

## ANSWERS TO SUBMITTED QUESTIONS

Q #	RFP Reference	Question	Answers
1	Add 2 - Attachment 3	Please confirm the Risk Assessment dated 2011 is the most updated version for this project. If not, please provide updated version.	Attachment 3 is the RFP Question Submission Form, RFP reference is incorrect. Correct reference is the RFP Attachment 9, Performance Criteria Document, Section 2.2, Risk Assessment dated 2011 is the latest and is correct.
2	Add 3 - Attachment 7 Agreement 13.10.11	Please confirm that DSA's scope of work for this project is Access Compliance review only.	Correct DSA Access Compliance is the only project review required from DSA.
3	Add 3 - Attachment 7 Agreement 13.10.12	Please confirm that the JCC will provide the 3 <sup>rd</sup> party peer reviewers identified in the sample agreement. Please confirm that structural and smoke control (if applicable) are the only 3 <sup>rd</sup> peer reviews for the project.	Latest reference is Addendum 4, not 3. DBE should confirm additional peer review requirements from other AHJ, e.g. the City of Lakeport, OSFM etc. The Judicial Council of California will perform a structural and mechanical peer review in-house and will have a fire and life safety consultant under contract (Firewise) for their review.
4	Add 3 - Attachment 7 Agreement	Does CA 7105 apply to this project?	Refer to force majeure outlined in RFP Attachment 7, Addendum 4, Section 1.67.
5	Add 3 – Attachment 7 Agreement 1.14 Page 19	Please provide copy of the Builder's Risk Policy.	See Criteria Documents A.16 for the 2022 JCC OCIP Procedures Manual.
6	Add 3 - Attachment 7 Agreement 25.3.2.5	Will the JCC provide Earthquake Coverage?	The Judicial Council will not provide earthquake coverage at this time.
7	Add 3 - Attachment 7 Agreement 25.3.2.5	Will the JCC provide Flood Coverage?	The Judicial Council will not provide flood coverage at this time.
8	Agreement Exhibit D, Attachment 8 Design Review Table	SFM Phase 1 & Design Development are identified as Pre-GMP Design Requirements in Exhibit D of the Agreement. The Design Review Table identifies Phase 1 Design: OSFM as a Post-GMP Service. Please confirm that the SFM Phase 1 & Design Development scope of services, including the 180 day review time for SFM approval, is intended to be in the Pre-GMP Services?	SFM Phase I and Design Development is correctly represented as a Pre-GMP requirement in Exhibit D to the Agreement, Design Deliverable Requirements. Reference Addendum 5, Attachment 5 to the RFP, for revised Design Review Table which reflects this as a Pre-GMP activity and includes revisions to Phase I & Phase II SFM review times to be reflected in the DBE's schedule.
9	Agreement 7.2.1	Allowable usage for Project Contingency is identified in the Agreement 7.2.1. This article is silent on Design Contingency. The documents outline that the DBE and the JCC will negotiated the GMP prior to Working Drawings being started.  As with all project, there will be costs associated with imperfect design documents (E&O). Typically Contingency will be allowed to be used for these types of costs at the sole discretion of the DBE. Please confirm that Design Contingency, at the sole discretion of the DBE, is another allowable use for project contingency.	See the TGMP in the RFP Attachment 2, Addendum 5, page 2, Section 1.2.2 and page 3, line item 37.