



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MATERIALS FOR JUNE 3, 2019 TELECONFERENCE MEETING

Meeting Contents

Agenda	1
Minutes	
Draft minutes from the May 2, 2019 Meeting	3
Discussion and Possible Action Items	
Item 1 – Additional 2019-20 Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) (Action Required)	7
Attachment 1A: TCTF Support for Operations of the Trial Courts (0150010) Allocations	10
Attachment 1B: 2019-20 Workload Formula Allocation	12
Attachment 1C: TCTF Fund Condition Statement	14
Item 2 – Allocation Methodology for Additional Judgeships (Action Required)	15
Attachment 2A: Proposed Allocation of \$24.4 Million	17



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

Request for ADA accommodations
should be made at least three business
days before the meeting and directed to:
JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date: June 3, 2019
Time: 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.
Public Call-in Number: 1-877-820-7831; passcode 1884843 (Listen Only)

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the May 2, 2019, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee meeting.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov or mailed or delivered to Judicial Council of California, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, attention: Ms. Brandy Sanborn. Only written comments received by 12:00 p.m. on May 31, 2019 will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1-2)

Item 1

Additional 2019-20 Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and General Fund (Action Required)

Consideration of recommendations of the Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee regarding allocations from the TCTF proposed in the Governor's Budget including cannabis convictions, and in the May Revise including 25 additional judgeships.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Melissa Ng, Senior Budget Analyst, Judicial Council
Budget Services

Item 2

Allocation Methodology for Additional Judgeships (Action Required)

Consideration of the Workload Formula methodology for allocation of the trial court operations portion of new judgeship funding.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Manager, Judicial Council Court
Research

IV. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

None

V. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

May 2, 2019

10:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.

Boardroom, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102

Advisory Body Members Present: Judges: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin (Chair), Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton, Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Hon. Mark A. Cope, Hon. Kimberly Gaab, Hon. Teri L. Jackson, Hon. Charles Margines, Hon. Brian McCabe (phone), Hon. Gary Nadler (phone), and Hon. B. Scott Thomsen (phone).

Executive Officers: Ms. Rebecca Fleming (Vice Chair), Ms. Kim Bartleson (phone), Ms. Sherri Carter (phone), Mr. Chad Finke, Mr. Kevin Harrigan (phone), Mr. Michael D. Planet, Mr. Michael M. Roddy, Mr. Brian Taylor, Ms. Kim Turner, Ms. Tania Ugrin-Capobianco (phone), and Mr. David Yamasaki.

Judicial Council Staff Advisory Members: Mr. John Wordlaw and Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. Andrew S. Blum and Hon. Jill C. Fannin

Others Present: Ms. Lucy Fogarty, Ms. Brandy Sanborn, Ms. Michele Allan, Ms. Donna Newman, Ms. Melissa Ng, Mr. Jason Haas, Mr. Catrayel Wood, Ms. Charlene Depner, Mr. Don Will, Mr. Douglas Denton, Mr. Eric Schnurpfeil, Mr. Doug Kauffroath, Ms. Audrey Fancy, Ms. Penelope Davis, and Ms. Vida Terry.

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair welcomed the then called the meeting to order at 10:17 a.m. and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the April 16, 2019 Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-7)

Item 1 - 2019-20 AB 1058 Allocations (Action Required)

Consideration of the 2019-20 allocations for the child support commissioner and family law facilitator programs.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Anna Maves, Supervising Attorney, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children, and the Courts

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously voted to approve the recommendation for funding of child support commissioners for fiscal year (FY) 2019-20, subject to the state Budget Act, and for funding of family law facilitators for FY 2019-20, subject to the state Budget Act.

Item 2 - 2019-20 Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Allocations (Action Required)

Consideration of the 2019-20 allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) for court-appointed dependency counsel.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Audrey Fancy, Supervising Attorney, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children, and the Courts

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously voted to approve the recommendation of two separate allocations for Court Appointed Counsel funding including \$136.7 million in the event there is no additional funding included in the 2019 Budget Act; and \$156.7 million in the event the \$20 million in proposed additional funding is in the final 2019 Budget Act.

Item 3 - Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for 2019-20 (Action Required)

Consideration of recommendations of the Revenue and Expenditure (R&E) Subcommittee regarding allocations from the IMF for 2019-20.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Jason Haas, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously voted to approve a recommendation to approve a total of \$80,079,860 in allocations for 2019-20 from the IMF, contingent upon approval of various budget change proposals (BCPs) in the 2019 Budget Act; and to approve an additional allocation value of \$8,367,208, provided the 2019-20 BCPs that shift expenditures to the General Fund are denied.

Item 4 - Allocations from the TCTF and Trial Court Allocations for 2019-20 (Action Required)

Consideration of recommendations of the R&E Subcommittee regarding allocations from the TCTF for 2019-20, and consideration of 2019-20 trial court allocations from the TCTF and General Fund including the workload formula, criminal justice realignment, self-help, and benefits.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Melissa Ng, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously voted to approve recommendations to approve base, discretionary and non-discretionary programs from the TCTF in the amount of \$2.21 billion; approve a General Fund allocation in the amount of \$68.8 million for employee benefits; and approve a Workload Formula allocation of \$2.032 billion based on methodologies approved by the Judicial Council.

Item 5 - Interpreter Shortfall Methodology (Action Required)

Consideration of options from the Funding Methodology Subcommittee for recommendation to the Judicial Council to address a projected 2019-20 shortfall and development of a methodology to manage anticipated, ongoing shortfalls.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Catrayel Wood, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee voted to approve a recommendation to authorize a one-time allocation of unrestricted fund balance from the TCTF in an amount not to exceed \$13.5 million, with an alternative considered to allocate a reduction to courts up to \$13.5 million via a pro rata reduction to courts based on prior year actuals. The vote was as follows:

- Yes: 20
- No: 1
- Abstain: 0

Item 6 - Prioritization of Trial Court Budget Change Proposal (BCP) Concepts for 2020-21 (Action Required)

Review and prioritize trial court BCP concepts, and BCP concept submissions in which the TCBAC was identified as having purview and the opportunity to provide input, for submission to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee for its review.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee; Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Vice Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously approved to prioritize the BCPs identified by the committee in the following order:

1. Trial Court Funding Stabilization, \$390m
2. Trial Court Civil Assessment Backfill, \$56.4m
3. Trial Court Civil Assessment Maintenance of Effort, \$48.3m
4. Trial Court Reserve Cap
5. Funding for 10 of the 50 Judgeships Authorized by AB 159, \$7.4m - \$15.4m

Of the BCPs in which TCBAC was identified as having purview and the opportunity to provide input, the committee unanimously approved to prioritize two in the following order:

1. Language Access Expansion in California, \$18.3m (includes one-time funding)
2. Trial Court Facility Operations and Maintenance Funding, \$51.5m

The remaining BCPs under the committee's purview were unanimously approved without prioritization:

- a. Digitizing Documents Phases 2 and 3, \$6.7m one-time in 2020-21, \$11.1m one-time in 2021-22
- b. Next Generation Hosting Consulting Services for Data Center and Disaster Recovery, \$540k with \$1.3m one-time in 2020-21 and \$624k one-time in 2021-22
- c. Productizing California Court Innovation Grants
- d. Trial and Appellate Court Deferred Maintenance Funding, \$100m one-time
- e. Trial Court Lease Funding, \$8m

Item 7- Judicial Council Advisory Committee on Audits and Financial Accountability Letter (Action Required)

Consideration of a recommendation to address inconsistencies in the trial court encumbrance policies as alerted to TCBAC by the Advisory Committee on Audits and Financial Accountability for the Judicial Branch.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Doug Kauffroath, Director, Judicial Council Branch Accounting and Procurement

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously voted to approve directing the Judicial Council staff responsible for coordinating changes to the Trial Court Financial Policies and Procedures Manual (FIN Manual) to initiate an off-cycle (outside annual update) amendment process to include the encumbrance guidelines as outlined in allowing for editorial updates as proposed by the trial courts, Department of Finance, and the State Controller's Office in their statutorily mandate review of FIN Manual updates.

INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (INFO 1)

Info 1- Budget Update for 2019-20

Update on the budget for 2019-20.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Judicial Council Budget Services

Action: No action taken.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:09 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

(Action Item)

Title: 2019-20 Additional Trial Court Allocations
Date: 6/3/2019
Contact: Melissa Ng, Senior Budget Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services
916-263-1754 | Melissa.Ng@jud.ca.gov

Issue

The 2019 Governor’s May Revise provides funding for the judicial branch, which includes allocations to trial courts requiring Judicial Council allocation per Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A). The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) is asked to consider approval of additional 2019-20 allocations of \$83.194 million and adjustments of -\$2.139 million from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF), and a \$75 million General Fund allocation for recommendation to the Judicial Council at its July 18-19, 2019 business meeting.

Recommendation

The following recommendations are presented to TCBAC for approval for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 18-19, 2019 business meeting:

1. Approve \$24.486 million from the TCTF for general trial court operations allocated based on the Judicial Council-approved Workload Formula Allocation policy (Attachment 1A, line 23);
2. Approve \$10.852 million from the TCTF for the Court Interpreters Program (Attachment 1A, lines 28 and 29);
3. Approve \$13.9 million from the TCTF for Cannabis Convictions Resentencing (Attachment 1A, line 24);
4. Approve \$2.139 million reduction from the TCTF related to revised benefit cost change for non-interpreter employees, subordinate judicial officer (SJO) conversions, and replenishment of the \$10 million reserve (Attachment 1A, lines 19, 22 and 32);
5. Approve \$33.955 million in available reimbursement from the TCTF for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel (Attachment 1A, line 55); and
6. Approve \$75 million from the General Fund for the Pretrial Pilot Program.

Background

Superior Court Judgeships

The 2019 Governor’s May Revise provides a total of \$30.4 million in 2019-20 for an additional 25 superior court judgeships to address judicial workload needs and access to justice:

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

- \$24.486 million for operation of trial courts related to support for judgeships (Program 0150010);
- \$4.643 million for compensation of superior court judges (Program 0150019); and
- \$1.288 million for court interpreters (Program 0150037).

AB 159 (Stats 2007, Ch. 722,) authorized 50 additional judgeships to be allocated to various county superior courts. SB 879 (Ch. 457, Stats. 2018) amended Government Code section 69614.3 to allocate two of the 50 judgeships to the County of Riverside effective July 1, 2018.

This proposal would provide funding for 25 of the 48 remaining trial court judgeships authorized by AB 159.

Currently, judges are either paid directly through the Judicial Council or are paid through the county and reimbursed through the State Controller' Office. As a result, the \$4.6 million for judges' compensation is excluded from this recommendation.

The funding provided for additional judgeships also includes court interpreter complement in the amount of \$1.288 million. Judicial Council Budget Services staff recommends that the TCBAC approve the allocation for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 18-19, 2019 business meeting.

The remaining \$24.486 million for support of operation of trial courts is allocated based on current Workload Formula policy approved by the Judicial Council. It includes:

- \$455,345 for non-sheriff security (Attachment 1B, column W);
- \$1.083 million to bring Cluster 1 courts up to 100% of Workload Formula funding (Attachment 1B, column Q); and
- \$22.947 million allocated 50 percent to courts below the statewide average, and 50 percent to courts below 100% (Attachment 1B, column R).
 - Allocation of the \$22.947 by trial court is pending TCBAC action presented in Item 2.

Court Interpreters Program

The 2019-20 Governor's May Revise provides \$9.564 million for the expansion of interpreter services in civil matters, and to address increased costs in criminal cases. TCBAC previously approved the Court Interpreter Program allocation of \$109.833 million at its May 2, 2019 meeting. The inclusion of the Governor's May Revise proposal would increase court interpreter funding to \$120.685 million for 2019-20.

Cannabis Convictions: Resentencing

The Governor's Budget includes \$13.9 million to support increased workload for the trial courts as a result of the enactment of Chapter 993, Statutes of 2018 (AB 1793), which requires sentence

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

modification of past cannabis conviction cases pursuant to the Control, Regulate, and Tax Adult Use of Marijuana Act. The methodology for the allocation of these funds will be considered by the Funding Methodology Subcommittee at its June 17, 2019 meeting, and by TCBAC at its July 25, 2019 meeting.

Dependency Counsel Title IV-E Funding

The 2019 Governor’s Budget Proposal included \$20 million ongoing General Fund, increasing dependency counsel funding to \$156.7 million. Federal reimbursements in the amount of \$33.955 million have become available in 2019-20 due to the recent federal Families First Prevention Services Act which expanded the list of eligible Title IV-E reimbursable costs associated with court-appointed dependency counsel. The federal funds increase the dependency counsel funding from \$156.7 million to \$190.7 million in 2019-20. The Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts administers this program and will take allocation of funds to committees and the Judicial Council, as required.

Pretrial Pilot Program

The Governor’s Budget includes \$75 million General Fund for the implementation, operation, or evaluation of programs or efforts in 8 to 10 courts related to pre-trial decision making. The Subcommittee of Pretrial Reform and Operations Workgroup (PROW), composed of members whose courts will not be applying for funding, will review staff input and make recommendations for consideration by the Judicial Council at its August 9, 2019 meeting. Courts selected for a pilot program will receive quarterly distributions.

Other Technical Adjustments

- Reduction of \$1.7 million for the full-year cost adjustment related to SJO conversions in 2018-19.
- Reduction of \$117,124 for the replenishment of the \$10 million state-level reserve, approved by the Judicial Council at its May 17, 2019 business meeting.
- Reduction of \$286,765 to correct a misreported amount of non-court interpreter employee benefits included in the previously approved allocation by TCBAC at its May 2, 2019 meeting.

Attachments

Attachment 1A: 2019-20 TCTF Recommended Preliminary Allocation

Attachment 1B: 2019-20 Workload Formula Allocation

Attachment 1C: TCTF Fund Condition Statement

Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) 2019-20 Allocation

1	2018-19 Ending Base	1,979,428,489
2	2018-19 Allocation Adjustments	
3	Subordinate Judicial Officer Conversions (Pro-rated Adjustment for 2018-19 Conversions)	(3,123,761)
4	Riverside Judgeships	1,896,000
5	2018-19 Self-Help Funding Shift (From Base to Reimbursement Section, line 43)	(19,100,000)
6		<u>(20,327,761)</u>
7	2018-19 Adjusted Base Allocation	1,959,100,728
8	2018-19 Other Allocations	
9	Criminal Justice Realignment	9,223,000
10	2% Automation Replacement	10,907,514
11	Telephonic Appearances	943,840
12		<u>21,074,354</u>
13	Less General Fund Employee Benefits	(68,818,575)
14	Less Trial Court Operations Funded from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account (ICNA)	(50,000,000)
15	2018-19 Adjusted TCTF Allocation (Program 0150010)	1,861,356,507
16	2018-19 Court Interpreter (Program 0150037)	108,960,000
17	New and Changed Allocations (Program 0150010)	
18	2018-19 Non-Court Interpreter Employee Benefits Cost Change	21,922,399
19	2019-18 Non-Court Interpreter Employee Benefits Cost Change Adjustment	(286,765)
20	Court Reporters in Family Law (Discretionary)	8,787,706
21	Court Reporters in Family Law	1,212,294
22	Subordinate Judicial Officer Conversions (Full-Year Cost Adjustment for 2018-19 Conversions)	(1,734,620)
23	Superior Court Judgeships - Support for Operation of Trial Courts	24,486,000
24	Cannabis Convictions: Resentencing	13,901,000
25		<u>68,288,014</u>
26	New and Changed Allocations (Program 0150037)	
27	2018-19 Court Interpreter Employee Benefits Cost Change (Program 0150037)	873,486
28	Language Access Expansion	9,564,000
29	Superior Court Judgeships - Court Interpreters	1,288,000
30		<u>11,725,486</u>
31	2019-20 One-Time Allocation Adjustment	
32	\$10 Million Reserve Replenishment for 2018-19 Distribution	(117,124)
33	2019-20 TCTF Base and Other Allocations	2,050,212,883
34	R&E Subcommittee Recommendations	
35	Judicial Council (Staff) - Program 0140010	
36	Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program	500,000
37	Equal Access Fund	246,000
38	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections	260,000
39	Statewide Support for Collections Programs	806,000
40	Phoenix Financial Services	72,500
41	Phoenix Human Resources Services	1,401,400
42	Statewide E-Filing Implementation	630,000
43		<u>3,915,900</u>

Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) 2019-20 Allocation

44	Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts - Program 0150095	
45	Children in Dependency Case Training	113,000
46	Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program	7,490,937
47	Civil, Small Claims, Probate and Mental Health (V3) Case Management System	564,000
48	California Courts Technology Center	688,800
49	Interim Case Management System	62,200
50	Other Post Employment Benefits Valuations	556,062
51	External Audit - Trial Court Audit Program	540,000
52		<u>10,014,999</u>
53	Allocation for Court-Appointment Dependency Counsel - Program 0150011	
54	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel ¹	156,700,000
55	Available Federal Reimbursement for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	<u>33,955,000</u>
56		190,655,000
57	Allocation for Reimbursements - Program 0150010	
58	Jury	14,500,000
59	Replacement Screening Stations	1,300,000
60	Self-Help Center (includes \$19.1 million moved from base)	21,600,000
61	Elder Abuse	332,340
62	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections Reimbursement Rollover	pending
63	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections Reimbursement	766,198
64		<u>38,498,538</u>
65	2019-20 Total TCTF/Other Allocations (Base + R&E Recommendations)	2,293,297,320
66	2019-20 TCTF Allocation by Program	
67	0140010 - Judicial Council	3,915,900
68	0150010 - Support for Operation of Trial Courts	1,968,025,935
69	0150011 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	190,655,000
70	0150037 - Court Interpreters	120,685,486
71	0150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts	10,014,999
72	Total 2019-20 Allocation by Program	<u>2,293,297,320</u>

¹ Includes \$20 million in new funding proposed in the 2019-20 Governor's Budget.

Court	2018-19 Adjusted Base Allocation	2017-18 NON-BASE ADJUSTMENTS USED TO CALCULATE WORKLOAD ALLOCATION				2018-19 BASE ADJUSTMENTS USED TO CALCULATE WORKLOAD ALLOCATION			Changes to Workload Allocation										2019-20 Workload Allocation	2019-20 Workload Formula	2019-20 Workload Formula Percentage		
		Automated Recordkeeping & Micrographics	2% Automation Replacement	2018-19 Self-Help (3-Year Limited Term)	Self-Help	Security Base (FY 10-11) Adjustment	SIO Adjustment	Total Workload Formula Related Adjustments	2018-19 Workload Allocation	Fiscal Neutral Cost Change	Fiscal Neutral Offset	Change in Revenue Collected	Fiscal Neutral Cost Change	Proportional Share	Revenue Collected	RAS Methodology	2019-20 Workload Funding Floor Adjustment	Support for New Judgeships (Cluster 1 Courts to 100%)				Support for New Judgeships (Courts Below Statewide Avg. & Courts Below 100%)	2019-20 Workload Allocation
Alameda	76,817,412	96,585	424,792	795,129	105,802	(3,275,206)	(2,019,741)	(3,872,640)	72,944,773	-	(18,741)	5,142	2,392,027	397,540	4,109,810	(2,273)	-	-	79,828,277	86,474,695	92.3%		
Alpine	747,588	32	2,034	556	65	-	-	2,687	750,276	-	-	(12)	13,000	-	22,786	13,950	-	-	800,000	426,518	187.6%		
Amador	2,861,472	656	11,006	18,548	2,333	-	-	32,543	2,894,015	-	-	31	24,543	-	222,444	(97)	262,936	-	3,403,872	3,403,969	100.0%		
Butte	11,704,996	13,427	59,332	109,411	14,122	(481,445)	(330,047)	(615,200)	11,089,796	-	(21,028)	25	2,229	-	396,005	(327)	-	-	11,466,700	14,668,798	78.2%		
Calaveras	2,589,298	800	18,652	21,828	2,707	-	-	43,986	2,633,285	-	-	90	25,934	-	94,505	(83)	165,796	-	2,915,525	2,915,609	100.0%		
Colusa	1,979,551	339	13,708	10,652	1,358	-	-	26,057	2,005,608	-	-	38	25,526	-	138,576	(62)	-	-	2,169,686	2,055,381	105.6%		
Contra Costa	41,109,976	64,244	218,186	550,676	74,046	(850,172)	(850,172)	56,979	41,166,956	-	(135,831)	3,486	827,215	-	4,577,997	(3,322)	-	-	46,438,500	54,552,885	85.0%		
Del Norte	2,925,150	423	11,208	13,108	1,544	-	-	(90,076)	2,935,075	-	-	42	158,849	-	84,809	(91)	-	-	3,195,043	3,095,378	103.2%		
El Dorado	7,222,030	3,120	54,374	89,432	1,544	-	(154,758)	3,775	7,225,804	-	-	36,474	329	230,562	37,609	176,471	(219)	-	7,707,029	9,497,526	81.1%		
Fresno	51,117,892	60,160	181,080	481,310	64,728	-	(1,018,675)	(231,396)	50,886,496	-	(109,213)	5,494	(448,513)	265,110	3,548,893	(1,542)	-	-	54,146,725	67,406,253	80.3%		
Glenn	2,120,171	440	19,264	13,884	1,741	(10,078)	-	2,145,422	2,145,422	-	-	54	28,765	-	180,730	(69)	79,547	-	2,434,449	2,434,626	100.0%		
Humboldt	6,717,859	7,448	48,160	66,183	8,489	(172,937)	(161,102)	(203,757)	6,514,102	-	-	38,864	160	25,385	-	775,856	(209)	-	7,354,158	8,692,756	84.6%		
Imperial	9,232,298	8,847	67,678	91,013	12,403	(433,351)	(151,222)	(404,631)	8,827,667	-	-	151,222	(273)	154,305	-	930,361	(287)	-	10,062,996	11,129,924	90.4%		
Inyo	2,165,882	222	30,402	8,998	1,131	(192,372)	-	(151,620)	2,014,262	-	-	75	44,002	-	91,716	(62)	97,555	-	2,180,541	2,182,661	99.9%		
Kern	54,423,177	54,614	277,328	432,568	59,500	(67,574)	(1,531,380)	(774,944)	53,648,233	-	(457,000)	20,070	141,540	-	6,809,874	(1,713)	-	-	60,148,005	71,109,226	84.6%		
Kings	7,968,836	8,136	57,026	72,265	9,129	(434,834)	(265,474)	(553,752)	7,415,085	-	-	58,552	576	72,365	41,393	879,457	(241)	-	8,467,186	9,871,265	85.8%		
Lake	3,988,695	1,343	20,328	31,385	3,967	(202,508)	-	(210,852)	3,778,843	-	-	(48)	63,696	20,545	37,823	(111)	-	-	3,898,077	5,147,175	75.7%		
Lassen	2,425,096	415	20,156	14,941	1,660	(302,831)	-	(265,659)	2,163,437	-	-	21	1,071	-	238,446	(69)	-	-	2,408,906	2,347,609	102.6%		
Los Angeles	555,725,135	870,104	3,144,530	4,949,153	619,270	(14,732,045)	(19,855,347)	(25,904,335)	530,720,800	(1,155,516)	(2,622,346)	21	3,046,522	2,886,810	15,976,976	(15,631)	-	-	548,891,828	720,403,452	76.2%		
Madera	8,259,895	2,599	52,502	75,626	10,028	(393,081)	-	(252,327)	8,107,568	-	-	114	22,956	-	43,218	589,825	(250)	-	8,763,442	10,879,787	80.5%		
Marin	12,128,314	15,296	114,766	127,388	16,422	(9,920)	(64,829)	199,123	12,327,438	-	-	64,829	599	(139,473)	62,936	1,180,186	(384)	-	13,496,130	14,126,733	95.5%		
Mariposa	1,340,196	276	3,904	8,770	1,095	-	-	14,045	1,354,240	-	-	(34,500)	50	2,258	-	96,296	(42)	66,933	-	1,485,235	1,485,277	100.0%	
Mendocino	6,188,362	4,430	30,068	43,074	5,331	(308,513)	(17,930)	(243,539)	5,944,823	-	-	17,930	388	217,589	31,965	215,843	(183)	-	6,428,534	7,426,169	86.6%		
Merced	13,294,250	12,398	55,652	132,733	17,911	-	(394,167)	(175,472)	13,118,778	-	-	62,251	1,926	46,029	-	544,855	(392)	-	13,773,447	17,378,170	79.3%		
Modoc	1,022,344	278	6,134	4,630	567	(813)	-	10,796	1,033,140	-	-	16	21,921	-	37,240	(32)	43,046	-	1,135,330	1,135,371	100.0%		
Mono	1,933,413	168	12,446	6,627	834	(24,895)	-	(4,820)	1,928,592	-	-	(17,401)	36	10,812	-	181,926	(63)	91,442	-	2,195,346	2,195,675	100.0%	
Monterey	20,020,566	19,958	183,644	213,775	27,573	(896,632)	(370,295)	(822,157)	19,198,409	-	-	25,271	662	317,866	103,764	1,339,627	(598)	-	20,985,001	24,021,877	87.4%		
Napa	7,672,619	2,308	30,550	68,819	8,984	(304,599)	(386,927)	(580,864)	7,091,755	(104,742)	(35,924)	254	137,689	-	838,643	(226)	-	-	7,927,449	9,650,276	82.1%		
Nevada	5,516,236	4,814	49,946	47,759	5,920	(446,699)	(320,695)	(668,954)	4,857,282	-	-	12,445	264	30,486	-	305,635	(148)	-	5,205,964	6,382,981	81.6%		
Orange	141,318,302	235,272	923,882	1,543,529	198,855	(2,817,461)	(4,282,161)	(4,198,084)	137,120,218	(287,118)	(203,273)	1,849	(319,861)	734,637	11,061,510	(4,218)	-	-	148,116,744	180,685,494	82.0%		
Placer	17,477,787	20,905	77,318	185,008	26,240	-	(970,110)	(660,579)	16,817,209	-	-	107,939	2,965	222,437	-	1,530,743	(532)	-	18,680,760	23,721,877	78.7%		
Plumas	1,237,783	266	9,206	9,578	1,123	-	-	20,172	1,257,956	-	-	154	10,266	-	17,873	(40)	133,934	-	1,420,143	1,420,183	100.0%		
Riverside	100,693,803	57,407	532,226	1,152,459	164,306	(1,990,647)	(2,540,304)	(2,624,553)	98,069,250	-	-	(683,751)	(1,344)	2,333,859	514,099	14,880,915	(3,278)	-	115,109,750	131,444,731	87.6%		
Sacramento	80,408,562	197,102	340,254	732,021	97,629	(1,921,497)	(3,915,768)	(2,470,260)	77,938,002	-	-	1,509,364	9,656	1,485,041	415,809	2,924,441	(2,400)	-	84,280,213	119,006,905	70.8%		
San Benito	3,277,419	1,085	14,700	27,475	3,403	-	-	46,663	3,324,083	-	-	62	50,843	-	95,147	(103)	139,237	-	3,609,268	3,609,371	100.0%		
San Bernardino	106,643,595	147,729	435,474	1,043,955	138,498	(3,369,529)	(3,251,190)	(4,855,063)	101,788,533	-	(185,293)	19,947	2,591,739	554,088	3,345,954	(3,079)	-	-	108,111,889	138,199,504	78.2%		
San Diego	143,108,618	217,206	718,442	1,602,568	212,180	(677,310)	(4,366,278)	(2,291,192)	140,817,427	(111,459)	(43,205)	10,038	942,844	742,318	9,996,639	(4,338)	-	-	152,350,262	171,051,818	89.1%		
San Francisco	54,490,253	68,146	272,528	422,475	56,392	-	(508,842)	310,699	54,800,952	-	-	17,778	3,816	669,758	280,488	3,906,607	(1,699)	-	59,677,691	56,652,812	105.3%		
San Joaquin	37,521,643	46,781	201,698	360,928	48,830	(296,555)	(853,972)	(492,291)	37,029,352	-	-	(107,573)	7,003	737,372	194,123	488,995	(1,092)	-	38,348,181	51,084,825	75.1%		
San Luis Obispo	14,410,781	14,981	130,020	135,360	17,727	(249,074)	(417,124)	(368,110)	14,042,671	-	-	(28,334)	379	282,672	74,917	1,159,115	(442)	-	15,530,979	19,759,134	78.6%		
San Mateo	38,207,726	13,022	329,518	372,205	48,731	(456,604)	(1,648,337)	(1,341,467)	36,866,260	(75,784)	(4,684)	300	647,571	198,431	2,133,242	(1,132)	-	-	39,764,434	46,876,457	84.6%		
Santa Barbara	23,582,216	23,479	162,858	217,785	28,609	(1,087,411)	(529,336)	(1,184,014)	22,998,202	-	-	(22,811)	1,593	319,695	121,916	1,452,319	(691)	-	24,270,222	30,231,570	80.3%		
Santa Clara	77,237,234	88,760	452,782	936,636	124,902	-	(752,452)	850,627	78,087,862	-	-	(13,723)	7,925	1,022,089	400,368	741,615	(2,285)	-	80,243,850	96,044,759	83.5%		
Santa Cruz	13,008,694	12,116	113,210	133,670	17,394	-	(297,927)	(21,538)	12,987,156	-	-	31,250	1,051	101,170	-	546,669	(389)	-	13,666,907	17,187,826	79.5%		
Shasta	15,079,299	3,603	44,394	86,312	10,670	(2,714,484)	(322,217)	(2,891,722)	12,187,577	-	-	20,760	129	10,979	-	309,012	(357)	-	12,528,101	15,980,523	78.3%		
Sierra	736,869	31	1,830	1,550	181	-	-	3,592	740,4														

Court	Other Allocations and Information							2019-20 Total Allocation and Revenues	One-Time Adjustments			2019-20 Total Adjusted Allocation and Revenues
	Non-Sheriff Security	Support for New Judgeships Non-Sheriff Security (1.07%)	SJOs (excludes AB 1058)	Telephonic Appearances	Criminal Justice Realignment	Funding for Court Reporters in Family Law	Total Other Allocations and Information		2018-19 Supplemental Funding (\$10m Reserve) Replenishment	Preliminary One-Time Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1% Cap	Total Adjustments	
	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB (V-AA)		AC (S+AB)	AD	AE	
Alameda	3,275,206	35,045	2,038,482	-	258,356	-	5,607,089	85,435,366	(4,546)		(4,546)	85,430,820
Alpine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	800,000	(42)		(42)	799,958
Amador	-	-	-	-	5,790	5,091	10,881	3,414,753	(165)		(165)	3,414,588
Butte	481,445	5,151	351,075	15,210	100,906	60,749	1,014,537	12,481,237	(699)		(699)	12,480,538
Calaveras	-	-	-	791	8,363	-	9,155	2,928,680	(154)		(154)	2,928,526
Colusa	-	-	-	-	6,727	-	6,727	2,176,413	(115)		(115)	2,176,299
Contra Costa	-	-	986,003	-	121,451	213,434	1,320,888	47,759,388	(2,452)		(2,452)	47,756,935
Del Norte	-	-	-	-	10,000	-	10,000	3,205,042	(178)		(178)	3,204,864
El Dorado	-	-	118,284	24,418	38,908	-	181,610	7,888,639	(446)		(446)	7,888,193
Fresno	-	-	1,127,888	75,930	262,538	-	1,466,356	55,613,081	(2,961)		(2,961)	55,610,120
Glenn	10,078	108	-	1,230	5,818	-	17,234	2,451,683	(125)		(125)	2,451,558
Humboldt	172,937	1,850	122,238	12,250	35,817	34,954	380,046	7,734,204	(406)		(406)	7,733,798
Imperial	433,351	4,637	-	25,465	29,817	47,852	541,122	10,604,117	(555)		(555)	10,603,562
Inyo	192,372	2,058	66,958	1,395	5,273	-	268,056	2,448,597	(123)		(123)	2,448,473
Kern	67,574	723	1,988,380	38,700	354,353	281,681	2,731,411	62,879,416	(3,153)		(3,153)	62,876,263
Kings	434,834	4,653	206,922	5,935	60,180	-	712,523	9,179,709	(482)		(482)	9,179,227
Lake	202,508	2,167	67,039	-	16,545	-	288,259	4,186,336	(237)		(237)	4,186,098
Lassen	302,831	3,240	-	4,241	4,000	-	314,312	2,723,219	(140)		(140)	2,723,079
Los Angeles	14,732,045	157,633	22,477,693	-	3,031,910	-	40,399,281	589,291,108	(34,504)		(34,504)	589,256,604
Madera	393,081	4,206	-	-	38,736	-	436,014	9,199,456	(498)		(498)	9,198,958
Marin	9,920	106	-	42,540	18,727	-	71,292	13,567,422	(675)		(675)	13,566,747
Mariposa	-	-	34,500	-	4,545	-	39,046	1,524,280	(76)		(76)	1,524,204
Mendocino	308,513	3,301	-	8,520	41,272	-	361,605	6,789,959	(381)		(381)	6,789,578
Merced	-	-	331,916	13,095	111,451	68,995	525,457	14,298,904	(781)		(781)	14,298,123
Modoc	813	9	-	776	2,000	-	3,598	1,138,928	(59)		(59)	1,138,868
Mono	24,895	266	17,401	-	545	-	43,108	2,238,454	(106)		(106)	2,238,348
Monterey	896,632	9,594	345,025	-	33,817	-	1,285,068	22,270,069	(1,159)		(1,159)	22,268,909
Napa	304,599	3,259	422,851	14,590	18,181	40,006	803,486	8,730,936	(442)		(442)	8,730,494
Nevada	446,699	4,780	308,250	-	3,091	28,461	791,280	5,997,244	(313)		(313)	5,996,931
Orange	2,817,461	30,147	4,485,435	-	482,531	-	7,815,574	155,932,318	(8,084)		(8,084)	155,924,234
Placer	-	-	862,171	24,920	52,726	90,332	1,030,149	19,710,909	(1,007)		(1,007)	19,709,902
Plumas	-	-	-	-	2,448	-	2,448	1,425,863	(76)		(76)	1,425,786
Riverside	1,990,647	21,300	3,224,055	-	766,341	-	6,002,343	121,112,093	(6,091)		(6,091)	121,106,002
Sacramento	1,921,497	20,560	406,404	43,920	166,904	-	2,559,285	86,839,498	(4,788)		(4,788)	86,834,710
San Benito	-	-	-	-	9,636	-	9,636	3,618,905	(187)		(187)	3,618,718
San Bernardino	3,369,529	36,054	3,436,483	239,760	997,789	-	8,079,615	116,191,503	(6,706)		(6,706)	116,184,797
San Diego	677,310	7,247	4,407,483	-	491,985	-	5,584,025	157,934,287	(8,212)		(8,212)	157,926,075
San Francisco	-	-	491,065	17,515	72,725	-	581,305	60,258,996	(3,167)		(3,167)	60,255,830
San Joaquin	296,555	3,173	961,545	51,955	88,543	-	1,401,771	39,749,953	(2,247)		(2,247)	39,747,705
San Luis Obispo	249,074	2,665	445,458	18,700	94,179	-	810,076	16,341,055	(856)		(856)	16,340,199
San Mateo	456,604	4,886	1,653,021	39,743	63,089	-	2,217,343	41,981,776	(2,177)		(2,177)	41,979,599
Santa Barbara	1,087,411	11,635	552,146	44,719	72,907	-	1,768,818	26,039,040	(1,357)		(1,357)	26,037,683
Santa Clara	-	-	766,176	-	183,085	-	949,261	81,199,111	(4,483)		(4,483)	81,188,628
Santa Cruz	-	-	266,678	21,904	33,454	67,302	389,337	14,056,244	(752)		(752)	14,055,492
Shasta	2,714,484	29,045	301,456	9,190	72,543	77,290	3,204,008	15,732,109	(866)		(866)	15,731,243
Sierra	-	-	-	630	1,455	-	2,085	802,085	(43)		(43)	802,042
Siskiyou	-	-	179,015	-	6,909	-	185,924	3,420,385	(189)		(189)	3,420,196
Solano	448,728	4,801	448,631	42,765	108,360	-	1,053,286	25,090,834	(1,305)		(1,305)	25,089,528
Sonoma	453,469	4,852	584,160	14,895	73,089	-	1,130,465	26,363,533	(1,427)		(1,427)	26,362,105
Stanislaus	9,611	103	572,037	46,740	95,634	-	724,125	28,121,228	(1,518)		(1,518)	28,119,710
Sutter	254,634	2,725	-	2,795	27,090	-	287,244	6,205,833	(338)		(338)	6,205,495
Tehama	-	-	-	1,340	14,545	-	15,885	4,820,622	(269)		(269)	4,820,352
Trinity	530,681	5,678	-	400	1,273	-	538,032	2,185,347	(121)		(121)	2,185,226
Tulare	16,053	172	543,948	12,890	100,179	-	673,241	25,406,761	(1,373)		(1,373)	25,405,388
Tuolumne	227,266	2,432	61,207	6,280	7,454	-	304,639	4,190,859	(228)		(228)	4,190,631
Ventura	1,606,885	17,194	804,479	-	412,897	201,238	3,042,693	41,631,791	(2,251)		(2,251)	41,629,541
Yolo	600,732	6,428	-	-	53,089	-	660,249	13,053,080	(727)		(727)	13,052,353
Yuba	136,627	1,462	-	9,456	40,908	-	188,453	5,602,933	(306)		(306)	5,602,627
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,946,798	-		-	22,946,798
Total	42,555,593	455,345	56,463,957	943,840	9,223,000	1,212,294	110,854,029	2,166,916,386	(117,124)	-	(117,124)	2,166,799,262

* Benefits funding reflects actual cost changes as identified by the court and is fiscally neutral.

Trial Court Trust Fund - Fund Condition Statement

#	Description	YEAR END FINANCIAL STATEMENTS			ESTIMATED	
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19 ¹	2019-20	2020-21
A		B	C	D	E	F
1	Beginning Fund Balance	34,829,875	66,569,099	60,477,544	54,967,067	58,525,350
2	Prior-Year Adjustments	5,759,000	8,556,629		-	-
3	TOTAL REVENUES AND TRANSFERS	1,288,395,327	1,303,737,015	1,305,524,892	1,315,718,183	1,324,371,842
4	<i>Total Revenues</i>	<i>1,270,421,327</i>	<i>1,283,589,015</i>	<i>1,285,556,892</i>	<i>1,296,241,183</i>	<i>1,306,056,842</i>
5	Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements					
6	General Fund Loan - Statewide E-Filing		671,000	491,000		(1,162,000)
7	Reduction Offset Transfers	6,080,000	6,080,000	6,080,000	6,080,000	6,080,000
8	Net Other Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements	11,894,000	13,397,000	13,397,000	13,397,000	13,397,000
9	Total Resources	1,328,984,203	1,378,862,742	1,366,002,436	1,370,685,250	1,382,897,192
10	EXPENDITURES/ENCUMBRANCES/ALLOCATIONS					
11	Program 0140010 - Judicial Council (Staff)	2,306,934	2,657,198	3,957,000	3,915,900	3,856,500
12	Program 0150010 - Support for Operation of the Trial Courts	1,860,003,547	1,857,899,805	1,983,950,000	2,039,916,000	2,039,916,000
13	Program 0150011 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	114,699,919	130,146,303	136,700,000	190,655,000	190,655,000
14	Program 0150019 - Compensation of Superior Court Judges	335,384,000	348,583,021	375,054,369	377,904,000	377,904,000
15	Program 0150028 - Assigned Judges	25,923,351	28,063,247	29,090,000	29,090,000	29,090,000
16	Program 0150037 - Court Interpreters	102,282,915	108,537,000	108,960,000	120,686,000	120,686,000
17	Program 0150046 - Grants	8,147,000	9,554,900	10,329,000	10,329,000	10,329,000
18	Program 0150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts	11,391,069	9,543,398	11,207,000	10,015,000	11,431,000
19	Total Local Assistance	2,446,549,101	2,493,406,000	2,655,290,369	2,778,595,000	2,780,011,000
23	<i>Less Funding Provided by General Fund:</i>	<i>1,197,832,000</i>	<i>1,177,981,000</i>	<i>1,348,486,000</i>	<i>1,470,667,000</i>	<i>1,456,267,000</i>
38	General Fund Transfer (0250-111-0001)	1,021,832,000	986,281,000	1,175,492,000	1,251,012,000	1,251,012,000
39	General Fund Transfer - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	114,700,000	136,700,000	136,700,000	156,700,000	156,700,000
40	General Fund Transfer - Revenue Backfill	61,300,000	55,000,000	36,294,000	29,000,000	14,600,000
41	Dependency Counsel Title IV-E Funding - Reimbursement			0	33,955,000	33,955,000
24	Total, Expenditures/Encumbrances/Allocations	1,262,415,104	1,318,385,198	1,311,035,369	1,312,159,900	1,328,009,500
25	Ending Fund Balance	66,569,099	60,477,544	54,967,067	58,525,350	54,887,692
26	Total Restricted Funds	28,450,583	31,355,448	27,157,424	26,506,585	25,648,733
27	Ending Unrestricted Fund Balance	38,118,516	29,122,096	27,809,643	32,018,765	29,238,959

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

(Action Item)

Title: 2019-20 Workload Formula Allocation
Date: 6/3/2019
Contact: Leah Rose-Goodwin, Manager, Office of Court Research
415-865-7708 | Leah.Rose-Goodwin@jud.ca.gov

Issue

The 2019 Governor’s May Revise provides funding in the amount of \$24.486 for operation of trial courts related to support for judgeships, as mentioned in Item 1. This allocation is to be made using the Workload Formula, and the formula’s policies outline the steps to be taken to allocate new funding. The resulting proposed allocation is given here for the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee’s (TCBAC’s) review and approval.

Recommendation

Contingent on this funding being approved in the 2019 Budget, it is recommended that TCBAC approve the allocations in Attachment 2A for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 18-19, 2019 business meeting.

Background

In January 2018, the Judicial Council approved new policy parameters for what was then known as WAFM (Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology) and is now called the Workload Formula. The new policy parameters were intended to: use workload as the basis for allocations; recognize the unique funding needs of the smallest (cluster 1) courts; continue towards funding equity; and stabilize funding for all courts..

In years where new, discretionary money is to be allocated to trial courts, the council approved the following process to allocate said funding:

1. Bring all Cluster 1 courts up to at least 100 percent of funding need.
2. Allocate up to 50 percent of remaining funding to courts under the statewide average funding ratio. Allocated funds will bring courts up to but not over the statewide average funding ratio.
3. Allocate remaining funding to all courts based on WAFM.
4. Allow no court’s allocation to exceed 100 percent of its need unless it is the result of a funding floor calculation.

While cluster 1 courts were fully funded last year as a result of new funding approved in the 2018 Budget Act, the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) model was updated with a new three-

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

year average of filings (2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18) and with a new work year value, as approved by the council at its May 17, 2019 business meeting. These changes increased the workload need in the courts and, in turn, increased the gap between funding needed and allocations. Therefore, for 2019-20, a total of \$1,083,819 is needed to bring cluster 1 courts to 100 percent. The remaining \$22.9 million is divided in half, with 50 percent to be allocated to courts below the average (step 2) and the remaining to be allocated to all courts (step 3).

After funding all cluster 1 courts to 100 percent, the new statewide average funding level is 81.4%. The next step is to allocate funding to the courts below the statewide average in order to address equity of funding. Attachment 2A includes allocation of the \$11.5 million to the courts that are farthest away from the statewide average funding level. Because the total to be allocated (\$11.5 million) is such a small part of the funding needed (\$67 million) to bring courts to the statewide average, only six courts receive funding in this part of the model and the “floor” is raised to 76.6%. This approach mimics what the council approved in 2018 for allocation of the \$47.8 million in discretionary funding that was designated for courts below the statewide average; it seeks to maximize the funding to bring the courts farthest away from the statewide average as close to the average as possible.

The last step of the formula is to allocate 50 percent of funding (\$11.5 million) to all courts based on their proportion of total allocation. Courts that are at or above 100 percent of funding need do not receive any part of the allocation.

Attachments

Attachment 2A: Proposed Allocation of \$24.4 million

Cluster	Court	2019-20 WF Allocation prior to non-sheriff security adjustment	2019-20 WAFM Funding Need	% of Need	PRELIMINARY		BRING CLUSTER 1 COURTS TO 100%		
					1.07% increase for non-Sheriff security	2019-20 WF Allocation	\$ Difference Between Funding and Need (Cluster 1 Courts)	2019-20 Allocation with Cluster 1 Courts brought up to 100%	New % of Need
4	Alameda	79,828,251	86,474,695	92.3%	35,045	79,863,295	-	79,863,295	92.4%
1	Alpine	800,000	426,518	187.6%	-	800,000	-	800,000	187.6%
1	Amador	3,140,943	3,403,969	92.3%	-	3,140,943	263,027	3,403,969	100.0%
2	Butte	11,466,696	14,668,798	78.2%	5,151	11,471,848	-	11,471,848	78.2%
1	Calaveras	2,753,733	2,919,609	94.3%	-	2,753,733	165,875	2,919,609	100.0%
1	Colusa	2,169,686	2,055,381	105.6%	-	2,169,686	-	2,169,686	100.6%
3	Contra Costa	46,438,485	54,652,885	85.0%	-	46,438,485	-	46,438,485	85.0%
1	Del Norte	3,195,041	3,095,378	103.2%	-	3,195,041	-	3,195,041	103.2%
2	El Dorado	7,707,027	9,497,526	81.1%	-	7,707,027	-	7,707,027	81.1%
3	Fresno	54,146,707	67,406,253	80.3%	-	54,146,707	-	54,146,707	80.3%
1	Glenn	2,354,903	2,434,626	96.7%	108	2,355,011	79,615	2,434,626	100.0%
2	Humboldt	7,354,155	8,692,756	84.6%	1,850	7,356,006	-	7,356,006	84.6%
2	Imperial	10,062,992	11,129,924	90.4%	4,637	10,067,629	-	10,067,629	90.5%
1	Inyo	2,082,988	2,182,661	95.4%	2,058	2,085,046	97,615	2,182,661	100.0%
3	Kern	60,147,985	71,109,226	84.6%	723	60,148,708	-	60,148,708	84.6%
2	Kings	8,467,183	9,871,265	85.8%	4,653	8,471,836	-	8,471,836	85.8%
2	Lake	3,898,076	5,147,175	75.7%	2,167	3,900,242	-	3,900,242	75.8%
1	Lassen	2,408,906	2,347,609	102.6%	3,240	2,412,146	-	2,412,146	102.7%
4	Los Angeles	548,891,645	720,403,452	76.2%	157,633	549,049,278	-	549,049,278	76.2%
2	Madera	8,763,439	10,879,787	80.5%	4,206	8,767,645	-	8,767,645	80.6%
2	Marin	13,496,125	14,126,733	95.5%	106	13,496,232	-	13,496,232	95.5%
1	Mariposa	1,418,303	1,485,277	95.5%	-	1,418,303	66,974	1,485,277	100.0%
2	Mendocino	6,428,351	7,426,169	86.6%	3,301	6,431,652	-	6,431,652	86.6%
2	Merced	13,773,443	17,378,170	79.3%	-	13,773,443	-	13,773,443	79.3%
1	Modoc	1,092,285	1,135,371	96.2%	9	1,092,293	43,077	1,135,371	100.0%
1	Mono	2,103,905	2,195,675	95.8%	266	2,104,172	91,503	2,195,675	100.0%
3	Monterey	20,984,994	24,021,877	87.4%	9,594	20,994,588	-	20,994,588	87.4%
2	Napa	7,927,447	9,650,276	82.1%	3,259	7,930,706	-	7,930,706	82.2%
2	Nevada	5,205,962	6,382,981	81.6%	4,780	5,210,742	-	5,210,742	81.6%
4	Orange	148,116,695	180,685,494	82.0%	30,147	148,146,842	-	148,146,842	82.0%
2	Placer	18,680,754	23,721,877	78.7%	-	18,680,754	-	18,680,754	78.7%
1	Plumas	1,286,212	1,420,183	90.6%	-	1,286,212	133,971	1,420,183	100.0%
4	Riverside	115,109,711	131,444,731	87.6%	21,300	115,131,011	-	115,131,011	87.6%
4	Sacramento	84,280,185	119,006,905	70.8%	20,560	84,300,745	-	84,300,745	70.8%
1	San Benito	3,470,034	3,609,371	96.1%	-	3,470,034	139,337	3,609,371	100.0%
4	San Bernardino	108,111,853	138,199,504	78.2%	36,054	108,147,907	-	108,147,907	78.3%
4	San Diego	152,350,211	171,051,818	89.1%	7,247	152,357,458	-	152,357,458	89.1%
4	San Francisco	59,677,671	56,652,812	105.3%	-	59,677,671	-	59,677,671	105.3%
3	San Joaquin	38,348,168	51,084,825	75.1%	3,173	38,351,342	-	38,351,342	75.1%
2	San Luis Obispo	15,530,973	19,759,134	78.6%	2,665	15,533,639	-	15,533,639	78.6%
3	San Mateo	39,764,420	46,976,457	84.6%	4,886	39,769,306	-	39,769,306	84.7%
3	Santa Barbara	24,270,214	30,231,570	80.3%	11,635	24,281,849	-	24,281,849	80.3%
4	Santa Clara	80,243,823	96,044,759	83.5%	-	80,243,823	-	80,243,823	83.5%
2	Santa Cruz	13,666,902	17,187,826	79.5%	-	13,666,902	-	13,666,902	79.5%
2	Shasta	12,528,096	15,990,523	78.3%	29,045	12,557,141	-	12,557,141	78.5%
1	Sierra	800,000	292,345	273.6%	-	800,000	-	800,000	273.6%
2	Siskiyou	3,234,461	3,776,273	85.7%	-	3,234,461	-	3,234,461	85.7%
3	Solano	24,037,540	30,059,311	80.0%	4,801	24,042,341	-	24,042,341	80.0%
3	Sonoma	25,233,059	30,877,425	81.7%	4,852	25,237,911	-	25,237,911	81.7%
3	Stanislaus	27,397,094	34,329,251	79.8%	103	27,397,197	-	27,397,197	79.8%
2	Sutter	5,918,587	7,939,123	74.5%	2,725	5,921,311	-	5,921,311	74.6%
2	Tehama	4,804,735	5,771,987	83.2%	-	4,804,735	-	4,804,735	83.2%
1	Trinity	1,644,538	1,653,041	99.5%	5,678	1,650,216	2,824	1,653,041	100.0%
3	Tulare	24,733,511	30,721,420	80.5%	172	24,733,683	-	24,733,683	80.5%
2	Tuolumne	3,886,219	4,532,615	85.7%	2,432	3,888,650	-	3,888,650	85.8%
3	Ventura	38,589,085	51,502,221	74.9%	17,194	38,606,279	-	38,606,279	75.0%
2	Yolo	12,392,827	16,124,983	76.9%	6,428	12,399,254	-	12,399,254	76.9%
2	Yuba	5,414,478	5,399,351	100.3%	1,462	5,415,940	-	5,415,940	100.3%
	Total	2,032,031,711	2,498,649,159	81.3%	455,345	2,032,487,056	1,083,819	2,033,570,875	81.4%

Cluster	Court	APPLY 50% TO COURTS BELOW STATEWIDE AVG				APPLY 50% TO ALL COURTS BELOW 100%			FINAL ALLOCATION			
		Gap to bring court to average funding	Total Funding for Below Average Courts	2019-20 Allocation with Cluster 1 and Below Avg Funding	% of Need	2019-20 Allocation of Courts Below 100%	Percentage as Compared to the Whole of Under 100% Courts	Additional Funding for courts below 100%	Total New funding	Total Allocation 2019-20	% Need	% Increase
4	Alameda	0	0	79,863,295	92.4%	79,863,295	4.1%	470,350	470,350	80,333,645	92.9%	0.6%
1	Alpine	0	0	800,000	187.6%	0	0.0%	0	0	800,000	187.6%	0.0%
1	Amador	0	0	3,403,969	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	263,027	3,403,969	100.0%	8.4%
2	Butte	466,619	0	11,471,848	78.2%	11,471,848	0.6%	67,563	67,563	11,539,410	78.7%	0.6%
1	Calaveras	0	0	2,919,609	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	165,875	2,919,609	100.0%	6.0%
1	Colusa	0	0	2,169,686	105.6%	0	0.0%	0	0	2,169,686	105.6%	0.0%
3	Contra Costa	0	0	46,438,485	85.0%	46,438,485	2.4%	273,497	273,497	46,711,981	85.5%	0.6%
1	Del Norte	0	0	3,195,041	103.2%	0	0.0%	0	0	3,195,041	103.2%	0.0%
2	El Dorado	22,707	0	7,707,027	81.1%	7,707,027	0.4%	45,390	45,390	7,752,417	81.6%	0.6%
3	Fresno	713,093	0	54,146,707	80.3%	54,146,707	2.8%	318,894	318,894	54,465,601	80.8%	0.6%
1	Glenn	0	0	2,434,626	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	79,615	2,434,626	100.0%	3.4%
2	Humboldt	0	0	7,356,006	84.6%	7,356,006	0.4%	43,323	43,323	7,399,328	85.1%	0.6%
2	Imperial	0	0	10,067,629	90.5%	10,067,629	0.5%	59,293	59,293	10,126,922	91.0%	0.6%
1	Inyo	0	0	2,182,661	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	97,615	2,182,661	100.0%	4.8%
3	Kern	0	0	60,148,708	84.6%	60,148,708	3.1%	354,242	354,242	60,502,950	85.1%	0.6%
2	Kings	0	0	8,471,836	85.8%	8,471,836	0.4%	49,894	49,894	8,521,730	86.3%	0.6%
2	Lake	288,879	42,540	3,942,782	76.6%	3,942,782	0.2%	23,221	65,760	3,966,003	77.1%	1.7%
1	Lassen	0	0	2,412,146	102.7%	0	0.0%	0	0	2,412,146	102.7%	0.1%
4	Los Angeles	37,264,120	2,786,177	551,835,454	76.6%	551,835,454	28.3%	3,250,002	6,036,178	555,085,456	77.1%	1.1%
2	Madera	87,067	0	8,767,645	80.6%	8,767,645	0.5%	51,637	51,637	8,819,282	81.1%	0.6%
2	Marin	0	0	13,496,232	95.5%	13,496,232	0.7%	79,485	79,485	13,575,717	96.1%	0.6%
1	Mariposa	0	0	1,485,277	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	66,974	1,485,277	100.0%	4.7%
2	Mendocino	0	0	6,431,652	86.6%	6,431,652	0.3%	37,879	37,879	6,469,531	87.1%	0.6%
2	Merced	370,096	0	13,773,443	79.3%	13,773,443	0.7%	81,118	81,118	13,854,561	79.7%	0.6%
1	Modoc	0	0	1,135,371	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	43,077	1,135,371	100.0%	3.9%
1	Mono	0	0	2,195,675	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	91,503	2,195,675	100.0%	4.4%
3	Monterey	0	0	20,994,588	87.4%	20,994,588	1.1%	123,646	123,646	21,118,234	87.9%	0.6%
2	Napa	0	0	7,930,706	82.2%	7,930,706	0.4%	46,707	46,707	7,977,413	82.7%	0.6%
2	Nevada	0	0	5,210,742	81.6%	5,210,742	0.3%	30,688	30,688	5,241,430	82.1%	0.7%
4	Orange	0	0	148,146,842	82.0%	148,146,842	7.6%	872,502	872,502	149,019,343	82.5%	0.6%
2	Placer	625,725	0	18,680,754	78.7%	18,680,754	1.0%	110,019	110,019	18,790,773	79.2%	0.6%
1	Plumas	0	0	1,420,183	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	133,971	1,420,183	100.0%	10.4%
4	Riverside	0	0	115,131,011	87.6%	115,131,011	5.9%	678,057	678,057	115,809,068	88.1%	0.6%
4	Sacramento	12,555,180	6,859,603	91,160,348	76.6%	91,160,348	4.7%	536,883	7,396,487	91,697,231	77.1%	8.8%
1	San Benito	0	0	3,609,371	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	139,337	3,609,371	100.0%	4.0%
4	San Bernardino	4,328,262	0	108,147,907	78.3%	108,147,907	5.6%	636,931	636,931	108,784,837	78.7%	0.6%
4	San Diego	0	0	152,357,458	89.1%	152,357,458	7.8%	897,300	897,300	153,254,758	89.6%	0.6%
4	San Francisco	0	0	59,677,671	105.3%	0	0.0%	0	0	59,677,671	105.3%	0.0%
3	San Joaquin	3,224,969	780,089	39,131,431	76.6%	39,131,431	2.0%	230,462	1,010,552	39,361,893	77.1%	2.6%
2	San Luis Obispo	547,691	0	15,533,639	78.6%	15,533,639	0.8%	91,484	91,484	15,625,123	79.1%	0.6%
3	San Mateo	0	0	39,769,306	84.7%	39,769,306	2.0%	234,219	234,219	40,003,525	85.2%	0.6%
3	Santa Barbara	322,661	0	24,281,849	80.3%	24,281,849	1.2%	143,006	143,006	24,424,856	80.8%	0.6%
4	Santa Clara	0	0	80,243,823	83.5%	80,243,823	4.1%	472,591	472,591	80,716,414	84.0%	0.6%
2	Santa Cruz	321,721	0	13,666,902	79.5%	13,666,902	0.7%	80,490	80,490	13,747,393	80.0%	0.6%
2	Shasta	457,036	0	12,557,141	78.5%	12,557,141	0.6%	73,955	73,955	12,631,096	79.0%	0.8%
1	Sierra	0	0	800,000	273.6%	0	0.0%	0	0	800,000	273.6%	0.0%
2	Siskiyou	0	0	3,234,461	85.7%	3,234,461	0.2%	19,049	19,049	3,253,510	86.2%	0.6%
3	Solano	421,974	0	24,042,341	80.0%	24,042,341	1.2%	141,596	141,596	24,183,937	80.5%	0.6%
3	Sonoma	0	0	25,237,911	81.7%	25,237,911	1.3%	148,637	148,637	25,386,548	82.2%	0.6%
3	Stanislaus	542,286	0	27,397,197	79.8%	27,397,197	1.4%	161,354	161,354	27,558,551	80.3%	0.6%
2	Sutter	540,088	160,128	6,081,439	76.6%	6,081,439	0.3%	35,816	195,944	6,117,255	77.1%	3.4%
2	Tehama	0	0	4,804,735	83.2%	4,804,735	0.2%	28,297	28,297	4,833,032	83.7%	0.6%
1	Trinity	0	0	1,653,041	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	2,824	1,653,041	100.0%	0.5%
3	Tulare	269,501	0	24,733,683	80.5%	24,733,683	1.3%	145,668	145,668	24,879,351	81.0%	0.6%
2	Tuolumne	0	0	3,888,650	85.8%	3,888,650	0.2%	22,902	22,902	3,911,552	86.3%	0.7%
3	Ventura	3,309,737	844,881	39,451,160	76.6%	39,451,160	2.0%	232,345	1,077,226	39,683,505	77.1%	2.8%
2	Yolo	724,355	0	12,399,254	76.9%	12,399,254	0.6%	73,025	73,025	12,472,279	77.3%	0.6%
2	Yuba	0	0	5,415,940	100.3%	0	0.0%	0	0	5,415,940	100.3%	0.0%
	Total	67,403,768	11,473,418	2,045,044,293	81.8%	1,948,134,026		11,473,418	24,030,655	2,056,517,711	82.3%	1.2%