



**Judicial Council of California**  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688  
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

---

## ADDENDUM 1

---

Date	Action Requested
December 8, 2010	Please review the attached responses to questions regarding the RFQ
To	Deadline
Potential Proposers	None
From	Contact
Judicial Council of California Administrative Office of the Courts, Office of Court Construction and Management	<a href="mailto:occm_solicitations@jud.ca.gov">occm_solicitations@jud.ca.gov</a>
Subject	
<b>Addendum No. 1</b> Responses to Questions	
<b>California Trial Court Facilities Standards 2011 Edition</b> Solicitation Number: OCCM-2010-30-RO	

---

California Trial Court Facilities Standards, 2011 Edition  
Solicitation Number: OCCM-2010-30-RO  
Addendum No. 1

#	RFQ Reference	Question	Answer
1	Attachment "A Standard Provisions"	The indemnification holds the Contractor responsible for the acts of others that are not in the control of the Contractor. Thus, it is respectfully requested that "in whole or in part" and "solely" be removed from the indemnity provision.	The AOC will not entertain any modification to this article.
2	Attachment "A Standard Provisions"	Also, to be consistent throughout the indemnity it is requested that within part d of the indemnity, "negligent" be inserted before "performance".	See #1 above.
3	Attachment "Standard Provisions". Part "C" Article 19	A professional liability insurance policy does not provide for a waiver of subrogation, is it reasonable to request that Part C of Article 19 provide for such exclusion.	We will present to our risk management staff for consideration.
4	4.0 Responding to RFQ	Is there a page limitation for our Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) submittal?	No, but information should be concise and satisfy the requirements specified
5	4.0 Responding to RFQ	Should we use Section H of the 330 form to elaborate on our proposed approach?	Yes.
6	Attachment A (Sample Contract) section 19.B.iv – Professional Liability Insurance	Since this contract is for consulting services and does not lead to development of construction documents, it is not clear why PL insurance is required. Would the AOC consider waiving this requirement?	See response to Question #3 above.

California Trial Court Facilities Standards, 2011 Edition  
Solicitation Number: OCCM-2010-30-RO  
Addendum No. 1

#	RFQ Reference	Question	Answer
7	RFQ-General	Will there be an assessment of current court buildings as part of the process to update the current standards?	No, unless work in Phase 1 reveals a need to gather this type of data.
8	RFQ-General	How many consultants or firms will be selected for the interview?	It's practical to shortlist 5 consultants for any one day of interviews. But this is not the minimum. If there are more than 5 equally qualified consultants, then 6 or more consultants might be shortlisted.
9	RFQ-General	Will there be another RFQ for sub-consultants for Phase 2?	The sub-consultants(s) identified in Phase 1 will continue with Phase 2 work. It's not necessary to identify all the sub-consultants to be used in Phase 2. A sub-consultant identified in Phase 1 may be replaced if necessary, or a sub-consultant may be added in Phase 2.