



JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF CALIFORNIA

---

TRIAL COURT BUDGET  
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**Materials for November 24, 2015 Meeting  
Table of Contents**

Draft Minutes from August 5, 2015 Meeting .....1  
Draft Minutes from October 13, 2015 Action by Email between Meetings.....4

Action Items

Item 1 – Proposed Change to the Trial Court Trust Fund Program 30.15 (Trial Court  
Operations) State Operations Appropriation and New Special Display Related to  
State Trial Court Funding Not Distributed to the Trial Courts (Action Item) .....5



JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET  
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm)  
[tcbac@jud.ca.gov](mailto:tcbac@jud.ca.gov)

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

August 5, 2015

1:05 p.m. to 4:19 p.m.

Judicial Council of California, 2860 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 400, Sacramento

**Advisory Body Members Present:** Judges: Laurie M. Earl (Chair), Thomas Borris, Jonathan Conklin, Mark A. Cope, Thomas DeSantos, Barry Goode, Dodie Harmon, Lesley Holland, Elizabeth W. Johnson, Carolyn Kuhl, Paul Marigonda (phone), Cynthia Ming-mei Lee, Marsha Slough, and Winifred Younge Smith

Executive Officers: Alan Carlson, Sherri R. Carter, Jake Chatters, Richard D. Feldstein, Kimberly Flener, Jose Guillén, Shawn C. Landry, Stephen Nash, Deborah Norrie, Michael M. Roddy, Mary Beth Todd, Kim Turner, Christine M. Volkers, and David Yamasaki

Judicial Council staff advisory members: Jody Patel, Curt Soderlund, and Zlatko Theodorovic

**Advisory Body Members Absent:** Judges: Gregory Gaul

**Others Present:** Judges: Daniel J. Buckley, Harold W. Hopp, and Glenda Sanders  
Judicial Council staff: Steven Chang, Lucy Fogarty, Colin Simpson, and Leah Rose-Goodwin

OPEN MEETING

**Call to Order and Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order at 1:05 pm and roll was taken.

**Approval of Minutes**

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the July 6, 2015 meeting.

**Public Comment**

None.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

**Item 1 - 2015–2016 Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (Action Item)**

The TCBCAC unanimously adopted the following as recommendations for the Judicial Council:

1. Augment by \$5.509 million the Telecommunications Support (LAN/WAN) program's 2015–2016 allocation of \$10.65 million. The \$5.509 million will be spent as proposed in the Budget Change Proposal (BCP) submitted to the Department of Finance. The funding comes from a \$5.509 million augmentation to the General Fund transfer to the IMF, as proposed by the BCP submitted by the Judicial Council.
2. Augment by \$145,600 on a one-time basis the California Courts Protective Order Registry program's 2015–2016 allocation of \$715,600 for California Law Enforcement Telecommunications Systems software and infrastructure (CCTC CLETS Infrastructure, \$77,000; DOJ Telecommunications, \$10,214; and DataMax/Omnixx CLETS Support, \$58,346) without which the CCPOR program cannot continue operations.
3. Reduce by \$375,186 on a one-time basis the Phoenix program's 2015–2016 allocation of \$12,496,300,
4. Reduce by \$1,952,231 on a one-time basis the California Courts Technology Center program's 2015–2016 allocation of \$10,487,200,
5. Reduce by \$2,388,360 on a one-time basis the Enterprise Policy and Planning program's 2015–2016 allocation of \$5,220,500, which would entail split funding the current Oracle contract (i.e., pay the contract from different fiscal years' appropriations),
6. Require JCC staff to provide an annual report by September 30th of each year to TCBAC of outstanding encumbrances for all programs funded from the TCTF or IMF that support the trial courts. The report should identify the amount of each encumbrance, the purpose of the encumbrance, the name of the vendor/contractor for which the funds are encumbered, the equipment or services related to each encumbrance, and estimated timeframes for expenditure or disencumbrance, and
7. Require JCC staff to provide an updated encumbrance report by March 31st of each year to TCBAC, containing the same information as the September report. This report should update the TCBAC on the status of encumbrances contained in the September report as well as any new encumbrances that have occurred since the previous September. This updated information will be considered by the TCBAC as it undertakes its review of funds available to support trial court activities in the next Fiscal Year.

### **Item 3 - Prioritization of Trial Court Budget Change Proposals for Fiscal Year 2016–2017 (Action Item)**

The TCBAC approved recommending that the Judicial Council submit seven Budget Change Proposals and prioritized them as follows:

1. Funding for trial courts equal to 10 percent of the Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology estimated funding need (\$238 million) and cost-of-living adjustment for trial court employees consistent with increases provided to Executive Branch employees (\$71 million)
2. Court-appointed dependency counsel (\$22 million)
3. New Judgeships (AB 159) (\$TBD)

4. Implementation of Language Access Plan (\$TBD)
5. V3 Court Case Management System Replacement (\$TBD)
6. Funding for Court-Provided Security (\$TBD)
7. Courthouse Operations Costs (\$TBD)

Priorities #1, #5, and #7 were unanimously approved. Priorities #2 and #3 were approved by a vote of 15 to 12.

**Item 6 - Open Discussion (Discussion Item)**

Jake Chatters suggested that the TCBCAC add the revision of California Rules of Courts 10.620(f) as an agenda item for a future TCBCAC meeting.

---

**A D J O U R N M E N T**

---

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 4:19 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on \_\_\_\_\_, 2015.



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET  
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm)  
[tcbac@jud.ca.gov](mailto:tcbac@jud.ca.gov)

## TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF ACTION BY EMAIL BETWEEN MEETINGS October 13, 2015

#### **Email Proposal**

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) was asked to consider two recommendations for consideration by the Judicial Council at its October 27, 2015 business:

1. Allocate the \$872,692 remitted through the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program in fiscal year (FY) 2014–2015 to the trial courts as outlined in Attachment A; and
2. Direct staff to notify courts regarding the remaining balance of JDCCP funding allocated in FY 2013–2014 and FY 2014–2015, and the amount of any new allocations in FY 2015–2016. Any portion of a court's allocated funds not distributed should be carried forward for distribution to the court in FY 2015–2016 and subsequent years.

Given that the council had already approved the allocation methodology and it would be helpful to courts to know their 2015–2016 allocation as soon as practicable, the Chair concluded that prompt action by email was necessary and feasible.

#### **Notice**

On October 1, 2015, a notice was posted advising that the TCBAC was proposing to act by email between meetings under California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(o)(1)(A).

#### **Public Comment**

The public comment period ended at 5 p.m., Friday, October 9, 2015. No comments were received.

#### **Action Taken**

TCBAC were asked to vote between 11:22 a.m. October 12, 2015 and 5 p.m. October 13, 2015, as October 12 was a holiday. Twenty-six members voted to approve both recommendations; four members did not vote. The recommendations to the council were approved.

**Item 1**  
**Proposed Change to the Trial Court Trust Fund Program 30.15 (Trial Court Operations)**  
**State Operations Appropriation and New Special Display Related to State Trial Court**  
**Funding Not Distributed to the Trial Courts**  
**(Action Item)**

**Issue**

Consideration of the recommendations of the Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee regarding (a) changing the Trial Court Trust Fund Program 30.15 (Trial Court Operations) appropriation in the budget act to local assistance reference item 101 from state operations reference item 001 and (b) introducing a new special display related to state trial court funding not distributed to the trial courts in the Governor's Proposed Budget that will be released by January 10, 2016.

**Background**

On November 12, 2015 the subcommittee deliberated by teleconference on recommendations from a joint working group between the Judicial Council of California (JCC) and the TCBCAC (joint working group) and unanimously adopted the recommendations of the joint working group. Attachment A provides the report from the joint working group to the subcommittee.

**Recommendations**

The subcommittee is recommending that the TCBCAC support the following recommendations:

1. Have the JCC continue to use (i) state operations appropriations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund and the Trial Court Trust Fund for JCC staff costs (including operating expenses and overhead) related to providing services to trial courts and (ii) local assistance appropriations related to state trial court funding from all funds for (a) direct distributions to court, (b) direct distributions to non-trial-court local entities, and (c) expenses made on behalf of the courts by JCC staff.
2. Consistent with Recommendation 1, have the JCC request that the Department of Finance change the Trial Court Trust Fund Program 30.15 (Trial Court Operations and Fi\$CAL program code 0140019) state operations appropriation reference item 001 to a new state trial court funding Fi\$CAL program code schedule, with the label to be determined by the DOF (possibly "Expenses on Behalf of Trial Courts), and a local assistance appropriation, with the reference item to be determined by the DOF.
3. Have JCC Finance staff request that the Governor include a new special display in the Governor's Proposed Budget starting with the Governor's Proposed Budget for 2016–2017 that provides additional detail on state trial court funding not distributed to trial courts, as provided in Attachment G of the joint working group's report.
4. Have JCC Finance staff revise the special display in the Governor's Proposed Budget for 2015–2016 so that its relationship to the proposed new additional special display is clear

or at least clearer to the public, as provided in Attachment F of the joint working group's report.

5. Have the JCC post additional information on the California Courts website that further elaborates on state trial court funding that is not distributed to courts.

If the TCBCAC supports the recommendations, JCC Finance staff will officially make the requests to the Department of Finance as described in the recommendations.

**Attachment**

- A. Report to the Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee from the joint working group

**Item 1**

**Proposed Change to the Trial Court Trust Fund Program 30.15 (Trial Court Operations)  
State Operations Appropriation and New Special Display Related to State Trial Court  
Funding Not Distributed to the Trial Courts  
(Action Item)**

**Issue**

Consideration of the recommendations of a joint working group between the Judicial Council of California (JCC) and the TCBAC regarding (a) changing the Trial Court Trust Fund Program 30.15 (Trial Court Operations) appropriation in the budget act to local assistance reference item 101 from state operations reference item 001 and (b) introducing a new special display related to state trial court funding not distributed to the trial courts in the Governor's Proposed Budget that will be released by January 10, 2016.

**Background**

In January 2015, the California State Auditor (CSA) issued report 2014-107, *Judicial Branch of California: Because of Questionable Fiscal and Operational Decisions, the Judicial Council and the Administrative Office of the Courts Have Not Maximized the Funds Available for the Courts*.<sup>1</sup> Recommendation 1 of Chapter 2 was as follows:

“To determine the cost to the State of providing support to the trial courts, the Legislature should take steps to clearly define the difference between local assistance expenditures and state operations expenditures. One method of accomplishing this would make the necessary statutory changes to classify as local assistance only those appropriations that the AOC passes directly to the trial courts or that the AOC expends on the behalf of the trial courts with their explicit authorization. All other appropriations would be classified as state operations.”

The report also contained the JCC's initial response:

“Although this recommendation is directed to the legislature, over the past several years, significant budget display clarifications have already been made to create a more transparent and understandable structure for the Judicial Branch. The Judicial Council will continue to work with the Administration and the legislature to more clearly define appropriations to and expenditure made on behalf of the trial courts versus those for other judicial branch operations.”

Subsequent to hearings on the report issued by the CSA by the Joint Committee on Legislative Audit, the Legislature did not take action to approve any changes to the current state operations

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.auditor.ca.gov/pdfs/reports/2014-107.pdf>



and local assistance appropriations nor pass any legislation that would more clearly define the meaning of local assistance and state operations, either in general government-wide, for the judicial branch, or for state trial court funding in particular. In their testimony before the joint committee, the Legislative Analyst's Office did not make any recommendations to the legislature regarding more clearly defining the terms state operations and local assistance, but did advise the joint committee that the legislature could do so. The "Finance Glossary of Accounting and Budgeting Terms" document on the state Department of Finance's (DOF) website defines "local assistance" as "The character of expenditures made for the support of local government or other locally administered activities" and state operations as "A character of expenditure representing expenditures for the support of state government, exclusive of capital investments and expenditures for local assistance activities."<sup>2</sup> According to the DOF's Uniform Codes Manual (Appropriation/Fund Source section), local assistance and support are two of the four character codes that are used to classify appropriations according to major purposes. The other two characters codes are "capital outlay" and "unclassified (DOF Only)".<sup>3</sup> These DOF definitions are not statutory. In discussions with JCC staff, DOF staff acknowledged that the definitions are guidelines that state entities should follow when characterizing certain types of expenditures. The definitions are not exactly attributable to the way the Judicial Branch operates, primarily because trial courts are local entities but receive funding from the State of California for court operations and judges which are state constitutional officers. DOF indicated that their definition is not meant to be absolutely rigid, but that there is some flexibility in how expenditures can be classified as local assistance vs. state operations, and expressed that they would consider suggested changes related to Judicial Branch appropriations.

In keeping with the JCC response to CSA's Recommendation 1 of Chapter 2, Martin Hoshino, Administrative Director of the JCC, requested a joint working group of the TCBC and JCC to develop recommendations concerning improved definitions and/or use of state operations vs. local assistance appropriations and/or expenditures as they relate to state trial court funding and increased transparency of expenditures made on behalf of trial court by JCC staff. Initially, the group was composed of Judges Marsha Slough and Elizabeth Johnson, Court Executive Officers Rebecca Fleming and Sherri R. Carter, JCC Administrative Director Martin Hoshino, and JCC Finance Director Zlatko Theodorovic, and was finally composed of Judge Jonathan Conklin, Court Executive Officers Rebecca Fleming and Sherri R. Carter, and JCC Finance Director Zlatko Theodorovic. All together the groups met three times by teleconference since August 12, 2015.

## **Recommendations**

---

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.dof.ca.gov/fisa/bag/documents/FinanceGlossary.pdf>

<sup>3</sup> [http://www.dof.ca.gov/accounting/uniform\\_codes\\_manual/documents/18fndsrc.pdf](http://www.dof.ca.gov/accounting/uniform_codes_manual/documents/18fndsrc.pdf)

The joint working group is proposing the following recommendations for consideration by the TCBAC's Revenue and Subcommittee and by the TCBAC:

1. Continue to use (i) state operations appropriations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund and the Trial Court Trust Fund for JCC staff costs (including operating expenses and overhead) related to providing services to trial courts and (ii) local assistance appropriations related to state trial court funding from all funds for (a) direct distributions to court, (b) direct distributions to non-trial-court local entities, and (c) expenses made on behalf of the courts by JCC staff.
2. Consistent with Recommendation 1, have the JCC request that the Department of Finance change the Trial Court Trust Fund Program 30.15 (Trial Court Operations and Fi\$CAL program code 0140019) state operations appropriation reference item 001 to a new state trial court funding Fi\$CAL program code schedule, with the label to be determined by the DOF (possibly "Expenses on Behalf of Trial Courts), and a local assistance appropriation, with the reference item to be determined by the DOF.
3. Have JCC Finance staff request that the Governor include a new special display in the Governor's Proposed Budget starting with the Governor's Proposed Budget for 2016–2017 that provides additional detail on state trial court funding not distributed to trial courts, as provided in Attachment G.
4. Have JCC Finance staff revise the special display in the Governor's Proposed Budget for 2015–2016 so that its relationship to the proposed new additional special display is clear or at least clearer to the public, as provided in Attachment F.
5. Have the JCC post additional information on the California Courts website that further elaborates on state trial court funding that is not distributed to courts.

As Recommendation 1 is the status quo and Recommendations 2, 3, 4, and 5 largely involve display of technical budget information and appear to have no policy implications, these will not be brought before the council for action. If Recommendation 2 is adopted by the TCBAC, JCC staff will request the DOF to consider the recommendation for inclusion in the Governor's Budget Proposal that will be released by January 10, 2016.

#### Rationale for Recommendation 1

Given the DOF's definitions of local assistance and state operations and other considerations, the Judicial Council should maintain the current accounting practice of using state operations appropriations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for JCC staff costs (including operating costs and overhead) and using local assistance appropriations for distributions to courts, distributions to non-trial-court local entities, and expenses made on behalf of trial courts by JCC staff.

The working group did not take up the task of critiquing the DOF's definitions. First, the definitions are meant to apply government-wide, not just the Judicial Branch. It's not sufficiently clear whether a definition, statutory or otherwise, that applies only to the Judicial Branch would be helpful or needed in general. Second, the "character" of expenses in the state budget is just one aspect of an accounting/budgeting structure, similar to trial courts' accounting structure (e.g., funds, general ledger accounts, etc.) and ideally should be changed only in the context of a comprehensive understanding of the whole structure. Third, any transparency and/or accounting goal(s) could be achieved without having to redefine or more specifically define the terms local assistance and state operations. Having specific appropriations for specific categories of expenditures (e.g., expenses made on behalf of courts by JCC staff) can be implemented by creating a new Fi\$CAL program code (formerly Program-Element-Component-Task or PECT) schedule and/or new state operation or local assistance reference item in the budget act.<sup>4</sup> This was an alternative considered by the working group and is discussed further below.

Instead, the joint working group focused its efforts on considering whether any of the costs currently charged to state operations appropriations should be charged to a local assistance appropriation and vice versa, and examined four categories of costs: (a) direct distributions to courts, (b) direct distributions to non-trial-court local entities (i.e., State Bar, Sargent Shriver civil counsel pilot project and CASA grantees, and compensation of superior court judges and assigned judges), (c) expenses made on behalf of trial courts by JCC staff, and (d) JCC staff costs related to services provided to trial courts. As can be seen in Attachments B and C, most appropriations are used for only one category of expenses. Of the 22 budget act appropriations, 16 are used for only one category and 6 for two categories. Table 1 of Attachment B provides the universe of budget act appropriations related to state trial court funding and indicates the expense categories that apply to each appropriation (see columns G, H, and I). Table 2 of Attachment B provides the universe of non budget act appropriations related to state trial court funding and indicates the expense categories that apply to each appropriation (see columns G, H, and I). Attachment C provides the universe of budget act state operations appropriations from the TCTF and IMF. Attachment D provides the language of the Budget Act of 2015 related only to the Judicial Branch as provided in the DOF's *2015–2016 Final Budget Summary* and provides a reference for each state trial court funding appropriation to its location in Attachments B and C.

Based on the DOF's definition, all four categories can be argued to clearly have the character of local assistance as they are in "support of local government or other locally administered activities," although they don't necessarily fit the definition perfectly as they also can be argued to have at least some characteristic of supporting state government. The working group spent

---

<sup>4</sup> There are multiple local assistance and state operations reference items. For instance, "101" and "102" are both local assistance reference items for TCTF appropriations. The "601" TCTF appropriation item refers to a non-budget act item. See page FUND-4 of Attachment A.

most of its time examining expenses made on behalf of trial courts, in part because the CSA report mentioned that expenses made on behalf of trial courts by JCC staff that are not explicitly authorized by courts could be defined as state operations costs and in part because the other three categories are mostly already segregated in the budget act by a Fi\$CAL program code schedule and/or local assistance/state operations reference item. In contrast, of the four local assistance appropriations in which expenses are made on behalf of trial courts by JCC staff, three of those appropriations also have direct distributions to courts (see Attachments B and C). The category of distributions to trial courts fits fairly cleanly within DOF's definition of local assistance as trial courts are local entities. However, an argument could be made that trial courts are part of the state judiciary and perform a state function. Of the 22 individual budget act appropriations (see Table 1 of Attachment B, column G), all but three have distributions to trial courts. The category of distributions to other entities also fits fairly cleanly within DOF's definition of local assistance as the State Bar is not a state government agency and judges and assigned judges are not state employees. However, it is also the case that judges are state constitutional officers paid by the state, assigned judges are paid by the state and work on assignment at the direction of the Chief Justice, and the state bar is, according to its website, "the regulatory arm of the state Supreme Court responsible for licensing and disciplining attorneys." Five of the 22 budget appropriations have a distribution to non-trial-court local entities (see Table 1 of Attachment B, column H).

The category of JCC staff costs related to services provided to trial courts also fits cleanly within DOF's definition of local assistance as the cost of JCC staff is associated with the support of a local entity, trial courts. However, given that the general accounting convention of the State of California is that compensation for state employees is by default considered state operations expenses, the joint working group recommends the continuation of the current practice of using a state operations appropriation from the IMF and TCTF for JCC staff costs that are related to providing services to trial courts (see Attachment C). From the inception of state trial court funding in FY 1997–1998 to FY 2005–2006 all state trial court funding appropriations from all trial-court-specific special funds were local assistance appropriations. Only starting in FY 2006–2007 when additional new General Fund monies were not provided did the Judicial Council request the Governor and Legislature to create a state operations appropriation from the Trial Court Improvement Fund, which was merged with Judicial Administration Efficiency and Modernization Fund to become the IMF, for the cost of JCC staff providing services to trial courts as part of the council's Statewide Administrative Services Initiative. In FY 2012–2013, the budget act shifted local assistance appropriations from TCTF Program 45.10 and created a new Program 30 state operations appropriation, which subsequently became Program 30.05 for JCC staff costs and Program 30.15 for TCTF expenses spent on behalf of trial courts in 2013–2014. The budget act recognizes that Program 30.05 is used for JCC staff costs by labeling it "Judicial Council" and that Program 30.15 is used for expenses on behalf of trial court by labeling it "Trial Court Operations".

Although the category of expenses made on behalf of trial courts by TCC staff appears to fit comfortably within DOF's definition of local assistance as the products and services provided by vendors support the trial courts, the joint working group nevertheless evaluated whether any or all of those expenses might be better categorized as state operations. Three of the 22 budget act appropriations have expenses related to this category (see Table 1 of Attachment B, column I). Two of the three non-budget act appropriations have expenses related to this category (see Table 2 of Attachment C, column I). The joint working group considered two general options. The first is to move some or all of this category's expenses to state operations. The simplest approach would be to define this category as a whole as state operations, as this would obviate having to create criteria for deciding what kinds of expenses in this category are state operations and what is local assistance. Any number of criteria could be invoked. The main disadvantage of this approach, strictly from the perspective of the Judicial Branch, is that there is statewide administrative overhead pro-rata charges imposed on state operation expenses. Increasing the level of state operation expenses merely by shifting them from local assistance in the TCTF or IMF would likely increase the charges at a time when both funds face potential negative fund balances, mainly due to declining revenues and without reductions in allocations that benefit the trial courts. The other approach would be to develop criteria that would make distinctions between expenses spent on behalf of trial courts by JCC staff. The CSA report suggested one possible method: "classify as local assistance only those appropriations that the AOC passes directly to the trial courts or that the AOC expends on behalf of the trial courts with their *explicit authorization* (italics added)," in order to "determine the cost of the State of providing support to the trial courts." In other words, those expenses in this category that have the explicit authorization by courts are local assistance and those without explicit authorization are state operations. If one relies on the DOF definition, all of these expenditures in the category are in support of trial courts and therefore there does not appear to be a need to make distinctions within the budget act for the purpose of tracking the cost of providing support to the trial courts. The joint working group considered other criteria that could be used to distinguish expenses within this category: type of program (e.g., information technology related vs. education related) or type of expenditure (e.g., services such as contractors vs. equipment). There appear to be no compelling or obvious reason for making distinctions among the expenses in this category with respect to assigning them to either local assistance or state operations. Without a clear reason to make distinctions within this category and a strong reason for maintaining the local assistance character of this category, it would appear that the category should be kept intact and not split between specific appropriations and kept entirely as local assistance.

The second general option considered was segregating this category of expenses from direct distributions to courts or non-trial-court local entities in the three appropriations that have both (see rows 1, 5, and 7 in Table 1 of Attachment B) by creating a new Fi\$CAL program code (formerly PECT) with a local assistance reference item for transparency and/or accounting

goal(s), and by doing so without having to redefine or more specifically define the terms local assistance and state operations. Beyond making a specific appropriation in the budget act solely for expenses spent on behalf of the courts by JCC staff, the main advantages of this option is that it would keep the expenses as local assistance and thus avoid pro-rata charges and would be a way to make more distinct the different categories of local assistance expenditures incurred within state trial court funding. The disadvantages of this option are that it would at best reduce and at worst remove the flexibility of the Judicial Council to allocate between categories. The joint working group's sense is that the best way to retain the advantages while avoiding the disadvantages of this option is to retain distributions to courts and non-trial-court local entities and expenses made on behalf of courts together in each of the three appropriations that have both and to address the issue of transparency by including a special display in the Governor's Budget Proposal that would provide greater detail on expenses made on behalf of courts than is possible in a budget act. The limited amount of information provided in the budget act limits the amount of helpful description that can be displayed and thus is an inadequate vehicle for providing detailed information. A better vehicle for providing information in a budget-related document is a special display in the Governor's Proposed Budget. A proposed new special display is discussed below as part of Recommendation 3.

#### Rationale for Recommendation 2

Recommendation 2 flows from and is consistent with Recommendation 1. To be consistent with Recommendation 1, which is to continue the use of local assistance appropriations for expenses spent on behalf of the trial courts by JCC staff, the Program 30.15 (Trial Court Operations) state operations appropriation should be changed back to a local assistance appropriation. In addition, since in the Judicial Branch Program 30 is associated solely with state operations appropriations, except currently for Program 30.15, the program should be changed back to falling under state trial court funding (Program 45) and assigned a new Fi\$CAL program code to segregate it from all other TCTF Program 45 appropriations. A new program label could be "Expenses on Behalf of Trial Courts." JCC staff will work with the DOF on the program label that will be used.

#### Rationale for Recommendation 3

The joint working group believes the current special display, as provided in Attachment E, can be improved upon in providing greater detail not only for local assistance expenses spent on behalf of courts, but all local assistance expenses not distributed to courts, of which expenses spent on behalf of court is but a subset. The proposed new special display, as provided in Attachment G, would not replace the current special display, but rather would supplement the information in the current special display (see shaded portion of Attachment E) by providing the universe of programs which have distributions that are not to courts. Some of these distributions come from courts' allocations or are payments from the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund, which is funded by contributions from participating Judicial Branch entities (see the

columns labeled “From Courts' Share of State Trial Court Funding”). The remainders are appropriations and/or allocations from appropriations not intended to be distributed to courts.

Rationale for Recommendation 4

In order to provide a clear or at least clearer connection between the two special displays, the current special display should be modified, as provided in the shaded section of Attachment F. The information shaded in Attachment E would be replaced with the information shaded in Attachment F.

Rationale for Recommendation 5

The proposed new special display can provide only so much information that is legible; practically speaking, any special display is constrained to a single page. Additional explanation and detail related to local assistance expenses not distributed to trial court can easily be provided on the California Courts website.

**Attachments**

- A. *Appropriation/Fund Source* chapter of *DOF's Uniform Codes Manual*
- B. Summary of Budget Act and Non-Budget Act Appropriations Related to State Trial Court Funding
- C. Summary of Budget Act State Operations Appropriations from the TCTF and IMF
- D. *2015-2016 Final Budget Summary* (Judicial Branch Appropriations Only)
- E. Current Special Display in Governor's January 2015 Budget Proposal
- F. Proposed Revision of Current Special Display
- G. Proposed New Additional Special Display

## Attachment A

Revised September 2012

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

One of the revisions mandated in Chapter 1284, Statutes of 1978 (AB 3322), was the establishment of uniform appropriation identification from year-to-year and from system-to-system. This includes uniformity among departments, control agencies, the Governor's Budget, and the Budget Act.

To meet this requirement, a coding scheme has been established for appropriations and fund class/source. The coding may include some or all of the following elements:

Organization	four digits (numeric)
Reference	three digits (numeric)
Character	one digit (numeric)
Fund	four digits (numeric)
Fund Class/Source	one digit (alpha)
Year of Approp/Enactment	four digits (numeric)
Method/Type	one digit (numeric)

This section contains some additional detail related to these coding elements and their usage.

Questions concerning material contained in this section should be directed to the Department of Finance, Fiscal Systems and Consulting Unit at (916) 324-0385 or by e-mail at [fscuhotline@dof.ca.gov](mailto:fscuhotline@dof.ca.gov).



DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

**APPROPRIATION**

Appropriations represent specific legal authority to expend specified or determinable amounts of money. Each appropriation is uniquely identified by the use of codes specific to an organization, reference, fund and year. In addition, an appropriation is made for a specific authorized purpose and unless stated otherwise, is available for expenditure only during a limited period of time.

Appropriation identification is necessary to relate planned and actual disbursements to the proper funds and appropriations. Disbursements are controlled at the appropriation item level, although additional controls may be established within items. These controls ensure that disbursements will not be made beyond authorized levels and that there will be sufficient moneys to cover expenditures. In order to maintain appropriation and fund control, departments must identify each disbursement transaction to its proper appropriation.

The State Controller is required to establish separate accounts for each appropriation contained in the Budget Act and for appropriations made as a result of statutory, constitutional, or other provisions. Departments file claims for payment with the Controller using Budget Act item and chapter numbers or other legal citation numbers to identify the authorization for disbursements. Departmental systems relate all disbursement information to Budget Act or other citation numbers.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

The following are descriptions for the codes that may be used in the appropriation data field:

**1. Organization**

Since an appropriation must be identified to a specific organization, complete identification of individual appropriations should be preceded by the appropriate 4-digit organization code. (Refer to the Organization Code sections in this manual for detailed listings of these codes.)

**2. Reference Number**

Every appropriation is assigned a three-digit reference number. The reference number purpose is to provide a unique code for each appropriation made to one organization from the same fund or to designate specific statewide appropriations such as State Mandates.

Example: If an organization receives more than one appropriation from the same fund, each appropriation would have a different reference number.

Example: If an organization receives appropriations from different funds, the reference number may be repeated if appropriations are for the same character.

In addition, different reference numbers are used when appropriations are made to sub-organizations within an organization.

To the extent possible, the same reference number will be assigned to appropriations that are made year after year.

For example, if a department's Budget Act support appropriation is coded with reference number 001 for Fiscal Year *2000-01*, the same reference number 001 will be used for each subsequent year's support appropriation. This application of the same reference number to appropriations made for the same purpose in different years makes the comparison/tracking of similar appropriations easier.

Reference numbers are not to be reused unless:

- The appropriation is made for the same purpose for which the reference number was used previously, or
- The history data for the prior application has been purged from all departmental and control agencies files, or
- There is no available reference number within an assigned block of reference numbers.

## Attachment A

Revised September 2012

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

Reference numbers are assigned within the following number blocking:

<u>REFERENCE NUMBER</u>	<u>TYPE OF APPROPRIATION</u>
001-100	Budget Act Item -- Support
101-294	Budget Act Item -- Local Assistance
295-300	Budget Act Item -- State Mandates (Local Assistance)
301-400	Budget Act Item -- Capital Outlay
401-484	Budget Act Item -- Language Only
485-489	Reappropriations -- Proposition 98 Reserve Account
490-494	Budget Act Item -- Reappropriation provisions
495-500	Budget Act Item -- Reversion provisions
501-600	Non-Budget Act Item -- Support <sup>1</sup>
601-789 <sup>2</sup>	Non-Budget Act Item -- Local Assistance <sup>1</sup>
790-800	Non-budget Act Item -- State Mandates (Local Assistance.)
801-900 <sup>2</sup>	Non-Budget Act Item -- Capital Outlay <sup>1</sup>
901	Unclaimed Trust Account
902-949	Non-Budget Act Item -- Unassigned <sup>1</sup>
950	Vacation Trust
951-959	Non-Budget Act Item -- Unassigned <sup>1</sup>
960	Tax Sheltered Annuity
961-979	Non-Budget Act Item -- Unassigned <sup>1</sup>
980	Unappropriated Revenue (Internal/departmental use only. Not used to submit Revenue to SCO)
981	Federal Trust Fund Transfers to Applicable Appropriation (to be used by CALSTARS Agencies only)

### 3. Character

The character code is used to classify appropriations according to major purposes as follows:

<u>Code</u>	<u>Character</u>
1	Support
2	Local Assistance
3	Capital Outlay
4	Unclassified (DOF Only)

<sup>1</sup> Appropriations contained in Budget Act Control Sections, Budget Act language, or special legislation that provides an appropriation.

<sup>2</sup> Reference numbers 598-600; 698-700; and 898-900, respectively are reserved for Expenditure Transfer "Less funding provided by (Fund Name)" records.

## Attachment A

Revised September 2012

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

**4. Fund**

The fund code is used to identify the fund from which an appropriation is made. A listing of the four-digit code for each state fund (Exhibit III-Alpha and Exhibit IV-Numeric) can be found later in this section.

**5. Fund Class/Source**

Further identification of the fund by class and source is used to indicate the nature of the revenue contributing to each fund. (See Exhibit II in this section)

**6. Year of Appropriation**

The Year of Appropriation (YOA) code is used to identify the first year of enactment or availability, as shown in the example below:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>YOA Code</u>
2002-03	2002
2003-04	2003
2004-05	2004

Enacted legislation outside the Budget Act follows the same rules. The YOA should represent the first year of appropriation availability. For example:

**Situation 1:**

Chapter 7, Statutes of 2003, enacted on April 12, 2003, contains no urgency clause. In this case, the appropriation would not be available until January 1, 2004. The YOA would be 2003, which represents the 2003-04 fiscal year.

**Situation 2:**

Chapter 10, Statutes of 2003, enacted on April 30, 2003, contains an urgency clause.

Scenario 1: Since the legislation was enacted during the 2002-03 fiscal year, the appropriation is available immediately. In this case, the YOA would be 2002.

Scenario 2: The legislation makes an additional appropriation for the 2003-04 fiscal year. The YOA for that section of the legislation would be 2003.

Scenario 3: The legislation appropriates funding annually for the next five years. For each year's appropriation, the YOA would be the first year of that fiscal year (i.e., 2004-05 fiscal year, the YOA equals 2004; 2005-06 fiscal year, the YOA equals 2005, etc.)

## Attachment A

Revised September 2012

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

Situation 3:

Chapter 17, Statutes of 2003, enacted on May 1, 2003, contains an urgency clause and makes an appropriation for the 2003-04 fiscal year. In this case, the YOA would be 2003, which represents the 2003-04 fiscal year.

If availability continues in fiscal years subsequent to the initial fiscal year of availability, the Appropriation Method/Type coding for the appropriation becomes Carryover or Reappropriation. However, the YOA coding will not change. It will continue to reflect the year that the appropriation was originally enacted.

The above situations are provided as guidelines. Legislation appropriating Proposition 98 funding to be applied to a prior Proposition 98 Guarantee year may require a different YOA than described above.

**7. Item Appropriation Method/Type (Authority) \***

The Item or Appropriation Method/Type code is used to classify appropriations according to the authority to make the appropriation as follows:

<u>Code</u>	<u>Appropriation Method/Type</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
<b>1</b>	<b>Budget Act</b>	Appropriations included in the enacted Budget Act or Budget Bill. Does not include Carryovers of prior year Budget Act items or reappropriations as defined below.
<b>2</b>	<b>Statutory</b>	<p>Statutes containing appropriations that continue from year-to-year without further legislative action.</p> <p>These statutes specify the amount of the annual appropriation, contain a formula for computing the annual appropriation, or limit the annual appropriation to the revenues or resources of a particular fund or account.</p> <p>When a Budget Act item also appropriates funds for expenditure disbursement in-lieu of relying solely on a statutory appropriation, the appropriation will be coded Budget Act as defined above.</p>

## Attachment A

Revised September 2012

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

<u>Code</u>	<u>Appropriation Method/Type</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
<b>3</b>	<b>Constitutional</b>	An appropriation in the State Constitution. Where a Budget Act item appropriates funds for expenditure in-lieu of relying solely on a constitutional appropriation, the appropriation will be coded Budget Act as defined above.
<b>4</b>	<b>Carryover</b>	Continued availability of unused appropriated funds beyond the fiscal year of appropriation.
<b>5</b>	<b>Financial Legislation</b>	For the year of enactment only, all appropriations in chartered legislation other than the Budget Act. Continued availability of amounts appropriated beyond the year of enactment is a carryover in the subsequent year(s) and should be coded carryover (4)
<b>6</b>	<b>Reappropriation</b>	Authority to extend the availability of unused appropriated funds in a fiscal year subsequent to the fiscal year or years of initial availability.
<b>7</b>	<b>Other</b>	Authorizations not otherwise classified, e.g., loan repayments, "estimated unidentifiable savings," and "credits to the General Fund for overhead services charged to agencies supported from special funds."

\* This coding system is for use in accounting systems only at this time. Questions relating to similar Budgetary systems should be directed to the Department of Finance, Financial Operations at (916) 322-5540 or CALNET 492-5540.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

**FUND CLASS/SOURCE**

Fund class/source coding identifies the kind of resources used to finance specific program activities conducted by or with the approval of the State. The Fund Class/Source identifies who is really paying the bill for programs authorized by the state government.

When a program is to be funded by the State, a primary question is whether or not all or a portion of the program activities will be funded by reimbursements, receipts from the federal government or some other source. If so, these resources can be used to offset or reduce the amount of state moneys that would otherwise be required. The ability to identify a program by its funding classification (who is actually paying the bill) is a fundamental requirement of the budgetary process and plays a significant role in determining executive and legislative decisions regarding a program. Therefore, a necessary coding requirement is to identify the relationship of program costs to their funding sources.

A one-digit field has been provided for coding fund class/source. An alpha code will be used to designate each funding source attributable to particular costs budgeted and incurred within each of a department's programs.

The fund class/source codes will be used for all appropriations, including those that are merely for transfer from one appropriation item to another. In such cases, these appropriations will be coded with a "Z" to indicate that they are non-expenditure disbursements.

## Attachment A

Revised September 2012

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

**BUDGET USE****ACCOUNTING USE****FUND CLASS CODES****FUND SOURCE CODES****Governmental Cost Funds**

- G** General Fund
- S** Special Funds
- (S) General Fund – Special Accounts
  - (T) Transportation Funds
  - (E) Feeder Funds
  - (O) Other Governmental Cost Funds
  - (X) Unallocated Special Funds

**Non-Governmental Cost Funds**

- B** Bond Funds
- (B) General Obligation (Non-Self Liquidating)
- F** Trust and Agency Funds – Federal
- N** Other Non-Governmental Cost Funds
- (A) Trust and Agency Funds – Non-Federal
  - (I) Retirement Funds
  - (P) Public Service Enterprise Funds
  - (W) Working Capital and Revolving Funds
  - (N) Unallocated Non-Governmental Cost Funds
  - (V) Various Unclassified
- Other Miscellaneous
- (C) County Funds
  - (U) University Funds
  - (R) Reimbursements
  - (Z) Zero (Non-Funding Source)
  - (D) Clearing Account Disbursements (CALSTARS USE ONLY)



DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
UNIFORM CODES MANUAL  
APPROPRIATION/ FUND SOURCE

Some fund classifications have a fund number assigned but do not represent established funds in the State Treasury. Following is a brief description of these funding classifications.

SPECIAL FUNDS:

Unallocated Special Funds (0494) – Appropriations or revenues available for subsequent allocation to departments funded by other than General and non-governmental cost funds. An example would be appropriations for state employee salary increases.

NON-GOVERNMENTAL COST FUNDS:

Unallocated Non-governmental Cost Funds (0988) – Appropriations or revenues available for subsequent allocation to departments funded by other than governmental cost funds.

Various Unclassified Funds (0994) – Appropriations or portions of appropriations that cannot be immediately identified to one or more specific funding sources. Departments must contact the Department of Finance, Fiscal Systems and Consulting Unit, before using this code.

County Funds (0991) – Money which does not come into the State Treasury but which represents a share of a program's total cost.

University Funds (0992 – Higher Education and 0993 – University Funds)-- Monies that do not come into the State Treasury but are used to pay for various activities conducted by higher educational institutions.

Reimbursements (0995) – Repayment for the cost of work done for others.

Attachment B

Table 1: Budget Act of 2015 Appropriations Related to State Trial Court Funding (Fi\$CAL program code 0150 or former Program 45)

#	Previous P.E.C.T Code	Fi\$CAL Program Code	Fi\$CAL Long Program Description	Amount	Local Assistance Reference Item	Fund	Expense Category			Note
							Distribution to Courts	Distributions to Non-Court and Non-State Entities	Distribution on Behalf of Courts	
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	
1	45.10	0150010	Support for Operation of Trial Courts	60,359,000	102	IMF	Yes		Yes	The majority of IMF local assistance expenses are those distributed on behalf of courts. Distributions to courts is mostly self-help monies (\$5 million).
2	45.10	0150010	Support for Operation of Trial Courts	71,502,000	102	GF	Yes			Trial court benefit cost increases from 2010-11 to 2012-13. There is a \$1,000 appropriation schedule for court interpreters but benefit increases have been shifted to the TCTF Program 45.45 appropriation so this appropriation is not used.
3	45.10	0150010	Support for Operation of Trial Courts	50,000,000	101	ICNA	Yes			Support of courts' base allocation.
4	45.10	0150010	Support for Operation of Trial Courts	1,300,000	101	Recidivism Reduction Fund	Yes			Grants to courts.
5	45.10	0150010	Support for Operation of Trial Courts	1,883,879,000	101	TCTF	Yes		Yes	The distributions on behalf of courts are those authorized by courts from their allocations (e.g., court-funded facility requests or Phoenix HR).
6	45.10	0150010	Support for Operation of Trial Courts	6,201,000	101	GF	Yes			Prisoner hearing, service for process, and extraordinary homicide reimbursement
7	n/a	0150011	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	114,700,000	102	TCTF	Yes		Yes	The distributions on behalf of courts are for courts on the DRAFT program.
8	45.25	0150019	Compensation of Superior Court Judges	323,784,000	101	TCTF	Yes	Yes		
9	45.35	0150028	Assigned Judges	26,047,000	101	TCTF		Yes		
10	45.45	0150037	Court Interpreters	94,089,000	101	TCTF	Yes			
11	45.55.010	0150051	Child Support Commissioner Program (AB 1058)	54,332,000	101	GF	Yes			
12	45.55.020	0150055	California Collaborative and Drug Court Projects	5,748,000	101	GF	Yes			
13	45.55.030	0150059	Federal Child Access and Visitation Grant Program	800,000	101	Federal Trust Fund	Yes			
14	45.55.050	0150063	Federal Court Improvement Grant Program	700,000	101	Federal Trust Fund	Yes			
15	45.55.060	0150067	Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) program	2,213,000	101	TCTF	Yes	Yes		CASA grantees.
16	45.55.065	0150071	Model Self-Help Program	957,000	101	TCTF	Yes			
17	45.55.070	0150075	Grants--Other	1,586,000	101	GF	Yes	Yes		Court Disposition Reporting Exchange; Title IVE CASA training; and JusticeCorps.
18	45.55.080	0150079	Federal Grants--Other	775,000	101	Federal Trust Fund	Yes			Adult Reentry Drug Court
19	45.55.090	0150083	Equal Access Fund	5,482,000	101	TCTF		Yes		Grant to the State Bar
20	45.55.090	0150083	Equal Access Fund	10,392,000	101	GF		Yes		Grant to the State Bar
21	45.55.095	0150087	Family Law Information Centers	345,000	101	TCTF	Yes			
22	45.55.100	0150091	Civil Case Coordination	832,000	101	TCTF	Yes			

Table 2: Non-Budget Act Appropriations Related to State Trial Court Funding (Program 45)

#	Previous P.E.C.T Code	Fi\$CAL Program Code	Fi\$CAL Long Program Description	Amount	Local Assistance Reference Item	Fund	Expense Category			Note
							Distribution to Courts	Distributions to Non-Court and Non-State Entities	Distribution on Behalf of Courts	
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	
1	45.10	0150010	Support for Operation of Trial Courts	n/a	601	JBWCF			Yes <sup>1</sup>	Workers' compensation payment related to former or current trial court employees.
2	45.25	0150019	Compensation of Superior Court Judges	n/a	601	JBWCF			Yes <sup>1</sup>	Workers' compensation payment related to former or current judges.
3	45.10	0150010	Support for Operation of Trial Courts	n/a	601	TCTF	Yes			Reimbursement of costs for Sacramento for RDA cases

1. The distribution from the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund is determined by a third-party administrator retained by the JCC.

**Attachment C: Budget Act of 2015 State Operations Appropriations from TCTF and IMF<sup>1</sup>**

	Previous P.E.C.T Code	Fi\$CAL Program Code	Fi\$CAL Long Program Description	Amount	State Operations Reference Item	Fund	JCC Staff Costs	Distributio n to Courts	Distributions to Non-Court and Non-State Entities	Distribution on Behalf of Courts	Note
#	A	B	C	D	E	F	G			H	I
1	30	0140	Judicial Council	9,533,000	001	IMF	Yes				JCC staff costs for Treasury Services, Trial Court Procurement, Audit Services, Data Integration, CCTC, CCPOR, Uniform Civil Fees, Regional Office Assistance Group (legal support), and Phoenix Financial
2	30.05	0140010	Judicial Council	4,852,000	001	TCTF	Yes				JCC staff costs for Sargent Shriver, Equal Access, Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections, State Support for Collections Programs, V3, and Phoenix Financial, and Phoenix HR
3	30.15	0140019	Trial Court Operations	13,025,000	001	TCTF		Yes	Yes	Yes	Distributions on behalf of courts by JCC staff for: Children in Dependency Case Training, V3, CCTC, and ICMS. Distributions to courts: Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program. Distributions to non-court entities: Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program.

1. All budget acts have contained provisional language that allows the JCC to request an increase in the appropriation amount.

## Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 6 —

Item	Amount
0160-001-0001—For support of Legislative Counsel Bureau.....	78,533,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0120-Support .....	78,664,000
(2) Reimbursements to 0120-Support ..	-131,000
0160-001-9740—For support of Legislative Counsel Bureau, payable from the Central Service Cost Recovery Fund .....	16,676,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0120-Support .....	16,676,000

## Judicial

0250-001-0001—For support of Judicial Branch .....	351,288,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0130-Supreme Court .....	44,937,000
(2) 0135-Courts of Appeal .....	206,060,000
(3) 0140-Judicial Council.....	92,521,000
(4) 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program.....	570,000
(5) 0155-Habeas Corpus Resource Center .....	13,216,000
(6) Reimbursements to 0140-Judicial Council.....	-6,016,000

## Provisions:

1. Of the funds appropriated in this item, \$200,000 is available for hiring the Attorney General or other outside counsel, for prelitigation and litigation fees and costs, including any judgment, stipulated judgment, offer of judgment, or settlement. This amount is for use in connection with (a) matters arising from the actions of appellate courts, appellate court bench officers, or appellate court employees, (b) matters arising from the actions of the Judicial Council, council members, or council employees or agents, (c) matters arising from the actions of the Judicial Council or its employees, or (d) employment litigation arising from the actions of trial courts, trial court bench officers, or trial court employees. Either the state or the Judicial Council must be named as a defendant or alleged to be the responsible party. Any funds not used for this purpose shall revert to the General Fund.
2. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon approval and order of the Director of Finance, the amount appropriated in this item shall be reduced

Attachment D

Item	Amount
by the amount transferred in Item 0250-011-0001 to provide adequate resources to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund to pay workers' compensation claims for judicial branch employees and justices, and administrative costs pursuant to Section 68114.10 of the Government Code.	
3. Of the funds appropriated in Schedule (2), \$63,557,000 is available for the Court Appointed Counsel Program and shall be used solely for that program. Any funds for the program not expended by June 30, 2016, shall revert to the General Fund.	
4. Of the amount appropriated in this item, up to \$325,000 is available to reimburse the California State Auditor for the costs of audits incurred by the California State Auditor pursuant to Section 19210 of the Public Contract Code.	
0250-001-0044—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the Motor Vehicle Account, State Transportation Fund .....	198,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0140-Judicial Council.....	198,000
0250-001-0159—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund .....	9,533,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0140-Judicial Council.....	9,533,000
Provisions:	
1. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon approval by the Administrative Director, the Controller shall increase this item up to \$18,673,000 for recovery of costs for administrative services provided to the trial courts by the Judicial Council.	
0250-001-0327—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the Court Interpreters' Fund.....	163,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0140-Judicial Council.....	163,000
0250-001-0890—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the Federal Trust Fund .....	4,321,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0140-Judicial Council.....	3,295,000
(2) 0155-Habeas Corpus Resource Center .....	1,026,000
0250-001-0932—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the Trial Court Trust Fund.....	17,877,000

Attachment C, row  
1

Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 8 —

Item Amount

Schedule:

- (1) 0140010-Judicial Council ..... 4,852,000
- (2) 0140019-Trial Court Operations..... 13,025,000

Provisions:

1. Upon approval of the Administrative Director, the Controller shall increase this item by an amount sufficient to allow for the expenditure of an transfer to this item made pursuant to Provision 6, 7, and 11 of Item 0250-101-0932.
2. Upon approval of the Administrative Director, the Controller shall transfer up to \$500,000 of the funding appropriated in Schedule (2) to Schedule (1) for administrative services provided by the Judicial Council to implement and administer the Civil Representation Pilot Program.
3. Upon approval of the Administrative Director, the amount available for expenditure in this item may be augmented by the amount of resources collected to support the implementation and administration of the Civil Representation Pilot Program.

Attachment C, rows 2 and 3

0250-001-3037—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the State Court Facilities Construction Fund .. 79,946,000

Schedule:

- (1) 0140-Judicial Council..... 7,225,000
- (2) 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program..... 82,721,000
- (3) Reimbursements to 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program .....-10,000,000

Provisions:

1. The Director of Finance may augment this item by an amount not to exceed available funding in the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, after review of a request submitted by the Judicial Council that demonstrates a need for additional resources associated with the rehabilitation of court facilities. This request shall be submitted no later than 60 days prior to the effective date of the augmentation. Any augmentation shall be authorized not sooner than 30 days after notification in writing to the chairpersons of the committees in each house of the Legislature that consider appropriations, the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees that consider the State

Attachment D

Item	Amount
<p>Budget, and the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may determine.</p> <p>2. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon approval and order of the Director of Finance, the amount appropriated in this item shall be reduced by the amount transferred in Item 0250-011-0001 to provide adequate resources to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund to pay workers' compensation claims for judicial branch employees and administrative costs in accordance with Section 68114.10 of the Government Code.</p> <p>3. Notwithstanding Section 70374 of the Government Code, \$1,155,000 of the funds appropriated in this item shall be available for the Office of Real Estate and Facilities Management, within the Judicial Council, to manage and oversee existing facilities for the trial courts, courts of appeal, Judicial Council, and the California Habeas Corpus Resource Center.</p>	
0250-001-3060—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the Appellate Court Trust Fund.....	6,756,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0130-Supreme Court .....	1,158,000
(2) 0135-Courts of Appeal .....	5,598,000
Provisions:	
<p>1. Upon approval of the Director of Finance, the amount available for expenditure in this item may be augmented by the amount of any additional resources available in the Appellate Court Trust Fund, which is in addition to the amount appropriated in this item. Any augmentation shall be authorized no sooner than 30 days after notification in writing to the chairpersons of the committees in each house of the Legislature that consider appropriations, the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees that consider the State Budget, and the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or his or her designee, may determine.</p>	
0250-001-3066—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the Court Facilities Trust Fund .....	111,734,000

Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 10 —

Item	Amount
Schedule:	
(1) 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program.....	118,734,000
(2) Reimbursements to 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program .....	-7,000,000
Provisions:	
1. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Director of Finance may authorize expenditures in excess of this item for the operation, repair, and maintenance of court facilities pursuant to Section 70352 of the Government Code.	
0250-001-3085—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the Mental Health Services Fund .....	1,050,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0140-Judicial Council.....	1,050,000
0250-001-3138—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account, State Court Facilities Construction Fund .....	30,239,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program.....	30,239,000
0250-002-3138—For support of Judicial Branch, payable from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account, State Court Facilities Construction Fund .....	54,214,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program.....	54,214,000
0250-003-0001—For support of Judicial Branch, for rental payments on lease-revenue bonds .....	4,967,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0135-Courts of Appeal .....	4,968,000
(2) Reimbursements to 0135-Courts of Appeal.....	-1,000
Provisions:	
1. The Controller shall transfer funds appropriated in this item for base rental, fees, and insurance as and when provided for in the schedule submitted by the State Public Works Board or the Department of Finance. Notwithstanding the payment dates in any related Facility Lease or Indenture, the schedule may provide for an earlier transfer of funds to ensure debt requirements are met and base rental payments are paid in full when due.	
2. This item may contain adjustments pursuant to Section 4.30 that are not currently reflected. Any adjustments to this item shall be reported to the	



## Attachment D

— 11 —

Ch. 10/11

Item	Amount
Joint Legislative Budget Committee pursuant to Section 4.30.	
0250-003-3037—For support of Judicial Branch, for rental payments on lease-revenue bonds .....	60,872,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program.....	60,873,000
(2) Reimbursements to 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program .....	-1,000
Provisions:	
1. The Controller shall transfer funds appropriated in this item for base rental and fees as provided for in the schedule submitted by the State Public Works Board or the Department of Finance. Notwithstanding the payment dates in any related Facility Lease or Indenture, the schedule may provide for an earlier transfer of funds to ensure debt requirements are met and base rental payments are paid in full when due.	
2. This item may contain adjustments pursuant to Section 4.30 that are not currently reflected. Any adjustments to this item shall be reported to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee pursuant to Section 4.30.	
0250-003-3138—For support of Judicial Branch, for rental payments on lease-revenue bonds .....	13,352,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program.....	13,353,000
(2) Reimbursements to 0145-Judicial Branch Facility Program .....	-1,000
Provisions:	
1. The Controller shall transfer funds appropriated in this item for base rental, fees, and insurance as and when provided for in the schedule submitted by the State Public Works Board or the Department of Finance. Notwithstanding the payment dates in any related Facility Lease or Indenture, the schedule may provide for an earlier transfer of funds to ensure debt requirements are met and base rental payments are paid in full when due.	
2. This item may contain adjustments pursuant to Section 4.30 that are not currently reflected. Any adjustments to this item shall be reported to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee pursuant to Section 4.30.	

Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 12 —

Item	Amount
0250-011-0001—For transfer, upon order of the Director of Finance, to the Judicial Branch Workers’ Compensation Fund .....	1,000
Provisions:	
1. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon approval and order of the Department of Finance, the Administrative Director shall adjust the amount of this transfer to provide adequate resources to the Judicial Branch Workers’ Compensation Fund to pay workers’ compensation claims for judicial branch employees and justices, and administrative costs pursuant to Section 68114.10 of the Government Code.	
0250-012-0001—For transfer by the Controller to the Court Facilities Trust Fund .....	8,053,000
0250-101-0001—For local assistance, Judicial Branch... Schedule:	17,753,000
(1) 0150010-Support for Operation of Trial Courts .....	6,201,000
(2) 0150051-Child Support Commissioner Program (AB 1058) .....	54,332,000
(3) 0150055-California Collaborative and Drug Court Projects.....	5,748,000
(4) 0150075-Grants—Other.....	1,586,000
(5) 0150083-Equal Access Fund.....	10,392,000
(6) Reimbursements to 0150051-Child Support Commissioner Program (AB 1058) .....	-54,332,000
(7) Reimbursements to 0150055-California Collaborative and Drug Court Projects.....	-4,588,000
(8) Reimbursements to 0150075-Grants—Other .....	-1,586,000
Provisions:	
1. In order to improve equal access and the fair administration of justice, the funds appropriated in Schedule (8) are to be distributed by the Judicial Council through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission to qualified legal services projects and support centers as defined in Sections 6213 to 6215, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code, to be used for legal services in civil matters for indigent persons. The Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines. Ten percent of the funds in Schedule (8) shall be for joint	

Attachment B,  
Table 1, rows 6, 11,  
12, 14, 20

Attachment D

Item	Amount
<p>projects of courts and legal services programs to make legal assistance available to pro per litigants and 90 percent of the funds in Schedule (8) shall be distributed consistent with Sections 6216 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code. The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements consistent with Sections 6213 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code.</p> <p>2. The amount appropriated in Schedule (1) is available for reimbursement of court costs related to the following activities: (a) payment of service of process fees billed to the trial courts pursuant to Chapter 1009 of the Statutes of 2002, (b) payment of the court costs payable under Sections 4750 to 4755, inclusive, and Section 6005 of the Penal Code, and (c) payment of court costs of extraordinary homicide trials.</p>	
0250-101-0890—For local assistance, Judicial Branch, payable from the Federal Trust Fund.....	2,275,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0150059-Federal Child Access and Visitation Grant Program.....	800,000
(2) 0150063-Federal Court Improvement Grant Program.....	700,000
(3) 0150079-Federal Grants—Other ....	775,000
*0250-101-0932—For local assistance, Judicial Branch, payable from the Trial Court Trust Fund.....	2,337,627,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0150010-Support for Operation of Trial Courts.....	1,883,879,000
(2) 0150019-Compensation of Superior Court Judges.....	323,784,000
(3) 0150028-Assigned Judges.....	26,047,000
(4) 0150037-Court Interpreters .....	94,089,000
(5) 0150067-Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) program .....	2,213,000
(6) 0150071-Model Self-Help Program .....	957,000
(7) 0150083-Equal Access Fund.....	5,482,000
(8) 0150087-Family Law Information Centers .....	345,000
(9) 0150091-Civil Case Coordination ..	832,000
(10) Reimbursements to 0150010-Support for Operation of Trial Courts .....	-1,000

Attachment B, Table 1, rows 13, 15, 18

Attachment B, Table 1, rows 5, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22

## Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 14 —

Item	Amount
Provisions:	
1. The funds appropriated in Schedule (2) shall be made available for costs of the workers' compensation program for trial court judges.	
2. The amount appropriated in Schedule (3) shall be made available for all judicial assignments. Schedule (3) expenditures for necessary support staff may not exceed the staffing level that is necessary to support the equivalent of three judicial officers sitting on assignments. Prior to utilizing funds appropriated in Schedule (3), trial courts shall maximize the use of judicial officers who may be available due to reductions in court services or court closures.	
3. The funds appropriated in Schedule (4) shall be for payments to contractual court interpreters, and certified or registered court interpreters employed by the courts for services provided during court proceedings and other services related to pending court proceedings, including services provided outside a courtroom, and the following court interpreter coordinators: 1.0 each in counties of the 1st through the 15th classes, 0.5 each in counties of the 16th through the 31st classes, and 0.25 each in counties of the 32nd through the 58th classes. For the purposes of this provision, "court interpreter coordinators" may be full- or part-time court employees, and shall be certified or registered court interpreters in good standing under existing law. <p style="margin-left: 40px;">The Judicial Council shall set statewide or regional rates and policies for payment of court interpreters, not to exceed the rate paid to certified interpreters in the federal court system.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">The Judicial Council shall adopt appropriate rules and procedures for the administration of these funds. The Judicial Council shall report to the Legislature and the Director of Finance annually regarding expenditures from Schedule (4).</p>	
4. Upon order of the Director of Finance, the amount available for expenditure in this item may be augmented by the amount of any additional resources available in the Trial Court Trust Fund, which is in addition to the amount appropriated in this item. Any augmentation must be approved in joint determination with the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and shall be autho-	

## Attachment D

— 15 —

Ch. 10/11

Item

Amount

- rized not sooner than 30 days after notification in writing to the chairpersons of the committees in each house of the Legislature that consider appropriations, the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees that consider the State Budget, and the chairperson of the joint committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may determine. When a request to augment this item is submitted to the Director of Finance, a copy of that request shall be delivered to the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees that consider the State Budget. Delivery of a copy of that request shall not be deemed to be notification in writing for purposes of this provision.
5. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon approval and order of the Director of Finance, the amount appropriated in this item shall be reduced by the amount transferred in Item 0250-115-0932 to provide adequate resources to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund to pay workers' compensation claims for judicial branch employees and judges, and administrative costs pursuant to Section 68114.10 of the Government Code.
  6. Upon approval by the Administrative Director, the Controller shall transfer up to \$11,274,000 to Item 0250-001-0932 for recovery of costs for administrative services provided to the trial courts by the Judicial Council.
  7. In order to improve equal access and the fair administration of justice, the funds appropriated in Schedule (7) are available for distribution by the Judicial Council through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission in support of the Equal Access Fund Program to qualified legal services projects and support centers as defined in Sections 6213 to 6215, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code, to be used for legal services in civil matters for indigent persons. The Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines. Upon approval by the Administrative Director, the Controller shall transfer up to 5 percent of the funding

## Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 16 —

Item

Amount

- appropriated in Schedule (7) to Item 0250-001-0932 for administrative expenses. Ten percent of the funds remaining after administrative costs shall be for joint projects of courts and legal services programs to make legal assistance available to pro per litigants and 90 percent of the funds remaining after administrative costs shall be distributed consistent with Sections 6216 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code. The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements consistent with Sections 6213 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code.
8. Funds available for expenditure in Schedule (7) may be augmented by order of the Director of Finance by the amount of any additional resources deposited for distribution to the Equal Access Fund Program in accordance with Sections 68085.3 and 68085.4 of the Government Code. Any augmentation under this provision shall be authorized not sooner than 30 days after notification in writing to the chairpersons of the committees in each house of the Legislature that consider appropriations, the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees that consider the State Budget, and the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may determine.
  9. Sixteen (16.0) subordinate judicial officer positions are authorized to be converted to judgeships in the 2015–16 fiscal year in the manner and pursuant to the authority described in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 69615 of the Government Code, as described in the notice filed by the Judicial Council under subparagraph (B) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (c) of Section 69615 of the Government Code.
  12. Of the amounts appropriated in Schedule (1), \$325,000 shall be allocated by the Judicial Council in order to reimburse the California State Auditor’s Office for the costs of trial court audits incurred by the California State Auditor’s Office pursuant to Section 19210 of the Public Contract Code.

Attachment D

Item	Amount
14. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the amount appropriated in Schedule (1), \$26,900,000 is available for expenditure or encumbrance until June 30, 2017.	
0250-101-3138—For local assistance, Judicial Branch, payable from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account, State Court Facilities Construction Fund .....	50,000,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0150010-Support for Operation of Trial Courts .....	50,000,000
0250-101-3259—For local assistance, Judicial Branch, payable from the Recidivism Reduction Fund .....	1,300,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0150010-Support for operation of Trial Courts .....	1,300,000
Provisions:	
1. Funds appropriated in this item shall be used for the establishment or on-going operation and staffing of programs known to reduce recidivism and enhance public safety including collaborative courts that serve moderate and high risk adult criminal offenders, pre-trial programs, and the use of risk and needs assessment instruments at sentencing of felony offenders subject to local supervision.	
2. Funds shall be designated for a competitive grant program developed and administered by the Judicial Council and shall be used to support the administration and operation of programs and practices known to reduce offender recidivism including the use of risk and needs assessments, evidence-based practices, and programs that specifically address the needs of mentally ill and drug addicted offenders.	
3. Participating courts must submit a joint application on behalf of the court, county, and other local justice system partners that clearly details the initiative for which funding is sought; the associated staffing activities, programs, and services to be delivered by the partner organizations; and how the grant program will cover those costs.	
4. In consultation with the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and the Chief Probation Officers of California, the Judicial Council shall establish performance based outcome measures appropriate for each program including, but not limited to, the number of offenders participating	

Attachment B, Table 1, row 3

Attachment B, Table 1, row 4

Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 18 —

Item	Amount
<p>in these programs who fail to appear, are revoked to state prison or county jail, or commit new crimes and are sentenced to county jail or state prison. Participating courts must provide required data, including individual offender level data, on a quarterly basis to Judicial Council.</p> <p>5. Annually, the Judicial Council shall report aggregate level data related to these programs to the Department of Finance and the Joint Legislative Budget Committee. The first report shall include information related to the establishment and operation of the grantee programs. The Judicial Council shall provide a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and the Department of Finance that addresses the effectiveness of the programs based on the reports of the established outcome measures described in Provision 4 and the impact of the moneys appropriated pursuant to this act to enhance public safety and improve offender outcomes four years after the grants are awarded. Five percent of the funds shall be designated to the Judicial Council for the administration of the program, including the collection and analysis of data from the grantee courts, the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, and local justice system partners; the provision of technical and legal assistance to the courts; and evaluation of the program. Funds appropriated in this item may be encumbered and expended until June 30, 2018, after which any unexpended funds shall revert to the General Fund.</p>	
0250-102-0001—For local assistance, Judicial Branch, augmentation for Court Employee Retirement, Compensation, and Benefits .....	71,502,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0150010-Support for Operation of Trial Courts .....	71,501,000
(2) 0150037-Court Interpreters .....	1,000
Provisions:	
1. Funding appropriated in this item shall be allocated, upon order of the Director of Finance, to trial courts to address cost increases related to court employee retirement, retiree health, and health benefits.	
2. To the extent the funds appropriated in this item exceed the actual cost increases relative to the purposes for which the funds are appropriated,	

Attachment B,  
Table 1, row 2



Attachment D

Item	Amount
any excess funds shall revert to the General Fund on June 30, 2016.	
0250-102-0159—For local assistance, Judicial Branch, payable from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund.....	60,359,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0150010-Support for Operation of Trial Courts .....	60,359,000

Provisions:

1. Upon approval of the Director of Finance, the amount available for expenditure in this item may be augmented by the amount of any additional resources available in the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund, which is in addition to the amount appropriated in this item. Any augmentation shall be authorized not sooner than 30 days after notification in writing to the chairpersons of the committees in each house of the Legislature that consider the State Budget, the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees in each house of the Legislature that consider appropriations, and the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time after that notification the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may determine.
2. The Director of Finance may authorize a loan from the General Fund to the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund for cashflow purposes in an amount not to exceed \$35,000,000 subject to the following conditions: (a) the loan is to meet cash needs resulting from a delay in receipt of revenues, (b) the loan is short term, and shall be repaid by October 31 of the fiscal year following that in which the loan was authorized, (c) interest charges may be waived pursuant to subdivision (e) of Section 16314 of the Government Code, and (d) the Director of Finance may not approve the loan unless the approval is made in writing and filed with the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and the chairpersons of the committees in each house of the Legislature that consider appropriations not later than 30 days prior to the effective date of the approval, or not sooner than whatever lesser time the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may determine.

Attachment B,  
Table 1, row 1

Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 20 —

Item	Amount
3. Of the funds appropriated in this item, up to \$5,000,000 shall be available for support of services for self-represented litigants.	
*0250-102-0932—For local assistance, Judicial Branch, payable from the Trial Court Trust Fund .....	114,700,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0150011-Court Appointed Dependency Counsel .....	114,700,000
Provisions:	
1. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and upon approval of the Director of Finance, the amount available for expenditure in Schedule (1) may be increased by the amount of any additional resources collected for the recovery of costs for court-appointed dependency counsel services.	
2. Upon approval of the Administrative Director, the Controller shall transfer up to \$556,000 to Item 0250-001-0932 for administrative services provided to the trial courts in support of the court appointed dependency counsel program.	
*0250-111-0001—For transfer by the Controller to the Trial Court Trust Fund.....	935,409,000
0250-111-0159—For transfer by the Controller from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund to the Trial Court Trust Fund .....	(594,000)
0250-111-3037—For transfer by the Controller from the State Court Facilities Construction Fund to the Trial Court Trust Fund .....	(5,486,000)
0250-112-0001—For transfer by the Controller to the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund .....	44,218,000
0250-113-0001—For transfer, upon order of the Director of Finance, to the Trial Court Trust Fund .....	66,200,000
Provisions:	
1. The amount appropriated in this item shall be allocated by the Director of Finance if, in consultation with the Judicial Council, a determination is made that revenues in the Trial Court Trust Fund are insufficient to support trial court operations. No allocation will be made pursuant to this item prior to May 14, 2016.	
*0250-114-0001—For transfer by the Controller to the Trial Court Trust Fund.....	114,700,000
0250-115-0932—For transfer, upon order of the Director of Finance, to the Judicial Branch Workers’ Compensation Fund .....	1,000

Attachment B,  
Table 1, row 7

Attachment D

Item	Amount
Provisions:	
1. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon approval and order of the Department of Finance, the Administrative Director shall adjust the amount of this transfer to provide adequate resources to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund to pay workers' compensation claims for judicial branch employees and judges, and administrative costs pursuant to Section 68114.10 of the Government Code.	
0250-301-0668—For capital outlay, Judicial Branch, payable from the Public Buildings Construction Fund Subaccount .....	97,739,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0000084-Lake County: New Lakeport Courthouse—Construction.....	40,803,000
(2) 0000112-Siskiyou County: New Yreka Courthouse—Construction..	56,936,000
Provisions:	
1. The State Public Works Board may issue lease-revenue bonds, notes, or bond anticipation notes pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 15830) of Part 10b of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code to finance the design and construction of the project authorized by this item.	
2. The Judicial Council and the State Public Works Board are authorized and directed to execute and deliver any and all leases, contracts, agreements, or other documents necessary or advisable to consummate the sale of bonds or otherwise effectuate the financing of the scheduled project.	
3. The State Public Works Board shall not be deemed a lead or responsible agency for purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code) for any activities under the State Building Construction Act of 1955 (Part 10b (commencing with Section 15800) of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code). This provision does not exempt the Judicial Council from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act. This provision is declaratory of existing law.	
0250-301-3138—For capital outlay, Judicial Branch, payable from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account, State Court Facilities Construction Fund .....	51,781,000

## Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 22 —

Item	Amount
Schedule:	
(1) 0000092-Mendocino County: New Ukiah Courthouse—Working drawings.....	6,068,000
(2) 0000109-Santa Barbara County: New Santa Barbara Criminal Courthouse—Working drawings and construction.....	6,294,000
(3) 0000111-Shasta County: New Redding Courthouse—Working drawings and construction .....	8,849,000
(4) 0000114-Sonoma County: New Santa Rosa Criminal Courthouse—Working drawings.....	11,252,000
(5) 0000115-Stanislaus County: New Modesto Courthouse—Working drawings.....	15,252,000
(6) 0000119-Tuolumne County: New Sonora Courthouse—Working drawings.....	4,066,000
0250-490—Reappropriation, Judicial Branch. The balances of the appropriations provided in the following citations are reappropriated for the purposes provided for in those appropriations and shall be available for encumbrance or expenditure until June 30, 2018.	
3138—Immediate and Critical Needs Account, State Court Facilities Construction Fund	
(1) Item 0250-301-3138, Budget Act of 2012, (Chs. 21 and 29, Stats. 2012), as partially reverted by Item 0250-495, Budget Act of 2013 (Chs. 20 and 354, Stats. 2013) and Budget Act of 2014 (Chs. 25 and 663, Stats. 2014)	
(1) 91.09.001-El Dorado County: New Placer-ville Courthouse—Acquisition	
(2) 91.14.001-Inyo County: New Inyo Courthouse—Acquisition	
(9) 91.19.007-Los Angeles County: New East-lake Juvenile Courthouse—Acquisition	
(10) 91.23.001-Mendocino County: New Ukiah Courthouse—Acquisition	
(14) 91.33.003-Riverside County: New Hemet Courthouse—Acquisition	

## Attachment D

— 23 —

Ch. 10/11

Item	Amount
0250-491—Reappropriation, Judicial Branch. The balances of the appropriations provided in the following citations are reappropriated for the purposes provided for in those appropriations and shall be available for encumbrance or expenditure until June 30, 2016. 3138—Immediate and Critical Needs Account, State Court Facilities Construction Fund (1) Item 0250-301-3138, Budget Act of 2014, (Chs. 25 and 663, Stats. 2014) (1) 91.09.001-El Dorado County: New Placer-ville Courthouse—Preliminary plans (2) 91.11.001-Glenn County: Renovation and Addition to Willows Courthouse—Construction (6) 91.23.001-Mendocino County: New Ukiah Courthouse—Preliminary plans (7) 91.33.003-Riverside County: New Mid County Civil Courthouse—Preliminary plans	
0250-492—Reappropriation, Judicial Branch. The balances of the appropriations provided in the following citations are reappropriated for the purposes provided for in those appropriations and shall be available for encumbrance or expenditure until June 30, 2017. 3138—Immediate and Critical Needs Account, State Court Facilities Construction Fund (1) Item 0250-301-3138, Budget Act of 2014, (Ch. 25, Stats. 2014) (3) 91.14.001-Inyo County: New Inyo County Courthouse—Preliminary plans	
0250-493—Reappropriation, Judicial Branch, the balances of the appropriations provided in the following citations are reappropriated for the purposes provided for in those appropriations and shall be available for encumbrance or expenditure until June 30, 2018. 0668—Public Buildings Construction Fund Subaccount (1) Item 0250-301-0668, Budget Act of 2014 (Chs. 25 and 663, Stats. 2014) (1) 91.11.001-Glenn County: Renovation and Addition to Willows Courthouse—Construction	

## Attachment D

Ch. 10/11

— 24 —

Item	Amount
0280-001-0001—For support of Commission on Judicial Performance .....	4,342,000
Schedule:	
(1) 0180-Commission on Judicial Performance .....	4,421,000
(2) Reimbursements to 0180-Commission on Judicial Performance.....	-79,000
Provisions:	
1. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon approval and order of the Department of Finance, the amount appropriated in this item shall be reduced by the amount transferred in Item 0280-011-0001 to provide adequate resources to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund to pay workers' compensation claims for judicial branch employees and administrative costs pursuant to Section 68114.10 of the Government Code.	
0280-011-0001—For transfer, upon order of the Director of Finance, to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund .....	1,000
Provisions:	
1. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon approval and order of the Department of Finance, the Commission on Judicial Performance shall adjust the amount of this transfer to provide adequate resources to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund to pay workers' compensation claims for judicial branch employees and administrative costs pursuant to Section 68114.10 of the Government Code.	
0390-001-0001—For transfer by the Controller to the Judges' Retirement Fund, for Supreme Court and Appellate Court Justices .....	1,150,000
Provisions:	
1. Upon order of the Department of Finance, the Controller shall transfer such funds as are necessary between this item and Item 0390-101-0001.	
0390-101-0001—For transfer by the Controller to the Judges' Retirement Fund for Superior Court and Municipal Court Judges .....	185,803,000
Provisions:	
1. Upon order of the Department of Finance, the Controller shall transfer such funds as are necessary between Item 0390-001-0001 and this item.	

**Attachment E**  
**Trial Court Funding, Expenditures, and Positions**  
 2013-14 and 2014-15

	2013-14 Actual				2014-15 Estimated			
	State Funding <sup>1</sup> (Program 45)	Non-State Funding <sup>1</sup>	Total Court Expenditures <sup>1</sup>	Filled Positions as of 7/1/2013 <sup>2</sup>	State Funding <sup>3</sup> (Program 45)	Non-State Funding <sup>3</sup>	Total Court Expenditures <sup>3</sup>	Filled Positions as of 7/1/2014 <sup>2</sup>
Alameda	91,791,236	9,640,132	100,326,991	694	90,018,251	8,302,702	122,709,141	672
Alpine	574,831	50,893	529,115	3	133,308	35,523	705,960	3
Amador	2,455,070	90,976	2,730,931	31	2,419,308	93,025	2,563,799	27
Butte	10,626,333	903,357	11,753,598	112	11,158,532	864,236	12,686,866	109
Calaveras	2,601,384	204,688	3,187,267	29	2,546,645	156,600	3,174,309	27
Colusa	1,788,999	269,809	2,418,610	15	1,671,798	246,530	2,160,906	13
Contra Costa	51,370,313	3,988,041	60,621,164	297	50,936,979	4,430,646	58,193,418	315
Del Norte	2,901,860	196,047	3,809,726	27	2,394,925	317,356	3,829,017	27
El Dorado	7,590,209	458,345	8,450,503	71	7,810,382	515,470	8,647,445	74
Fresno	52,469,707	3,262,460	60,851,608	429	53,397,783	3,199,414	57,573,726	414
Glenn	2,350,267	559,860	3,039,541	23	2,224,104	581,772	3,252,373	23
Humboldt	7,494,574	199,030	8,416,964	86	7,630,158	202,182	8,475,200	87
Imperial	9,515,373	1,819,099	13,287,680	130	9,949,262	1,814,246	12,343,404	138
Inyo	2,456,794	204,569	3,451,417	19	2,506,606	188,280	2,709,576	15
Kern	45,591,540	13,108,544	59,635,675	353	47,695,367	12,219,002	65,053,786	396
Kings	8,195,374	1,052,238	9,617,394	86	7,998,293	765,200	9,593,801	81
Lake	3,584,597	56,076	3,857,695	29	3,611,013	43,245	3,939,548	30
Lassen	2,678,050	236,390	2,987,167	31	2,639,492	253,322	3,293,115	22
Los Angeles	612,873,069	33,608,004	664,394,645	4,409	652,256,000	33,489,000	721,449,997	4,220
Madera	8,437,138	374,700	9,721,598	97	8,448,914	256,938	8,713,382	96
Marin	15,015,001	486,473	17,335,016	125	14,580,857	493,000	14,870,822	114
Mariposa	1,198,197	160,298	1,638,422	13	1,344,389	186,858	1,566,324	14
Mendocino	5,851,182	608,718	6,584,480	51	5,861,096	245,520	7,035,341	56
Merced	14,250,028	543,205	15,666,132	118	14,524,524	467,000	15,849,509	123
Modoc	1,111,511	75,586	1,258,209	11	1,053,932	77,873	1,164,534	11
Mono	1,491,947	36,732	1,982,252	16	1,657,802	69,100	1,796,558	15
Monterey	19,201,414	632,006	22,027,842	180	19,989,610	689,800	20,513,128	172
Napa	8,469,954	694,445	10,220,062	72	8,491,170	696,103	9,465,910	72
Nevada	6,109,148	440,913	6,683,015	57	6,250,862	548,598	6,629,758	58
Orange	163,647,953	22,422,452	209,612,151	1,477	166,019,625	28,503,869	202,944,241	1,416
Placer	15,657,760	616,121	17,480,364	107	15,858,898	733,000	17,011,883	105
Plumas	1,728,507	9,410	2,237,303	13	1,574,313	9,230	1,630,487	11
Riverside	108,940,674	23,010,156	130,796,004	1,078	115,198,285	23,406,466	144,463,187	1,033
Sacramento	81,527,127	4,404,715	94,951,035	637	82,121,539	5,324,631	91,382,978	613
San Benito	3,128,482	77,365	3,977,793	26	2,938,919	60,000	3,242,444	26
San Bernardino	93,002,957	6,116,991	104,749,662	877	99,225,772	7,191,833	109,835,266	892
San Diego	154,719,718	11,057,199	168,821,294	1,259	155,398,718	11,485,624	172,208,772	1,262
San Francisco	71,652,750	4,419,967	81,433,534	451	72,326,083	3,982,966	80,354,631	437
San Joaquin	30,371,701	2,493,482	32,263,325	249	31,049,505	2,631,226	36,598,010	287
San Luis Obispo	15,739,116	1,360,455	18,399,258	130	15,736,402	1,290,578	17,282,588	131
San Mateo	37,421,943	1,157,384	39,606,466	262	38,180,684	1,074,500	41,873,202	244
Santa Barbara	24,874,052	2,695,508	28,657,511	250	25,090,261	2,689,063	29,464,388	232
Santa Clara	90,704,288	8,343,409	106,443,077	735	89,640,425	8,524,309	101,727,767	706
Santa Cruz	12,757,365	769,312	14,911,585	119	13,234,683	731,120	15,544,261	121
Shasta	12,381,917	3,264,664	17,058,356	185	12,903,255	3,005,966	16,258,584	174
Sierra	573,077	53,906	647,816	4	767,542	35,550	799,967	4
Siskiyou	4,237,767	453,897	5,771,786	41	4,128,863	358,359	4,976,889	38
Solano	21,514,445	1,282,408	24,173,953	209	21,962,851	1,396,564	23,818,229	211
Sonoma	25,219,543	2,143,567	28,340,830	174	25,223,421	2,409,190	30,370,861	167
Stanislaus	21,003,191	1,762,565	24,108,898	206	21,783,366	1,798,887	24,199,877	217
Sutter	5,102,427	566,004	5,842,548	50	5,316,513	434,870	6,115,254	52
Tehama	3,835,769	153,247	4,380,565	37	3,745,414	990,322	4,834,537	37
Trinity	1,642,924	75,097	1,933,416	15	1,742,523	36,120	1,868,695	15
Tulare	19,077,761	4,441,922	23,707,714	222	19,745,752	4,448,411	24,400,507	222
Tuolumne	3,475,464	235,825	4,082,013	36	3,441,265	185,932	3,670,978	35
Ventura	34,992,291	8,966,467	46,253,280	352	36,712,700	9,435,696	46,732,377	348
Yolo	10,220,377	1,193,543	12,905,619	93	10,320,458	1,398,873	12,242,124	99
Yuba	4,416,652	725,515	5,208,233	48	4,636,537	586,195	5,643,212	46
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,073,613,114</b>	<b>188,234,183</b>	<b>2,375,130,109</b>	<b>16,951</b>	<b>2,137,225,924</b>	<b>195,607,171</b>	<b>2,461,456,849</b>	<b>16,602</b>
<b>Pending Allocations<sup>4</sup></b>								
Return of 2% Set-Aside Reserve <sup>5</sup>	-	-	-	-	37,882,840	-	-	-
Criminal Justice Realignment Funding <sup>6</sup>	-	-	-	-	4,611,500	-	-	-
<b>Funds not included in the distribution to the courts<sup>7</sup></b>								
Direct Payments for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel <sup>8</sup>	70,968,934	-	-	-	70,022,922	-	-	-
State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization	46,444,418	-	-	-	45,916,700	-	-	-
Fund Statewide Projects/Programs	203,987,989	-	-	-	200,899,737	-	-	-
Compensation of Superior Court Judges - Non-Trial	25,496,371	-	-	-	26,047,000	-	-	-
Court Reimbursement <sup>9</sup>	2,213,000	-	-	-	2,213,000	-	-	-
Assigned Judges	15,599,900	-	-	-	15,874,000	-	-	-
Court-Appointed Special Advocate Program	(835,725)	-	-	-	(2,576,623)	-	-	-
Equal Access Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other <sup>10</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>State Trial Court Funding Total<sup>11</sup></b>	<b>2,437,488,000</b>				<b>2,538,117,000</b>			

<sup>1</sup> Reflects the FY 2013-14 4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement information submitted by the Superior Courts. A detailed breakdown of this data can be found in the Report of Trial Court Revenue, Expenditure, and Fund Balance Constraints for Fiscal Year 2013-14. Non-state funding includes local fees and non-fee revenue, enhanced collections and other reimbursements, grants from non-state entities, etc.

<sup>2</sup> Reflects the filled full-time equivalent positions reported on the Schedule 7As submitted by the superior courts. Does not include judges who are constitutional officers and not court employees.

<sup>3</sup> Reflects the budgets of all 58 superior courts based on courts' FY 2014-15 Schedule 1 submissions as of December 10, 2014.

<sup>4</sup> Reflects funding pending allocation from the Judicial Council. Courts may have budgeted for some of these funds in anticipation of their allocation above.

<sup>5</sup> GC section 68502.5(c)(2)(B) requires 2 percent of the amount appropriated to Program 45.10 in the Budget Act to be set-aside by the Judicial Council for allocation to the trial courts "for unforeseen emergencies, unanticipated expenses for existing programs, or unavoidable funding shortfalls". Any amounts unallocated by March 15 are to be returned to the courts pro-rata.

<sup>6</sup> Reflects only half of total funding (\$9.223 million). Allocation pending updated workload metrics from the courts related to FY 2014-15.

<sup>7</sup> Funds disbursed on behalf of trial courts, for statewide programs, for judges compensation, or to non-court entities, and therefore are not included in the superior courts' funding.

<sup>8</sup> Reflects actual or estimated payments made directly to court-appointed dependency counsel from the Trial Court Trust Fund on behalf of superior courts participating in the Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding, and Training (DRAFT) Program. Under DRAFT, courts retain responsibility for juvenile dependency counsel selection while the Judicial Council staff are responsible for direct attorney contracting and service administration.

<sup>9</sup> This amount excludes any reimbursement amounts courts reported in their state funding amounts above related to judicial compensation (\$106.8 million in FY 2013-14 and \$112.9 million in FY 2014-15). Judges from the Los Angeles, Riverside, and Ventura Superior Courts are compensated locally and reimbursed by the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF). In addition, 27 courts in FY 2013-14 and FY 2014-15, participate in the local salary reimbursement program in which a small portion of the judges' salary is paid locally and reimbursed by the TCTF.

<sup>10</sup> For the prior year, primarily recognizes that the revenues courts report individually as received or to be received from the state will not be equal to the "State Trial Court Funding" expenditure amount reported at the state level due to timing and accounting differences. For the current year, this category reflects either differences in local versus state revenue projections, pending budgets, or unallocated appropriation.

<sup>11</sup> Total state funding for trial courts fees to actual expenditures for FY 2013-14 and estimated expenditures for FY 2014-15 for the "0150 State Trial Court Funding" program included in the 2015-16 Governor's Budget.

Attachment F: Proposed Revised Current Special Display

State and Non-State Trial Court Funding, Expenditures, and Positions  
2014-15 and 2015-16

Actual and Estimated Trial Court Information	Prior-Year Actual				Current-Year Estimated			
	State Funding <sup>1</sup> (Program 45)	Non-State Funding <sup>1</sup>	Total Court Expenditures <sup>1</sup>	Filled Positions as of 7/1/2014 <sup>2</sup>	State Funding <sup>3</sup> (Program 45)	Non-State Funding <sup>3</sup>	Total Court Expenditures <sup>3</sup>	Filled Positions as of 7/1/2015 <sup>2</sup>
Alameda	93,978,564	8,498,228	124,379,482	672	pending	pending	pending	690
Alpine	108,728	31,966	596,595	3	pending	pending	pending	4
Amador	2,412,363	141,969	2,457,190	27	pending	pending	pending	27
Butte	11,225,764	868,961	12,290,381	109	pending	pending	pending	112
Calaveras	2,540,723	172,545	2,930,043	27	pending	pending	pending	25
Colusa	1,700,941	195,042	2,115,819	13	pending	pending	pending	15
Contra Costa	52,493,027	5,686,455	57,986,194	315	pending	pending	pending	324
Del Norte	2,581,496	261,471	3,497,803	27	pending	pending	pending	26
El Dorado	7,929,062	479,854	8,712,886	74	pending	pending	pending	75
Fresno	55,161,195	3,709,016	59,819,328	414	pending	pending	pending	433
Glenn	2,313,121	496,160	3,093,742	23	pending	pending	pending	23
Humboldt	7,876,721	309,871	8,350,936	87	pending	pending	pending	88
Imperial	10,121,775	1,991,401	12,287,466	138	pending	pending	pending	141
Inyo	2,555,954	178,778	2,927,887	15	pending	pending	pending	16
Kern	50,120,552	12,818,092	66,576,447	396	pending	pending	pending	418
Kings	8,492,336	808,122	9,196,065	81	pending	pending	pending	79
Lake	3,702,079	56,159	3,738,954	30	pending	pending	pending	30
Lassen	2,716,530	224,316	2,935,940	22	pending	pending	pending	21
Los Angeles	648,202,601	33,672,838	662,336,806	4,220	pending	pending	pending	4,189
Madera	9,047,669	332,204	9,209,474	96	pending	pending	pending	92
Marin	14,771,536	556,144	15,144,464	114	pending	pending	pending	103
Mariposa	1,334,064	173,116	1,517,852	14	pending	pending	pending	14
Mendocino	5,681,902	111,711	6,565,005	56	pending	pending	pending	57
Merced	14,630,814	422,127	14,692,463	123	pending	pending	pending	126
Modoc	1,110,144	76,509	1,167,246	11	pending	pending	pending	9
Mono	1,733,102	71,477	1,829,505	15	pending	pending	pending	12
Monterey	20,173,244	606,784	20,456,034	172	pending	pending	pending	178
Napa	8,607,940	722,696	9,339,765	72	pending	pending	pending	67
Nevada	6,321,827	554,052	6,831,068	60	pending	pending	pending	57
Orange	167,455,509	25,765,125	194,637,053	1,416	pending	pending	pending	1,400
Placer	16,332,545	930,056	17,201,944	105	pending	pending	pending	113
Plumas	1,598,829	10,554	1,594,413	11	pending	pending	pending	10
Riverside	114,788,094	22,592,558	140,116,466	1,033	pending	pending	pending	1,105
Sacramento	83,422,451	5,815,090	89,658,677	613	pending	pending	pending	650
San Benito	3,018,992	81,346	3,190,921	26	pending	pending	pending	26
San Bernardino	98,916,405	7,102,906	103,784,602	892	pending	pending	pending	927
San Diego	156,778,220	12,760,804	168,826,039	1,262	pending	pending	pending	1,205
San Francisco	73,987,648	5,510,602	79,242,806	437	pending	pending	pending	462
San Joaquin	31,478,222	4,595,786	36,339,509	287	pending	pending	pending	295
San Luis Obispo	15,387,875	1,408,955	16,358,909	131	pending	pending	pending	131
San Mateo	39,222,696	1,407,766	41,344,136	244	pending	pending	pending	257
Santa Barbara	25,450,634	2,643,972	29,030,657	232	pending	pending	pending	225
Santa Clara	90,678,125	10,313,083	100,056,936	706	pending	pending	pending	652
Santa Cruz	13,319,138	730,538	14,936,291	121	pending	pending	pending	122
Shasta	13,100,909	3,159,491	16,246,218	174	pending	pending	pending	157
Sierra	782,111	46,050	832,653	4	pending	pending	pending	5
Siskiyou	4,097,942	365,894	4,646,807	38	pending	pending	pending	34
Solano	21,970,955	1,348,452	23,549,874	211	pending	pending	pending	203
Sonoma	25,813,373	2,309,872	29,267,478	167	pending	pending	pending	169
Stanislaus	22,070,930	1,945,374	23,909,340	217	pending	pending	pending	227
Sutter	5,460,895	564,058	5,745,945	52	pending	pending	pending	54
Tehama	3,851,460	933,641	4,500,471	37	pending	pending	pending	39
Trinity	1,758,386	53,785	1,859,021	15	pending	pending	pending	15
Tulare	20,201,177	4,371,725	24,203,802	222	pending	pending	pending	235
Tuolumne	3,554,307	208,032	3,746,111	35	pending	pending	pending	34
Ventura	36,448,317	8,659,229	45,232,352	348	pending	pending	pending	350
Yolo	10,842,795	1,324,893	12,552,806	99	pending	pending	pending	101
Yuba	4,614,235	577,055	5,279,830	46	pending	pending	pending	44
<b>Subtotal, Actual and Estimated Court Information</b>	<b>2,156,048,946</b>	<b>201,764,754</b>	<b>2,370,874,904</b>	<b>16,604</b>	-	-	-	<b>16,695</b>
<b>Pending State Funding to the Trial Courts <sup>4</sup></b>								
Return of 2% Set-Aside Reserve <sup>5</sup>	-	-	-	-	37,677,580	-	-	-
Proposition 47 Workload Funding <sup>6</sup>	-	-	-	-	13,450,000	-	-	-
<b>Subtotal, State Funding to the Trial Courts</b>	<b>2,156,048,946</b>	-	-	-	<b>51,127,580</b>	-	-	-
<b>State Trial Court Funding Not Distributed to the Trial Courts <sup>7</sup></b>								
<b>Courts <sup>7</sup></b>	<b>411,790,796</b>	-	-	-	<b>534,534,260</b>	-	-	-
<b>Less: Expenses Made on Behalf of Courts from Courts' Share of State Trial Court Funding <sup>8</sup></b>								
<b>Other <sup>9</sup></b>	<b>(38,866,593)</b>	-	-	-	<b>(33,790,752)</b>	-	-	-
<b>Other <sup>9</sup></b>	<b>24,550,227</b>	-	-	-	<b>1,986,245,912</b>	-	-	-
<b>State Trial Court Funding Total <sup>10</sup></b>	<b>2,553,523,376</b>	-	-	-	<b>2,538,117,000</b>	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup> Reflects the FY 2014-15 4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement information submitted by the Superior Courts. A detailed breakdown of this data can be found in the Report of Trial Court Revenue, Expenditure, and Fund Balance Constraints for Fiscal Year 2014-15. Non-state funding includes local fees and non-fee revenue, enhanced collections and other reimbursements, grants from non-state entities, etc.

<sup>2</sup> Reflects the filled full-time equivalent positions reported on the Schedule 7As submitted by the superior courts. Does not include judges who are constitutional officers and not court employees.

<sup>3</sup> Reflects the budgets of all 58 superior courts based on courts' FY 2015-16 Schedule 1 submissions as of XXXXX.

<sup>4</sup> Reflects funding pending allocation from the Judicial Council. Courts may have budgeted for some of these funds in anticipation of their allocation in the Trial Court Information above.

<sup>5</sup> GC section 68502.5(c)(2)(B) requires 2 percent of the amount appropriated to FISCAL program code 0150010 (Program 45.10) in the Budget Act to be set-aside by the Judicial Council for allocation to the trial courts "for unforeseen emergencies, unanticipated expenses for existing programs, or unavoidable funding shortfalls". Any amounts unallocated by March 15 are to be returned to the courts pro-rata.

<sup>6</sup> Reflects half of total funding (\$26.9 million). Allocation pending updated workload metrics from the courts related to FY 2015-16.

<sup>7</sup> See the "State Funds Not Distributed to the Trial Courts" display for more detail. Funds disbursed on behalf of trial courts, for statewide programs, for judges compensation, or to non-court entities, and therefore are not included in the superior courts' state funding.

<sup>8</sup> See the "State Trial Court Funding Not Distributed to the Trial Courts" display for more detail. These expenses, reported as expenditures from state funds, are from funds from trial courts opting to participate in those programs from their "State Funding" revenue amounts reported in the Trial Court Information section. Because the funding for these expenses is already included in the Trial Court Information, they are subtracted from the total "State Trial Court Funding Not Distributed to Trial Courts" amount used to calculate the "State Trial Court Funding Total".

<sup>9</sup> For the prior year, primarily reflects a 2015-16 net cash advance of \$24.67 million in June 2015 that was recorded as a 2014-15 state trial court funding expense, but was recorded as a 2015-16 revenue by the trial courts in the Trial Court Information. Also recognizes that the revenues courts report individually as received or to be received from the state will not be equal to the "State Trial Court Funding" expenditure amount reported at the state level due to timing and accounting differences. For the current year, this category reflects either differences in local versus state revenue projections, pending budgets, or unallocated appropriation.

<sup>10</sup> Total state funding for trial courts ties to actual expenditures for FY 2014-15 and estimated expenditures for FY 2015-16 for the "0150 State Trial Court Funding" program included in the 2016-17 Governor's Budget.



Attachment G: Proposed New Special Display

State Trial Court Funding Not Distributed to the Trial Courts <sup>1</sup>

Programs by Category	Fund	Prior Year Actual		Current Year Estimated	
		Not From Courts' Share of State Trial Court Funding	From Courts' Share of State Trial Court Funding <sup>2</sup>	Not From Courts' Share of State Trial Court Funding	From Courts' Share of State Trial Court Funding <sup>2</sup>
<b>Center for Children, Families, &amp; the Courts Programs</b>					
Children in Dependency Cases Training	TCTF	95,423	-	113,000	-
Court-Appointed Special Advocate Program	TCTF	2,213,000	-	2,213,000	-
Direct Payments for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel <sup>3</sup>	TCTF	69,363,002	-	75,644,056	-
Domestic Violence - Family Law Interpreter Program	IMF	21,765	-	17,000	-
Educational Programs	IMF	91,521	-	67,000	-
Equal Access Fund	TCTF	4,517,250	-	5,482,000	-
Equal Access Fund	GF	10,392,000	-	10,392,000	-
Interactive Software - Self-Rep Electronic Forms	IMF	59,706	-	60,000	-
Publications	IMF	20,000	-	20,000	-
Sargent Shriver Civil Representation Pilot Program	TCTF	8,535,237	-	7,793,153	-
Self-represented Litigants Statewide Support	IMF	100,645	-	100,000	-
<b>Court Operations Special Services Programs</b>					
Assigned Judges	TCTF	24,792,538	-	26,047,000	-
Database Development - Court Interpreters	TCTF	87,000	-	87,000	-
Court Interpreter - Testing, Development, Recruitment and Education	IMF	172,050	-	143,000	-
Justice Corps	IMF	347,550	-	-	-
Trial Court Performance Measures Study	IMF	1,069	-	13,000	-
Trial Court Security Grants	IMF	1,199,427	-	-	-
<b>Education Programs</b>					
Distance Learning	IMF	142,348	-	138,000	-
Essential/Other Education for Court Management	IMF	30,967	-	20,000	-
Essential/Other Education for Court Personnel	IMF	80,724	-	140,000	-
Faculty and Curriculum Development	IMF	307,062	-	250,000	-
Mandated, Essential & Other Education for Judicial Officers	IMF	789,152	-	654,000	-
<b>Facilities Management Programs</b>					
Facility-Related Costs Incurred on Behalf of the Courts	TCTF	-	17,537,127	-	8,900,000
<b>Finance Programs</b>					
Budget Focused Training and Meetings	IMF	46,499	-	50,000	-
Compensation of Superior Court Judges <sup>4</sup>	TCTF	209,455,409	-	327,290,000	-
Other Post Employment Benefits Valuation	TCTF	-	-	-	650,000
<b>Human Resources Programs</b>					
Human Resources - Court Investigation	IMF	94,500	-	-	-
Human Resources - Court Investigation	TCTF	-	-	-	94,500
Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program <sup>5</sup>	TCTF	-	17,886,000	-	20,697,252
Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program <sup>5</sup>	JBWCF	(1,306,892)	-	1,000	-
Trial Court Labor Relations Academies and Forums	IMF	35,637	-	25,700	-
<b>Information Technology Programs</b>					
California Courts Technology Center	IMF	8,543,320	-	6,642,769	-
California Courts Technology Center	TCTF	-	1,579,775	-	1,581,000
California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR)	IMF	194,797	-	744,900	-
Civil, Small Claims, Probate and Mental Health (V3) Case Management System	TCTF	3,257,894	804,863	-	625,000
Civil, Small Claims, Probate and Mental Health (V3) Case Management System	IMF	-	-	4,227,790	-
CLETS Services/Integration	IMF	294,853	-	-	-
CLETS Services/Integration	TCTF	-	-	-	400,000
Criminal and Traffic (V2) Case Management System	TCTF	286,334	107,621	-	-
Data Integration	IMF	2,722,070	-	3,272,500	-
Enterprise Policy/Planning (Statewide Development)	IMF	5,024,661	-	2,832,140	-
Interim Case Management Systems	IMF	1,008,796	-	1,246,800	-
Interim Case Management Systems	TCTF	-	951,207	-	843,000
Telecommunications Support	IMF	11,701,245	-	16,159,000	-
Enterprise Test Management Suite (Testing Tools)	IMF	491,575	-	-	-
<b>Legal Services Programs</b>					
Judicial Performance Defense Insurance	IMF	920,794	-	966,600	-
Jury System Improvement Projects	IMF	11,423	-	19,000	-
Litigation Management Program	IMF	4,073,816	-	4,000,000	-
Trial Courts Transactional Assistance Program	IMF	451,000	-	451,000	-
<b>Trial Court Administrative Services Programs</b>					
Court-Ordered Debt Task Force	IMF	11,217	-	19,000	-
Phoenix Program	IMF	2,241,193	-	3,402,100	-
Phoenix Program	TCTF	4,626	-	-	-
<b>Subtotal, State Fund Expenditures Not Reimbursed by the Trial Courts</b>		<b>372,924,203</b>		<b>500,743,508</b>	
<b>Subtotal, State Fund Expenditures Reimbursed by the Trial Courts<sup>2</sup></b>			<b>38,866,593</b>		<b>33,790,752</b>
<b>Total, State Trial Court Funding Not Distributed to the Trial Courts</b>			<b>411,790,796</b>		<b>534,534,260</b>
<b>Subtotal by Fund</b>					
Trial Court Trust Fund	TCTF		361,474,307		478,459,961
State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund	IMF		41,231,381		45,681,299
Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund	JBWCF		(1,306,892)		1,000
General Fund	GF		10,392,000		10,392,000
<b>Total, State Trial Court Funding Not Distributed to the Trial Courts</b>			<b>411,790,796</b>		<b>534,534,260</b>

1. Includes all Program 45 (0150) "State Trial Court Funding" expenses from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF), State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF), General Fund (GF), and Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund (JBWCF). The expenses do not necessarily represent all expenses for those programs have a state operations component (e.g., Equal Access Fund) and/or a distribution that is made directly to courts (e.g., court-appointed dependency counsel).

2. These expenses, reported as expenditures from state funds, are reimbursed by the trial courts opting to participate in those programs from their "State Funding" revenue amounts reported in the Trial Court Information section of the "State and Non-State Trial Court Funding, Expenditures, and Positions" display. Because the funding for these expenses is already included in the Trial Court Information portion, they are subtracted from the total "State Trial Court Funding Not Distributed to Trial Courts" amount used to calculate the "State Trial Court Funding Total".

3. Reflects actual or estimated payments made directly to court-appointed dependency counsel from the TCTF on behalf of superior courts participating in the Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding, and Training (DRAFT) Program. Courts not participating in the DRAFT program are reimbursed up to a maximum amount from the TCTF for payments to court-appointed dependency counsel.

4. This reflects judges' compensation paid excluding any amounts related to the reimbursement of courts for payments of judges' compensation as these amounts are already included in the courts' "State Funding" revenue amounts reported in the Trial Court Information section (\$109 million in FY 2014-15 and \$XXX million in FY 2015-16). Judges from the Los Angeles, Riverside, and Ventura County Superior Courts are compensated locally and the court/county is reimbursed by the TCTF. In addition, 26 courts in FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 participate in the local salary reimbursement program in which a small portion of the judges' salary is paid locally and reimbursed by the TCTF.

5. For the TCTF, these expenses reflect the annual premiums of 57 participating trial courts and the appropriation for the Compensation of Superior Court Judges pay into the program through a transfer of their TCTF allocation and/or appropriation to the JBWCF. For the JBWCF, these expenses reflect payments of trial court employee and judge related workers' compensation claims from the JBWCF less the premium payments already reflected as an expense from the TCTF.