



QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

#	RFP Reference (Page-Section)	Question	Response
1	Section 2.2.1.a	Section states that the on-site safety professional shall be at each project location “that will equate to no less than the number of hours per project as specified in Attachment 13.” While there are dates included for each project, there does not appear to be a listing of the required hours by project. Please clarify whether pricing needs to include full-time safety at each project for the duration of the construction schedule, and if different from a full time person at each project for the duration of the listed schedule, what the required hours are for each project.	We require one (1) full time equivalent at the New Redding Courthouse, Shasta County. For the remaining projects, we require one (1) full time equivalent, on a roving basis, for the Northern California projects, and one (1) full time equivalent, on a roving basis, for the Southern California projects.
2	Section 5.1	Remuneration states that “It is anticipated that any agreement awarded pursuant to this RFP will be for a term of five years with two one year extensions.” If the Judicial Council elects to issue one or both of the one year extensions, how will the contract amount be adjusted from the initial 5 year term? Will it be a simple proration of the initial fixed price, or will another methodology be used?	This Section of the RFP has been revised. Please see Section 5 of the RFP for updates.
3	Section 8 & Attachment 8	Section 8 in the RFP (Proposal Contents) and Attachment 8 – Technical Proposal have slight variances in the format / order of the questions. Should bidders format their response based on Section 8 of the RFP or Attachment 8?	Bidders should format their responses based on Attachment 8. Any items that are listed in Section 8 of the RFP, but not included in Attachment 8, should be added to the end of bidder’s response.