



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE SUBCOMMITTEE

MATERIALS FOR NOVEMBER 12, 2021
VIRTUAL MEETING

Meeting Contents

Agenda	1
Minutes	
Draft minutes from the April 9, 2021 Meeting	3
Discussion and Possible Action Items	
Item 1 – Delegation of Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) Authority (Action Required).....	5
Item 2 – 2021-22 State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) Allocation Adjustment for the Judicial Council Information Technology Office (Action Required)	7
Attachment A – 2021-22 Approved Allocations.....	12
Attachment B – IMF Fund Condition Statement.....	13



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

Request for ADA accommodations
should be made at least three business
days before the meeting and directed to:
JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE SUBCOMMITTEE

NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date: November 12, 2021
Time: 8:30 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.
Public Call-in Number: <https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/1469>

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the April 9, 2021 Revenue and Expenditure (R&E) Subcommittee meeting.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov. Only written comments received by 8:30 a.m. on November 10, 2021 will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

Item 1

Delegation of Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) Authority (Action Required)

Consideration of a recommendation to delegate TCTF authority to the Judicial Council Administrative Director to move council-approved funding between programs.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

Item 2

2021-22 State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) Allocation Adjustment for the Judicial Council Information Technology Office (Action Required)

Consideration of a recommendation to adjust the 2021-22 IMF allocation for Information Technology telecommunications, statewide planning, and development support programs.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Heather Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director, Information Technology;
Mr. Jason Haas, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

IV. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

None

V. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE SUBCOMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

April 9, 2021

10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

jcc.granicus.com/player/event/1237

Advisory Body Members Present: Judges: Hon. Patricia L. Kelly (Cochair), Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Hon. Jill C. Fannin, Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs, and Hon. Deborah A. Ryan.

Executive Officers: Ms. Sherri R. Carter (Cochair), Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Mr. Brian Taylor, Ms. Kim Turner, and Mr. David H. Yamasaki.

Advisory Body Members Absent: Mr. Michael D. Planet

Others Present: Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Ms. Fran Mueller, Ms. Brandy Olivera, Ms. Michele Allan, Ms. Donna Newman, and Ms. Oksana Tuk.

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m. and roll was called.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the April 9, 2020 Revenue and Expenditure (R&E) Subcommittee meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1-2)

Item 1 - Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for 2021-22 (Action Required)

Deliberation regarding allocations from the IMF for 2021-22.

Presenter: Ms. Donna Newman, Supervisor, Judicial Council Budget Services

Action: The R&E Subcommittee voted unanimously to approve a recommendation to allocate \$54,223,000 in 2021-22 from the IMF.

Item 2 - Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) for 2021-22 (Action Required)

Deliberation regarding allocations from the TCTF for 2021-22.

Presenter: Ms. Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

Action: The R&E Subcommittee voted unanimously to approve a recommendation to allocate \$225,073,000 in preliminary allocations for 2021-22 from the TCTF.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:20 a.m.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee

(Action Item)

Title: Delegation of Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) Authority
Date: 11/12/2021
Contact: Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services
916-643-8027 | Oksana.Tuk@jud.ca.gov

Issue

Consider delegating TCTF authority to the Judicial Council Administrative Director to transfer funding allocations approved by the council from one program or project to another, subject to any restrictions or conditions provided by the Judicial Council.

Background

The Judicial Council has the authority to delegate functions to the Administrative Director, and the recommendations in this report are consistent with the council's past practice under this authority:

California Constitution, Article VI Judicial

Section 6(c) – The council may appoint an Administrative Director of the Courts, who serves at its pleasure and performs functions delegated by the council or the Chief Justice, other than adopting rules of court administration, practice and procedure.

California Rules of Court, Rule 10

(a) Functions – The Administrative Director, appointed by the Judicial Council under article VI, section 6 of the Constitution, performs those functions prescribed by the Constitution and laws of the state, or delegated to the director by the Judicial Council or the Chief Justice.

At its business meeting on August 23, 2013, the council delegated limited authority to the Administrative Director to transfer allocations between projects and programs funded from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF)¹.

¹ Judicial Council meeting report (August 23, 2013), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20130823-itemG.pdf>; Judicial Council meeting minutes (August 23, 2013), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20130823-minutes.pdf>

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee

Trial Court Trust Fund

For some programs and projects, annual allocations from the TCTF approved by the council are estimated based on available information at the time allocations are developed. Costs of projects or programs may change due to varying demand levels, services/products availability, personal services costs, and fluctuations in contract terms. Allowing the transfer of allocations between program budgets when unforeseen needs arise (e.g., general salary increases, retirements, etc.) would utilize approved funds in a responsive manner to often time-sensitive needs.

Limited authority delegation would be defined as adjustments that are no more than 20 percent higher than the amount allocated, consistent with the limits imposed by the council in its internal guidelines for the similar types of circumstances with the IMF. Cost increases greater than 20 percent, whether funded from savings or augmentations, new allocations, or an increase to the total level of allocations would require council approval.

Any adjustments made under delegated authority would be reported back to the council after the end of the fiscal year in which they occur, including the dollar amount of each allocation adjustment made between programs or projects and the rationale for such transfer. This is a standard condition to the delegation of authority that the council has included in the past with similar instances of granting such authority, which provides accountability and promotes transparency of a Judicial Council delegate's actions.

This delegation of authority would result in no additional costs or extensive implementation requirements, can start immediately upon council approval, and would provide efficiencies to operational impacts as it would allow for immediate implementation to meet the critical needs of the trial courts and enable council staff to commit funding on a timely basis.

The delegation of authority to the Administrative Director would remain in effect unless revoked.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee approve delegated authority to the Judicial Council Administrative Director to transfer TCTF funding allocations approved by the Judicial Council from one program or project to another, subject to any restrictions or conditions provided by the council, as necessary, to address unanticipated needs and contingencies, for consideration by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee at its November 30, 2021 meeting.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee

(Action Item)

Title: 2021-22 State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF)
Allocation Adjustment for the Judicial Council Information Technology
Office

Date: 11/12/2021

Contact: Jason Haas, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services
916-643-7061 | Jason.Haas@jud.ca.gov
Heather Pettit, Chief Information Officer/Director, Judicial Council Information
Technology
916-263-2708 | Heather.Pettit@jud.ca.gov

Issue

Consider a recommendation of a net zero adjustment to the Information Technology (IT) 2021-22 Judicial Council-approved allocation from the IMF. This adjustment consists of an increase of \$168,667 to the Statewide Planning and Development Support (SPDS) program and an increase of \$195,750 to the Telecommunications Support program State Operations (SO) allocations, which will be offset by reductions in a like amount from the Local Assistance (LA) budget for each program.

Background

Statewide Planning and Development Support Program - The Judicial Council's IT SPDS program began in 2010-11 and supports the trial courts by providing software licensing and services including database solutions, voice to text translation solutions, on-line chat software, data analytics software, text and email messaging solutions, and reporting solutions. Software licenses and use are provided to courts without any direct court costs. In addition, the program supports branch-wide enterprise architecture, including networking, cloud services, and software design, to facilitate business and technology alignment through the branch.

From 2010 through the present, the SPDS program has almost exclusively been supported by consultant resources. In 2020, a 0.5 full-time equivalent (FTE) IT Enterprise Architect was added to the program. However, the council continues to rely on consultant resources to provide consulting and technological expertise, standard infrastructure, application development, and cloud integration architecture support as well as to provide business analysis and requirements gathering, program fiscal analysis and administration, and contractual support for the program.

The IT SPDS program is requesting the permanent conversion of two Enterprise Architects, one Senior Technical Analyst, and one Senior Business Analyst from consultants to 4.0 FTEs. The

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee

cost associated with this request is a net zero (see Table 1). The start date of these four positions is estimated to be phased in starting April 1, 2022 through June 1, 2022.

Table 1 – Proposed 2021-22 SPDS Funding

SPDS Staff	Start Date	Salary	Benefits	Total	OE&E ¹ (Std. Comp.)	Total 2021-22
(new) IT Architect	4/1/22	\$33,250	\$23,500	\$56,750	\$9,250	\$66,000
(new) Sr. Tech Analyst	5/1/22	17,667	12,500	30,167	8,167	38,333
(new) Sr. BSA	5/1/22	17,667	12,500	30,167	8,167	38,333
(new) IT Architect	6/1/22	11,083	7,833	18,917	7,083	26,000
Total		\$79,667	\$56,333	\$136,000	\$32,667	\$168,667

Permanent positions for this program are needed to provide a foundational level of support and ensure that institutional knowledge is maintained with full-time resources rather than consultants that may leave the projects at any time. In addition, technical standards and policies for the SPDS program are complex and need to be updated frequently to match industry best practices and changing technologies. It is in the best interest of the council to have this institutional knowledge reside with FTEs rather than consultants. The program will continue to use consultants for project specific and short-term needs.

Telecommunications Support Program - The council’s IT Telecommunications Support program began in 2000-01. In 2015-16, additional baseline funding was received from a budget change proposal (BCP) to ensure the program was able to support all 58 courts. The program supports the trial courts by providing refresh and maintenance of network technology, network security services, local court IT staff training, and funding for consultant resources required for project management, implementation, and coordination with courts and vendors. Annually, court equipment that is replaced include routers, switches, wireless local area network controllers, and Wi-Fi access points. Thousands of pieces of court equipment are replaced each year and are tracked to ensure that support warranties on devices do not lapse. Timely replacement of network infrastructure devices is extremely important to ensure that courts have equipment with current warranties and immediate vendor support if any device fails. Having devices that are under current support warranties, including patching, which is essential to branch information security, ensures business continuity and maximum up-time for critical trial court applications like case management systems, Phoenix financial and Human Resources system, intranet, Wi-Fi, and email systems.

¹ OE&E: Operating expenditures and equipment.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee

From 2001 through the present, the Telecommunications Support program has exclusively been supported by consultant resources. The 2015-16 BCP for the Telecommunications program (BCP 0250-008-BCP-BP-2015-A1) allocates \$1,125,000 in annual funding for five contractor resources to provide consulting and technological expertise, project management, and program management. The Telecommunications Support program is requesting the permanent conversion of consultants to FTEs needed to support the program. The positions requested include one Manager, two Senior Technical Analysts, one Senior Business Systems Analyst, 0.5 IT Architect, and one Fiscal Services Coordinator, totaling of 5.5 FTEs. The costs associated with this request is a net zero (see Table 2). The start date of the positions is estimated to be phased in starting April 1, 2022 through June 1, 2022.

Table 2 – Proposed 2021-22 Telecommunications Support Funding

Telecommunications Support Staff	Start Date	Salary	Benefits	Total	OE&E (Std. Comp.)	Total 2021-22
(new) Manager	4/1/22	\$33,250	\$23,500	\$56,750	\$9,250	\$66,000
(new) Sr. Tech Analyst	5/1/22	17,667	12,500	30,167	8,167	38,333
(new) Sr. Tech Analyst	5/1/22	17,667	12,500	30,1667	8,167	38,333
(new) Fiscal Svc. Coord.	6/1/22	6,333	4,500	10,833	7,083	17,917
(new) Sr. BSA	6/1/22	8,833	6,250	15,083	7,083	22,167
(new) IT Architect (0.5)	6/1/22	5,542	3,917	9,458	3,542	13,000
Total		\$89,292	\$63,167	\$152,458	\$43,2912	\$195,750

Permanent positions for this program are needed to provide a foundational level of support and ensure that institutional knowledge is maintained with full-time resources rather than consultants that may leave the projects at any time. In addition, the projects the Telecommunications Support program undertakes are extremely large and complex. Each one includes 35-50 courts, replaces hundreds to thousands of devices, and typically have an 18-month duration from start to finish. These projects are planned on a rolling five-year basis, and have overlapping schedules and resource requirements for design, procurement, and implementation. The loss of one resource could impact as many as three project cycles while that position is being filled and the new resources comes up to speed on the projects. It is in the best interest of the council to have this institutional knowledge reside with FTEs rather than consultants. The program will continue to use consultants for project specific and short-term needs.

In 2020-21, permanent savings of approximately \$577,000 per year was achieved in the SPDS program by permanently reducing two consultants. The Telecommunications Support program

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee

will be able to reduce overall consulting expenditures to cover the cost of transition to 5.5 FTEs. The estimated costs for this request for the remainder of 2021-22 and 2022-23 are in Table 3 below. It is important to note that the request for 2021-22 is for a shift in already approved allocation authority from LA to SO (Table 4) and does not increase the overall approved program allocation amounts. The estimated costs for 2022-23 will also result in a net zero change from previously estimated program expenditures. There is sufficient excess SO authority to approve this request in 2021-22 as the difference between the 2021 Budget Act authority and Judicial Council approved allocation is more than the amount being requested (see Table 5).

Table 3 – Estimated 2022-23 SPDS and Telecommunications Support Funding

SPDS Consultants	Annual Consulting Cost	New FTE Position	Annual FTE Cost
Enterprise Architect 1	\$256,880	IT Architect	\$251,000
Enterprise Architect 2	256,680	IT Architect	251,000
IT Tech. Proj. Manager	219,880	Sr. Tech Analyst	205,000
Sr. Bus. Systems Analyst	178,560	Sr. BSA	205,000
Total	\$912,000		\$912,000

\$0 overall cost to convert 4 consultants from LA to 4.0 FTEs and SO.

Telecom Support Consultants (from BCP)	Annual Consulting Cost	New FTE Position	Annual FTE Cost
IT Consultant 1	\$225,000	Manager	\$247,000
IT Consultant 2	225,000	Sr. Tech Analyst	201,000
IT Consultant 3	225,000	Sr. Tech Analyst	201,000
IT Consultant 4	225,000	0.5 IT Architect	125,000
IT Consultant 5	225,000	Sr. BSA	201,000
		Fisc. Svcs. Coord.	150,000
Total	\$1,125,000		\$1,125,000

\$0 overall cost to convert 5 consultants from LA to 5.5 FTEs and SO.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee

Table 4 – Local Assistance and State Operations IMF Impact

2021-22 SPDS/Telecom	Local Assistance	State Ops	Total
Approved Allocation	\$9,273,000	\$123,000	\$9,396,000
Requested Change	-364,417	364,417	-
Total	\$8,908,583	\$487,417	\$9,396,000

Table 5 – Expenditure Authority

Description	2021-22
Budget Act – State Operations Authority	\$6,078,000
Less State Operations Approved Allocation	-5,532,000
Excess Authority Before Request	\$546,000
Less Requested Authority Increase (Rounded)	-365,000
Excess Authority if Request is Approved	\$181,000

Recommendation

The following recommendation is presented to the Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee for approval to be considered by TCBAC at its November 30, 2021 meeting, the Judicial Branch Budget Committee at its December 7, 2021 meeting, and the Judicial Council at its March 12, 2022 business meeting:

Approve a change to the 2021-22 approved LA allocation from the IT SPDS program (\$168,667) and the IT Telecommunications Support program (\$195,750), totaling \$364,417, shifting this amount to SO to allow for the hire of 4.0 FTE positions for the SPDS program and 5.5 FTE positions for the Telecommunications Support program. Approval of this funding shift request for 2021-22 allocations carries the understanding that future year allocation requests for these positions will be the same. Long-term funding for the 9.5 FTEs will result in no additional cost to the previous 2022-23 IT SPDS and Telecommunications Support program estimated expenditures.

Attachments

Attachment A: Judicial Council 2021-22 Approved Allocations from the IMF - State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations

Attachment B: IMF - Fund Condition Statement

Judicial Council of California
Approved 2021-22 Allocations
State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund
State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations

#	Program Name	Office	Approved 2021-22 Allocations		
			State Operations	Local Assistance	Total
A	B	C	D	E	F = (D + E)
Program Adjustments					
1	Audit Services	AS	\$ 360,000		\$ 360,000
2	Trial Court Master Agreements	BAP	\$ 157,000		\$ 157,000
3	Workload Assessment Advisory Committee	BMS		\$ 9,000	\$ 9,000
4	Juror Source List Update	BMS		\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
5	Budget Focused Training and Meetings	BS		\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
6	Treasury Services - Cash Management	BS	\$ 319,000		\$ 319,000
7	Revenue Distribution Training	BS		\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
8	Domestic Violence Forms Translation	CFCC		\$ 17,000	\$ 17,000
9	Interactive Software - Self-Rep Electronic Forms	CFCC		\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000
10	Self-Help Center	CFCC		\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000
11	Statewide Multidisciplinary Education	CFCC		\$ 67,000	\$ 67,000
12	Shriver Civil Counsel- cy près Funding	CFCC		\$ 1,289,000	\$ 1,289,000
13	Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs	CFCC		\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
14	Court Interpreter Testing etc.	CFCC		\$ 143,000	\$ 143,000
15	CJER Faculty	CJER		\$ 48,000	\$ 48,000
16	Essential Court Management Education	CJER		\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
17	Essential Court Personnel Education	CJER		\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000
18	Judicial Education	CJER	\$ 40,000	\$ 808,000	\$ 848,000
19	Trial Court Labor Relations Academies and Forums	HR		\$ 23,000	\$ 23,000
20	Data Center and Cloud Service	IT	\$ 2,103,000	\$ 6,479,000	\$ 8,582,000
21	Uniform Civil Filing Services	IT	\$ 421,000	\$ 16,000	\$ 437,000
22	California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR)	IT	\$ 406,000	\$ 794,000	\$ 1,200,000
23	Telecommunications	IT		\$ 12,470,000	\$ 12,470,000
24	Enterprise Policy & Planning (Statewide Planning and Dev Support)	IT	\$ 123,000	\$ 3,764,000	\$ 3,887,000
25	Data Integration	IT	\$ 780,000	\$ 1,099,000	\$ 1,879,000
26	Jury Management System	IT		\$ 665,000	\$ 665,000
27	Case Management System Replacement	IT		\$ 3,053,000	\$ 3,053,000
28	Telecom	IT		\$ 5,509,000	\$ 5,509,000
29	Judicial Performance Defense Insurance	LS		\$ 1,509,000	\$ 1,509,000
30	Jury System Improvement Projects	LS		\$ 19,000	\$ 19,000
31	Regional Office Assistance Group	LS	\$ 823,000		\$ 823,000
32	Litigation Management Program	LS		\$ 6,119,000	\$ 6,119,000
33	Total		\$ 5,532,000	\$ 49,470,000	\$ 55,002,000

Totals by Office		Office	State Operations	Local Assistance	Total
Legend	C	E	F	G = (E + F)	
34	Audit Services	AS	\$ 360,000	\$ -	\$ 360,000
35	Branch Accounting and Procurement	BAP	\$ 157,000	\$ -	\$ 157,000
36	Business Management Services	BMS		\$ 209,000	\$ 209,000
37	Budget Services	BS	\$ 319,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 359,000
38	Center for Families, Children and the Courts	CFCC	\$ -	\$ 6,676,000	\$ 6,676,000
39	Center for Judicial Education and Research	CJER	\$ 40,000	\$ 1,026,000	\$ 1,066,000
40	Human Resources	HR	\$ -	\$ 23,000	\$ 23,000
41	Information Technology	IT	\$ 3,833,000	\$ 33,849,000	\$ 37,682,000
42	Legal Services	LS	\$ 823,000	\$ 7,647,000	\$ 8,470,000
Total Allocations			\$ 5,532,000	\$ 49,470,000	\$ 55,002,000

**State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund
Fund Condition Statement
2022-23 Governor's Budget (estimated)**

Attachment B

Updated: October 29, 2021

#	Description	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	Estimated		
		(Year-end Financial Statement)	(Year-end Financial Statement)	(Year-end Financial Statement)	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
		A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Beginning Balance	14,796,514	15,864,292	21,152,288	16,886,263	9,082,006	2,673,749
2	Prior-Year Adjustments	-973,149	5,086,942	2,422,584	1,419,000	0	0
3	Adjusted Beginning Balance	13,823,364	20,951,234	23,574,872	18,305,263	9,082,006	2,673,749
4	REVENUES¹:						
5	Jury Instructions Royalties	648,480	693,156	465,860	575,000	604,000	604,000
6	Interest from Surplus Money Investment Fund	1,565,780	1,504,475	242,481	151,000	151,000	151,000
7	Escheat-Unclaimed Checks, Warrants, Bonds	244	0	64,648	0		
8	50/50 Excess Fines Split Revenue	11,177,463	6,982,134	7,288,250	4,325,000	4,143,000	3,864,000
9	2% Automation Fund Revenue	10,698,861	9,356,014	7,925,456	7,799,000	7,598,000	7,598,000
10	Other Revenues/State Controller's Office Adjustments	359,153	60,268	365,534	2,000	2,000	2,000
11	Class Action Residue	1,311,975	1,057,614	910,634	0	0	0
12	Subtotal Revenues	25,761,957	19,653,661	17,262,862	12,853,000	12,499,000	12,220,000
13	Transfers and Other Adjustments						
14	To Trial Court Trust Fund (Gov. Code, § 77209(j))	-13,397,000	-13,397,000	-13,397,000	-13,397,000	-13,397,000	-13,397,000
15	To Trial Court Trust Fund (Budget Act)	-594,000	-594,000	-594,000	-594,000	-594,000	-594,000
16	From State General Fund						
17	Total Revenues, Transfers, and Other Adjustments	11,770,957	5,662,661	3,271,862	-1,138,000	-1,492,000	-1,771,000
18	Total Resources	25,594,322	26,613,895	26,846,734	17,167,263	7,590,006	902,749
19	EXPENDITURES²:						
20	Judicial Branch Total State Operations	4,724,200	4,538,757	4,635,013	5,935,000	6,497,000	6,497,000
21	Judicial Branch Total Local Assistance	49,813,207	70,316,604	47,825,123	49,067,000	42,349,000	38,356,999
22	Pro Rata and Other Adjustments	305,622	105,746	288,335	355,257	355,257	355,257
24	Less funding provided by General Fund (Local Assistance)	-45,114,000	-69,501,000	-42,788,000	-47,272,000	-44,285,000	-44,285,000
25	Total Expenditures and Adjustments	9,729,029	5,460,608	9,960,471	8,085,257	4,916,257	924,256
26	Fund Balance	15,864,292	21,152,288	16,886,263	9,082,006	2,673,749	-21,507
27	Reserve Funds (June 24, 2016, Judicial Council)	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000		
28	Restricted Funds - Jury Management	826,656	835,812	649,637	540,637	460,637	380,637
29	Restricted Funds - Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel	1,517,590	2,054,512	1,394,454	105,454	0	0
30	Restricted Funds - Case Management Systems (CMS)	1,659,989	0	250,000	0	0	0
31	Fund Balance - less restricted funds	9,860,057	16,261,964	12,592,172	6,435,915	2,213,112	-402,144
32	Structural Balance	2,041,928	202,054	-6,688,609	-9,223,257	-6,408,257	-2,695,256

¹ Revenue estimates include actuals through January 2021 and mirror the May Revise estimate provided to DOF. Estimates include the projected effects of COVID-19.