

Judicial Council of California-Administrative Office of the Courts
Office of Court Construction and Management
A&E RFQ for Major Trial Court Capital-Outlay Projects >\$50M
Form for Submission of Questions-Responses
October 10, 2007

#	RFQ Reference	Question	Answers
	Fentress Architects:		
1	Page 2 of 25 – Deadline	There is an SOQ deadline of 1 p.m. on Wednesday, October 3, 2007 listed. This is not consistent with the deadlines listed on page 13 of 25. What is due on October 3?	As previously indicated, the deadline is Wednesday, 10/17/07.
2	Page 8 of 25 – 5.1	A teleconference is mentioned in 5.1. Do we have to register separately for this teleconference? When will you let us know the timing for this teleconference?	The teleconference was held on 10/3/07 at 9AM as published. Your firm attended. Responses are published herein.
3	Page 11 of 25 – 8.2	8.2 refers to registered prospective Consultants. Is there something that we need to submit to you before October 17 to become registered?	No registration was or is required for participation in the phone conference or responding to the RFQ.
4	Page 11 of 25 – 8.2	8.2 also says that questions are due before September 10 – the RFQ was not put on the website until September 26. Page 13 of 25 mentions that questions are due by October 5. What is the correct date and time for questions?	The date for submission of questions was 10/5/07 per the conference call.
5	Page 3 of 25 – 2.0	Is there a preference for California based architectural and engineering consulting teams?	Per Section 2 of the RFQ, Page 3 of 25, Paragraph 1, "OCCM seeks . . . qualified architectural consulting teams, led by architects licensed in California . . ."
8	Pfeiffer Partners		
9		Do you anticipate any additional courthouse projects this year?	There will not be any other major capital-outlay projects funded in the 2007-2008 fiscal year.

Judicial Council of California-Administrative Office of the Courts
 Office of Court Construction and Management
 A&E RFQ for Major Trial Court Capital-Outlay Projects >\$50M
 Form for Submission of Questions-Responses
 October 10, 2007

11	KMD Architects		
12	OCCM-FY2007-02	As stated in Item 4.3.1 (pg 7 of 25 of the RFQ), the “proposed team [is] comprised of the Architect and only key sub-consultants, Structural, MEP, low-voltage, and LEED/Sustainability.” Please confirm that this applies to all subsequent sections of the 330 form (i.e. E. Resumes of Architect and key sub-consultants ONLY; G. Participation-list names of participants from Architect and key sub-consultants ONLY). In other words, you do not require submission of any information regarding other proposed sub-consultants, such as Civil, Geotechnical, Parking, Landscape, Programming, Cost, Graphic Design, etc.	It is up to the individual architect to include or exclude subconsultants in the submission. All known key members of the architects’ teams, which would be relevant for selection for a particular project or projects, may be included.
13			
14	VLG Engineering		
15		We are a structural engineering firm interested in teaming with an architectural firm for this project. Can you provide a list of consultants expressing interest in this project(s)?	The list of consultants that attended the conference call will be published with answers to oral and written questions. The list may not be all-inclusive as to firms actually preparing to submit an SOQ.

Judicial Council of California-Administrative Office of the Courts
 Office of Court Construction and Management
 A&E RFQ for Major Trial Court Capital-Outlay Projects >\$50M
 Form for Submission of Questions-Responses
 October 10, 2007

17	Moore Ruble Yudell Architects & Planners		
18		<p>On page 7 of the RFQ, Section 4.3.1 states, "Identify the prospective Consultant's point of contact, proposed team comprised of the Architect and <u>only</u> key sub-consultants, Structural, Mechanical, Electrical, low-voltage and LEED/Sustainability...".</p> <p>It was mentioned in the conference call that you could add additional consultants as well (Cost estimator, security, parking, etc.). Should we follow the RFQ and ONLY include the requested consultants or can we include the consultants that we deem necessary for these projects?</p>	Same as response to #12
20	Hilltop Geotechnical		
21		Can we submit for this RFP, our SOQ as a Qualified Geotechnical firm?	Same as response to #5.
22		As an established Local firm in San Bernardino, can we submit for only the New San Bernardino Courthouse?	The firm can submit for one or all of the projects as appropriate.

Judicial Council of California-Administrative Office of the Courts
Office of Court Construction and Management
A&E RFQ for Major Trial Court Capital-Outlay Projects >\$50M
Form for Submission of Questions-Responses
October 10, 2007

24	NBBJ		
25	OCCM-FY2007-02	It is our understanding that the AOC will only expect to see resumes and SF330 Part IIs for the core part of the consultant team. Based on that understanding, we hope to propose members of the consultant team for specific other roles in our organization chart, without providing detailed resumes and Part II documentation – in the belief that we could settle on these consultants with the AOC at a later date if necessary. Is this an acceptable approach to the listing of the consultant team?	Yes, this is acceptable.
26	HMC Architects		
27	Page 11 of 25, Item 8.1	Can we deliver the proposal by Federal Express or do you prefer only registered mail, certified, mail, or hand delivery?	Any type of delivery is acceptable within the deadline.
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
46			