TO: POTENTIAL PROPOSERS

FROM: Administrative Office of the Courts

Education Division

DATE: December 8, 2006

SUBJECT/PURPOSE

ADDENDUM NO. 1 TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS OF MEMO:

To publish answers to questions received pertaining to the RFP for provision of television transmission services from San

Francisco, CA to Cheyenne, WY.

ACTION REQUIRED: You are invited to review and respond to the attached Request for

Proposals ("RFP"), as posted at

http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/reference/rfp/:

Project Title: Television Signal Transmission Services

RFP Number: CJER-SB10-06-SS

SOLICITATIONS MAILBOX:

solicitations@jud.ca.gov

DUE DATE & TIME FOR SUBMITTAL OF **QUESTIONS:**

Deadline for submittal of questions pertaining to solicitation

document is:

1:00 p.m. on December 6, 2006.

PROPOSAL DUE

DATE:

Proposals must be received by: 1:00 p.m. on December 13, 2006.

SUBMISSION OF

PROPOSAL:

Proposals are to be sent to:

Judicial Council of California

Administrative Office of the Courts

Attn: Nadine McFadden, RFP No. CJER-SB10-06-SS

455 Golden Gate Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

Project Title: Television Signal Transmission Services

RFP Number: CJER-SB10-06-SS

Addendum Number: 1

AOC Responses to Vendors' Questions

Question #1: Would it be possible to forward exact address of the AT&Ts Center Office (POP) in San Francisco? Please include floor location and telephone number.

Answer #1: Except for specifying the floor location, the following is the address for AT&T's Center Office (POP):

555 Pine Street San Francisco, CA 94108 (415) 391-0599

Question #2: Is there already a vendor set up? Are there DVBE requirements?

Answer #2: RFP #CJER-SB10-06-SS has been issued to seek the qualified services of a vendor during the period requested, for there is no vendor set up for that period. The DVBE requirements are set forth in section 12.0 of the RFP; in accordance with section 4.5 of the RFP, proposers must submit Attachment 5, DVBE Participation Form, to demonstrate good faith efforts required.

Question #3: Per our Legal review, the following sections of RFP Attachment 2, Contract Terms, would need to be modified to determine our willingness to respond to the RFP. Please let us know if these are matters that can be negotiated.

Exhibit A, Standard Provisions, Paragraph #1, Indemnification: this provision would have to be modified, because we won't indemnify the State for failure of the service. Normally, we request indemnification from the customer.

Exhibit B, Special Provisions, Paragraph #17, Limitation on State's Liability: this provision would need to reflect our standard limitations requirements and Transmission Credits need to be the sole remedy for a transmission failure.

Exhibit B, Special Provisions, Paragraph #20, Service Guarantee: this provision would need to reflect our standard warranty requirements.

Answer #3: Given the nature of the concerns expressed, the sections may be negotiable. Proposers with similar concerns should include in their proposal proposed changes to applicable language by submitting a red-lined version of Attachment 2, Contract Terms, and written documentation to substantiate each such proposed change, via Attachment 3, Vendor's Acceptance of the RFPs Contract Terms, for the State's consideration, in accordance with RFP section 4.3.