455 Golden Gate Avenue ◆ San Francisco, CA 94102-3660 Telephone 415–865–7451 ◆ Fax 415–865–4334 ◆ TDD 415–865–4272

RONALD M. GEORGE Chief Justice of California Chair of the Judicial Council WILLIAM C. VICKREY

Administrative Director of the Courts

RONALD G. OVERHOLT Chief Deputy Director

TO: POTENTIAL BIDDERS

FROM: Administrative Office of the Courts

Executive Office Programs

DATE: April 18, 2002

SUBJECT/PURPOSE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

OF MEMO: Redesign of *Court News*, the official newsmagazine

of the California Courts

ACTION You are invited to review and respond to the attached Request for

REQUIRED: Proposals ("RFP"):

Project Title: Court News Redesign

RFP Number: 02-03

DEADLINE: Proposals must be received by 5 p.m. on April 30, 2002

SUBMISSION OF Proposals should be sent to:

PROPOSAL: Judicial Council of California

Administrative Office of the Courts

Attn: Blaine Corren 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

CONTACT FOR NAME: TEL: FAX: E-MAIL:

FURTHER Blaine Corren 415-865-7449 415-865-4334 blaine.corren@jud.ca.gov

INFORMATION:

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 <u>Background</u>

The Judicial Council of California, chaired by the Chief Justice of California, is the chief policymaking agency of the California judicial system. The California Constitution directs the council to improve the administration of justice by surveying judicial business, recommending improvements to the courts, and making recommendations annually to the Governor and the Legislature. The Council also adopts rules for court administration, practice, and procedure, and performs other functions prescribed by law. The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) is the staff agency for the council and assists both the council and its chair in performing their duties.

- 1.2 *Court News* reports on developments in court administration, issues affecting courts and judges, and Judicial Council programs. It is mailed bimonthly to approximately 6,000 judges, court administrators and managers, special libraries, state court administrators, and others on request.
- 1.3 The current tabloid format was introduced in 1995. *Court News* was introduced in a letter-sized printed version in 1991, replacing the *AOC Newsletter* which dated back to 1964.
- 1.4 The publication in its present format is relatively expensive to produce (approximately \$1 per copy to print, and \$1 per subscriber to mail) and time-intensive (two weeks in production after final approval of text).
- 1.5 While the majority of readers are satisfied with *Court News* (93 percent rated it very or somewhat effective in a 1999 survey), its frequency, format, and limited distribution hinder its effectiveness as a timely medium for court leaders and the broader court community.
- 1.6 The agency plans to publish Court News Online, a weekly e-mail newsletter to court leaders, in the second half of 2002. This will supplement, not replace, the existing bimonthly print edition and focus on news alerts and brief reports.
- 1.7 The Trial Court Funding Act of 1997 resulted in a restructuring of the California court system. The legislation significantly altered the relationship between the trial courts and the Judicial Council/Administrative Office of the Courts and gave the AOC a larger role in court planning, budgeting, technology, human resources, legal services, and other areas. This new relationship requires a reassessment of current communications strategies and vehicles.

2.0 PURPOSE OF THIS RFP

The AOC seeks the services of a consultant with expertise in print and electronic publications design to evaluate the current print edition of *Court News* and, in collaboration with AOC staff, make recommendations with regard to content, format, frequency, and distribution.

3.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

- 3.1. Services are expected to be performed by the consultant between June 2002 and December 2002 (dates flexible).
- 3.2. The consultant will be asked to:
 - 3.2.1 Evaluate current print and e-mail editions of *Court News*;
 - 3.2.2 In collaboration with the AOC, advise on content, format, frequency, and distribution options for the print and e-mail editions of *Court News*.
 - 3.2.3 Evaluate AOC editorial, design, and production capabilities and processes and recommend for most efficient and effective methods of producing the new *Court News*.
 - 3.2.4 Design and create print and Web publication templates.

4.0 SPECIFICS OF A RESPONSIVE PROPOSAL

The following information shall be included in the proposal:

- 4.1 Name, address, telephone and fax numbers, and social security number or federal tax identification number.
- 4.2 Six copies of the proposal signed by an authorized representative of the company including name, title, address, and telephone number of one individual who is the responder's designated representative.
- 4.3 Resumes describing the background and experience of key staff, as well as each individual's ability and experience in conducting the proposed activities.
- 4.4 Describe key staff's knowledge of the requirements necessary to complete this project.

- 4.5 Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of a minimum of five (5) clients for whom the consultant has conducted similar services. The AOC may check references listed by the consultant.
- 4.6 Responsive proposals should provide concise information that satisfies the requirements noted above. Expensive bindings, color displays, and the like are not necessary or desired. Emphasis should be placed on conformity to the state's instructions, requirements of this RFP, and completeness and clarity of content.
- 4.7 Overall plan with time estimates for completion of all work required.

5.0 COST PROPOSAL

Submit a detailed line-item budget showing total cost of the services. Fully explain and justify all budget line items in a narrative entitled "Budget Justification." The total cost for consultant services will not exceed \$25,000 inclusive of personnel, materials, computer support, travel, lodging, per diem, and overhead rates. The method of payment to the consultant will be by cost reimbursement.

6.0 **RIGHTS**

The AOC reserves the right to reject any and all proposals, as well as the right to issue similar RFPs in the future. This RFP is in no way an agreement, obligation, or contract and in no way is the AOC or the State of California responsible for the cost of preparing the proposal. One copy of a submitted proposal will be retained for official files and becomes a public record.

Only written responses will be accepted. Responses should be sent by registered or certified mail or by hand delivery. The consultant may send the AOC an advance copy by facsimile to the Project Manager at the fax number listed in Section 7.0, below. However, sending an advance copy by fax does not satisfy the submission requirements of paragraph 4.2.

7.0 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

The Project Manager for this RFP process is:

Blaine Corren **Executive Office Programs** Administrative Office of the Courts 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3660

Phone: 415-865-7449

Fax: 415-865-4334 blaine.corren@jud.ca.gov

8.0 EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

Proposals will be evaluated by the AOC using the following criteria:

- a. Quality of work plan submitted
- b. Experience on similar assignments
- c. Credentials of staff to be assigned to the project
- d. Ability to meet timing requirements to complete the project
- e. Reasonableness of cost projections
- f. Compatible approach with agency systems.

9.0 ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

It may be necessary to interview prospective service providers to clarify aspects of their submittal. If conducted, interviews will likely be conducted by telephone conference call. The AOC will notify prospective service providers regarding the interview arrangements.

10.0 PROPOSED CONTRACT TERMS AND ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Contracts with successful firms will be signed by the parties on a State of California Standard Agreement form and will include terms appropriate for this project. Generally, the terms of the contract will include, but are not limited to: (1) completion of the project within the time frame provided; (2) no additional work authorized without prior approval; (3) no payment without prior approval; (4) funding availability subject to Legislature; (5) termination of contract under certain conditions; (6) indemnification of the State; (7) approval by the State of any subcontractors; (8) National Labor Relations Board, drugfree workplace, nondiscrimination, and ADA requirements; and (9) minimum appropriate insurance requirements.

Incorporated in this RFP, and attached as Attachment A, is a document entitled "Administrative Rules Governing Requests for Proposals. Consultants shall follow these rules in preparation of their proposals.

11.0 DISABLED VETERAN BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PARTICIPATION GOALS

The State requires contract participation goals of three percent (3%) for disabled veteran business enterprises (DBVEs). Therefore, your response should demonstrate DVBE compliance; otherwise, if it is impossible for you firm to comply, please use the DVBE participation form attached as Attachment B to explain why, and demonstrate written

Court News Redesign April 18, 2002 Page 5

evidence of a "good faith effort" to achieve participation. Your firm must complete the attached DVBE participation requirement form even if it is only to explain why your firm cannot achieve the participation goal. Completing the attached form to the extent feasible is mandatory to be responsive to this solicitation's requirements. If your firm has any questions regarding the form, you should contact the Contracting Officer, Stephen Saddler, at 415-865-7989. For further information regarding DVBE resources, please contact the Office of Small Business and DVBE Certification, at 916-372-9978.

ATTACHMENT A

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES GOVERNING REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS

A. General

- 1. This solicitation document, the evaluation of proposals, and the award of any contract shall conform with current competitive bidding procedures as they relate to the procurement of goods and services. A vendor's proposal is an irrevocable offer for 30 days following the deadline for its submission.
- 2. A nondiscrimination clause will be included in any contract that ensues from this solicitation document.
- 3. In addition to explaining the State's requirements, the solicitation document includes instructions which prescribe the format and content of proposals.

B. Errors in the solicitation document

- 1. If a vendor submitting a proposal discovers any ambiguity, conflict, discrepancy, omission, or other error in this solicitation document, the vendor shall immediately provide the State with written notice of the problem and request that the solicitation document be clarified or modified. Without disclosing the source of the request, the State may modify the solicitation document prior to the date fixed for submission of proposals by issuing an addendum to all vendors to whom the solicitation document was sent.
- 2. If prior to the date fixed for submission of proposals a vendor submitting a proposal knows of or should have known of an error in the solicitation document but fails to notify the State of the error, the vendor shall bid at its own risk, and if the vendor is awarded the contract, it shall not be entitled to additional compensation or time by reason of the error or its later correction.

C. Questions regarding the solicitation document

1. If a vendor's question relates to a proprietary aspect of its proposal and the question would expose proprietary information if disclosed to

competitors, the vendor may submit the question in writing, conspicuously marking it as "CONFIDENTIAL." With the question, the vendor must submit a statement explaining why the question is sensitive. If the State concurs that the disclosure of the question or answer would expose proprietary information, the question will be answered, and both the question and answer will be kept in confidence. If the State does not concur regarding the proprietary nature of the question, the question will not be answered in this manner and the vendor will be notified.

2. If a vendor submitting a proposal believes that one or more of the solicitation document's requirements is onerous or unfair, or that it unnecessarily precludes less costly or alternative solutions, the vendor may submit a written request that the solicitation document be changed. The request must set forth the recommended change and vendor's reasons for proposing the change. Any such request must be submitted to Blaine Corren at the Administrative Office of the Courts by 5:00 p.m. on April 26, 2002.

D. Addenda

The State may modify the solicitation document prior to the date fixed
for submission of proposals by faxing an addendum to the vendors to
whom the solicitation document was sent. If any vendor determines that
an addendum unnecessarily restricts its ability to bid, it must notify
Blaine Corren at the Administrative Office of the Courts no later than
one day following the receipt of the addendum.

E. Withdrawal and resubmission/modification of proposals

1. A vendor may withdraw its proposal at any time prior to the deadline for submitting proposals by notifying the State in writing of its withdrawal. The notice must be signed by the vendor. The vendor may thereafter submit a new or modified proposal, provided that it is received at the Administrative Office of the Courts no later than 5:00 p.m. and April 30, 2002. Modification offered in any other manner, oral or written, will not be considered. Proposals cannot be changed or withdrawn after 5:00 p.m. and April 30, 2002.

F. Evaluation process

 An evaluation team will review in detail all proposals that are received to determine the extent to which they comply with solicitation document requirements.

- 2. If a proposal fails to meet a material solicitation document requirement, the proposal may be rejected. A deviation is material to the extent that a response is not in substantial accord with solicitation document requirements. Material deviations cannot be waived. Immaterial deviations may cause a bid to be rejected.
- 3. Proposals that contain false or misleading statements may be rejected if in the State's opinion the information was intended to mislead the state regarding a requirement of the solicitation document.
- 4. Cost sheets will be checked only if a proposal is determined to be otherwise qualified. All figures entered on the cost sheets must be clearly legible.
- 5. During the evaluation process, the State may require a vendor's representative to answer questions with regard to the vendor's proposal. Failure of a vendor to demonstrate that the claims made in its proposal are in fact true may be sufficient cause for deeming a proposal nonresponsive.

G. Rejection of bids

1. The State may reject any or all proposals and may or may not waive an immaterial deviation or defect in a bid. The State's waiver of an immaterial deviation or defect shall in no way modify the solicitation document or excuse a vendor from full compliance with solicitation document specifications. The AOC reserves the right to accept or reject any or all of the items in the proposal, to award the contract in whole or in part and/or negotiate any or all items with individual vendors if it is deemed in the AOC's best interest. Moreover, the AOC reserves the right to make no selection if proposals are deemed to be outside the fiscal constraint or against the best interest of the government.

H. Award of contract

- 1. Award of contract, if made, will be in accordance with the solicitation document to a responsible vendor submitting a proposal compliant with all the requirements of the solicitation document and any addenda thereto, except for such immaterial defects as may be waived by the State.
- 2. The State reserves the right to determine the suitability of proposals for contracts on the basis of a proposal's meeting administrative requirements, technical requirements, its assessment of the quality of service and performance of items proposed, and cost.

I. Decision

1. Questions regarding the State's award of any business on the basis of proposals submitted in response to this solicitation document, or on any related matter, should be addressed to Blaine Corren, Administrative Office of the Courts, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102.

J. Execution of contracts

- 1. The State will make a reasonable effort to execute any contract based on this solicitation document within 30 days of selecting a proposal that best meets its requirements.
- 2. A vendor submitting a proposal must be prepared to use a standard state contract form rather than its own contract form.

K. Protest procedure

- 1. The Administrative Office of the Courts intends to be completely open and fair to all vendors in selecting the best possible system within budgetary and other constraints described in the solicitation document. In applying evaluation criteria and making the selection, members of the evaluation team will exercise their best judgment.
- 2. A vendor submitting a proposal may protest the award if it meets all the following conditions:
 - a. the vendor has submitted a proposal which it believes to be responsive to the solicitation document;
 - b. the vendor believes that its proposal meets the state's administrative requirements and technical requirements, proposes items of proven quality and performance, and offers a competitive cost to the State; and
 - c. the vendor believes that the State has incorrectly selected another vendor submitting a proposal for an award.
- 3. A vendor submitting a proposal who is qualified to protest should contact the Contract Officer at the Administrative Office of the Courts at the address given below or call him at 415-865-7989.

Stephen Saddler Contracts Officer Administrative Office of the Courts 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3660

4. If the Contract Officer is unable to resolve the protest to the vendor's satisfaction, the vendor should file a written protest within five working days of the contract award notification. The written protest must state the facts surrounding the issue and the reasons the vendor believes the award to be invalid. The protest must be sent by certified or registered mail or delivered personally to:

Grant Walker
Business Services Manager
Administrative Office of the Courts
455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3660

A receipt should be requested for hand-delivered material.

L. News releases

 News releases pertaining to the award of a contract may not be made without prior written approval of the Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts.

M. Disposition of materials

 All materials submitted in response to this solicitation document will become the property of the State of California and will be returned only at the State's option and at the expense of the vendor submitting the proposal. One copy of a submitted proposal will be retained for official files and become a public record. However, any confidential material submitted by a vendor that was clearly marked as such will be returned upon request.

N. Payment

1. Payment terms will be specified in any agreement that may ensue as a result of this solicitation document.

2. THE STATE DOES NOT MAKE ANY ADVANCE PAYMENT FOR SERVICES. Payment is normally made based upon completion of tasks as provide in the agreement between the State and the selected vendor. The State may withhold ten percent of each invoice until receipt

of the final product. The amount of the withhold may depend upon the length of the project and the payment schedule provide in the agreement between the State and the selected vendor.