



**Judicial Council of California**  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688  
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

---

**ADDENDUM 1**

---

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action Requested</b>
<b>February 19, 2010</b>	<b>Please review the change below</b>
<b>To</b>	<b>Please review Q&amp;A attached</b>
<b>Potential Proposers</b>	
<b>From</b>	<b>Deadline</b>
<b>Judicial Council of California</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Administrative Office of the Courts,</b>	<b>Contact</b>
<b>Office of Court Construction and</b>	<b><a href="mailto:occm_solicitations@jud.ca.gov">occm_solicitations@jud.ca.gov</a></b>
<b>Management</b>	
<b>Subject</b>	
<b>Addendum No. 1</b>	
<b>Q &amp;A</b>	
<b>Building Commissioning Services</b>	
<b>Solicitation Number: OCCM-2010-12-GS</b>	

---

Building Commissioning Services  
RFP #OCCM-2010-12-GS

#	RFQ Reference	Question	Answers
1	3.0, Scope of Services	Are any of the projects seeking LEED certification?	Yes – silver is typical, but varies by project
2	3.0, Scope of Services	Are the commissioning services for new or existing buildings?	Both. Please see 2.0, Purpose, "Service Providers will be selected to enter into Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity ("ID/IQ") contracts with the AOC for design and construction commissioning services on new capital projects and other projects as necessary. "
3	3.0, Scope of Services	What range of equipment and systems are expected to be commissioned?	Focus on major building system components that effect performance. Mechanical, electrical and controls typical.
4	RFP	Does the review committee favor in-state firms. Is there a perceived or scoring system disadvantage for out of state firms?	Firms will be evaluated on the basis of how they respond. There is no intent to favor in-State firms.
5			
6			