



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

ADDENDUM 1

Date	Action Requested
October 16, 2014	Please review
To	Deadline
Potential Proposers	N/A
Subject	Contact
RFQ CHANGES AND RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS	solicitations@jud.ca.gov
RFQ#JBCP-2014-03-BR A&E SERVICES - NEW SACRAMENTO CRIMINAL COURTHOUSE	

Please note the following change to the Schedule of Events for the RFQ:

Item 6: Interviews of the Short Listed Consultants (if necessary) will be held in Sacramento, California

Change to the RFQ document as follows:

Article 14.7 EVALUATION PROCESS, item D: is deleted as it pertains to a bid process

Responses to questions provided below:

#		QUESTION	Answer
		ZGF ARCHITECTS LLP	
1	Section 4.1.d) Tab 2, 330 Part I (A-D): Firm Identification (page 8 of the RFQ)	Page 8 of the RFP mentions that only key sub-consultants should be named and Structural, Mechanical, Electrical, low-voltage and LEED / Sustainability are listed. We understand from the Pre-submittal Teleconference that we should include a full sub-consultant team in our response. Can the Judicial Council please confirm that the list of disciplines provided in Section 3.0, Subsection 3.5 on page 5 of the RFQ are those that we should include in our response? Special services that may be requested have also been listed in this section. Should these disciplines be included as well?	Any sub-consultant that would add to the strength of the team, or has significant court experience that could be highlighted should be mentioned. This is obviously a judgment call but if they warrant mention in the interview or submittal review process, those disciplines should be listed.
2	Section 4.1.d) Tab 4, 330 Part I (F): Example Projects (page 8 of the RFQ)	Page 8 of the RFQ mentions that project examples cited should be "completed in the last ten (10) years." However, if we have a project that is particularly relevant to the New Sacramento Criminal Courthouse but exceeds the ten year mark, is there a chance that this project could be considered?	It would not hurt as a supplement, however, obviously recent projects would be more relevant and closer to the reality of the firm as it now exists.
3	Section 4.1.d) Tab 7, 330 Part II: General Qualifications (page 9 of the RFQ)	Are Part II: General Qualifications required from the key sub-consultants?	No, only for the proposer, for any other sub consultant it is discretionary.

#		QUESTION	Answer
4	Pages 2 and 7 (4.1, third paragraph) of the RFQ	On page 2 of the RFQ, it is indicated that on the lower left corner of the envelope that firms should "Indicate RFQ Number, Name of Your Firm, and Project Name." On page 7 of the RFQ, it is indicated that envelopes should be clearly marked "RFQ – (firm name), Project Name, RFQ Number." Though requiring the same information, it is in a different order. Is there a preference?	No preference
5	Section 14.7 Evaluation Process, D (page 14 of the RFQ)	On page 14 of the RFQ, you refer to a coin toss in the event of a tie. This is very surprising given the nature of the client group. Wouldn't it be more valuable to have a follow-up meeting with each team?	This is being removed as it pertains to bid process not RFQ process.
		NACHT & LEWIS	
6	Tab 8.1 (Page 9); Attachment B: Qualifications Questionnaire – Item 6 (Page 2 of 4) and Item 11 (Page 3 of 4)	Is litigation history to be provided for the past five (5) years, ten (10) years or both as requested by each specific RFQ reference?	RFQ - Tab 8.1 is referring to cases (lawsuits) against the firm. (5 years) Questionnaire Item 6 refers to professional liability claims (10 years)
		SKIDMORE, OWINGS & MERRILL, LLP	
7	Attachment C-Exhibit A; Statement of Work A.5 SERVICES.G.(iii). pg A-16	PLEASE INDICATE WHAT BUILDING INFORMATION MODELING (BIM) SOFTWARE WILL BE REQUIRED IN ADDITION TO AND/OR IN PLACE OF AUTO-CAD	Whatever your currently uses, no preference from the standpoint of the JC.
		PEI COBB FREED & PARTNERS	
8	4.0, Tab 2, on page 8	Is there more to the Attachment A that has been provided that is related to "an organizational team chart for the project described in Attachment A"?	No. This should be a simple team chart of your proposed team for the project.

#		QUESTION	Answer
		BEYER BLINDER BELLE ARCHITECTS & PLANNERS LLP	
11	Page 8, Tab 4 – 330 Part I (F) Example Projects	Please clarify if completed in the last ten (10) year is design or construction.	Construction
12	Pages 8 and 9, Tab 6 – 330 Part I (H) Proposed Approach	Please clarify what the reference to response to selection criteria listed in Section 7.0 of the RFQ means.	Your Proposed Approach should include your responses that address your firms qualifications as it relates to the criteria listed: Design Experience, Project Management, Geographic Breadth of Service Delivery Area, and Technical and Project Execution Capabilities Expertise.