RFP Title: AUDIOVISUAL ARCHITECTURAL AND DESIGN SERVICES AT SUPREME COURT LOCATIONS
RFP No.: RFP-REFM-SC-AV-STREAMING-2016-61-JP

Form for Vendor Submission of Questions

ATTACHMENT 9

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
1	Will CAD backgrounds be available?	RFP Section 3.2.1.4 Page 4	No CAD backgrounds are not available.
2	Are systems levels plans available (e.g. av, electrical, mechanical, etc.)?	RFP Section 3.2.1.4 Page 4	We have as-built drawings for SF location but the validity of all system levels is not confirmed.
	Will PDF files of original building plans available?	RFP Section 3.2.1.4, Page 4	Only for the SF location
3	Are any of the three facilities considered Qualified Historic Structures being listed on national, state, or local registers?	Exhibit B Section 2.6.3, Page B-1	San Francisco (Earl Warren Building at Civic Center Plaza) – Historic Structure Sacramento (Stanley Mosk Library and Courts Building) – Historic Structure
4	Will Historic Structures Reports (HSR's) be provided for Qualified Historical Structures?	Exhibit B Section 2.6.3 Page B-1	We do not have any HSR's available. If a HSR is available at a later date it will be provided to the bidder.

RFP Title: AUDIOVISUAL ARCHITECTURAL AND DESIGN SERVICES AT SUPREME COURT LOCATIONS
RFP No.: RFP-REFM-SC-AV-STREAMING-2016-61-JP

Form for Vendor Submission of Questions

ATTACHMENT 9

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
5	Will consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), Office of Historic Preservation, or State Historical Building Safety Board (SHBSB) need to be consulted for approvals?	Exhibit B Section 2.6.3, Page B-1	If the building is QHS, then SBHSB approval will be required.
6	Is there a Court standard for electronic drawing preparation (AutoCAD/REVIT & version thereof) applicable to this Project?	Sample A&E Agreement, Page B-2, 2.9, page B-10, 6.1.	Judicial Council does not have standards for electronic drawing preparation. We expect drawings in AutoCAD format to be provided (can be exported files)
7	Calendar shows contract execution June 6 and ROM due "mid-June" (June 15?). Is it the Court's expectation that the Consultant team will have access to all subject spaces for assessment and convene all user-groups for programming meetings in this time window?	RFP, Table 1, RFP Schedule (Page 7) and 3.2.1.10 (page 5).	We do not expect the design team to complete programming and provide ROM by mid-June. However, once the programming is complete, we will expect a ROM on the project before going into design. The schedule will be established with the selected design firm.

RFP Title: AUDIOVISUAL ARCHITECTURAL AND DESIGN SERVICES AT SUPREME COURT LOCATIONS
RFP No.: RFP-REFM-SC-AV-STREAMING-2016-61-JP

Form for Vendor Submission of Questions

ATTACHMENT 9

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
8	Are existing camera locations deemed adequate or are new or modified camera locations required in courtrooms, in particular those with historically sensitive interior finishes?	RFP 3.2.1.1 and 3.2.1.2 (pages 3-4)	General camera locations in SF are generally adequate, but that additional cameras will be needed and their appropriate location should be recommended and considered. The camera locations in Sacramento and LA are not currently adequate and additional cameras will be needed. An assessment of improved camera location is desirable for all three sites.
9	Granicus' streaming encoder only supports 480p output, but Granicus envisions 720p and 1080p streaming capability in future upgrades. Are Courtroom AV improvements to include upgrades to allow 720p and 1080p content generation in anticipation of higher Granicus' anticipated higher-bandwidth streaming?	RFP 3.2.1.2 (pages 3-4)	Yes. Improvements should include upgrades to allow 720P and 1080P streaming.

RFP Title: AUDIOVISUAL ARCHITECTURAL AND DESIGN SERVICES AT SUPREME COURT LOCATIONS
RFP No.: RFP-REFM-SC-AV-STREAMING-2016-61-JP

Form for Vendor Submission of Questions

ATTACHMENT 9

Q #	Questions	RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item)	Answers
10	Weekly construction administration site visits are requested. Given the geographically distributed nature of the Project and an as yet undetermined project schedule, what assumptions can be made about the construction period and anticipated process (all three sites under construction at once, or each site built in serial fashion) in order to appropriately gauge the level of CA effort required?	RFP 3.2.1.9 (page 5)	Please assume that only one site will be under construction at a time.
11	Is it acceptable to provide a proposal for this scope directly to the JCC for Audiovisual Consulting and Design Services only? The intent is for the AV scope to be in a ready state to be appended to the architectural scope.		Scope of AV services is to be part of the proposal.
12	Is it possible for the JCC to disclose available or target budgets for each location or for the project?		Overall project budget estimate is $1.2M. This will be refined with the design team after Notice to proceed.