



Judicial Council of California
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

ADDENDUM

| | |
|--|--|
| Date | Action Requested |
| August 10, 2006 | Please review the attached changes and clarifications to the RFQ. |
| To | Deadline |
| Cost Estimating Firms | N.A. |
| From | Contact |
| Judicial Council of California | solicitations@jud.ca.gov |
| Administrative Office of the Courts, Office of Court Construction and Management | |
| Subject | |
| Addendum No. 1 Request for Qualifications and Proposals for Cost Estimating Services RFQ OCCM-FY2006-01 | |

-
1. Delete Section 2.0.b. iv. of the Standard Agreement Form
 2. See attached answers to questions.

Addendum #1

| Item | RFQ Reference | Firm Name and Question | Answer |
|------|---------------|---|---|
| 1 | ---- | <p>J.R. Conkey & Associates, Inc.</p> <p>Can our intent to submit an SOQ be emailed prior to August 11 at 2:00 PM?</p> | Yes. |
| 2 | ---- | <p>J.R. Conkey & Associates, Inc.</p> <p>Do we need to provide five (5) original cover letters to accompany each of the five (5) paper copies of our SOQ, or will one cover letter with wet signature suffice for all copies?</p> | One original letter, please, the rest can be copies attached to the other copies of the SOQ. |
| 3 | ---- | <p>J.R. Conkey & Associates, Inc.</p> <p>Does our hourly rate proposal need to contain any information other than the hourly rates for the persons indicated in the RFQ?</p> | No, only the indicated information is required. Other information may be submitted at your option. |
| 4 | ---- | <p>J.R. Conkey & Associates, Inc.</p> <p>The CM services project also lists Cost Estimating as part of the disciplines requested by the Agency. How will this impact, if at all, the estimating projects slated for the ID/IQ Cost Estimating Services project? Will the CM simply be performing a peer review of the estimates produced by the firms who do the initial cost estimates? Or, will cost estimates be divided up amongst the winning firm(s)? Please clarify.</p> | The CM firms will typically be asked to perform full estimates on projects to which they are assigned as a CM/Agency. The Cost Estimating firms will be asked to provide cost estimates on a variety of projects and studies. |

| Item | RFQ Reference | Firm Name and Question | Answer |
|------|---------------|---|---|
| 5 | 6.9.f | <p>TURBO CM</p> <p>RE: Paragraph 6.9 Evaluation of SOQ's; Item f. Accuracy of Cost Estimating</p> <p>Many of our relevant experience estimates Feasibility Studies or are for government agencies or others where Confidentiality Clauses are included and/or the bid results are "For Official Use Only" and are not released to us, however your Selection Criteria is heavily weighted toward someone who can provide "Volume... of data"</p> <p>Is "Confidential" acceptable as a bid result or can the weighting be revised to reflect experience with courts type projects without "Bid Results"?</p> | <p>"Confidential" is an acceptable response, but without actual results it will be virtually impossible to judge and verify the accuracy of the estimates. The proposer is invited to provide whatever information will best verify the accuracy of their estimates.</p> <p>Please submit whatever information your company can, labeled "Confidential". Information disclosed as "Confidential" will be held confidential.</p> |

| Item | RFQ Reference | Firm Name and Question | Answer |
|------|---------------|--|---|
| 6 | 2.0.b.iv | <p>TURBO CM RE: Standard Agreement – paragraph 2.0.b.iv Insurance Requirements Your Standard Agreement lists Professional Liability Coverage. In our 21 years in business we have found that Professional Liability Coverage is not readily available to Cost Estimating Firms, since we are not Professional Engineers nor does our work affect the “life, health and safety of the public”. Professional Liability Insurance Programs through American Society of Civil Engineers and AIA specifically exclude Cost Estimating. None of our Federal or municipal clients have required this coverage for these reasons. Is the coverage requirement negotiable?</p> | We will delete the requirement. |
| 7 | ---- | <p>Simpson & Simpson Management Consulting, Inc. (SSMCI) “Submittal should be sent by registered mail/certified mail !” Is FedEx acceptable?</p> | Yes. |
| 8 | ---- | <p>Dabri, Inc. Is it mandatory to have DVBE participation?</p> | The 3% DVBE participation is a goal, not a requirement. Per sections 9 and 10 of the RFQ, either compliance or a good-faith effort is required. |

Addendum #1

| Item | RFQ Reference | Firm Name and Question | Answer |
|------|--|--|--|
| 9 | Form 330/ Part 1, Sections F & H | DAVIS LANGDON Do you require the entire completed form or just Part 1, sections F and H? Thank you for your assistance with this. | We wish the entire form to be completed. |

Addendum #1

Firms who submitted intention to respond

Cost Estimating Services

1. Simpson & Simpson Management Consulting, Inc.
2. Cumming, LLC
3. Construction Consulting Co.
4. Davis Langdon
5. Don Todd Associates, Inc.
6. KJM Associates
7. Roebbelen Construction Management Services, Inc.
8. Faithful+Gould
9. J.R. Conkey & Associates, Inc