



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

January 14, 2016

10:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m.

JCC Boardroom, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102

Advisory Body Members Present: Judges: Jonathan B. Conklin (Chair), Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton, Hon. Mark Ashton Cope, Hon. Laurie M. Earl (telephone), Hon. Barry P. Goode, Hon. James E. Herman, Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs, Hon. Lesley D. Holland, Hon. Ira R. Kaufman, Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl, Hon. Paul M. Marigonda, Hon. Brian L. McCabe, Hon. Glenda Sanders (telephone), and Hon. Winifred Younge Smith (telephone).

Executive Officers: Ms. Sherri R. Carter, Mr. Richard D. Feldstein, Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Ms. Tammy L. Grimm, Mr. José O. Guillén, Mr. W. Samuel Hamrick, Jr., Mr. Kevin Harrigan, Mr. Jeffrey E. Lewis (telephone), Mr. Michael D. Planet, Mr. Michael M. Roddy, Mr. Brian Taylor, Ms. Tania Ugrin-Capobianco, Ms. Christina M. Volkers, and Mr. David Yamasaki.

Judicial Council staff advisory members: Zlatko Theodorovic.

Advisory Body Members Absent: Judges: Hon. Cynthia Ming-mei Lee.
Executive Officers: Ms. Mary Beth Todd

Others Present: Mr. Martin Hoshino, Ms. Lucy Fogarty, Mr. Steven Chang, Ms. Anna Maves (telephone), and Mr. Don Will.

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 10:04 p.m. Members introduced themselves.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the November 24, 2015 Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1 – 6)

Item 1 – Governor’s Budget Proposal for FY 2016–2017 (Discussion Item)

Martin Hoshino and Zlatko Theodorovic presented information on the Governor’s Proposed Budget for FY 2016–2017.

Action: This was a discussion item with no action taken.

Item 3 – Recommendations of the Court-Appointed Counsel Funding Allocation Methodology Joint Subcommittee (Discussion Item)

Judge Cope presented this item and provided an update on the work of the Court-Appointed Counsel Funding Allocation Methodology Joint Subcommittee.

Action: This was a discussion item with no action taken.

Item 2 – Update from the Ad Hoc Working Group on Fiscal Planning (Discussion Item)

Judge Younge-Smith and Zlatko Theodorovic presented this item and provided an update on the work of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Fiscal Planning.

Action: This was a discussion item with no action taken.

Item 4 – Recommendations of the AB 1058 Allocation Joint Subcommittee (Action Item)

After lengthy discussion, the TCBCAC adopted the following on a vote of 21 to 6 as recommendations for the Judicial Council, which includes modifications to the Joint Subcommittee's recommendation 1 and approval of the amendment to the Joint Subcommittee's recommendation 2 as proposed by the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee:

1. Allocate funding using the historical model in FY 2016–2017. Develop a workload-based funding methodology to begin implementation in FY 2017–2018. Coordinate with California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) on their current review of funding allocations for local child support agencies.
2. When developing a funding methodology, determine whether the family law facilitator methodology should use different underlying data than the child support commissioner methodology, and identify what data should be used, given that different factors drive commissioner and facilitator workloads.
3. Adopt the recommendation of the joint subcommittee for revising the process of how funds are moved from one court to another during a fiscal year to maximize program resources. This process would include providing questionnaires for the courts to identify funds available for redistribution and courts requesting additional funds. The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee would make recommendations to the Judicial Council for reallocation of these available funds. The Judicial Council would direct the Administrative Director to continue to monitor spending patterns of the courts and provide a survey with a financial analysis to the courts towards the end of the fiscal year to determine if additional funds are available to be reallocated to courts who have exhausted their AB 1058 allocation. The Administrative Director would reallocate the available funds and report back to the Judicial Council on any action taken once the fiscal year has closed.

Item 5 – Update from the Funding Methodology Subcommittee (Discussion Item)

Rebecca Fleming presented this item and provided an update on the work of the Funding Methodology Subcommittee. She indicated that recommendations would be presented to the TCBAC at its next (March 10, 2016) meeting.

Action: This was a discussion item with no action taken.

Item 6 – TCBAC Annual Agenda (Discussion Item)

Judge Conklin presented this item and indicated that this item would be on the TCBAC's agenda for action at its March 10, 2016 meeting.

Action: This was a discussion item with no action taken.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:02 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on March 10, 2016.