



**Judicial Council of California**  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688  
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

---

## ADDENDUM

---

Date	Action Requested
August 31, 2006	Please review the attached changes and clarifications to the RFQ.
To	Deadline
Architectural and Engineering Firms	N.A.
From	Contact
Judicial Council of California	<a href="mailto:solicitations@jud.ca.gov">solicitations@jud.ca.gov</a>
Administrative Office of the Courts, Office of Court Construction and Management	
Subject	
<b>Addendum No. 1</b> Request for Qualifications for ID/IQ A & E Services <b>RFQ OCCM-FY2006-03</b>	

---

1. See attached answers to questions.

Item	RFQ Reference	Firm Name and Question	Answer
1.	3.5	<p>Dreyfuss &amp; Blackford Architects</p> <p>Section 3 identifies the full range of services that <i>may</i> be required; paragraph 3.5 describes 12 distinct A &amp; E disciplines, plus 10 additional "special services" disciplines. Subsequent paragraphs describe Cost Analysis, FF&amp;E design, Landscape Architecture, Building Commissioning, Move &amp; Occupancy Planning and Public Artist - up to 28 disciplines. Does the AOC request that we identify a team member (i.e. provide firm bio and resumes) for each of these disciplines?</p>	<p>It is not required to identify team members for all possible services. At this time we want to evaluate the core team (please submit 330's for, at minimum: architect; civil, structural, mechanical, and electrical engineers; court planner). A team would add consultants as appropriate for a particular ID/IQ project, and when proposing for a single capital project a full team would be required.</p>
2.	----	<p>Ninyo and Moore</p> <p>We are pursuing the subject project and were wondering if it was possible to obtain a list of those firms that submitted on this contract in the past?</p>	<p>A list of firms that have so far responded with an "intent to propose" is attached to this addendum.</p>
3.	----	<p>Cannon Design</p> <p>A question regarding the above referenced RFQ. If we are interested in submitting for more than one region, how do we do that if we have two separate teams? Would we bind both SF330s in the same response and address it in the cover letter? Or would it be preferable to bind each region separately?</p>	<p>If more than one team is proposed, please bind them together and explain/identify appropriately.</p>
4.	----	<p>Wong &amp; Logan</p> <p>The submittal requirements for responding to the ID/IQ A &amp; E Services RFQ include the submission of the Standard Form 330. We assume that we should include the sub-consultant 330 forms for basic disciplines such as structural, mechanical &amp; plumbing, electrical, and cost estimating. Is this assumption correct?</p> <p>If so, should we also include the 330 forms for additional sub-consultants such as civil, security, acoustical design, lighting design, telecommunications &amp; AV, graphics, landscape architecture, code analysis, and site acquisition economic analysis &amp; feasibility studies?</p> <p>Are there any other sub-consultant disciplines that we have not mentioned that we should include?</p>	<p>Please see answer to item #1.</p>

Item	RFQ Reference	Firm Name and Question	Answer
5.	----	<p>MEDG</p> <p>1. We would like to ask whether firms can respond to provide all the services required by the RFQ, or they can select some disciplines, i.e. MEP only at this time.</p> <p>2. We are registered at CSCR, do we need to register with your website too? If yes, where are the links to do that?</p>	<p>1. No, we want teams to propose to this RFQ, led by an architect.</p> <p>2. Our website does not require (or have the capability of) registration.</p>
6.	----	<p>BOORA Architects</p> <p>1. On our SF330, can we submit projects that are older than 5 years?</p> <p>2. After submission of qualifications, will interviews of shortlisted firms be held collectively, or will each region hold separate interview sessions? If separate, will the format of each interview session be similar?</p> <p>3. What is the composition of the selection committee? Will the committee be the same for each region?</p> <p>4. How long are submissions valid for AOC work that does not fall into the ID/IQ category?</p> <p>5. Does the AOC have a list of projects that may be undertaken as part of this selection process? If so, can this list be shared? If not, can you share an approximate number of capital projects that will be undertaken in the \$10-50 million range as well as the over \$50 million?</p> <p>6. What is the AOC's schedule for moving forward with projects after the first round of interviews?</p> <p>7. Will funding be secured for each project before the A &amp; E selection process is started?</p>	<p>1. Yes, but emphasize projects within the last 5 years.</p> <p>2. Each region will hold similar interviews; firms may interview at the location most convenient for them. One interview will apply to all regions; multiple interviews aren't necessary.</p> <p>3. The committee will be AOC/OCCM personnel (CM/ arch/engr backgrounds), with court participation possible. The committee members will generally be consistent.</p> <p>4. Through June 2009.</p> <p>5. The list is subject to change and the State budget process, but over the next 3 years approximately 8 to 12 projects up to \$50M and 3 to 4 projects over \$50M are anticipated.</p> <p>6. ID/IQ projects will commence promptly; the first capital project (Mammoth Lakes, approx. \$10M construction cost) is expected to interview in early 2007.</p> <p>7. Typically yes, for the current phases, with subsequent phase funding anticipated in future FY's.</p>

Item	RFQ Reference	Firm Name and Question	Answer
7.	----	<p>Pollack Architecture</p> <p>1. In determining who to include as sub-consultants for engineering services, are there engineering consultants that you prefer, or have worked with in the past?</p> <p>2. Is there an advantage for partnering with a minority firm, e.g. are you going to use any type of minority set-asides in selecting firms or teams?</p> <p>3. Is there an opportunity to learn which firms the RFQ was sent to so that we can see about becoming part of other teams in addition to submitting our stand-alone requirements?</p>	<p>1. Selection of consultants is at the discretion the proposer.</p> <p>2. There are no set-asides.</p> <p>3. Please see answer to item #2.</p>
8.	----	<p>Sustainable Design Resources and GreenClips</p> <p>I'm a LEED consultant. I'd like to contact firms responding to this rfq. Do you have a list of firms that have requested this rfp or any advice on how to contact interested firms?</p>	Please see answer to item #2.
9.	----	<p>Cornerstone Studios, Inc.</p> <p>Please send the list of firms who have expressed interest in responding to this RFQ per contact information below.</p>	Please see answer to item #2.
10.	----	<p>KPFF Consulting Engineers</p> <p>We are a structural engineering firm- should we be teamed up with an architect for the submittal or do we submit independently?</p>	Please see answer to item #5.
11.	----	<p>KPFF Consulting Engineers</p> <p>Should the submittal include structural and civil engineering or just structural engineers?</p>	Please see answer to item #1.
12.	----	<p>KPFF Consulting Engineers</p> <p>If we are interested in submitting for multiple regions should we submit qualifications to each individual region or just once and indicate our interest in multiple regions?</p>	Only one submittal is required; the team should identify in their cover letter which of the ID/IQ regions they may wish to be considered for.
13.	----	<p>LASCHOB + SOVICH, Food Facilities Consultants</p> <p>I am writing to ask if there are any food facilities to be designed as part of the scope of work for SOQ No. OCCM-FY2006-003, A/E Services for Court Construction</p>	Extensive food service facilities are not anticipated, but final programming has not been performed.

Item	RFQ Reference	Firm Name and Question	Answer
14.	----	LASCHOBBER + SOVICH, Food Facilities Consultants If there are food facilities to be designed, would it be possible for us to be given names of the architects who are awarded contracts for these projects?	Design teams selected for each RFQ will be posted on the courtinfo website, at the ID/IQ A&E RFQ.
15.	----	Rutherford & Chekene Rutherford & Chekene will not submit as a prime for the services, but we would appreciate receiving any addendum or clarifications issued to the RFQ OCCM-FY2006-003.	Please monitor the courtinfo website for all communications. <a href="http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/reference/rfp/archeng-rfq">http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/reference/rfp/archeng-rfq</a>
16.	----	STUDIOS architecture We are planning to submit our Form 330 to provide architectural services for the above-referenced RFQ. Should we also include a full subconsultant team and their Form 330s or will that be a requirement at a later date?	Please see answer to item #1.
17.	I-C	Murray & Downs AIA-Architects, Inc. For Part I-Section C, can we list more than one consultant per discipline?	Yes.
18.	4.2.2	HOK In section 4.2.2 “at least 10 projects” are requested. Is there a maximum number of projects?	10 is entirely adequate; not more than 15, please.
19.	----	KMD Would it be adequate to list more than 1 programming firm for this project? The scope being very large, we strongly recommend that, due to the scarcity of programming consultants in the country, it would be safer to hire more than one firm to cover the entire scope as one or the other might have to fulfill previous engagements.	Please see answer to item #17.
20.	Pg. 5; 3.5	KMD On Page 5 of 22, 3.5 states the following: “Provide architectural, structural, civil, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, security, acoustical, interior design, lighting, data/telecommunications, graphics, and related services which may be required in connection with planning, design and execution of renovation and or new building projects.” With regards to this submission do you require information from any/all sub-consultant to the prime architectural firm? If yes, do you require all sub-consultants to submit a complete set of SF 330 (part I and Part II) or should they only include SF 330 Part II?	Please see answer to item #1.

Item	RFQ Reference	Firm Name and Question	Answer
21.	----	<p>KMD</p> <p>With regards to this submission do you require information from any/all sub-consultant to the prime architectural firm? If yes, do you require all sub-consultants to submit a complete set of SF 330 (part I and Part II) or should they only include SF 330 Part II?</p>	Please see answer to item #1.
22.	SF330	<p>BOORA Architects</p> <p>Given the uncertainty of project scope and schedule, is it appropriate for our team to include more than one possible project designer, project manager, project architect, and interior designer in our SF330? We would only propose staff members that would have the most relevant experience that might best match different types of work that may be called for, i.e. programming or new construction vs. major renovation or historic renovation. These staff members would also have experience on the projects we show in the SF330.</p>	Yes.
23.	----	<p>BOORA Architects</p> <p>Similarly, since project scope, schedule, and complexity are unknown during this first round of selection, we would like to verify the need to include subconsultants in our submission. If sub-consultants are requested at this time, which disciplines should we list?</p>	Please see answer to item #1.
24.	----	<p>BOORA Architects</p> <p>Should we include a specialized courts planner as part of our project team now, or wait for future rounds of selection when the potential scope of work is clearer?</p>	Please see answer to item #1.
25.	----	<p>KPFF Consulting Engineers</p> <p>We are an engineering firm- should we be the lead for this project, or should we be on an architectural team?</p>	Please see answer to item #5.
26.	----	<p>KPFF Consulting Engineers</p> <p>Should we submit separate qualifications packages for multiple regions, or just submit one and indicate the regions we are interested in?</p>	Please see answer to item #5.
27.	----	<p>KPFF Consulting Engineers</p> <p>Should the submittal include structural and civil engineering or just structural?</p>	Please see answer to item #1.

Item	RFQ Reference	Firm Name and Question	Answer
28.	----	SAFDIE RABINES ARCHITECTS Being that we don't know what the specific project will be, do you want qualifications just from our firm (with consultants chosen later based on the specific project) or should we also put together a team of consultants now and include their qualifications as well?	Please see answer to item #1.
29.	SF330; Part I	Tetra Design Are consultant resumes to be included in sf330, part i, e. Resumes of key Personnel?	Please see answer to item #1.
30.	SF330; Part II	Tetra Design Are the prime's consultants to submit sf330, part ii as part of the soq?	Please see answer to item #1.
31.	Pg. \$	Tetra Design On page 4 of the rfq it states that one project has been funded. Can we know which project that is?	Please see answer to item #6.
32.	----	Tetra Design Is there a page limit to this soq?	No, but clarity and succinctness is appreciated.
33.	Part I, Section E	KAL Architects Because the Scope of Services calls for multiple areas of expertise and disciplines, does OCCM wish for the Service Provider to show all resumes for all disciplines and areas of expertise or simply identify key personnel for key disciplines in Part I, Section E?	Please see answer to item #1.
34.	Section 2.0, Page 4	KAL Architects Section 2.0, Page 4 of 22: Shall the Service Provider indicate large or small project preference or is this strictly an OCCM evaluation?	Please indicate your preference for ID/IQ evaluation and/or capital project evaluation.

<b>Item</b>	<b>RFQ Reference</b>	<b>Firm Name and Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>
35.	Article 8.2	<p>SMWM</p> <p>In article 8.2 of the RFQ, it states that the AOC may negotiate the Agreement with the Service Provider, and then it states that by submitting for this RFQ, the Service Provider has no objection to the Standard Agreement. Our question is: if we have no objection to the use of the Standard Agreement, but would like to propose alternative language for a few of the sections/paragraphs, will we have a chance to discuss and negotiate our proposed revisions with the AOC? Do we have to accept the Agreement as it is or will there be an opportunity to discuss some possible modifications?</p>	<p>Discussion and clarification of terms is acceptable, but the proposer should not assume that any proposed changes will be agreed to.</p>
36.	Section 4.2.2, Page 7	<p>KAL Architects</p> <p>Section 4.2.2, Page 7 of 22: Example projects - does OCCM want more than 10 projects?</p>	<p>Please see answer to item #18.</p>



**Firms emailing intent to respond, through August 31, 2006:**

Advance Design Consultants, Inc.  
Capital Engineering Consultants, Inc.  
Dreyfuss & Blackford Architects  
Ninyo & Moore  
Cannon Design  
Electronic Interiors Inc.  
Murray & Downs Architects, Inc.  
Shah Kawasaki Architects  
Nadel Public Sector Architects, LLP  
Studio Pali Fekete Architects and MODAA Gallery  
Design Partnership  
RNL  
R. L. Binder Architecture & Planning  
Marcy Wong & Donn Logan Architects  
Boora Architects  
Carrier Johnson  
Architectural Resources Group  
MEDG  
Stafford King Wiese Architects  
Safdie Rabines Architects  
Viniegra & Viniegra Architecture  
Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants  
Glass Architects  
Studios Architecture  
Nacht & Lewis Architects  
KPFK Consulting Engineers  
KMD  
Tipping Mar + associates  
Alfa Tech Cambridge Group, Inc.  
Englekirk & Sabol  
Ratliff  
JDBA Architecture + Planning  
Studio Pali Fekete architects  
DLR Group  
JDBA Architecture + Planning  
SERA Architects, Inc.

**ID/IQ A&E**

**AOC/OCCM**

GWA Architects

HOK

Welsh Commissioning Group, Inc.

Powell & Partners, Architects

RossDrulisCusenbery Architecture, Inc

SMWM

gkkworks

SERA Architects, Inc.

TLCD Architecture

KAL Architects

Tucker Sadler Architects

Mark Cavagnero Associates

Wolf Architecture

Huntsman Architectural Group

SmithGroup