



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MATERIALS FOR JUNE 18, 2020
TELEPHONIC MEETING

Meeting Contents

Agenda	1
Discussion and Possible Action Items	
Item 1 – Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and Trial Court Allocations for 2020-21(Action Required)	3
Attachment A – \$168.9 Million Reduction Band Scenarios	5



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

Request for ADA accommodations
should be made at least three business
days before the meeting and directed to:
JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date: June 18, 2020
Time: 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.
Public Call-in Number: <http://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/949?>

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov. Only written comments received by 4:00 p.m. on June 17, 2020 will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1)

***** As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and the current recession, final 2020-21 budget actions may have an impact on some of the agenda items listed below. Based on the outcome of the state's final Budget Act, some items may need to be revisited by Judicial Council**

subcommittees and advisory bodies as recommendations are developed for council consideration. ***

Item 1

Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and Trial Court Allocations for 2020-21 (Action Required)

Consideration of scenarios requested from the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee at its June 11, 2020 meeting as it relates to the allocation of the \$168.937 million reduction to trial courts proposed in the 2020-21 May Revision.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

IV. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

None

V. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

(Action Item)

Title: Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and Trial Court Allocations for 2020-21

Date: 6/18/2020

Contact: Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services
916-643-8027 | oksana.tuk@jud.ca.gov

Issue

The 2020-21 May Revision includes a \$168.937 million reduction to trial court operations, which was first discussed by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) at its June 11, 2020 meeting as recommended by the Funding Methodology Subcommittee (FMS)¹. TCBAC has requested further options for a methodology to allocate the reduction to trial courts for review and consideration for recommendation to the Judicial Council at its business meeting July 23-24, 2020.

Background

To address a projected \$54.3 billion budget gap due to the COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent recession, the 2020-21 May Revision reflects budget reductions throughout state government, including all entities within the judicial branch. Specifically, the May Revision includes a \$178.1 million General Fund reduction to the trial courts for 2020-21 based on a 10 percent reduction to base operations funding. Of this amount, \$168.937 million reflects a reduction to trial court operations².

During the TCBAC meeting on June 11, 2020, the committee rejected the FMS recommendation and discussed various other reduction allocation methodologies. Budget Services staff were directed to develop three scenarios for consideration at a follow up TCBAC meeting on June 18, 2020 (Attachment A).

The three scenarios apply the reduction, excluding base funding floor courts, based on courts' Workload Formula, establishing a 4, 6, and 8 percent band (with 6 percent being the presumed band) around the statewide average funding level³, and include the following criteria:

- Courts within the band take a pro rata reduction, but do not fall outside the band;
- Courts above the band take up to an additional one percent cut from those within the band without falling into the band;

¹ TCBAC meeting materials (June 11, 2020), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/tcbac-20200611-materials.pdf>

² The remaining \$9.165 million impacts the following: \$1.325 million General Fund (prisoner hearing, service of process, and extraordinary homicide); and \$7.84 million Improvement and Modernization Fund.

³ As an example, a 4 percent band represents 2 percent above and 2 below the statewide average funding level.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

- Courts below the band take an approximate one percent cut below those within the band, scaled by their size and distance from the statewide average, not taking more of a cut than those inside of the band; and
- Cluster 1 courts take the same reduction as courts within the band.

As a reminder, there is not a council-approved allocation methodology in fiscal years for which a budget reduction must be implemented. The recommended approach is in the spirit of the Judicial Council-approved Workload Formula for years in which no new money is provided, taking into consideration progress toward equity of trial court funding based on workload⁴.

Recommendation

Adopt one of the three methodologies presented today that allocates a reduction of \$168.937 million in 2020-21 trial court funding as proposed in the May Revision, or a different reduction amount if changed in the final 2020 Budget Act, for consideration by the Judicial Council at its business meeting July 23-24, 2020.

Attachments

Attachment A: \$168.9 Million Reduction Band Scenarios

⁴Judicial Council meeting report (January 12, 2018), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=5722980&GUID=EB419556-68BE-4685-A012-6A8D8502A126>; Judicial Council meeting minutes (January 12, 2018), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=559778&GUID=3553B33A-BE03-4DF3-84E1-8196225C58DB>

\$168.9m Reduction Band Scenarios - Updated

Court	2020-21 Workload Allocation (WA) Before Reduction	2020-21 Workload Formula (WF)	2020-21 Workload Percentage (Before)	Pro Rata Reduction from 6/11/20 TCBAC Based on Workload Allocation			
				% Diff From Statewide Average	Amount of Reduction	% of Reduction (on WA)	% of Reduction (on WF)
Sierra	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Alpine	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Mono	2,217,606	1,848,675	120.0%	0.1%	(176,970)	-8.0%	-9.6%
Lassen	2,462,928	2,217,057	111.1%	0.1%	(196,548)	-8.0%	-8.9%
Calaveras	3,006,649	2,912,538	103.2%	0.1%	(239,938)	-8.0%	-8.2%
Inyo	2,222,758	2,153,266	103.2%	0.1%	(177,382)	-8.0%	-8.2%
Del Norte	3,299,622	3,236,555	101.9%	0.2%	(263,318)	-8.0%	-8.1%
Modoc	1,172,689	1,165,104	100.7%	0.1%	(93,583)	-8.0%	-8.0%
San Benito	4,061,729	4,061,729	100.0%	0.2%	(324,136)	-8.0%	-8.0%
Trinity	1,810,896	1,810,896	100.0%	0.1%	(144,514)	-8.0%	-8.0%
Amador	3,632,936	3,632,936	100.0%	0.2%	(289,917)	-8.0%	-8.0%
Glenn	2,660,525	2,660,525	100.0%	0.1%	(212,316)	-8.0%	-8.0%
Mariposa	1,627,957	1,627,957	100.0%	0.1%	(129,915)	-8.0%	-8.0%
Colusa	2,220,291	2,220,291	100.0%	0.1%	(177,185)	-8.0%	-8.0%
Plumas	1,671,301	1,671,301	100.0%	0.1%	(133,374)	-8.0%	-8.0%
Yuba	5,528,108	5,135,790	107.6%	0.3%	(441,157)	-8.0%	-8.6%
San Francisco	60,501,877	61,470,612	98.4%	2.9%	(4,828,199)	-8.0%	-7.9%
Imperial	9,950,824	10,340,787	96.2%	0.5%	(794,100)	-8.0%	-7.7%
Marin	13,777,073	14,624,434	94.2%	0.7%	(1,099,444)	-8.0%	-7.5%
Alameda	80,764,067	88,487,371	91.3%	3.8%	(6,445,172)	-8.0%	-7.3%
Mendocino	6,699,236	7,471,627	89.7%	0.3%	(534,616)	-8.0%	-7.2%
San Diego	159,108,905	178,347,357	89.2%	7.5%	(12,697,283)	-8.0%	-7.1%
San Mateo	40,696,209	45,897,449	88.7%	1.9%	(3,247,658)	-8.0%	-7.1%
Tuolumne	4,154,471	4,741,401	87.6%	0.2%	(331,537)	-8.0%	-7.0%
Riverside	119,513,953	139,647,866	85.6%	5.6%	(9,537,508)	-8.0%	-6.8%
Kings	9,197,311	10,809,613	85.1%	0.4%	(733,968)	-8.0%	-6.8%
El Dorado	8,209,789	9,658,668	85.0%	0.4%	(655,161)	-8.0%	-6.8%
Sonoma	26,621,046	31,369,689	84.9%	1.3%	(2,124,425)	-8.0%	-6.8%
Contra Costa	47,994,385	56,703,690	84.6%	2.3%	(3,830,070)	-8.0%	-6.8%
Kern	60,917,102	72,279,366	84.3%	2.9%	(4,861,335)	-8.0%	-6.7%
Tehama	5,039,323	6,024,257	83.7%	0.2%	(402,150)	-8.0%	-6.7%
Santa Clara	83,188,355	100,230,170	83.0%	3.9%	(6,638,636)	-8.0%	-6.6%
Napa	8,422,078	10,159,229	82.9%	0.4%	(672,103)	-8.0%	-6.6%
Humboldt	7,434,563	9,015,231	82.5%	0.4%	(593,296)	-8.0%	-6.6%
Monterey	21,940,588	26,713,867	82.1%	1.0%	(1,750,913)	-8.0%	-6.6%
Merced	14,293,691	17,518,479	81.6%	0.7%	(1,140,672)	-8.0%	-6.5%
Sutter	6,964,082	8,532,084	81.6%	0.3%	(555,751)	-8.0%	-6.5%
Santa Barbara	25,069,430	30,753,903	81.5%	1.2%	(2,000,602)	-8.0%	-6.5%
Solano	25,934,283	31,838,641	81.5%	1.2%	(2,069,620)	-8.0%	-6.5%
Orange	155,461,654	192,479,244	80.8%	7.3%	(12,406,224)	-8.0%	-6.4%
Nevada	5,167,168	6,403,491	80.7%	0.2%	(412,353)	-8.0%	-6.4%
Shasta	13,192,178	16,470,092	80.1%	0.6%	(1,052,768)	-8.0%	-6.4%
Santa Cruz	14,476,517	18,077,614	80.1%	0.7%	(1,155,262)	-8.0%	-6.4%
San Luis Obispo	16,147,923	20,195,240	80.0%	0.8%	(1,288,644)	-8.0%	-6.4%
Butte	11,997,928	15,006,861	79.9%	0.6%	(957,464)	-8.0%	-6.4%
Siskiyou	3,326,835	4,172,970	79.7%	0.2%	(265,490)	-8.0%	-6.4%
Fresno	56,340,159	71,160,168	79.2%	2.7%	(4,496,084)	-8.0%	-6.3%
Stanislaus	27,134,740	34,666,113	78.3%	1.3%	(2,165,419)	-8.0%	-6.2%
Tulare	25,034,842	32,123,068	77.9%	1.2%	(1,997,842)	-8.0%	-6.2%
Ventura	40,313,579	52,034,179	77.5%	1.9%	(3,217,123)	-8.0%	-6.2%
Placer	19,406,574	25,057,579	77.4%	0.9%	(1,548,693)	-8.0%	-6.2%
San Bernardino	111,344,992	147,195,060	75.6%	5.3%	(8,885,605)	-8.0%	-6.0%
Los Angeles	579,311,556	769,805,490	75.3%	27.4%	(46,230,492)	-8.0%	-6.0%
Lake	4,113,064	5,476,122	75.1%	0.2%	(328,233)	-8.0%	-6.0%
Yolo	12,755,712	17,102,756	74.6%	0.6%	(1,017,937)	-8.0%	-6.0%
Madera	9,053,145	12,200,823	74.2%	0.4%	(722,463)	-8.0%	-5.9%
San Joaquin	39,927,907	53,911,727	74.1%	1.9%	(3,186,346)	-8.0%	-5.9%
Sacramento	88,444,424	123,519,200	71.6%	4.2%	(7,058,083)	-8.0%	-5.7%
	2,116,939,534	2,626,048,210	80.6%	100.0%	(168,937,000)	-8.0%	-6.4%

After Reduction
1,948,002,534

2,626,048,210

74.2%

Floor courts
Cluster 1 courts

\$168.9m Reduction Band Scenarios - Updated

Court	Scenario 1 - 6% Band Based on Workload Formula				
	% Diff From Statewide Average	Pro Rata Reduction for Band	Add'l 1% Reduction Above Band	Scaled Reduction Below Band	% of Reduction
Sierra	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%
Alpine	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%
Mono	39.3%	-	(122,189)	-	-6.6%
Lassen	30.5%	-	(146,537)	-	-6.6%
Calaveras	22.6%	-	(192,506)	-	-6.6%
Inyo	22.6%	-	(142,321)	-	-6.6%
Del Norte	21.3%	-	(213,922)	-	-6.6%
Modoc	20.0%	-	(77,008)	-	-6.6%
San Benito	19.4%	-	(268,462)	-	-6.6%
Trinity	19.4%	-	(119,692)	-	-6.6%
Amador	19.4%	-	(240,121)	-	-6.6%
Glenn	19.4%	-	(175,849)	-	-6.6%
Mariposa	19.4%	-	(107,601)	-	-6.6%
Colusa	19.4%	-	(146,751)	-	-6.6%
Plumas	19.4%	-	(110,465)	-	-6.6%
Yuba	27.0%	-	(390,810)	-	-7.6%
San Francisco	17.8%	-	(4,677,636)	-	-7.6%
Imperial	15.6%	-	(786,887)	-	-7.6%
Marin	13.6%	-	(1,112,853)	-	-7.6%
Alameda	10.7%	-	(6,733,489)	-	-7.6%
Mendocino	9.0%	-	(568,557)	-	-7.6%
San Diego	8.6%	-	(13,571,429)	-	-7.6%
San Mateo	8.1%	-	(3,492,589)	-	-7.6%
Tuolumne	7.0%	-	(360,799)	-	-7.6%
Riverside	5.0%	-	(10,626,572)	-	-7.6%
Kings	4.5%	-	(822,563)	-	-7.6%
El Dorado	4.4%	-	(734,981)	-	-7.6%
Sonoma	4.2%	-	(2,387,092)	-	-7.6%
Contra Costa	4.0%	-	(4,209,172)	-	-7.4%
Kern	3.7%	-	(5,104,730)	-	-7.1%
Tehama	3.04%	-	(387,534)	-	-6.4%
Santa Clara	2.4%	(6,624,762)	-	-	-6.6%
Napa	2.3%	(671,479)	-	-	-6.6%
Humboldt	1.9%	(595,866)	-	-	-6.6%
Monterey	1.5%	(1,765,666)	-	-	-6.6%
Merced	1.0%	(1,157,892)	-	-	-6.6%
Sutter	1.0%	(563,932)	-	-	-6.6%
Santa Barbara	0.9%	(2,032,694)	-	-	-6.6%
Solano	0.8%	(2,104,390)	-	-	-6.6%
Orange	0.2%	(12,722,009)	-	-	-6.6%
Nevada	0.1%	(423,242)	-	-	-6.6%
Shasta	-0.5%	(1,088,599)	-	-	-6.6%
Santa Cruz	-0.5%	(1,194,849)	-	-	-6.6%
San Luis Obispo	-0.7%	(1,334,814)	-	-	-6.6%
Butte	-0.7%	(991,886)	-	-	-6.6%
Siskiyou	-0.9%	(275,814)	-	-	-6.6%
Fresno	-1.4%	(4,703,366)	-	-	-6.6%
Stanislaus	-2.3%	(2,291,274)	-	-	-6.6%
Tulare	-2.7%	(2,123,190)	-	-	-6.6%
Ventura	-3.1%	-	(3,040,890)	-	-5.8%
Placer	-3.2%	-	(1,463,856)	-	-5.8%
San Bernardino	-5.0%	-	(8,398,855)	-	-5.7%
Los Angeles	-5.4%	-	(43,698,001)	-	-5.7%
Lake	-5.5%	-	(310,252)	-	-5.7%
Yolo	-6.0%	-	(962,175)	-	-5.6%
Madera	-6.4%	-	(682,887)	-	-5.6%
San Joaquin	-6.6%	-	(3,011,799)	-	-5.6%
Sacramento	-9.0%	-	(6,671,444)	-	-5.4%
		(42,665,724)	(58,031,117)	(68,240,159)	-6.4%

(168,937,000)

Court	Scenario 2 - 4% Band Based on Workload Formula				
	% Diff From Statewide Average	Pro Rata Reduction for Band	Add'l 1% Reduction Above Band	Scaled Reduction Below Band	% of Reduction
Sierra	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%
Alpine	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%
Mono	39.3%	-	(121,882)	-	-6.6%
Lassen	30.5%	-	(146,169)	-	-6.6%
Calaveras	22.6%	-	(192,022)	-	-6.6%
Inyo	22.6%	-	(141,964)	-	-6.6%
Del Norte	21.3%	-	(213,384)	-	-6.6%
Modoc	20.0%	-	(76,815)	-	-6.6%
San Benito	19.4%	-	(267,788)	-	-6.6%
Trinity	19.4%	-	(119,391)	-	-6.6%
Amador	19.4%	-	(239,517)	-	-6.6%
Glenn	19.4%	-	(175,407)	-	-6.6%
Mariposa	19.4%	-	(107,330)	-	-6.6%
Colusa	19.4%	-	(146,383)	-	-6.6%
Plumas	19.4%	-	(110,188)	-	-6.6%
Yuba	27.0%	-	(389,958)	-	-7.6%
San Francisco	17.8%	-	(4,667,430)	-	-7.6%
Imperial	15.6%	-	(785,170)	-	-7.6%
Marin	13.6%	-	(1,110,425)	-	-7.6%
Alameda	10.7%	-	(6,718,798)	-	-7.6%
Mendocino	9.0%	-	(567,317)	-	-7.6%
San Diego	8.6%	-	(13,541,818)	-	-7.6%
San Mateo	8.1%	-	(3,484,968)	-	-7.6%
Tuolumne	7.0%	-	(360,012)	-	-7.6%
Riverside	5.0%	-	(10,603,386)	-	-7.6%
Kings	4.5%	-	(820,768)	-	-7.6%
El Dorado	4.4%	-	(733,377)	-	-7.6%
Sonoma	4.2%	-	(2,381,883)	-	-7.6%
Contra Costa	4.0%	-	(4,305,480)	-	-7.6%
Kern	3.7%	-	(5,488,133)	-	-7.6%
Tehama	3.0%	-	(447,777)	-	-7.4%
Santa Clara	2.4%	-	(6,795,305)	-	-6.8%
Napa	2.3%	-	(678,962)	-	-6.7%
Humboldt	1.9%	(594,369)	-	-	-6.6%
Monterey	1.5%	(1,761,231)	-	-	-6.6%
Merced	1.0%	(1,154,984)	-	-	-6.6%
Sutter	1.0%	(562,516)	-	-	-6.6%
Santa Barbara	0.9%	(2,027,588)	-	-	-6.6%
Solano	0.8%	(2,099,104)	-	-	-6.6%
Orange	0.2%	(12,690,052)	-	-	-6.6%
Nevada	0.1%	(422,179)	-	-	-6.6%
Shasta	-0.5%	(1,085,864)	-	-	-6.6%
Santa Cruz	-0.5%	(1,191,847)	-	-	-6.6%
San Luis Obispo	-0.7%	(1,331,461)	-	-	-6.6%
Butte	-0.7%	(989,394)	-	-	-6.6%
Siskiyou	-0.9%	(275,122)	-	-	-6.6%
Fresno	-1.4%	(4,691,551)	-	-	-6.6%
Stanislaus	-2.3%	-	(2,045,243)	-	-5.9%
Tulare	-2.7%	-	(1,886,967)	-	-5.9%
Ventura	-3.1%	-	(3,038,580)	-	-5.8%
Placer	-3.2%	-	(1,462,744)	-	-5.8%
San Bernardino	-5.0%	-	(8,392,475)	-	-5.7%
Los Angeles	-5.4%	-	(43,664,806)	-	-5.7%
Lake	-5.5%	-	(310,017)	-	-5.7%
Yolo	-6.0%	-	(961,444)	-	-5.6%
Madera	-6.4%	-	(682,368)	-	-5.6%
San Joaquin	-6.6%	-	(3,009,511)	-	-5.6%
Sacramento	-9.0%	-	(6,666,376)	-	-5.4%
		(30,877,262)	(65,939,208)	(72,120,531)	-6.4%

(168,937,000)

Floor courts
Cluster 1 courts

\$168.9m Reduction Band Scenarios - Updated

Court	Scenario 3 - 8% Band Based on Workload Formula				
	% Diff From Statewide Average	Pro Rata Reduction for Band	Add'l 1% Reduction Above Band	Scaled Reduction Below Band	% of Reduction
Sierra	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%
Alpine	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%
Mono	39.3%	-	(121,978)	-	-6.6%
Lassen	30.5%	-	(146,284)	-	-6.6%
Calaveras	22.6%	-	(192,173)	-	-6.6%
Inyo	22.6%	-	(142,075)	-	-6.6%
Del Norte	21.3%	-	(213,552)	-	-6.6%
Modoc	20.0%	-	(76,875)	-	-6.6%
San Benito	19.4%	-	(267,998)	-	-6.6%
Trinity	19.4%	-	(119,485)	-	-6.6%
Amador	19.4%	-	(239,706)	-	-6.6%
Glenn	19.4%	-	(175,545)	-	-6.6%
Mariposa	19.4%	-	(107,415)	-	-6.6%
Colusa	19.4%	-	(146,498)	-	-6.6%
Plumas	19.4%	-	(110,274)	-	-6.6%
Yuba	27.0%	-	(390,224)	-	-7.6%
San Francisco	17.8%	-	(4,670,612)	-	-7.6%
Imperial	15.6%	-	(785,706)	-	-7.6%
Marin	13.6%	-	(1,111,182)	-	-7.6%
Alameda	10.7%	-	(6,723,378)	-	-7.6%
Mendocino	9.0%	-	(567,703)	-	-7.6%
San Diego	8.6%	-	(13,551,049)	-	-7.6%
San Mateo	8.1%	-	(3,487,344)	-	-7.6%
Tuolumne	7.0%	-	(360,257)	-	-7.6%
Riverside	5.0%	-	(10,284,786)	-	-7.4%
Kings	4.5%	-	(742,285)	-	-6.9%
El Dorado	4.4%	-	(655,012)	-	-6.8%
Sonoma	4.2%	-	(2,084,437)	-	-6.6%
Contra Costa	4.03%	-	(3,642,135)	-	-6.4%
Kern	3.7%	(4,769,081)	-	-	-6.6%
Tehama	3.0%	(397,488)	-	-	-6.6%
Santa Clara	2.4%	(6,613,309)	-	-	-6.6%
Napa	2.3%	(670,318)	-	-	-6.6%
Humboldt	1.9%	(594,836)	-	-	-6.6%
Monterey	1.5%	(1,762,614)	-	-	-6.6%
Merced	1.0%	(1,155,891)	-	-	-6.6%
Sutter	1.0%	(562,957)	-	-	-6.6%
Santa Barbara	0.9%	(2,029,180)	-	-	-6.6%
Solano	0.8%	(2,100,752)	-	-	-6.6%
Orange	0.2%	(12,700,015)	-	-	-6.6%
Nevada	0.1%	(422,510)	-	-	-6.6%
Shasta	-0.5%	(1,086,717)	-	-	-6.6%
Santa Cruz	-0.5%	(1,192,783)	-	-	-6.6%
San Luis Obispo	-0.7%	(1,332,507)	-	-	-6.6%
Butte	-0.7%	(990,171)	-	-	-6.6%
Siskiyou	-0.9%	(275,338)	-	-	-6.6%
Fresno	-1.4%	(4,695,235)	-	-	-6.6%
Stanislaus	-2.3%	(2,287,312)	-	-	-6.6%
Tulare	-2.7%	(2,119,519)	-	-	-6.6%
Ventura	-3.1%	(3,433,279)	-	-	-6.6%
Placer	-3.2%	(1,653,330)	-	-	-6.6%
San Bernardino	-5.0%	-	-	(8,562,321)	-5.8%
Los Angeles	-5.4%	-	-	(44,548,494)	-5.8%
Lake	-5.5%	-	-	(316,291)	-5.8%
Yolo	-6.0%	-	-	(980,902)	-5.7%
Madera	-6.4%	-	-	(696,178)	-5.7%
San Joaquin	-6.6%	-	-	(3,070,417)	-5.7%
Sacramento	-9.0%	-	-	(6,801,290)	-5.5%
		(52,845,139)	(51,115,968)	(64,975,892)	-6.4%
				(168,937,000)	

Floor courts
Cluster 1 courts