



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

WORKLOAD ASSESSMENT  
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/waac.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/waac.htm)  
[waac@jud.ca.gov](mailto:waac@jud.ca.gov)

## WORKLOAD ASSESSMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

September 19, 2018

12:00 p.m.

Via Teleconference

---

**Advisory Body Members Present:** Hon. Lorna A. Alksne, Hon. Charles Brehmer, Hon. Kirk H. Nakamura, Hon. Lawrence Riff, Hon. Jennifer Rockwell, Hon. Garrett L. Wong, Ms. Sherri Carter, Ms. Stephanie Cameron, Ms. Arlene Junior, Mr. Michael Planet, Ms. Bonnie Sloan, Ms. Kim Turner

**Advisory Body Members Absent:** Mr. James Kim

**Others Present:** Ms. Leah Rose—Goodwin, Mr. Christopher Belloli, Ms. Savet Hong, Ms. Lucy Fogarty, Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic,

---

#### OPEN MEETING

---

##### **Call to Order and Roll Call**

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m., and took roll call.

##### **Approval of Minutes**

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the April 23, 2018, Workload Assessment Advisory Committee meeting.

The chair welcomed new members.

---

#### DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1–3)

---

##### **Item 3 (taken out of order)**

Jake Chatters discussed a proposal by the JBSIS Subcommittee of the Court Executive Advisory Committee in which the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee has been designated the committee to which any disputes concerning JBSIS definitions would be brought if agreement could not be reached between the court and the JBSIS Subcommittee of CEAC. Mr. Chatters explained that the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee had both the technical expertise as well as the appropriate committee makeup, being comprised of both judges and court executives.

**Action:**

The committee discussed the recommendation from CEAC and had no opposition to the referral. The item will be heard by the Judicial Council at its November meeting.

**Item 1: Update on Judicial Workload Study**

Ms. Hong gave an update on the current status of the judicial workload study, including participating courts, the pilot study conducted in two courts in the spring, the training sessions, and the data collection taking place in October/November. Ms. Hong mentioned that staff would be convening the committee in early 2019 to discuss preliminary findings.

**Action:** Informational only

**Item 2: Referral from the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee on Court Reporters in Family Law**

Ms. Rose-Goodwin discussed the new language in the 2018 Budget Act regarding provision of court reporters in Family Law and the Jameson v. Desta Supreme Court decision regarding provision of court reporters in civil to litigants eligible for fee waivers. The committee directed staff to return to the committee with a recommendation for how to include a calculation of the need for additional reporters based on these two issues.

**Action:**

No action taken at this meeting. Staff directed to return with a proposal at a subsequent meeting.

**Item 4: Update on AB 1058 Joint Subcommittee**

Judge Hinrichs gave an update on recent progress by the AB 1058 joint subcommittee. The joint subcommittee is proposing using a workload-based model based on JBSIS filings to establish a need calculation. The final report by the joint subcommittee will be sent to the Judicial Council in January 2019

---

**A D J O U R N M E N T**

---

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:40 p.m..

Approved by the advisory body on enter date October 22, 2018.