construction phase, through an OCIP, which includes General Liability, Workers' Compensation, Pollution Liability, and Builder's Risk.
126	Performance Criteria and Bridging document calls for a double interlock pre-action sprinkler system in the MDF room (Section 14.2.3 Main Distribution Facility (MFD), paragraph d on page 259). Document also calls for a double interlock pre-action sprinkler system in the IDF room (Section 14.2.4 Intermediate Distribution Facility (Telecom Room), paragraph g on page 262). However, under the IDF room section, paragraph g.i, g.ii, and g.iii on page 262 references MDF room and not the IDF room. Please confirm that both the MDF room, and the IDF room are to be provided with a double interlock pre-action system?	RFP Reference: Attachment 9 - Performance Criteria & Bridging Documents Addendum No. 4	Confirmed. Both the MDF and IDF (Telecom Rooms) require a double interlock pre-action sprinkler system. Attachment 9 Criteria, Section 14.2.4.g.i and iii will correct the typo and be re-issued in forthcoming addendum.
<b>END OF QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS</b>			