



Judicial Council of California
Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**MATERIALS FOR FEBRUARY 6, 2025
VIRTUAL MEETING**

Meeting Contents

Agenda	1
Minutes	
Draft Minutes from the January 22, 2025	3
Discussion and Possible Action Items (Item 1)	
Item 1 – 2024–25 Allocations for Dependency Counsel Collections Program and Expected Unspent Program Funding (Action Required)	5
Attachment A: Recommended Fiscal Year 2024–2025 Trial Court Allocations of Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program Funds	8
Attachment B: Recommended Fiscal Year 2024–2025 Trial Court Allocations of Court-Appointed Counsel Unspent Funding	9



Judicial Council of California
Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

Request for ADA accommodations should be made at least three business days before the meeting and directed to:
JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date: Thursday, February 6, 2025
Time: 12:00 p.m. – 12:30 p.m.
Public Video Livestream: <https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/4013>

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be emailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the January 22, 2025, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee meeting.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen-only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov. Only written comments received by 12:00 p.m. on February 5, 2025, will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1)

Item 1

2024–25 Allocations for Dependency Counsel Collections Program and Expected Unspent Program Funding (Action Required)

Consideration of 2024–25 allocations for Dependency Counsel Collections Program and expected unspent program funding.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Kelly Meehleib, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts
Ms. Penelope Davis, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts

IV. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn



Judicial Council of California
Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm

tcbac@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

January 22, 2025

12:00 p.m. – 1:30 p.m.

<https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/4012>

Advisory Body Members Present: Judges: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin (Chair), Hon. J. Eric Bradshaw, Hon. Judith C. Clark, Hon. Kimberly A. Gaab, Hon. Wendy G. Getty, Hon. Samantha P. Jessner, Hon. David C. Kalemkarian, Hon. Patricia L. Kelly, Hon. Michael J. Reinhart, Hon. Lisa M. Rogan, and Hon. Sonny S. Sandhu

Executive Officers: Ms. Rebecca Fleming (Vice Chair), Ms. Stephanie Cameron, Mr. Chad Finke, Mr. Kevin Harrigan, Mr. Shawn C. Landry, Mr. Darrel E. Parker, Mr. Brandon E. Riley, Mr. Michael M. Roddy, Mr. Chris Ruhl, Mr. David W. Slayton, Ms. Kim Turner, and Mr. David H. Yamasaki

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. Julie A. Emede

Others Present: Ms. Shelley Curran, Mr. Robert Oyung, Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Ms. Donna Newman, Ms. Angela Cowan, Ms. Thera Hearne, Ms. Oksana Tuk, and Ms. Rose Lane

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair welcomed the members, called the meeting to order at 12:00 p.m. and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The committee approved minutes from the January 9, 2025, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

Item 1 – Allocation for Partial Restoration of Trial Court Operations Funding for Fiscal Year 2024–25 (Action Required)

Consideration of an allocation for a partial restoration of the \$97 million reduction for trial court operations for fiscal year 2024–25.

Action: The TCBAC unanimously voted to approve the allocation of the \$42 million partial restoration of the initial \$97 million trial court operations reduction in FY 2024–25 using the same Judicial Council-approved methodology to allocate the revised \$55 million reduction as was applied for the \$97 million reduction, as displayed in Supplemental Attachment B of the additional materials. This recommendation will be considered by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and then the Judicial Council at its February 21, 2025, business meeting.

Item 2 – Trial Court Budget Change Proposals for Fiscal Year 2026–27 (Action Required)

Consider trial court funding priorities and budget change proposals for the fiscal year 2026–27 budget development process.

Action: The TCBAC unanimously voted to prioritize the top two 2026–27 budget change proposal concepts for the trial courts from funding priorities reported by the courts (1) Inflationary Adjustment (Consumer Price Index), and (2) Equity Funding for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee at its February 19, 2025, meeting. Additionally, on November 22, 2024, the TCBAC directed Judicial Council staff to work with the trial courts to develop a funding request for additional court interpreter resources for fiscal year 2026–27. Further, the TCBAC will support the following trial court funding priorities submitted through other committees:

- New and Deferred Facility Maintenance Funding (including facility modifications, upgrades, and deferred maintenance);
- Funding for Courthouse Construction (including courthouses not managed by the Judicial Council and associated security measures);
- Funding for Unfunded Mandates (including AB 1058, California Family Code 3150 counsel for minors, mental health diversion, conservatorship changes, and post-conviction work);
- Increased and Ongoing Technology Funding (including information technology modernization, equipment purchases, staff, support, licensing, and infrastructure); and
- Self-Help Funding.

INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

Info 1 – Governor’s Budget Proposal for Fiscal Year 2025–26

Update on the Governor’s Budget proposal for fiscal year 2025–26.

Action: No action taken.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:19 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
(Action Item)

Title: 2024–25 Allocations for Dependency Counsel Collections Program and Expected Unspent Program Funding

Date: 2/6/2025

Contact: Kelly Meehleib, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts | kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov | 916-263-1693

Penelope Davis, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts | penny.davis@jud.ca.gov | 415-865-7421

Issue

Consider the following two recommendations for the redistribution of funding for court-appointed juvenile dependency counsel for fiscal year (FY) 2024–25, for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and then the Judicial Council at its April 25, 2025, business meeting:

- (1) Under the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program (JDCCP), and as authorized in Welfare and Institutions Code section 903.1, courts collect reimbursements from parents and other responsible persons liable for the cost of dependency-related legal services to the extent that those persons are able to pay. Allocate \$363,458, which is the FY 2023–24 statutorily restricted JDCCP funds remitted in excess of dependency counsel program administrative costs to trial courts according to the methodology adopted by the Judicial Council at its August 23, 2013, business meeting.
- (2) Reallocate \$843,000 in unspent dependency counsel funding from courts that have identified funds they do not intend to spend to courts funded at below the average statewide funding level pursuant to Judicial Council action in April 2015.

Background

Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program

At its meeting on October 26, 2012, the Judicial Council adopted the JDCCP guidelines,¹ which fulfilled the council’s legislative mandate to establish a program to collect reimbursement from parents or minors demonstrating an ability to pay.² Additional amendments were adopted by the council at its meeting on August 23, 2013, regarding the issue

¹ The guidelines took effect January 1, 2013, and are published as Appendix F of the California Rules of Court. See www.courts.ca.gov/documents/appendix_f.pdf.

² Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Dependency: Counsel Collections Program* (Sept. 14, 2012), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20121026-itemA20.pdf.

of equitable allocation of funds remitted through the JDCCP.³ The council then allocated funds remitted through the JDCCP for the first time at its meeting on February 20, 2014.⁴ Since then, the council has allocated available funds to eligible trial courts annually.

In FY 2023–24, the trial courts remitted a total of \$622,982. The statute requires the council to allocate the remitted monies in excess of dependency counsel program administrative costs to the trial courts for the purpose of reducing court-appointed attorney caseloads to the council’s approved standard.

For a court to be eligible to receive an allocation of these funds, it must meet the participation and funding need requirements described in section 14 of the JDCCP guidelines.⁵ Every court that satisfies those requirements receives an allocation. The amount of JDCCP funds each eligible court receives is determined by the court’s share of the aggregate funding need of all eligible courts. Estimates of courts’ funding needs are computed using the dependency workload model approved by the council in April 2016⁶, and then updated in July 2016⁷ and July 2022.⁸

Attachment A displays the recommended allocation amount for each court.

Court-Appointed Counsel Funding Reallocation

At its business meeting on April 17, 2015, the council approved a methodology for reallocating funds unspent by courts for court-appointed counsel in dependency cases.⁹

Program staff contacted trial courts¹⁰ to inquire whether the court anticipated any unspent court-appointed counsel funding for FY 2024–25. Of those courts, five confirmed that they would not spend the full allocation and provided an estimate of unspent funding. Attachment B shows the total \$843,000 estimate and proposed reallocation. Under the reallocation methodology adopted at the Judicial Council business meeting on April 17, 2015, funds are

³ Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Dependency: Counsel Collections Program Guidelines* (Aug. 15, 2013), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20130823-itemF.pdf.

⁴ Judicial Council of Cal., *Trial Court Allocations: Criminal Justice Realignment, Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel, and Workers’ Compensation Liabilities* (Feb. 10, 2014), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20140220-itemJ.pdf.

⁵ As described in section 14 of the JDCCP guidelines, a court can demonstrate its participation in the program by submitting annual reports as required by section 13 and adopting a local rule or policy to inquire regarding a responsible person’s ability to reimburse the cost of appointed counsel at each dispositional hearing.

⁶ Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Workload and Funding Methodology* (Apr. 1, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4382676&GUID=E8BCCA8A-5DED-48C3-B946-6E21EBB0BEAF>.

⁷ Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Workload and Funding Methodology Options* (Jul. 18, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4572873&GUID=C33C7410-DDA2-451A-9004-024D84910504>.

⁸ Judicial Council of Cal., *Trial Court Budget: Fiscal Year 2022–23 Allocation of Court-Appointed Juvenile Dependency Counsel Funding* (Jun. 24, 2022),

<https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11019079&GUID=CB0A2EE1-B3CF-43AC-B92B-F4724B5D209C>.

⁹ Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed-Counsel Funding Reallocation* (Apr 8, 2015), <http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20150417-itemI.pdf>

¹⁰ Program staff contacted 38 of the 58 trial courts as the Judicial Council administers the court-appointed dependency counsel budget for the remaining 20 courts through the Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding, and Training program.

reallocated proportionally by workload to courts that (1) did not remit unspent funds, and (2) are not fully funded to their need.

To ensure use of the reallocation funds, additional outreach to eligible trial courts was conducted to confirm the court's ability to completely expend funds during the fiscal year. Declined funds were placed back in the pool and reallocated to those courts eligible for and accepting additional funds.¹¹

Recommendation

Approve the following FY 2024–25 allocations for court-appointed counsel funding for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and then the Judicial Council at its April 25, 2025, business meeting:

1. Allocate Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program funds of \$363,458 remitted in FY 2023–24 (Attachment A); and
2. Allocate FY 2024–25 estimated unspent dependency counsel funding of \$843,000 from courts that have identified funds they do not intend to spend to courts that are not fully funded to their need (Attachment B).

Attachments

1. **Attachment A:** Recommended Fiscal Year 2024–2025 Trial Court Allocations of Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program Funds
2. **Attachment B:** Recommended Fiscal Year 2024–2025 Trial Court Allocations of Court-Appointed Counsel Unspent Funding

¹¹ On an annual basis, a small amount of court-appointed counsel funds remain unspent at the end of the fiscal year.

	Estimated Funding Need (JC Report - July 2024)	Estimated Funding Need as Percentage of Statewide Need	Allocation of Court Appointed Counsel (CAC) Base Funding in 2024-25	Allocation as a Percentage of Total CAC Base Funding in 2024-25	Eligible for JDCCP Funding ¹	Funding Need of Eligible Courts	Need as a % of Total Need of Eligible Courts	Recommended Allocation of 2023-24 JDCCP Collections
		(Col. A Total)		(Col. C Total)		(Col. A when Col. E equals "Y")	(Col. F Total)	(Col. G x \$363,458)
Court	Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H
Alameda	\$5,507,175	2.24%	\$4,150,739	2.22%	N	-	0.00%	-
Alpine	\$19,301	0.01%	\$18,999	0.01%	N	-	0.00%	-
Amador	\$200,569	0.08%	\$155,513	0.08%	N	-	0.00%	-
Butte	\$1,276,798	0.52%	\$962,319	0.52%	N	-	0.00%	-
Calaveras	\$258,697	0.11%	\$231,546	0.12%	N	-	0.00%	-
Colusa†	\$99,107	0.04%	\$101,811	0.05%	N	-	0.00%	-
Contra Costa	\$3,343,233	1.36%	\$2,519,783	1.35%	N	-	0.00%	-
Del Norte	\$269,344	0.11%	\$269,768	0.14%	N	-	0.00%	-
El Dorado	\$601,436	0.25%	\$601,356	0.32%	N	-	0.00%	-
Fresno	\$6,778,404	2.76%	\$5,108,860	2.74%	N	-	0.00%	-
Glenn	\$142,637	0.06%	\$141,039	0.08%	N	-	0.00%	-
Humboldt	\$988,193	0.40%	\$744,798	0.40%	N	-	0.00%	-
Imperial	\$747,666	0.30%	\$809,029	0.43%	N	-	0.00%	-
Inyo	\$88,156	0.04%	\$85,907	0.05%	N	-	0.00%	-
Kern	\$5,481,045	2.23%	\$4,131,045	2.21%	Y	\$5,481,044.90	3.58%	\$13,008.49
Kings	\$1,093,705	0.45%	\$824,322	0.44%	Y	\$1,093,705.15	0.71%	\$2,595.76
Lake	\$184,195	0.08%	\$247,103	0.13%	N	-	0.00%	-
Lassen	\$184,025	0.08%	\$173,075	0.09%	N	-	0.00%	-
Los Angeles	\$115,214,556	46.96%	\$86,836,815	46.51%	Y	\$115,214,555.92	75.23%	\$273,445.51
Madera	\$998,990	0.41%	\$824,032	0.44%	N	-	0.00%	-
Marin	\$385,919	0.16%	\$386,687	0.21%	N	-	0.00%	-
Mariposa	\$86,998	0.04%	\$75,764	0.04%	N	-	0.00%	-
Mendocino	\$704,430	0.29%	\$662,845	0.36%	N	-	0.00%	-
Merced	\$1,548,128	0.63%	\$1,166,819	0.62%	N	-	0.00%	-
Modoc	\$48,248	0.02%	\$65,582	0.04%	N	-	0.00%	-
Mono	\$32,047	0.01%	\$26,958	0.01%	N	-	0.00%	-
Monterey	\$694,915	0.28%	\$528,532	0.28%	N	-	0.00%	-
Napa	\$469,074	0.19%	\$356,764	0.19%	N	-	0.00%	-
Nevada	\$193,343	0.08%	\$193,301	0.10%	N	-	0.00%	-
Orange	\$12,943,647	5.28%	\$9,755,582	5.23%	N	-	0.00%	-
Placer	\$849,058	0.35%	\$645,769	0.35%	N	-	0.00%	-
Plumas	\$91,447	0.04%	\$128,921	0.07%	N	-	0.00%	-
Riverside	\$15,792,508	6.44%	\$11,902,759	6.38%	Y	\$15,792,508.06	10.31%	\$37,481.29
Sacramento	\$6,269,231	2.56%	\$4,725,098	2.53%	N	-	0.00%	-
San Benito	\$124,742	0.05%	\$94,875	0.05%	N	-	0.00%	-
San Bernardino	\$21,326,805	8.69%	\$16,073,940	8.61%	N	-	0.00%	-
San Diego	\$8,073,185	3.29%	\$6,084,732	3.26%	Y	\$8,073,185.00	5.27%	\$19,160.57
San Francisco	\$4,131,224	1.68%	\$3,113,689	1.67%	N	-	0.00%	-
San Joaquin	\$4,223,902	1.72%	\$3,183,540	1.71%	N	-	0.00%	-
San Luis Obispo	\$940,973	0.38%	\$732,191	0.39%	N	-	0.00%	-
San Mateo	\$952,983	0.39%	\$724,811	0.39%	N	-	0.00%	-
Santa Barbara	\$1,911,090	0.78%	\$1,440,382	0.77%	N	-	0.00%	-
Santa Clara	\$3,270,112	1.33%	\$2,464,672	1.32%	Y	\$3,270,112.09	2.14%	\$7,761.15
Santa Cruz	\$586,717	0.24%	\$584,471	0.31%	N	-	0.00%	-
Shasta	\$1,236,665	0.50%	\$932,070	0.50%	N	-	0.00%	-
Sierra	\$34,732	0.01%	\$36,894	0.02%	N	-	0.00%	-
Siskiyou	\$175,297	0.07%	\$255,222	0.14%	N	-	0.00%	-
Solano	\$1,520,292	0.62%	\$1,145,839	0.61%	N	-	0.00%	-
Sonoma	\$2,170,223	0.88%	\$1,635,689	0.88%	N	-	0.00%	-
Stanislaus	\$1,800,657	0.73%	\$1,357,149	0.73%	N	-	0.00%	-
Sutter	\$418,535	0.17%	\$337,171	0.18%	N	-	0.00%	-
Tehama	\$308,871	0.13%	\$313,954	0.17%	N	-	0.00%	-
Trinity	\$75,925	0.03%	\$83,204	0.04%	N	-	0.00%	-
Tulare	\$3,474,774	1.42%	\$2,618,925	1.40%	Y	\$3,474,773.59	2.27%	\$8,246.89
Tuolumne	\$325,449	0.13%	\$300,491	0.16%	N	-	0.00%	-
Ventura	\$2,249,805	0.92%	\$1,695,670	0.91%	N	-	0.00%	-
Yolo	\$1,681,966	0.69%	\$1,267,692	0.68%	N	-	0.00%	-
Yuba	\$740,872	0.30%	\$563,486	0.30%	Y	\$740,871.98	0.48%	\$1,758.36
Unallocated	\$0		\$100,000					
Total	\$245,342,019		\$186,700,000			\$153,140,756.69	100.00%	\$363,458.00
Reserved for admin.								\$259,524.00
Distribution amount available to courts								\$363,458.00
Total collected								\$622,982.00

1. A court is eligible for an allocation if the court has met both the Funding Need and Participation requirements described in section 14 of the JDCCP Guidelines. This table indicates a court's eligibility to receive an allocation based on the Funding Need criteria. Courts that meet the Funding Need criteria must also meet the Participation requirements in order to receive an allocation.

	Estimated Funding Need (JC Report - July 2024)	Estimated Funding Need as Percentage of Statewide Need (Col. A Total)	Allocation of Court Appointed Counsel (CAC) Base Funding in 2024-25 (Col. C Total)	Allocation as a Percentage of Total CAC Base Funding in 2024-25 (Col. D Total)	Est. Unspent CAC Funding 2024-25 (Col. E)	Eligible for and Accepted Reallocated Funding (Col. F)	Funding Need of Eligible Courts (Col. A when Col. F equals "Y") (Col. G Total)	Need as a % of Total Need of Eligible Courts (Col. H Total)	Recommended 2024-25 CAC Reallocation (Col. I)
Court	Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I
Alameda	\$5,507,175	2.24%	\$4,150,739	2.22%	-	Y	\$5,507,174.93	2.60%	\$22,147.86
Alpine	\$19,301	0.01%	\$18,999	0.01%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Amador	\$200,569	0.08%	\$155,513	0.08%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Butte	\$1,276,798	0.52%	\$962,319	0.52%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Calaveras	\$258,697	0.11%	\$231,546	0.12%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Colusa	\$99,107	0.04%	\$101,811	0.05%	\$20,000	N	-	0.00%	-
Contra Costa	\$3,343,233	1.36%	\$2,519,783	1.35%	-	Y	\$3,343,233.03	1.58%	\$13,445.27
Del Norte	\$269,344	0.11%	\$269,768	0.14%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
El Dorado	\$601,436	0.25%	\$601,356	0.32%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Fresno	\$6,778,404	2.76%	\$5,108,860	2.74%	-	Y	\$6,778,404.14	3.20%	\$24,577.16
Glenn	\$142,637	0.06%	\$141,039	0.08%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Humboldt	\$988,193	0.40%	\$744,798	0.40%	-	Y	\$988,193.36	0.47%	\$3,582.99
Imperial	\$747,666	0.30%	\$809,029	0.43%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Inyo	\$88,156	0.04%	\$85,907	0.05%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Kern	\$5,481,045	2.23%	\$4,131,045	2.21%	-	Y	\$5,481,044.90	2.59%	\$22,042.78
Kings	\$1,093,705	0.45%	\$824,322	0.44%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Lake	\$184,195	0.08%	\$247,103	0.13%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Lassen	\$184,025	0.08%	\$173,075	0.09%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Los Angeles	\$115,214,556	46.96%	\$86,836,815	46.51%	-	Y	\$115,214,555.92	54.40%	\$463,351.22
Madera	\$998,990	0.41%	\$824,032	0.44%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Marin	\$385,919	0.16%	\$386,687	0.21%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Mariposa	\$86,998	0.04%	\$75,764	0.04%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Mendocino	\$704,430	0.29%	\$662,845	0.36%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Merced	\$1,548,128	0.63%	\$1,166,819	0.62%	-	Y	\$1,548,128.19	0.73%	\$5,613.21
Modoc	\$48,248	0.02%	\$65,582	0.04%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Mono	\$32,047	0.01%	\$26,958	0.01%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Monterey	\$694,915	0.28%	\$528,532	0.28%	\$100,000	N	-	0.00%	-
Napa	\$469,074	0.19%	\$356,764	0.19%	\$5,000	N	-	0.00%	-
Nevada	\$193,343	0.08%	\$193,301	0.10%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Orange	\$12,943,647	5.28%	\$9,755,582	5.23%	-	Y	\$12,943,647.11	6.11%	\$46,931.12
Placer	\$849,058	0.35%	\$645,769	0.35%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Plumas	\$91,447	0.04%	\$128,921	0.07%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Riverside	\$15,792,508	6.44%	\$11,902,759	6.38%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Sacramento	\$6,269,231	2.56%	\$4,725,098	2.53%	-	Y	\$6,269,231.30	2.96%	\$25,212.58
San Benito	\$124,742	0.05%	\$94,875	0.05%	-	Y	\$124,742.14	0.06%	\$501.67
San Bernardino	\$21,326,805	8.69%	\$16,073,940	8.61%	-	Y	\$21,326,805.32	10.07%	\$85,768.69
San Diego	\$8,073,185	3.29%	\$6,084,732	3.26%	-	Y	\$8,073,185.00	3.81%	\$32,467.43
San Francisco	\$4,131,224	1.68%	\$3,113,689	1.67%	-	Y	\$4,131,223.57	1.95%	\$16,614.29
San Joaquin	\$4,223,902	1.72%	\$3,183,540	1.71%	-	Y	\$4,223,901.99	1.99%	\$16,987.00
San Luis Obispo	\$940,973	0.38%	\$732,191	0.39%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
San Mateo	\$952,983	0.39%	\$724,811	0.39%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Santa Barbara	\$1,911,090	0.78%	\$1,440,382	0.77%	-	Y	\$1,911,090.23	0.90%	\$7,685.71
Santa Clara	\$3,270,112	1.33%	\$2,464,672	1.32%	-	Y	\$3,270,112.09	1.54%	\$13,151.21
Santa Cruz	\$586,717	0.24%	\$584,471	0.31%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Shasta	\$1,236,665	0.50%	\$932,070	0.50%	-	Y	\$1,236,664.83	0.58%	\$4,973.42
Sierra	\$34,732	0.01%	\$36,894	0.02%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Siskiyou	\$175,297	0.07%	\$255,222	0.14%	\$10,000	N	-	0.00%	-
Solano	\$1,520,292	0.62%	\$1,145,839	0.61%	-	Y	\$1,520,292.07	0.72%	\$6,114.06
Sonoma	\$2,170,223	0.88%	\$1,635,689	0.88%	-	Y	\$2,170,222.67	1.02%	\$8,727.85
Stanislaus	\$1,800,657	0.73%	\$1,357,149	0.73%	-	Y	\$1,800,656.70	0.85%	\$7,241.59
Sutter	\$418,535	0.17%	\$337,171	0.18%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Tehama	\$308,871	0.13%	\$313,954	0.17%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Trinity	\$75,925	0.03%	\$83,204	0.04%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Tulare	\$3,474,774	1.42%	\$2,618,925	1.40%	\$708,000	N	-	0.00%	-
Tuolumne	\$325,449	0.13%	\$300,491	0.16%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Ventura	\$2,249,805	0.92%	\$1,695,670	0.91%	-	Y	\$2,249,804.99	1.06%	\$9,047.90
Yolo	\$1,681,966	0.69%	\$1,267,692	0.68%	-	Y	\$1,681,965.51	0.79%	\$6,764.26
Yuba	\$740,872	0.30%	\$563,486	0.30%	-	N	-	0.00%	-
Unallocated	\$0		\$100,000						
Total	\$253,429,531		\$186,700,000		\$843,000		\$211,794,280	100.00%	\$842,949.26
Total Returned									\$843,000.00