

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FUNDING METHODOLOGY SUBCOMMITTEE

MATERIALS FOR APRIL 15, 2021 TELECONFERENCE

Meeting Contents

| Agenda | 1 |
|---|---|
| Discussion and Possible Action Items | |
| Item 1 – Allocation Methodology of Trial Court Funding in 2021-22 Governor's Budget (Action Required) | 3 |





TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Request for ADA accommodations should be made at least three business days before the meeting and directed to: <u>JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov</u>

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FUNDING METHODOLOGY SUBCOMMITTEE

NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(2)) THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

| Date: | April 15, 2021 |
|------------------------|--|
| Time: | 4:30 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. |
| Public Call-in Number: | 1-877-820-7831, Passcode: 18884843 (listen only) |

In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(e)(2), meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website as soon as available before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least one business day before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to <u>tcbac@jud.ca.gov</u>.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rules 10.75(k)(1) and 10.75(e)(2), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one hour before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov. Only written comments received by 3:30 p.m. on April 15, 2021 will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEM (ITEM 1)

Item 1

Allocation Methodology of Trial Court Funding in 2021-22 Governor's Budget (Action Required)

Continue to discuss allocation methodologies for the \$167.8 million funding restoration and the \$72.2 million new, discretionary funding included in the 2021-22 Governor's Budget for inclusion in the 2021-22 Workload Formula allocation should the funding be included in the enacted budget.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Cochair, Funding Methodology Subcommittee Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Cochair, Funding Methodology

Subcommittee

IV. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

None

V. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET SERVICES Report to the Funding Methodology Subcommittee

(Action Item)

| Title: | Allocation Methodology of Trial Court Funding in 2021-22 Governor's Budget |
|----------|--|
| Date: | 4/15/2021 |
| Contact: | Brandy Olivera, Manager, Judicial Council Budget Services 415-865-7195 <u>Brandy.Olivera@jud.ca.gov</u> |

Issue

The estimated cost to bring courts below the statewide average funding level up to the statewide average funding level discussed at the April 12, 2021 Funding Methodology Subcommittee (FMS) meeting has been revised after further analysis of the issue. Given this revision, continued discussion on the allocation methodology recommendation for the restoration of the current year reduction of \$167.8 million and the \$72.2 million in new, discretionary funding for trial court operations included in the 2021-22 Governor's Budget is necessary.

Background

At its April 12, 2021 meeting, FMS approved the following recommendations for consideration by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) at its April 22, 2021 meeting¹:

- a) Restore \$167.8 million and allocate to the trial courts on a dollar-for-dollar basis consistent with the initial reduction, without allowing any court to exceed 100 percent of their Workload Formula amount;
- b) Funds resulting from courts going over 100 percent of their Workload Formula amounts due to the restoration will be used to bring cluster 1 courts up to 100 percent of their funding needs; and
- c) Any remaining funds will be added to the \$72.2 million in new funding and will be allocated via the approved Workload Formula methodology for new money.
 - a. The non-sheriff court security will be reduced from the total of the \$72.2 million and remaining funds from the \$167.8 million allocation prior to applying the Workload Formula calculation.

Additional Option for Consideration

The Workload Formula consists of the following steps when allocating new funding:

¹ FMS meeting report (April 12, 2021), <u>https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/tcbac-20210412-fms-materials.pdf</u>.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET SERVICES Report to the Funding Methodology Subcommittee

[Apply security deduction.]

- 1. Bring all Cluster 1 courts up to 100 percent of funding need.
- 2. Allocate up to 50 percent of remaining funding to courts under the statewide average funding ratio. Allocated funds will bring courts up to but not over the statewide average funding ratio.
 - a. The first 50 percent allocation of new funding to courts below the statewide average will be scaled by courts' distance from the statewide average and size based on the courts' Workload Formula need.
- 3. Allocate remaining funding to all courts based on the Workload Formula.
- 4. Allow no court's allocation to exceed 100 percent of its need unless it is the result of a funding floor calculation.

In addition to the recommendations included in the Background section above, the following alternative is provided for the subcommittee's consideration:

Instead of following the Workload Formula step 2 by allocating up to 50 percent of funding to courts under that statewide average funding ratio, allocate the amount of funding required to fully bring these to the statewide average funding level. Remaining funding would then follow steps 3 and 4 of the Workload Formula.

Attachment A provides estimated calculations of the restored \$167.8 million funding, then allocates funding via the Workload Formula as originally recommended by FMS in Scenario 1. Scenario 2 then allocates funding via a revised Workload Formula approach that brings courts below the statewide average funding level up to the statewide average funding level.

Attachment B highlights these same calculations in groups of funding floor courts, cluster 1 courts, courts below the statewide average funding level, and courts above the statewide average funding level and provides the differences between Scenarios 1 and 2.

Attachments

Attachment A: Detailed Calculation Estimates Attachment B: Summarized Calculation Estimates

ATTACHMENT A

| Court Alameda Alpine Amador Butte | 2020-21 Workload Formula (WF) Allocation A 74,075,309 800,000 | 2020-21 WF ("Need") (Before Restoration and New Funding) | 2020-21 WF Percentage (Before | Total 2021-22 | Undeted | 2021-22 WF | Subtraction to | | 2021-22 WF |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Alpine Amador | 74,075,309 | New Funding) B | Restoration and New Funding) | \$167.8m Restoration (Dollar-for-Dollar) D | Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation ¹ | Percentage (After Restoration and Before New Funding) ² | 2021-22 WF Allocation for Courts Over 100% | Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation ¹ H | Percentage (After Restoration and Before New Funding) ² |
| Alpine Amador | | 88,487,371 | 83.7% | 6,685,811 | 80,761,120 | 91.3% | - | 80,761,120 | 91.3% |
| Amador | | 430,663 | 185.8% | 0,000,011 | 800,000 | 185.8% | - | 800,000 | 185.8% |
| | 3,394,638 | 3,632,936 | 93.4% | 238,163 | 3,632,801 | 100.0% | - | 3,632,801 | 100.0% |
| Buile | 11,013,948 | 15,006,861 | 73.4% | 983,800 | 11,997,748 | 79.9% | | 11,997,748 | 79.9% |
| Calaveras | 2,815,601 | 2,912,538 | 96.7% | 190,936 | 3,006,537 | 103.2% | (93,999) | 2,912,538 | 100.0% |
| Colusa | 2,813,001 | 2,220,291 | 93.4% | 145,555 | 2.220.209 | 103.2% | (53,555) | 2,220,209 | 100.0% |
| | | 56,703,690 | 93.4% 77.1% | 4.284.342 | 47,992,647 | 84.6% | | 47,992,647 | 84.6% |
| Contra Costa Del Norte | 43,708,305 3,087,322 | 3,236,555 | 95.4% | 4,284,342 | 3,299,500 | 101.9% | (62,944) | 3,236,555 | 100.0% |
| El Dorado | 7,479,715 | 9,658,668 | 53.4% 77.4% | 729,777 | 8,209,491 | 85.0% | (02,944) | 8,209,491 | |
| Fresno | 51,679,582 | 71,160,168 | 77.4% | 4,665,024 | 56,344,606 | 79.2% | | 56,344,606 | 85.0% 79.2% |
| | | | 93.4% | | | 100.0% | - | | 100.0% |
| Glenn | 2,486,011 | 2,660,525 | | 174,415 | 2,660,426 | | - | 2,660,426 | |
| Humboldt | 6,843,282 | 9,015,231 | 75.9% | 591,009 | 7,434,290 | 82.5% | | 7,434,290 | 82.5% |
| Imperial | 9,169,144 | 10,340,787 | 88.7% | 781,315 | 9,950,460 | 96.2% | - | 9,950,460 | 96.2% |
| Inyo | 2,081,514 | 2,153,266 | 96.7% | 141,161 | 2,222,675 | 103.2% | (69,408) | 2,153,266 | 100.0% |
| Kern | 55,453,708 | 72,279,366 | 76.7% | 5,461,188 | 60,914,896 | 84.3% | - | 60,914,896 | 84.3% |
| Kings | 8,380,240 | 10,809,613 | 77.5% | 816,738 | 9,196,978 | 85.1% | - | 9,196,978 | 85.1% |
| Lake | 3,812,315 | 5,476,122 | 69.6% | 308,102 | 4,120,417 | 75.2% | | 4,120,417 | 75.2% |
| Lassen | 2,317,492 | 2,217,057 | 104.5% | 145,343 | 2,462,835 | 111.1% | (145,343) | 2,317,492 | 104.5% |
| Los Angeles | 536,900,459 | 769,805,490 | 69.7% | 43,390,940 | 580,291,398 | 75.4% | - | 580,291,398 | 75.4% |
| Madera | 8,391,513 | 12,200,823 | 68.8% | 678,181 | 9,069,693 | 74.3% | - | 9,069,693 | 74.3% |
| Marin | 12,671,596 | 14,624,434 | 86.6% | 1,104,974 | 13,776,569 | 94.2% | - | 13,776,569 | 94.2% |
| Mariposa | 1,521,173 | 1,627,957 | 93.4% | 106,723 | 1,627,896 | 100.0% | - | 1,627,896 | 100.0% |
| Mendocino | 6,134,461 | 7,471,627 | 82.1% | 564,531 | 6,698,992 | 89.7% | - | 6,698,992 | 89.7% |
| Merced | 13,144,715 | 17,518,479 | 75.0% | 1,148,453 | 14,293,168 | 81.6% | - | 14,293,168 | 81.6% |
| Modoc | 1,096,265 | 1,165,104 | 94.1% | 76,380 | 1,172,646 | 100.6% | (7,542) | 1,165,104 | 100.0% |
| Mono | 2,096,329 | 1,848,675 | 113.4% | 121,193 | 2,217,522 | 120.0% | (121,193) | 2,096,329 | 113.4% |
| Monterey | 20,188,513 | 26,713,867 | 75.6% | 1,751,272 | 21,939,785 | 82.1% | - | 21,939,785 | 82.1% |
| Napa | 7,743,246 | 10,159,229 | 76.2% | 678,525 | 8,421,770 | 82.9% | - | 8,421,770 | 82.9% |
| Nevada | 4,747,188 | 6,403,491 | 74.1% | 419,792 | 5,166,980 | 80.7% | - | 5,166,980 | 80.7% |
| Orange | 142,837,674 | 192,479,244 | 74.2% | 12,618,298 | 155,455,973 | 80.8% | - | 155,455,973 | 80.8% |
| Placer | 17,965,416 | 25,057,579 | 71.7% | 1,451,920 | 19,417,336 | 77.5% | - | 19,417,336 | 77.5% |
| Plumas | 1,561,674 | 1,671,301 | 93.4% | 109,565 | 1,671,239 | 100.0% | - | 1,671,239 | 100.0% |
| Riverside | 108,958,292 | 139,647,866 | 78.0% | 10,551,328 | 119,509,619 | 85.6% | - | 119,509,619 | 85.6% |
| Sacramento | 82,151,879 | 123,519,200 | 66.5% | 6,639,307 | 88,791,186 | 71.9% | - | 88,791,186 | 71.9% |
| San Benito | 3,795,305 | 4,061,729 | 93.4% | 266,273 | 4,061,578 | 100.0% | - | 4,061,578 | 100.0% |
| San Bernardino | 103,168,164 | 147,195,060 | 70.1% | 8,337,791 | 111,505,954 | 75.8% | - | 111,505,954 | 75.8% |
| San Diego | 145,627,780 | 178,347,357 | 81.7% | 13,475,333 | 159,103,113 | 89.2% | - | 159,103,113 | 89.2% |
| San Francisco | 55,855,141 | 61,470,612 | 90.9% | 4,644,515 | 60,499,656 | 98.4% | - | 60,499,656 | 98.4% |
| San Joaquin | 37,036,868 | 53,911,727 | 68.7% | 2,993,226 | 40,030,095 | 74.3% | - | 40,030,095 | 74.3% |
| San Luis Obispo | 14,823,737 | 20,195,240 | 73.4% | 1,323,933 | 16,147,670 | 80.0% | - | 16,147,670 | 80.0% |
| San Mateo | 37,226,870 | 45,897,449 | 81.1% | 3,467,858 | 40,694,728 | 88.7% | - | 40,694,728 | 88.7% |
| Santa Barbara | 23,052,390 | 30,753,903 | 75.0% | 2,016,123 | 25,068,513 | 81.5% | - | 25,068,513 | 81.5% |
| Santa Clara | 76,394,321 | 100,230,170 | 76.2% | 6,790,995 | 83,185,316 | 83.0% | - | 83,185,316 | 83.0% |
| Santa Cruz | 13,288,289 | 18,077,614 | 73.5% | 1,185,108 | 14,473,397 | 80.1% | | 14,473,397 | 80.1% |
| Shasta | 12,110,000 | 16,470,092 | 73.5% | 1,079,724 | 13,189,725 | 80.1% | - | 13,189,725 | 80.1% |
| Sierra | 800,000 | 290,048 | 275.8% | - | 800,000 | 275.8% | - | 800,000 | 275.8% |
| Siskiyou | 3,009,966 | 4,172,970 | 72.1% | 243,258 | 3,253,224 | 78.0% | - | 3,253,224 | 78.0% |
| Solano | 23,846,100 | 31,838,641 | 74.9% | 2,087,235 | 25,933,335 | 81.5% | - | 25,933,335 | 81.5% |
| Sonoma | 24,249,892 | 31,369,689 | 77.3% | 2,370,189 | 26,620,081 | 84.9% | - | 26,620,081 | 84.9% |
| Stanislaus | 25,074,737 | 34,666,113 | 72.3% | 2,026,477 | 27,101,213 | 78.2% | - | 27,101,213 | 78.2% |
| Sutter | 6,074,731 | 8,532,084 | 71.2% | 490,944 | 6,565,675 | 77.0% | - | 6,565,675 | 77.0% |
| Tehama | 4,591,623 | 6,024,257 | 76.2% | 447,518 | 5,039,141 | 83.6% | - | 5,039,141 | 83.6% |
| Trinity | 1,692,112 | 1,810,896 | 93.4% | 118,716 | 1,810,828 | 100.0% | - | 1,810,828 | 100.0% |
| Tulare | 23,119,237 | 32,123,068 | 72.0% | 1,868,438 | 24,987,676 | 77.8% | - | 24,987,676 | 77.8% |
| Tuolumne | 3,796,076 | 4,741,401 | 80.1% | 358,244 | 4,154,320 | 87.6% | - | 4,154,320 | 87.6% |
| Ventura | 36,602,392 | 52,034,179 | 70.3% | 2,958,113 | 39,560,504 | 76.0% | - | 39,560,504 | 76.0% |
| Yolo | 11,466,631 | 17,102,756 | 67.0% | 926,704 | 12,393,335 | 72.5% | - | 12,393,335 | 72.5% |
| Yuba | 5,139,860 | 5,135,790 | 100.1% | 388,043 | 5,527,904 | 107.6% | (388,043) | 5,139,860 | 100.1% |
| Total | | 2,626,768,921 | 74.3% | 167,831,000 | 2,118,456,393 | 80.6% | (888,473) | 2,117,567,920 | 80.6% |

Floor courts

Cluster 1 courts

Courts below the statewide average funding level

¹ The Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation does not include allocation changes that have occurred this fiscal year that impacts the Workload Formula

(e.g., subordinate judicial officer (SJO) conversions).

² The 2021-22 WF Percentage does not take into consideration updates including filings data, operating expenditure and equipment (OE&E) changes, etc.

| | S C E | NARIO 1 - A | LLOCATION V | IA THE WOR | KLOAD FORM | 1 U L A ³ |
|-----------------|---|--|-------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Court | New 2021-22 \$72.2m+ in Discretionary Funding (Cluster 1 Courts to 100%) | New Funding (50% Courts Below Statewide Average) Remaining New Funding (To All Courts, Not to Exceed 100%) | | Total \$72.2m+ Allocation | Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation ¹ | 2021-22 WF Percentage (After Restoration AND New Funding) ² O |
| Alameda | - | - K | 1,248,209 | 1,248,209 | 82,009,330 | 92.7% |
| | - | - | 1,246,209 | 1,240,209 | | |
| Alpine | - | - | - | - | 800,000 | 185.8% |
| Amador | 135 | - | - | 135 | 3,632,936 | 100.0% |
| Butte | - | 6,080 | 211,688 | 217,768 | 12,215,516 | 81.4% |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | 2,912,538 | 100.0% |
| Colusa | 83 | - | - | 83 | 2,220,291 | 100.0% |
| Contra Costa | - | - | 799,866 | 799,866 | 48,792,513 | 86.0% |
| Del Norte | - | - | - | - | 3,236,555 | 100.0% |
| El Dorado | - | - | 136,246 | 136,246 | 8,345,737 | 86.4% |
| Fresno | - | 133,639 | 1,003,791 | 1,137,429 | 57,482,035 | 80.8% |
| Glenn | 99 | - | - | 99 | 2,660,525 | 100.0% |
| Humboldt | | - | 127,170 | 127,170 | 7,561,460 | 83.9% |
| Imperial | - | - | 145,868 | 145,868 | 10,096,327 | 97.6% |
| Inyo | | | 140,000 | 140,000 | 2,153,266 | 100.0% |
| | | - | 4 040 570 | 4 040 570 | | |
| Kern | - | - | 1,019,578 | 1,019,578 | 61,934,475 | 85.7% |
| Kings | - | - | 152,481 | 152,481 | 9,349,459 | 86.5% |
| Lake | - | 144,112 | 77,247 | 221,358 | 4,341,775 | 79.3% |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | 2,317,492 | 104.5% |
| Los Angeles | - | 19,229,322 | 10,858,933 | 30,088,255 | 610,379,653 | 79.3% |
| Madera | - | 438,611 | 172,106 | 610,716 | 9,680,410 | 79.3% |
| Marin | - | - | 206,293 | 206,293 | 13,982,863 | 95.6% |
| Mariposa | 61 | - | - | 61 | 1,627,957 | 100.0% |
| Mendocino | - | - | 105,395 | 105,395 | 6,804,387 | 91.1% |
| Merced | - | - | 247,117 | 247,117 | 14,540,285 | 83.0% |
| Modoc | _ | - | | | 1,165,104 | 100.0% |
| Mono | - | _ | | - | 2,096,329 | 113.4% |
| Monterey | _ | - | 376,828 | 376,828 | 22,316,613 | 83.5% |
| | | - | 143,307 | 143,307 | | 84.3% |
| Napa | | - | , | | 8,565,077 | |
| Nevada | - | - | 90,328 | 90,328 | 5,257,308 | 82.1% |
| Orange | - | - | 2,715,126 | 2,715,126 | 158,171,099 | 82.2% |
| Placer | - | 223,048 | 353,464 | 576,512 | 19,993,848 | 79.8% |
| Plumas | 62 | - | - | 62 | 1,671,301 | 100.0% |
| Riverside | - | - | 1,969,883 | 1,969,883 | 121,479,502 | 87.0% |
| Sacramento | - | 8,586,653 | 1,742,371 | 10,329,024 | 99,120,210 | 80.2% |
| San Benito | 151 | - | - | 151 | 4,061,729 | 100.0% |
| San Bernardino | - | 3,172,309 | 2,076,344 | 5,248,654 | 116,754,608 | 79.3% |
| San Diego | - | - | 2,515,781 | 2,515,781 | 161,618,894 | 90.6% |
| San Francisco | - | - | 867,109 | 867,109 | 61,366,765 | 99.8% |
| San Joaquin | | 1,991,267 | 760,483 | 2,751,750 | 42,781,844 | 79.4% |
| San Luis Obispo | | 7,954 | 284,876 | 292,829 | 16,440,499 | 81.4% |
| | - | 7,534 | , | , | | |
| San Mateo | - | | 647,433 | 647,433 | 41,342,161 | 90.1% |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | 433,817 | 433,817 | 25,502,330 | 82.9% |
| Santa Clara | - | - | 1,413,854 | 1,413,854 | 84,599,170 | 84.4% |
| Santa Cruz | - | 5,031 | 255,004 | 260,035 | 14,733,433 | 81.5% |
| Shasta | - | 4,252 | 232,328 | 236,580 | 13,426,305 | 81.5% |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | 800,000 | 275.8% |
| Siskiyou | - | 26,838 | 58,864 | 85,702 | 3,338,926 | 80.0% |
| Solano | - | - | 449,118 | 449,118 | 26,382,453 | 82.9% |
| Sonoma | - | - | 442,503 | 442,503 | 27,062,584 | 86.3% |
| Stanislaus | - | 187,790 | 489,003 | 676,793 | 27,778,007 | 80.1% |
| Sutter | - | 104,363 | 120,354 | 224,718 | 6,790,393 | 79.6% |
| Tehama | | _0.,000 | 84,979 | 84,979 | 5,124,119 | 85.1% |
| | | - | 04,379 | - | | 100.0% |
| Trinity | 67 | 224.247 | 450.400 | 67 | 1,810,896 | |
| Tulare | - | 234,247 | 453,130 | 687,378 | 25,675,053 | 79.9% |
| Tuolumne | - | - | 66,883 | 66,883 | 4,221,203 | 89.0% |
| Ventura | - | 998,546 | 733,998 | 1,732,544 | 41,293,049 | 79.4% |
| Yolo | - | 1,036,345 | 241,253 | 1,277,598 | 13,670,933 | 79.9% |
| Yuba | - | - | - | - | 5,139,860 | 100.1% |
| Total | 657 | 36,530,408 | 36,530,408 | 73,061,473 | 2,190,629,394 | 83.4% |

Floor courts

Cluster 1 courts

Courts below the statewide average funding level

¹ The Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation does not include allocation changes that have occurred this fiscal year

that impacts the Workload Formula (e.g., SJOs).

² The 2021-22 WF Percentage does not take into consideration updates including filings data, OE&E changes, etc.

³ Excludes the carve out for non-sheriff security funding.

| | New 2021-22 New Funding 2021-22 WF Demoising 2021-22 WF | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | \$72.2m+ in | - | Updated | | Remaining | Total \$72.2m+ | Updated | 2021-22 WF | | | |
| | Discretionary | (Courts Below | 2021-22 | Percentage | New Funding | | | Percentage | | | |
| Court | Funding | Statewide Average | | (After Restoration | (To All Courts, Not | Allocation | 2021-22 | (After Restoratio | | | |
| | (Cluster 1 Courts | to 80.6% Average | WF Allocation ¹ | AND New | to Exceed 100%) | | WF Allocation ¹ | AND New | | | |
| | to 100%) | Funding Level) | | Funding) ² | | | | Funding) ² | | | |
| | Р | Q | R | S | Т | U | V | W | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | 80,761,120 | 91.3% | 73,898 | 73,898 | 80,835,018 | 91.4 | | | |
| Alpine | - | - | 800,000 | 185.8% | - | - | 800,000 | 185.85 | | | |
| Amador | 135 | - | 3,632,936 | 100.0% | - | 135 | 3,632,936 | 100.0 | | | |
| Butte | - | 100.023 | 12,097,771 | 80.6% | 12,533 | 112,555 | 12,110,303 | 80.7 | | | |
| Calaveras | | 100,020 | 2,912,538 | 100.0% | | | 2,912,538 | 100.0 | | | |
| | 83 | | | 100.0% | | 83 | | 100.0 | | | |
| Colusa | 63 | | 2,220,291 | | | | 2,220,291 | | | | |
| Contra Costa | - | - | 47,992,647 | 84.6% | 47,354 | 47,354 | 48,040,001 | 84.7 | | | |
| Del Norte | - | - | 3,236,555 | 100.0% | | - | 3,236,555 | 100.0 | | | |
| El Dorado | - | - | 8,209,491 | 85.0% | 8,066 | 8,066 | 8,217,558 | 85.1 | | | |
| Fresno | - | 1,021,114 | 57,365,720 | 80.6% | 59,427 | 1,080,541 | 57,425,147 | 80.7 | | | |
| Glenn | 99 | - | 2,660,525 | 100.0% | - | 99 | 2,660,525 | 100.0 | | | |
| Humboldt | - | - | 7,434,290 | 82.5% | 7,529 | 7,529 | 7,441,819 | 82.5 | | | |
| Imperial | - | - | 9,950,460 | 96.2% | 8,636 | 8,636 | 9,959,095 | 96.3 | | | |
| Inyo | - | - | 2,153,266 | 100.0% | - | - | 2,153,266 | 100.0 | | | |
| Kern | | | 60,914,896 | 84.3% | 60,362 | 60,362 | 60,975,258 | 84.4 | | | |
| Kings | - | - | 9,196,978 | 85.1% | 9,027 | 9,027 | 9,206,005 | 85.2 | | | |
| - | | 204 155 | | 80.6% | | | | - | | | |
| Lake | | 294,155 | 4,414,572 | | 4,573 | 298,728 | 4,419,145 | 80.7 | | | |
| Lassen | - | - | 2,317,492 | 104.5% | - | - | 2,317,492 | 104.5 | | | |
| Los Angeles | - | 40,286,757 | 620,578,155 | 80.6% | 642,880 | 40,929,637 | 621,221,036 | 80.7 | | | |
| Madera | - | 765,991 | 9,835,685 | 80.6% | 10,189 | 776,181 | 9,845,874 | 80.7 | | | |
| Marin | - | - | 13,776,569 | 94.2% | 12,213 | 12,213 | 13,788,783 | 94.3 | | | |
| Mariposa | 61 | - | 1,627,957 | 100.0% | - | 61 | 1,627,957 | 100.0 | | | |
| Mendocino | - | - | 6,698,992 | 89.7% | 6,240 | 6,240 | 6,705,232 | 89.7 | | | |
| Merced | - | - | 14,293,168 | 81.6% | 14,630 | 14,630 | 14,307,798 | 81.7 | | | |
| Modoc | - | - | 1,165,104 | 100.0% | | 1,000 | 1,165,104 | 100.0 | | | |
| Mono | - | | 2,096,329 | 113.4% | | | 2,096,329 | 113.4 | | | |
| | | - | | | 22.200 | - | | | | | |
| Monterey | - | - | 21,939,785 | 82.1% | 22,309 | 22,309 | 21,962,094 | 82.2 | | | |
| Napa | | - | 8,421,770 | 82.9% | 8,484 | 8,484 | 8,430,255 | 83.0 | | | |
| Nevada | - | - | 5,166,980 | 80.7% | 5,348 | 5,348 | 5,172,327 | 80.8 | | | |
| Orange | - | - | 155,455,973 | 80.8% | 160,743 | 160,743 | 155,616,716 | 80.8 | | | |
| Placer | - | 782,814 | 20,200,150 | 80.6% | 20,926 | 803,740 | 20,221,076 | 80.7 | | | |
| Plumas | 62 | - | 1,671,301 | 100.0% | - | 62 | 1,671,301 | 100.0 | | | |
| Riverside | - | - | 119,509,619 | 85.6% | 116,623 | 116,623 | 119,626,242 | 85.7 | | | |
| Sacramento | - | 10,783,730 | 99,574,916 | 80.6% | 103,153 | 10,886,884 | 99,678,070 | 80.7 | | | |
| San Benito | 151 | - | 4,061,729 | 100.0% | - | 151 | 4,061,729 | 100.0 | | | |
| San Bernardino | | 7,155,240 | 118,661,194 | 80.6% | 122,926 | 7,278,166 | 118,784,120 | 80.7 | | | |
| | | 7,133,240 | | 89.2% | 148.942 | | | 89.3 | | | |
| San Diego | - | - | 159,103,113 | | - / - | 148,942 | 159,252,055 | - | | | |
| San Francisco | - | - | 60,499,656 | 98.4% | 51,335 | 51,335 | 60,550,991 | 98.5 | | | |
| San Joaquin | - | 3,430,806 | 43,460,901 | 80.6% | 45,023 | 3,475,829 | 43,505,924 | 80.7 | | | |
| San Luis Obispo | - | 132,708 | 16,280,379 | 80.6% | 16,865 | 149,574 | 16,297,244 | 80.7 | | | |
| San Mateo | - | - | 40,694,728 | 88.7% | 38,330 | 38,330 | 40,733,058 | 88.7 | | | |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | 25,068,513 | 81.5% | 25,683 | 25,683 | 25,094,196 | 81.6 | | | |
| Santa Clara | - | - | 83,185,316 | 83.0% | 83,704 | 83,704 | 83,269,020 | 83.1 | | | |
| Santa Cruz | - | 99,859 | 14,573,256 | 80.6% | 15,097 | 114,956 | 14,588,353 | 80.7 | | | |
| Shasta | _ | 87,628 | 13,277,353 | 80.6% | 13,755 | 101,383 | 13,291,107 | 80.7 | | | |
| Sierra | | 07,020 | 800,000 | 275.8% | 13,733 | 101,505 | 800,000 | 275.8 | | | |
| | - | 110.013 | | | 2.405 | - | - | | | | |
| Siskiyou | - | 110,812 | 3,364,037 | 80.6% | 3,485 | 114,297 | 3,367,522 | 80.7 | | | |
| Solano | | - | 25,933,335 | 81.5% | 26,589 | 26,589 | 25,959,924 | 81.5 | | | |
| Sonoma | | - | 26,620,081 | 84.9% | 26,197 | 26,197 | 26,646,279 | 84.9 | | | |
| Stanislaus | | 844,849 | 27,946,063 | 80.6% | 28,950 | 873,799 | 27,975,013 | 80.7 | | | |
| Sutter | - | 312,458 | 6,878,133 | 80.6% | 7,125 | 319,583 | 6,885,259 | 80.7 | | | |
| Tehama | - | - | 5,039,141 | 83.6% | 5,031 | 5,031 | 5,044,172 | 83. | | | |
| Trinity | 67 | - | 1,810,896 | 100.0% | - | 67 | 1,810,896 | 100.0 | | | |
| Tulare | - | 908,313 | 25,895,989 | 80.6% | 26,827 | 935,140 | 25,922,815 | 80.7 | | | |
| Tuolumne | | 500,515 | 4,154,320 | 87.6% | 3,960 | 3,960 | 4,158,280 | 87.7 | | | |
| | - | 2 200 012 | | | - | | | | | | |
| Ventura | | 2,386,812 | 41,947,317 | 80.6% | 43,455 | 2,430,267 | 41,990,772 | 80.7 | | | |
| íolo | | 1,394,039 | 13,787,375 | 80.6% | 14,283 | 1,408,322 | 13,801,658 | 80.7 | | | |
| Yuba | 1.1 | 1 | 5,139,860 | 100.1% | | | 5,139,860 | 100.1 | | | |

ATTACHMENT A

Total

Yuba

Floor courts

Cluster 1 courts

657

Courts below the statewide average funding level

70,898,110 2,188,466,688

¹ The Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation does not include allocation changes that have occurred this fiscal year

5,139,860

100.1%

83.3%

2,162,706

73,061,474 2,190,629,394

5,139,860

that impacts the Workload Formula (e.g., SJOs).

² The 2021-22 WF Percentage does not take into consideration updates including filings data, OE&E changes, etc.

³ Excludes the carve out for non-sheriff security funding.

80.7% 100.1%

83.4%

1,079,240,854

Subtotal

ATTACHMENT B

| | UPDATEDIN | FORMATION | S C E N A R I | 01-WFALL0 | D C A T I O N ³ | SCENARIO | 2 - STATEWID | EAVERAGE ³ | DIFFBETW | EEN 1 AND 2 |
|-----------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|---|
| Court | Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation ¹ | 2021-22 WF Percentage (After Restoration and Before New Funding) ² | Total \$72.2m+ Allocation | Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation ¹ | 2021-22 WF Percentage (After Restoration AND New Funding) ² | Total \$72.2m+ Allocation | Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation ¹ | 2021-22 WF Percentage (After Restoration AND New Funding) ² | Total \$72.2m+ Allocation | 2021-22 WF Percentage (After Restoration AND New Funding) ² |
| | X | Y | Z | AA | BB | CC | DD | EE | FF | GG |
| FLOOR COURT | ſS | | | | | | | | | |
| Alpine | 800,000 | 185.8% | - | 800,000 | 185.8% | - | 800,000 | 185.8% | - | 0.0% |
| Sierra | 800,000 | 275.8% | - | 800,000 | 275.8% | - | 800,000 | 275.8% | - | 0.0% |
| Subtotal | 1,599,999 | | - | 1,599,999 | • | - | 1,599,999 | | - | |
| CLUSTER 1 CO | IIDTC | | | | | | | | | |
| Amador | 3,632,801 | 100.0% | 135 | 3,632,936 | 100.0% | 135 | 3,632,936 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Calaveras | 2,912,538 | 100.0% | - | 2,912,538 | 100.0% | - | 2,912,538 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Colusa | 2,220,209 | 100.0% | 83 | 2,220,291 | 100.0% | 83 | 2,220,291 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Del Norte | 3,236,555 | 100.0% | - | 3,236,555 | 100.0% | | 3,236,555 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Glenn | 2,660,426 | 100.0% | 99 | 2,660,525 | 100.0% | 99 | 2,660,525 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Inyo | 2,153,266 | 100.0% | - | 2,153,266 | 100.0% | - | 2,153,266 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Lassen | 2,317,492 | 104.5% | - | 2,317,492 | 104.5% | - | 2,317,492 | 104.5% | - | 0.0% |
| Mariposa | 1,627,896 | 100.0% | 61 | 1,627,957 | 100.0% | 61 | 1,627,957 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Modoc | 1,165,104 | 100.0% | - | 1,165,104 | 100.0% | - | 1,165,104 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Mono | 2,096,329 | 113.4% | - | 2,096,329 | 113.4% | - | 2,096,329 | 113.4% | - | 0.0% |
| Plumas | 1,671,239 | 100.0% | 62 | 1,671,301 | 100.0% | 62 | 1,671,301 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| San Benito | 4,061,578 | 100.0% | 151 | 4,061,729 | 100.0% | 151 | 4,061,729 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Trinity | 1,810,828 | 100.0% | 67 | 1,810,896 | 100.0% | 67 | 1,810,896 | 100.0% | - | 0.0% |
| Subtotal | 31,566,263 | | 657 | 31,566,920 | • | 657 | 31,566,920 | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | WIDE AVERAG | | | 91.49/ | 112 555 | 12 110 202 | 00.70/ | 105 313 | 0.70/ |
| Butte | 11,997,748 | 79.9% | 217,768 | 12,215,516 | 81.4% | 112,555 | 12,110,303 | 80.7% | 105,213 | 0.7% |
| Fresno Lake | 56,344,606 4,120,417 | 79.2% 75.2% | 1,137,429 221,358 | 57,482,035 4,341,775 | 80.8% 79.3% | 1,080,541 298,728 | 57,425,147 4,419,145 | 80.7% 80.7% | 56,888 (77,370) | -1.4% |
| Los Angeles | 580.291.398 | 75.4% | 30.088.255 | 610,379,653 | 79.3% | 40.929.637 | 621,221,036 | 80.7% | (10,841,383) | -1.4% |
| Madera | 9,069,693 | 73.4% | 610,716 | 9,680,410 | 79.3% | 776,181 | 9,845,874 | 80.7% | (165,464) | -1.4% |
| Placer | 19,417,336 | 77.5% | 576,512 | 19,993,848 | 79.8% | 803,740 | 20,221,076 | 80.7% | (227,228) | -0.9% |
| Sacramento | 88,791,186 | 71.9% | 10,329,024 | 99,120,210 | 80.2% | 10,886,884 | 99,678,070 | 80.7% | (557,860) | -0.5% |
| San Bernardino | 111,505,954 | 75.8% | 5,248,654 | 116,754,608 | 79.3% | 7,278,166 | 118,784,120 | 80.7% | (2,029,512) | -1.4% |
| San Joaquin | 40,030,095 | 74.3% | 2,751,750 | 42,781,844 | 79.4% | 3,475,829 | 43,505,924 | 80.7% | (724,079) | -1.3% |
| San Luis Obispo | 16,147,670 | 80.0% | 292,829 | 16,440,499 | 81.4% | 149,574 | 16,297,244 | 80.7% | 143,255 | 0.7% |
| Santa Cruz | 14,473,397 | 80.1% | 260,035 | 14,733,433 | 81.5% | 114,956 | 14,588,353 | 80.7% | 145,080 | 0.8% |
| Shasta | 13,189,725 | 80.1% | 236,580 | 13,426,305 | 81.5% | 101,383 | 13,291,107 | 80.7% | 135,198 | 0.8% |
| Siskiyou | 3,253,224 | 78.0% | 85,702 | 3,338,926 | 80.0% | 114,297 | 3,367,522 | 80.7% | (28,595) | -0.7% |
| Stanislaus | 27,101,213 | 78.2% | 676,793 | 27,778,007 | 80.1% | 873,799 | 27,975,013 | 80.7% | (197,006) | -0.6% |
| Sutter | 6,565,675 | 77.0% | 224,718 | 6,790,393 | 79.6% | 319,583 | 6,885,259 | 80.7% | (94,866) | -1.1% |
| Tulare | 24,987,676 | 77.8% | 687,378 | 25,675,053 | 79.9% | 935,140 | 25,922,815 | 80.7% | (247,762) | -0.8% |
| Ventura | 39,560,504 | 76.0% | 1,732,544 | 41,293,049 | 79.4% | 2,430,267 | 41,990,772 | 80.7% | (697,723) | -1.3% |
| Yolo | 12,393,335 | 72.5% | 1,277,598 | 13,670,933 | 79.9% | 1,408,322 | 13,801,658 | 80.7% | (130,725) | -0.8% |

1,151,330,437

72,089,583

1,135,896,498

56,655,644

Page 8 of 9

(15,433,939)

ATTACHMENT B

| | UPDATEDIN | FORMATION | SCENAR | SCENARIO 1 - WF ALLOCATION ³ | | | 2 - STATEWIC | DIFF BETWEEN 1 AND 2 | | |
|---------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|---|
| Court | Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation ¹ | 2021-22 WF Percentage (After Restoration and Before New Funding) ² | Total \$72.2m+ Allocation | Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation ¹ | 2021-22 WF Percentage (After Restoration AND New Funding) ² | Total \$72.2m+ Allocation | Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation ¹ | 2021-22 WF Percentage (After Restoration AND New Funding) ² | Total \$72.2m+ Allocation | 2021-22 WF Percentage (After Restoration AND New Funding) ² |
| | Х | Y | Z | AA | BB | CC | DD | EE | FF | GG |
| | VE THE STATE | WIDEAVERAG | | EVEL | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 80,761,120 | 91.3% | 1,248,209 | 82,009,330 | 92.7% | 73,898 | 80,835,018 | 91.4% | 1,174,312 | 1.3% |
| Contra Costa | 47,992,647 | 84.6% | 799,866 | 48,792,513 | 86.0% | 47,354 | 48,040,001 | 84.7% | 752,512 | 1.3% |
| El Dorado | 8,209,491 | 85.0% | 136,246 | 8,345,737 | 86.4% | 8,066 | 8,217,558 | 85.1% | 128,180 | 1.3% |
| Humboldt | 7,434,290 | 82.5% | 127,170 | 7,561,460 | 83.9% | 7,529 | 7,441,819 | 82.5% | 119,641 | 1.3% |
| Imperial | 9,950,460 | 96.2% | 145,868 | 10,096,327 | 97.6% | 8,636 | 9,959,095 | 96.3% | 137,232 | 1.3% |
| Kern | 60,914,896 | 84.3% | 1,019,578 | 61,934,475 | 85.7% | 60,362 | 60,975,258 | 84.4% | 959,216 | 1.3% |
| Kings | 9,196,978 | 85.1% | 152,481 | 9,349,459 | 86.5% | 9,027 | 9,206,005 | 85.2% | 143,454 | 1.3% |
| Marin | 13,776,569 | 94.2% | 206,293 | 13,982,863 | 95.6% | 12,213 | 13,788,783 | 94.3% | 194,080 | 1.3% |
| Mendocino | 6,698,992 | 89.7% | 105,395 | 6,804,387 | 91.1% | 6,240 | 6,705,232 | 89.7% | 99,156 | 1.3% |
| Merced | 14,293,168 | 81.6% | 247,117 | 14,540,285 | 83.0% | 14,630 | 14,307,798 | 81.7% | 232,487 | 1.3% |
| Monterey | 21,939,785 | 82.1% | 376,828 | 22,316,613 | 83.5% | 22,309 | 21,962,094 | 82.2% | 354,518 | 1.3% |
| Napa | 8,421,770 | 82.9% | 143,307 | 8,565,077 | 84.3% | 8,484 | 8,430,255 | 83.0% | 134,823 | 1.3% |
| Nevada | 5,166,980 | 80.7% | 90,328 | 5,257,308 | 82.1% | 5,348 | 5,172,327 | 80.8% | 84,980 | 1.3% |
| Orange | 155,455,973 | 80.8% | 2,715,126 | 158,171,099 | 82.2% | 160,743 | 155,616,716 | 80.8% | 2,554,383 | 1.3% |
| Riverside | 119,509,619 | 85.6% | 1,969,883 | 121,479,502 | 87.0% | 116,623 | 119,626,242 | 85.7% | 1,853,260 | 1.3% |
| San Diego | 159,103,113 | 89.2% | 2,515,781 | 161,618,894 | 90.6% | 148,942 | 159,252,055 | 89.3% | 2,366,839 | 1.3% |
| San Francisco | 60,499,656 | 98.4% | 867,109 | 61,366,765 | 99.8% | 51,335 | 60,550,991 | 98.5% | 815,774 | 1.3% |
| San Mateo | 40,694,728 | 88.7% | 647,433 | 41,342,161 | 90.1% | 38,330 | 40,733,058 | 88.7% | 609,103 | 1.3% |
| Santa Barbara | 25,068,513 | 81.5% | 433,817 | 25,502,330 | 82.9% | 25,683 | 25,094,196 | 81.6% | 408,134 | 1.3% |
| Santa Clara | 83,185,316 | 83.0% | 1,413,854 | 84,599,170 | 84.4% | 83,704 | 83,269,020 | 83.1% | 1,330,150 | 1.3% |
| Solano | 25,933,335 | 81.5% | 449,118 | 26,382,453 | 82.9% | 26,589 | 25,959,924 | 81.5% | 422,529 | 1.3% |
| Sonoma | 26,620,081 | 84.9% | 442,503 | 27,062,584 | 86.3% | 26,197 | 26,646,279 | 84.9% | 416,306 | 1.3% |
| Tehama | 5,039,141 | 83.6% | 84,979 | 5,124,119 | 85.1% | 5,031 | 5,044,172 | 83.7% | 79,948 | 1.3% |
| Tuolumne | 4,154,320 | 87.6% | 66,883 | 4,221,203 | 89.0% | 3,960 | 4,158,280 | 87.7% | 62,923 | 1.3% |
| Yuba | 5,139,860 | 100.1% | - | 5,139,860 | 100.1% | - | 5,139,860 | 100.1% | - | 0.0% |
| Subtotal | 1,005,160,804 | | 16,405,172 | 1,021,565,976 | · | 971,234 | 1,006,132,038 | | 15,433,938 | · |

Total 2,117,567,920 80.6%

73,061,473

2,190,629,394

83.4% 73,061,474 2,190,629,394

(0)

83.4%

¹ The Updated 2021-22 WF Allocation does not include allocation changes that have occurred this fiscal year that impacts the Workload Formula (e.g., SJOs).

² The 2021-22 WF Percentage does not take into consideration updates including filings data, OE&E changes, etc.

³ Excludes the carve out for non-sheriff security funding.