

JUDICIAL COUNCIL of CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel. 415-865-4200
Fax 415-865-4205
courts.ca.gov

HON. PATRICIA GUERRERO
*Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council*

HON. BRAD R. HILL
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. STACY BOULWARE EURIE
Chair, Legislation Committee

HON. TAMARA L. WOOD
Chair, Rules Committee

HON. MARIA D. HERNANDEZ
Chair, Technology Committee

HON. ANN C. MOORMAN
*Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee
Chair, Litigation Management Committee*

*Hon. Maria Lucy Armendariz
Hon. Bunmi O. Awoniyi
Hon. Carol A. Corrigan
Hon. Charles Crompton
Hon. Judith K. Dulcich
Hon. Mary J. Greenwood
Hon. Maureen F. Hallahan
Ms. Rachel W. Hill
Hon. Ash Kalra
Ms. Gretchen M. Nelson
Hon. Ricardo R. Ocampo
Mr. Craig M. Peters
Hon. Michael Rhoads
Ms. Dena Stone
Hon. Thomas J. Umberg*

ADVISORY MEMBERS

*Ms. Kate Bieker
Hon. Ryan Davis
Mr. Chad Finke
Mr. Charles Johnson
Hon. Jeffrey C. Kauffman
Hon. Patricia L. Kelly
Mr. David W. Slayton*

MS. MICHELLE CURRAN
Administrative Director

August 1, 2026

Ms. Cara L. Jenkins
Legislative Counsel
1021 O Street, Suite 3210
Sacramento, California 95814

Ms. Erika Contreras
Secretary of the Senate
State Capitol, Room 305
Sacramento, California 95814

Ms. Sue Parker
Chief Clerk of the Assembly
State Capitol, Room 319
Sacramento, California 95814

*Re: Report on State Trial Court Electronic Filing and Document Service
Accessibility Compliance, as required under Code of Civil Procedure
section 1010.6(j)*

Dear Ms. Jenkins, Ms. Contreras, and Ms. Parker:

Under Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6(j), the Judicial Council is submitting *Report on State Trial Court Electronic Filing and Document Service Accessibility Compliance* in accordance with Assembly Bill 103 (Stats. 2017, ch. 17).

Ms. Cara L. Jenkins
Ms. Erika Contreras
Ms. Sue Parker
August 1, 2026
Page 2

If you have any questions related to this report, please contact Mr. John Yee, Director, Judicial Council Information Technology, at 415-865-4601 or john.yee@jud.ca.gov.


Sincerely,



Michelle Curran
Administrative Director
Judicial Council

MC/JY/cc
Enclosures

cc: Eric Dang, Counsel, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Monique Limón
Emelyn Rodriguez, General Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker Robert Rivas
Shaun Naidu, Policy Consultant, Office of Assembly Speaker Robert Rivas
Drew Soderborg, Deputy Legislative Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office
Gabriel Petek, Legislative Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office
Mark Jimenez, Principal Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance
Henry Ng, Budget Analyst, Department of Finance
Margie Estrada, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee
Stephanie Jordan, Chief Counsel, Senate Public Safety Committee
Eric Csizmar, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office
Morgan Branch, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office
Nicholas Liedtke, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee
Andrew Ironside, Chief Counsel, Assembly Public Safety Committee
Nora Brackbill, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee
Jennifer Kim, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee
Lyndsay Mitchell, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget
Gary Olson, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget
Elizabeth Enea, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget
Cory T. Jaspersen, Director, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council
Alona Daniliuk, Administrative Coordinator, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council



JUDICIAL COUNCIL of CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel. 415-865-4200
Fax 415-865-4205
courts.ca.gov

HON. PATRICIA GUERRERO
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. BRAD R. HILL
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. STACY BOULWARE EURIE
Chair, Legislation Committee

HON. TAMARA L. WOOD
Chair, Rules Committee

HON. MARIA D. HERNANDEZ
Chair, Technology Committee

HON. ANN C. MOORMAN
Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

Hon. Maria Lucy Armendariz
Hon. Bunmi O. Awoniyi
Hon. Carol A. Corrigan
Hon. Charles S. Crompton
Hon. Judith K. Dulcich
Hon. Maureen F. Hallahan
Ms. Rachel W. Hill
Hon. Ash Kalra
Ms. Gretchen Nelson
Hon. Ricardo R. Ocampo
Mr. Craig M. Peters
Hon. Michael Rhoads
Ms. Dena Stone
Hon. Thomas J. Umberg

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Ms. Kate Bieker
Hon. Ryan Davis
Mr. Charles Johnson
Hon. Jeffrey C. Kauffman
Hon. Patricia L. Kelly
Mr. David W. Slayton

MS. MICHELLE CURRAN
Administrative Director
Judicial Council

Report title: Report on State Trial Court Electronic Filing and Document Service Accessibility Compliance

Statutory citation: Assembly Bill 103 (Stats. 2017, ch. 17)
Code section: Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6(j)

Date of report: August 1, 2026

The Judicial Council has submitted a report to the Legislature in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6(j).

The following summary of the report is provided under the requirements of Government Code section 9795.

Assembly Bill 103, the public safety bill approved by the Governor on June 27, 2017, amended Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6(h), which requires that any system for the electronic filing and service of documents used by a California trial court be accessible to individuals with disabilities, as provided.

The amendment requires the Judicial Council to submit four reports between June 2018 and December 2023. The fourth report was due December 31, 2023, and was not submitted. This report provides the information required in that report.

This report provides an overview of efforts to date to determine the trial courts' level of compliance with AB 103. It identifies all the courts that have implemented a system of electronic filing and service of documents, the name of the entity or entities providing the system, and whether the system complies with the specified requirements.

In March 2018, the Judicial Council's Information Technology office conducted a survey of the 58 trial courts to determine compliance with AB 103, and during subsequent years courts were contacted to update the information. Current information was gathered from trial courts, as well as case management system vendors and electronic filing service providers. Based on the information received, 47 of the 58 trial courts provide electronic filing and electronic document service and 96 percent of those courts are compliant with AB 103.

The full report can be accessed at courts.ca.gov/news-reference/reports-publications/reports-legislature.

A printed copy of the report may be obtained by calling 415-865-4200.

August 2026



Report on State Trial Court Electronic Filing and Document Service Accessibility Compliance

Report to the Legislature



Judicial Council of California

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

Hon. Patricia Guerrero

*Chief Justice of California and
Chair of the Judicial Council*

Michelle Curran

*Administrative Director
Judicial Council*

Salena Chow

Chief Operating Officer

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

John Yee

Director

Angela Gulley

Principal Manager

Juan Ambriz

Supervisor

Report on State Trial Court Electronic Filing and Document Service Accessibility Compliance

Assembly Bill 103 (Stats. 2017, ch. 17) changed Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6(j). The law requires that any electronic filing and service system used by a trial court - including all related technology, websites, and applications - must meet both of these standards:

- A. The system must be accessible to people with disabilities (including parties and attorneys), following:
 - Section 508 of the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. Sec. 794d),
 - The federal regulations in Title 36 Code of Federal Regulations Part 1194 and Appendices A, C, and D,
 - The federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.).
- B. The system must meet Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.0, Level AA.

The law also requires the Judicial Council to submit four reports to the Legislature, between June 2018 and December 2023, about trial courts that have implemented electronic filing and service systems.

Each report must include:

- The name of each court using an electronic filing and service system,
- A description of the system,
- The name of the provider(s),
- A statement saying whether the system complies with section 1010.6(j). If it does not fully comply, the report must explain what has been done to achieve compliance.

The report deadlines were:

- June 30, 2018
- December 31, 2019
- December 31, 2021
- December 31, 2023

The report due in December 2023 was not submitted. The current report provides the information that should have been included in that report.

Report on Trial Court Electronic Filings and Service of Documents

In March 2018, the Judicial Council’s Information Technology office conducted a survey of the 58 trial courts seeking information on electronic filing and electronic service of documents. Based on survey results included in the first report dated June 30, 2018, 24 of the 58 trial courts provided electronic filing and electronic document service, either directly through vendor services or a combination of vendor and in-house services. Information gathered from the court survey included (1) the extent of deployment of electronic filing and document services, (2) whether the services were in-house or outsourced, and (3) the vendors providing those services. The second report, dated December 31, 2019, found that the number of trial courts providing electronic filing and electronic document service had increased to 29. The third report, dated December 31, 2021, found that the number of trial courts providing electronic filing and electronic document service had increased to 33.

The Information Technology office has continued to follow up with the 58 trial courts, and the vendors providing electronic filing and electronic document service, to monitor any changes in the information previously provided. Since the last report, 47 of the 58 trial courts now provide electronic filing and electronic document services.

Courts That Provide Electronic Filing, Electronic Service of Documents, or Both, and the Entities Providing the Service

Table 1 below provides a summary of the WCAG 2.0, Level AA and WCAG 2.1, Level AA data gathered from the trial courts, the case management system (CMS) vendors, and the electronic filing service providers (EFSPs) providing the electronic filing and electronic document service. 19 percent (11 trial courts) do not provide public electronic filing services.

- 81 percent (47 trial courts) do provide public electronic filing services. Of those courts:
 - 96 percent are compliant with WCAG 2.0, Level AA; and
 - 4 percent are not yet compliant with WCAG 2.0, Level AA.

The original compliance deadline for WCAG 2.1, Level AA was April 24, 2026. On April 20, 2026, the Department of Justice extended the deadline to April 26, 2027. Status updates regarding progress toward meeting this requirement are provided for informational purposes only.

Table 1. Summary Electronic Services Provided by each Court

Superior Court	Case Management System Provider	Service Description	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)	Data Source
Alameda	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	No	No	Court
Alameda	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	No	No	Court
Alpine	Tyler Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court Website
Amador	Journal Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court
Butte	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
Calaveras	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
Colusa	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Contra Costa	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
Del Norte	Journal Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court Website
El Dorado	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Vendor
Fresno	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
Glenn	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
Humboldt	Journal Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court
Imperial	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Vendor
Inyo	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court

Superior Court	Case Management System Provider	Service Description	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)	Data Source
Kern	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
Kings	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Vendor
Lake	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
Lassen	Justice Systems	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court
Los Angeles	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Los Angeles	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Vendor
Madera	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
Marin	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
Mariposa	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Mendocino	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
Merced	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
Modoc	Journal Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court Website
Mono	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
Monterey	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor

Superior Court	Case Management System Provider	Service Description	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)	Data Source
Napa	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Nevada	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
Orange	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	No	No	Vendor
Orange	Court Managed – Voyager (Civil)	Electronic filing and electronic service	No	No	Court
Orange	Court Managed – Vision (Criminal/Traffic)	Electronic filing and electronic service	No	Yes	Court
Placer	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Vendor
Plumas	Journal Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court Website
Riverside	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Vendor
Sacramento	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Vendor
San Benito	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
San Bernardino	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
San Diego	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
San Francisco	Court managed	3 EFSPs available for public use	Yes	Yes	Court
San Joaquin	Court managed	Court portal for electronic filing	Unknown	Unknown	Court

Superior Court	Case Management System Provider	Service Description	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)	Data Source
San Luis Obispo	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
San Mateo	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
Santa Barbara	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
Santa Clara	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Santa Cruz	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court
Shasta	Tyler Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court
Sierra	Journal Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court Website
Siskiyou	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Vendor
Solano	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
Sonoma	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Stanislaus	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Sutter	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Tehama	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Trinity	Journal Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court Website
Tulare	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Vendor

Superior Court	Case Management System Provider	Service Description	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)	Data Source
Tuolumne	Journal Tech.	No Electronic Filing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Court
Ventura	Journal Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	No	Court
Yolo	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Vendor
Yuba	Tyler Tech.	Electronic filing and electronic service	Yes	Yes	Court

The courts listed below are not yet operating compliant electronic services and are taking the following corrective actions:

- Alameda County Superior Court – the court’s web content management platform, Drupal, is not currently on a version that meets compliance requirements. Court staff are working with their case management system vendor to implement the necessary software upgrades.
- Orange County Superior Court – For vendor-managed electronic filing services, the court is working with their case management system vendor to update required system components.

For court-managed electronic filing, the court is in the process of procuring the appropriate software and tools needed to achieve compliance.

- San Joaquin County Superior Court– The court is replacing its existing case management system with a compliant solution.

Electronic Filing Service Provider Compliance with AB 103

Table 2 below provides a summary of EFSP compliance with AB 103. More than 100 EFSPs offer electronic filing services to California courts. While the list of EFSPs (Table 3) is lengthy -, most of the EFSPs utilize an electronic filing template powered by either LegalConnect or Green Filing. Only 18 are distinct electronic filing providers. Of these:

- 7 are not currently compliant; and
- 11 are currently compliant.

The table below shows the status of each EFSP that has created an electronic filing interface or portal for electronic filing.

Table 2. Compliance Summary of Distinct EFSPs

EFSP Vendor	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)
1 eFile	Yes	No
AMS Legal Support Services	No	No
DDS Legal	No	No
File & Serve California	No	No
FileTime	No	No
Green Filing	No	No
InfoTrack	No	No
Journal Technologies	Yes	No
Legal Atoms	Yes	Yes
Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legs Legal Support	Yes	Yes
MyFileRunner	No	No
Odyssey eFile CA	Yes	Yes
Odyssey Guide & File	Yes	Yes
One Legal	Yes	Yes
Rapid Legal	Yes	Yes
TurboCourt	Yes	Yes
US Legal Pro	Yes	No

The firms listed in table 3 are individual EFSPs that offer services to the public. The template used by each EFSP is listed as either Green Filing, Legal Connect, or other.

Table 3. Compliance Status of All EFSPs

EFSP Vendor	Template	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)
1 eFile	Other	Yes	No
123 E-Filing	Green Filing	No	No
2 Filing California	Green Filing	No	No
A Better Process	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
A&M Attorney Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
AAA E-Filing	Green Filing	No	No
Accurate Document Imaging	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Ace Attorney Service	Green Filing	No	No
Action Attorney Service	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Advanced Attorney Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Advanced Microsystems Group Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
All-N-One	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
ALL-N-ONE Legal Support, Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
ALSSI	Green Filing	No	No
AMS Legal Support Services	Other	No	No
AmStar Express	Green Filing	No	No
Anderson Legal Group, LLC, Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Angeles Legal Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Apex Legal	Green Filing	No	No
Are You Being Served?	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
ASAP LEGAL	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Attorney Related Services, Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Attorney Service of San Dimas eFile	Green Filing	No	No
Attorney's Certified Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Battle Attorney Service Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Bay Area File	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Benders Legal Service	Green Filing	No	No
Beyond e-Discovery	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
BFRM Legal Support Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Bosco Legal Services, Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
By the Book	Green Filing	No	No
Cal West Attorney Services Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
California Court Services, LLC	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Calwest Attorney Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes

EFSP Vendor	Template	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)
Case Anywhere	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Case Anywhere LLC	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
CI&S Investigation	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Class Action Research and Litigation Support Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
CM Couriers	Green Filing	No	No
Commercial Process Serving	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Cookies Court Support	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Countrywide Process, LLC	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
County Legal Service Inc.	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
County Process Service, Inc	Green Filing	No	No
Court Connection	Green Filing	No	No
Court Filing California	Green Filing	No	No
Court Link	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Creekside E-Filing	Green Filing	No	No
Cutting Edge Legal Services, LLC	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
D&T Legal Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
DDS Legal	Other	No	No
Direct Legal	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
East Bay Legal Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Eddings Attorney Services	Green Filing	No	No
eFile Online Legal Courier	Green Filing	No	No
e-Legal Services Inc.	Green Filing	No	No
Envoy Attorney Support	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Express Network	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
File & Serve California	Other	No	No
FileTime	Other	No	No
First Legal	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Flat Rate Process Service	Green Filing	No	No
Gemini Legal	Green Filing	No	No
Golden State Attorney Service	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Golden State Legal Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Green Filing	Green Filing	No	No
I Serve Legal Support LLC	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
InfoTrack	Other	No	No
Interceptor Legal Support Service, Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Janney & Janney	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes

EFSP Vendor	Template	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)
Journal Technologies	Other	Yes	No
L&L Legal Assistance	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legal Atoms	Other	Yes	Yes
Legal Connect	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legal Document Server INC.	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legal Document Specialist	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legal e-File	Green Filing	No	No
Legal Lawgic Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legal Photocopy Service	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legal Visit Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legal Zip	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
LegalConnect	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legend Legal Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Legs Legal Support	Other	Yes	Yes
LSS Legal Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
MyFileRunner	Other	No	No
Nationwide Legal	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Nationwide Legal Support Inc.	Green Filing	No	No
Notifyeye Legal	Green Filing	No	No
Odyssey eFile CA	Other	Yes	Yes
Odyssey Guide & File	Other	Yes	Yes
One Hour Delivery	Green Filing	No	No
One Legal	Other	Yes	Yes
Online Legal Courier	Green Filing	No	No
Pacific Coast Legal Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Peter With r.p.s. LLC	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Phoenix Coast Legal Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Process Server One	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
ProLegal	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
ProVest	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Rapid Legal	Other	Yes	Yes
Reliable Attorney Service	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Rezac Meyer Attorney Service	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
River City Process Service Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Run With It	Green Filing	No	No
Sables Servco Service of Process	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Saddleback Attorney Service	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes

EFSP Vendor	Template	WCAG 2.0, AA Compliance (As of 6/30/2026)	WCAG 2.1, AA Compliance (Deadline 4/26/2027)
Santoni Investigations/Backgrounds	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Servpro Legal	Green Filing	No	No
Signal Attorney Service	Green Filing	No	No
Sterling Madison Company	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Swift Attorney Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
T and G Services LLC	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Temecula Attorney Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
True Legal Support Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
TurboCourt	Other	Yes	Yes
Ulegal Attorney Services	Green Filing	No	No
United Legal Support	Green Filing	No	No
US Legal Pro	Other	Yes	No
USA Express	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
USA Legal Network, Inc	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Vanguard Legal Services	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
Wheels of Justice	Legal Connect	Yes	Yes
WIN-WIN ALSSI Inc	Green Filing	No	No
W-W-OneTouch Inc	Green Filing	No	No
Zachs Legal Services	Green Filing	No	No

Judicial Council Information Technology is coordinating with electronic filing service providers to confirm their plans for achieving WCAG 2.1 AA-compliant solutions.