



Judicial Council of California
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

ADDENDUM 1

Date	Action Requested
April 5, 2011	Please review the attached responses to questions regarding the RFP
To	Deadline
Potential Proposers	N/A
From	Contact
Judicial Council of California Administrative Office of the Courts, Office of Court Construction and Management	occm_solicitations@jud.ca.gov
Subject	
Addendum No. 1 Responses to Questions	
Design and Construction Structural Engineering Services Solicitation Number: OCCM-2011-11-GS	

Design and Construction Structural Engineering Services

Solicitation Number: OCCM-2011-11-GS

Addendum No. 1

#	RFQ Reference	Question	Answer
1	1.0, 2.0	Section 1.0 refers to "8 courthouse construction projects," and Section 2.0 refers to "major capital projects described in this document." Will any of the selection be based on attributes of these projects (size, location, new v. existing, etc.), so that I should tailor my submittal to match the projects of interest in any way, and if so, would you please list or point to the projects?	Referenced projects only indicate the magnitude of the capital program and the expected activity in the immediate future.
2	1.0, 2.0, 3.1	Section 1.0 refers to "8 courthouse construction projects," Section 2.0 refers to "major capital projects," and Section 3.1 refers to "structural engineering services." Haven't the AE teams for major capital projects (including the 8 referenced) already been selected, and if so, other than peer review (which is covered in 3.2), what sort of structural engineering services are envisioned by this RFP?	By definition, IDIQ structural engineering services are unknown at this time. One type of anticipated service is as part of a structural peer review committee.

Design and Construction Structural Engineering Services

Solicitation Number: OCCM-2011-11-GS

Addendum No. 1

#	RFQ Reference	Question	Answer
3	3.0	Given the range of potential services in Section 3.0, from design to peer review, and given the ID/IQ nature of the RFP, which makes no fee commitment up front and instead will negotiate a fee for each assignment, it would seem that the AOC would achieve a great benefit – maximum diversity and flexibility, at no additional cost -- by selecting a large group of providers and by allowing RFP responses that target either Engineering Services, or Peer Review Services, or both. Therefore, will the AOC be open to submittals that express interest and expertise focused on just the Peer Review portion of the scope? (The Administrative Rule in Section 10.G.2 appears to allow this.)	Proposals will be evaluated per the selection criteria stated in the RFP.
4	2.0, 7.3	As of 4/1/11, the url listed returns a "Page Not Found" error. What is the correct url where addenda and answers will be posted?	http://www.courts.ca.gov/rfps.htm
5	RFP Reference: Page 7, Item 8.6	Regarding the reference to the Service Provider's "subconsultants", will the AOC please elaborate as to what disciplines other than structural engineering are anticipated to be required under this ID/IQ SE contract?	This RFP seeks only structural engineers licensed to practice in the state of California.
6	RFP Reference: Page 5, Item 4.2.7	Please clarify whether this refers to the 5-page DVBE Participation Form that <u>is not</u> to be submitted with the proposal or whether naming and including the credentials of a DVBE firm <u>is not</u> to be submitted at this time?	<u>5-page DVBE Participation Form is not</u> to be submitted with proposal – Only Selected Service Provider.