



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**MATERIALS FOR JANUARY 17, 2019
TELECONFERENCE MEETING**

Meeting Contents

Agenda	1
Minutes	
Draft minutes from the December 13, 2018 Meeting	3
Discussion and Possible Action Items	
Item 1 – Trial Court Budget Change Proposals for 2020-21	5
Information Only Items	
Info 2 – 2019 Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Annual Agenda	9



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

Request for ADA accommodations
should be made at least three business
days before the meeting and directed to:
JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date: January 17, 2019
Time: 12:00 p.m. - 1:00 p.m.
Public Call-in Number: 1-877-820-7831; passcode 1884843 (Listen Only)

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the December 13, 2018 Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee meeting.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov or mailed or delivered to 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA, 94102, attention: Ms. Brandy Sanborn. Only written comments received by 12:00 p.m. on January 16, 2019 will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1)

Item 1**Trial Court Budget Change Proposals for 2020-21 (Action Required)**

Development and adoption of trial court funding priorities for 2020-21 budget change proposals.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

IV. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

Info 1**Governor's Budget Proposal for 2019-20**

Update on the Governor's budget proposal for 2019-20.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Judicial Council Budget Services

Info 2**2019 Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Annual Agenda**

Update on the agenda approved by the Executive and Planning Committee for 2019.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

V. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

December 13, 2018
12:30 p.m. - 1:00 p.m.
Telephonic Meeting

Advisory Body Members Present: Judges: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin (Chair), Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton, Hon. Andrew S. Blum, Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Hon. Kimberly A. Gaab, Hon. Teri L. Jackson, Hon. Charles Margines, Hon. Brian McCabe, and Hon. B. Scott Thomsen.

Executive Officers: Ms. Rebecca Fleming (Vice Chair), Ms. Kim Bartleson, Ms. Sherri Carter, Mr. Chad Finke, Mr. Kevin Harrigan, Mr. Michael D. Planet, Mr. Michael M. Roddy, Ms. Tania Ugrin-Capobianco, Mr. Brian Taylor, Ms. Kim Turner, and Mr. David Yamasaki.

Judicial Council Staff Advisory Members: Mr. John Wordlaw and Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. Mark A. Cope, Hon. Jill C. Fannin, Hon. Gary Nadler, and Ms. Linda Romero-Soles.

Others Present: Ms. Lucy Fogarty, Ms. Brandy Sanborn, Ms. Leah Rose-Godwin, and Ms. Audrey Fancy.

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:31 p.m. and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the October 11, October 18, and November 13, 2018, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee meetings.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1 - 2)

Item 1 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Allocation Methodology for Small Courts for 2019-20 (Action Required)

Consideration of Funding Methodology Subcommittee (FMS) recommendation on the two-year Bureau of Labor Statistics increase to 1.0 for all small courts that is due to sunset on June 30, 2019.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Audrey Fancy, Supervising Attorney, Center for Families, Children, and the Courts

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously approved for submission to the Judicial Council the Funding Methodology Subcommittee’s recommendation to adopt a modified option III, eliminating the word “permanent” as follows:

Adopt the changes on an ongoing basis beginning July 1, 2019.

Item 2 - Base Funding Floor Inflationary Review (Action Required)

Consideration of FMS recommendation on increasing the base funding floor, currently set at \$750,000.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Manager, Budget Services

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously approved the Funding Methodology Subcommittee’s recommendation to have the work plan reflect that the funding floor will be reviewed at the request of the applicable courts, rather than reviewed annually as stated in the work plan.

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee also unanimously approved for submission to the Judicial Council the Funding Methodology Subcommittee’s recommendation to make an inflationary adjustment to the base funding floor, increasing it to \$800,000 beginning 2019-20.

INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

Info 1- Trial Court Budget Change Proposals

Discuss approach for trial court funding priorities for 2020-21 budget change proposals.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:45 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date.

(Action Item)

Title: Prioritization of Trial Court Budget Change Proposals for 2020-21
Date: 1/4/2019
Contact: Brandy Sanborn, Manager, Budget Services
415-865-7195 | brandy.sanborn@jud.ca.gov

Issue

Identification of the 2020-21 statewide budget change proposal (BCP) priorities for the trial courts is needed for conceptual consideration and approval by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (JBBC).

To generate a discussion of potential 2020-21 statewide BCPs, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) discussed at its December 13, 2018 that the committee would take a brainstorm approach in identifying trial court priorities. In addition, to ensure full trial court participation as it relates to identifying priorities, each TCBAC member was assigned one to two courts to contact for ascertaining their priorities for reporting back to the committee at its January 17, 2019 telephonic meeting.

Background

At its December 2016 meeting, the Judicial Council approved a new process for BCP preparation, approval, and submission to the Department of Finance (DOF) to include the JBBC established in July 2016. The JBBC reviews and prioritizes BCPs prior to submission to the council for final prioritization and approval. At its July 28, 2017 meeting, authority was delegated to the Judicial Council Administrative Director to make technical changes to BCPs as necessary.

Previously, in order to generate a discussion of potential 2019-20 statewide BCPs, the TCBAC surveyed its members to solicit input regarding priorities for submission to the council for approval and prioritization for submission to the DOF. In addition to prioritizing concepts identified by the committee, the members also reviewed BCP concept submissions developed by other committees in which the TCBAC was identified as having purview and the opportunity to provide input for submission to the JBBC.

TCBAC met on January 17, 2018, February 15, 2018, and May 7, 2018 to develop the following prioritized list of BCP concepts for recommendation to the JBBC:

1. Stabilization of Civil Assessment Revenue;
2. Trial Court Facility Maintenance and Operations;
3. Funding for 10 of the 50 Judgeships Authorized by AB 159 (combined with Appellate Court Judicial Workload); and
4. Technology – The committee prioritized this concept that includes the following three specified concepts which are not listed in order of priority:
 - a. Case Management System Replacement for Trial Courts
 - b. Digitizing Documents Phase One for the Superior and Appellate Courts
 - c. Implementation of Phoenix Roadmap – Cloud Migration, Technical Upgrade and Functional Improvements combined with Phoenix HR Payroll Deployments

The committee tabled the Support for Trial Court Operations concept for one year out of recognition of higher priorities and in consideration of the 2018 budget proposal remaining intact.

The remaining BCP concepts were acknowledged and supported by the TCBAC but without prioritization or inclusion with the TCBAC's BCP concept submissions:

- A. Civil Adjudication of Minor Traffic Infraction – Futures Commission Recommendation (Placeholder);
- B. Continuing the Implementation of the Strategic Plan for Language Access in California Courts;
- C. Court Appointed Counsel in Juvenile Dependency Proceedings (Auxiliary);
- D. Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) in Juvenile Dependency Court (Contingent)
- E. Expansion of Self-Help Funding and Establishment of the Center for Self Help Resources Recommended by the Chief Justice's Commission on the Future of the California Courts;
- F. Judicial Branch Litigation Management Program;
- G. Pretrial Detention Reform (Placeholder);
- H. Proposition 66 – Death Penalty Reform and Savings Act of 2016 (Placeholder); and
- I. Statewide Security Systems and Equipment – Maintenance and Replacement.

On July 20, 2018, the JBBC recommended and the Judicial Council approved the following branch BCP concepts and prioritization for submission to the DOF as follows:

1. *Case Management System (CMS) Replacements for Trial Court – Phase III*
2. *Implementation of Phoenix Roadmap – Cloud Migration, Technical Upgrade and Functional Improvements, and Phoenix HR Payroll Deployments*
3. *New Judgeships (AB 159) and Appellate Court Justices*
4. *Trial Court Facility Operations and Maintenance*
5. *Funding for Verbatim Records/Court Reporters in Cases with a Fee Waiver*

6. Judicial Branch Business Intelligence and Data Analytics, using Identity Management for Data Sharing
7. *Civil Adjudication of Minor Traffic Infractions and its Impact on Civil Assessment Revenue*
8. Statewide Trial Court Security Systems and Equipment – Maintenance and Replacement
9. *Digitizing Documents for the Superior and Appellate Courts – Phase I*
10. Increasing Energy Efficiency in the Judicial Branch
11. Judicial Branch Litigation Management Program
12. Appellate Court Facility Maintenance Program
13. Appellate Court Security
14. Trial Court Capital Outlay Plan
15. Futures Commission Directives for the Expansion of Technology in the Courts
16. Continuing the Implementation of the *Strategic Plan for Language Access in the California Courts*

The Budget Act of 2018 provides for the following:

- \$75 million in discretionary funding for trial courts (including \$10 million to increase the level of court reporters in family law cases);
- \$64.3 million revenue backfill for the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF);
- Five-year sunset extension for various fees that support trial court base allocations;
- \$47.8 million for trial courts below the statewide funding level;
- \$24.9 million for trial court employee retirement and health benefits costs;
- \$19.1 million on a limited-term basis through 2020-21 to expand self-help services in trial courts;
- \$10 million beginning in 2019-20 for equal access;
- \$4 million one-time for the continued expansion of interpreter services for civil matters;
- \$3.4 million and an ongoing \$1.3 million for the pilot project for online traffic adjudication;
- \$2.9 million ongoing for expenses associated with two judgeships in the Superior Court of Riverside County;
- \$1.5 million reappropriation for one court facility construction project; and
- \$1.3 billion for the continuing of 10 court facility construction projects.

The 2019 Governor’s Budget proposal includes:

- \$75 million to fund programs or efforts in eight to 10 courts related to pretrial decision making;
- \$52.5 million revenue backfill for the TCTF;

- \$25.9 million for trial court employee retirement and health benefit costs (with a \$25 million set aside for funding increases in the current year);
- \$23.1 million to replace outdated case management systems used by 10 courts;
- \$20.2 million for underfunded trial court facility operations and maintenance costs;
- \$20 million in dependency counsel;
- \$13.9 million (and \$2.9 million in 2020-21) on a limited term basis for cannabis conviction resentencing;
- \$7.7 million towards the implementation of the Phoenix roadmap for system software and infrastructure upgrades;
- \$7.8 million to advance three IT pilot projects recommended by the Futures Commission and to establish and support data analytics;
- \$6 million for security systems and equipment refresh, maintenance, and replacement;
- \$5.6 million for phase on of digitizing court records;
- \$4 million ongoing for the continued expansion of interpreter services for civil; and
- \$40 million one-time for deferred maintenance.

Recommendation

It is recommended that BCP concept proposals and prioritization by the membership include consideration of the 2019 Governor’s Budget proposal.

It is also recommended that the membership first determine what it considers an appropriate number of 2020-21 BCP concepts to identify on behalf of the trial courts, and then identify up to that predetermined amount for consideration by the JBBC.

Considering that BCP concepts will come back to the TCBAC in the spring for prioritization along with other committee submissions that fall under the TCBAC’s purview, prioritization for this round of BCP identification is recommended but not required.

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Annual Agenda¹—2019

Approved by Executive and Planning Committee: December 13, 2018

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Superior Court of Fresno County
Lead Staff:	Ms. Brandy Sanborn, Manager, Budget Services
Committee's Charge/Membership: Rule 10.64(a) of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee, which is to make recommendations to the council on the preparation, development, and implementation of the budget for trial courts and provides input to the council on policy issues affecting trial court funding. Rule 10.64(b) sets forth additional duties of the committee. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee currently has 24 members. The current committee roster is available on the committee's web page.	

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

Subcommittees/Working Groups²:

1. Fiscal Planning Subcommittee
2. Funding Methodology Subcommittee
3. Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee
4. Outcomes Ad Hoc Subcommittee (*New*) – *To develop a reporting requirement or survey regarding the use and expenditure of the \$75 million new funding in 2018–19 as well as the \$47.8 million and the \$19.1 million previously approved in July.*
5. Joint Facilities Costs Ad Hoc Subcommittee (*New*) – *In collaboration with members of the Court Facilities Advisory Committee and the Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee, as appropriate, evaluate how to include in the Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology, unfunded facilities-related costs for court-funded leases and debt service.*
6. Interpreter Ad Hoc Subcommittee (*formerly a working group*) – *To focus on developing a methodology for allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund Court Interpreter Program (0150037) in the event of a funding shortfall and review existing methodologies.*

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30(c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

II. COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects ³	
1.	Project Title: 2018–19 New Funding Outcomes	Priority 2⁴
<p>Project Summary⁵: Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated at the Judicial Council September 21, 2018, business meeting to develop a reporting requirement or survey regarding the use and expenditure of the \$75 million new funding in 2018–19 as well as the \$47.8 million and the \$19.1 million previously approved in July. The expected outcome is to report back to the Judicial Council with information relative to budget snapshots submitted for the funding advocacy efforts for 2018–19.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Targeted completion date for initial survey results is April of 2019.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services staff.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None.</p>		
2.	Project Title: Joint Facilities Costs	Priority 2⁴
<p>Project Summary: Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated from a Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Funding Methodology Subcommittee meeting held on July 12, 2018, as it relates to review of all trial court funding sources relative to funding need. Expected outcome is to have this item reviewed and determined what counts towards court funding need.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Targeted completion date of March 2019 for 2019–20 implementation.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services and Facilities Services staff.</p>		

³ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

⁴ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁵ A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or “end of action” to be achieved for the coming year.

#	New or One-Time Projects ³
	<p data-bbox="176 220 1184 250"><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p data-bbox="176 293 506 323"><i>AC Collaboration:</i> None.</p>

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
1.	Project Title: State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund and Trial Court Trust Fund Allocations	Priority 1⁴
<p>Project Summary: Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated as a result of structural shortfalls identified in the Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) and Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF). The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee will review 2019–20 allocations from the IMF and TCTF to ensure consistency with the Judicial Council goals and objectives and propose solutions to address any structural shortfall in either fund. The expected outcome is to assist the council in ensuring solvency of the IMF and TCTF.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing (allocations for 2019–20 will be approved by July 2019).</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services staff as well as multiple other Judicial Council office staff that have programs funded from the IMF and/or TCTF.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Various Judicial Council offices with programs funded from the IMF and/or TCTF, and external stakeholders include trial courts and service providers.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Various advisory bodies that have programs in these funds provide recommendations regarding funding and program priorities.</p>		
2.	Project Title: Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology	Priority 2⁴
<p>Project Summary: Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. In April 2013, the Judicial Council approved the Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM) for use in allocating the annual state trial court operations funds with the understanding that ongoing technical adjustments will continue to be evaluated and submitted to the Judicial Council for approval. Amendments to the annual work plan going beginning 2018–19, including annual updates, were presented to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee on July 31, 2018, and approved. Expected outcome is an improvement to the WAFM to more accurately capture WAFM-related funding needs of the trial courts.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services staff including Budget Management and Court Research.</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p>Internal/External Stakeholders: External stakeholders include trial courts.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: The Workload Assessment Advisory Committee oversees the Resource Assessment Study model which feeds into WAFM.</p>	
3.	Project Title: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Funding	Priority 2⁴
4.	Project Title: Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator (AB 1058) Funding	Priority 2⁴
	<p>Project Summary: Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated from a Judicial Council meeting in April 2015 as a recommendation from the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, with an original targeted completion date of December 2017 for 2018–19 implementation (which has since been pushed out another year to 2019–20 and 2022–23 implementation, respectively). In collaboration with the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee, and representatives from the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS), the AB 1058 Funding Allocation Joint Subcommittee will work on the development of a workload-based funding methodology for the AB 1058 program originally developed in 1997. There has been a recommendation made to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee on October 18, 2018, and will be presented to the council at its November 29–30, 2018, business meeting as it relates to a family law commissioner methodology. The work will still</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p>be continued by the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, with input from the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee, as it relates to a family law facilitator methodology for 2022–23 implementation. The expected outcome is to appropriately allocate funds based on workload per a Judicial Council December 2016 report.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Targeted completion is fiscal year 2021–22 for 2022–23 implementation for the family law facilitator methodology.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services and Center for Families, Children, & the Courts staff.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Internal stakeholders include Center for Families, Children, & the Courts, and external stakeholders include trial courts and DCSS.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee and the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee.</p>	
5.	Project Title: Interpreter Funding Methodology	Priority 2⁴
	<p>Project Summary: Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated due to the declining fund balance in the TCTF Court Interpreter Program (0150037), and the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Interpreter Funding Working Group was established to develop a methodology for allocations from the Court Interpreter Program in the event of a funding shortfall and to review existing methodologies. That working group has been dissolved, and the charge is now with the Interpreter Ad Hoc Subcommittee. The expected outcome is to appropriately allocate funds in the event of a shortfall, and to update the methodology for reimbursing and/or allocating funds as deemed appropriate.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Targeted completion date for the shortfall methodology is April 2019 for reimbursements in 2019–20. Targeted completion date for reviewing existing methodologies is fiscal year 2019–20 for a possible 2020–21 implementation.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services and Court Operations staff.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None.</p>	

III. LIST OF 2018 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	<p>Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM)</p> <p>The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Funding Methodology Subcommittee WAFM allocation recommendation for 2018–19 was approved by the Judicial Council at its January 12, 2018, business meeting. Project continues into the 2019 agenda.</p>
2.	<p>Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Funding</p> <p>The Small Court Dependency Workload Working Group made a recommendation to the Judicial Council in May 2017 as it relates to a Bureau of Labor Statistics adjustment for two fiscal years (2017–18 and 2018–19). The working group sunsetted on May 19, 2017. The Center for Families, Children, & the Courts brought forward various options for recommendation to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Funding Methodology Subcommittee on October 18, 2018. The Funding Methodology recommendation will be presented to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee on December 13, 2018, for recommendation to the Judicial Council at its March 14–15, 2019, business meeting. Project continues into the 2019 agenda.</p>
3.	<p>Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator (AB 1058) Funding</p> <p>The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee heard a recommendation on a commissioner methodology from the Joint AB 1058 Funding Methodology Subcommittee on October 18, 2018. Project continues into the 2019 agenda.</p>
4.	<p>State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) and Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) Allocations</p> <p>The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee made 2018–19 IMF and TCTF recommendations to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and Judicial Council in May, July, and September of 2018. Project continues into the 2019 agenda.</p>
5.	<p>Interpreter Funding Methodology</p> <p>The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee made a recommendation to the Judicial Council and that was adopted on September 21, 2018, to use TCTF fund balance to cover an anticipated shortfall in 2018–19. The project continues into the 2019 agenda.</p>