



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**Materials for November 5, 2014 Meeting
Table of Contents**

September 26, 2014 Minutes1

Action and Discussion Items

Item 1 – Children’s Waiting Room Distributions (Action Item).....7

Item 2 – Update on the Trial Court Trust Fund and State Trial Court Improvement and
Modernization Fund (Discussion Item)10



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

September 26, 2014

11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Administrative Office of the Courts, 2860 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 400, Sacramento

Advisory Body Members Present: Judges: Laurie M. Earl (Chair), Thomas J. Borris, C. Don Clay, Mark Cope, Thomas DeSantos, Barry P. Good, Lloyd L. Hicks, Laura J. Masunaga, Marsha Slough, Robert J. Trentacosta, Brian Walsh, and David Wesley; Executive Officers: Sherri R. Carter, Jake Chatters, Richard D. Feldstein, John Fitton, Rebecca Fleming, Kimberly Flener, Shawn C. Landry, Deborah Norrie, Michael D. Planet, Michael M. Roddy, Brian Taylor, Mary Beth Todd, Tania Ugrin-Capobianco, Christina M. Volkers, and David Yamasaki; Judicial Council staff advisory members: Curt Child, Jody Patel, Curt Soderlund, and Zlatko Theodorovic (Co-Chair).

Advisory Body Members Absent: Judges: Loretta M. Begen, Rene Chouteau, and Elizabeth Johnson; Executive Officers: None; Judicial Council staff advisory members: None.

Others Present: Hon. Lesley Holland, Hon. Harold Hopp, Hon. Carolyn Kuhl, Kim Kampling, Linda Romero-Soles, Keri Brasil, Rosa Junqueiro, Rena Amin, Angel Chan, Sam Hamrick, Ronna Uliana, Jarrod Orr, Leslie Heimov, Cory Jasperson, Steven Chang, Patrick Ballard, Valerie Vindici, Vicki Muzny, Andi Liebenbaum, and Olivia Lawrence.

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The meeting was called to order at 11:00 a.m. and roll was taken.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the July 7, 2014, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) meeting.

Public Comment

Leslie Heimov, Executive Director of the Children's Law Center of California, spoke with regard to Item 4 concerning the current caseload crisis facing dependency appointed counsel and to request that the TCBAC take any and all steps necessary to ensure that all cost recovery monies are distributed to the neediest counties as reflected in attachment 4B of the meeting materials.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1 – 10)

Item 1

Update on the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and Improvement Fund (IMF) Ending Fund Balances in 2013–2014

Action: A motion was made that the TCBAC approve a recommendation to the Judicial Council that the \$22.7 million one-time reduction allocated by the council be reduced by \$2.66 million, and that the difference be distributed to the courts using the same methodology that was used in the original \$22.7 million reduction. The motion was not approved. Judge Earl indicated that the unrestricted fund balance would be put on the agenda in January 2015 for discussion.

Item 2

Consideration of the Judicial Council’s July 29, 2014 Action on the TCTF Funding Shortfall

Action: The TCBAC unanimously approved a motion supporting the WAFM Subcommittee recommendation that the TCBAC (1) not recommend an alternative allocation formula for the Judicial Council to consider and (b) recommend that the council restore the \$22.7 million reduction such that each court receives back the same amount that it was reduced if and when funding to backfill the revenue shortfall is provided.

Item 3

Allocation of Funding Reductions

Action: The TCBAC approved unanimously a motion supporting the WAFM Subcommittee recommendation that the TCBAC not adopt a formula for allocating any future funding reduction. Funding reductions can vary (e.g., one-time vs. ongoing) so any allocation formula that is recommended should be developed on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration the type and nature of the reduction and other relevant factors.

Item 4

Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections Program Allocation for 2014–2015

(See Public Comment above. A letter was received from Ms. Leslie Heimov and attached to the meeting materials.)

Action: The TCBAC unanimously approved a motion supporting the recommendations of the Judicial Council staff to the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program (JDCCP) that:

- (1) The funds remitted through the JDCCP in FY 2013–2014 excluding administrative costs be allocated using the methodology approved by the council and outlined in Attachment 4B;*
- (2) When the final information on court eligibility and the amount of JDCCP funding for distribution is determined on October 3, 2014, staff should make*

any required changes to the allocations in Attachment 4B and bring the final allocation to the council for approval; and

- (3) If the recommended JDCCP funding allocation receives the council’s approval, the TCBAC recommends that the council direct staff to notify courts regarding the remaining balance of JDCCP funding allocated in FY 2013–2014, and the amount of any new allocations in FY 2014–2015. As is the case for funding allocated in 2013–2014, any portion of a court’s allocated funds not spent and distributed in FY 2014–2015 should be carried forward for distribution to the court in FY 2015–2016 and subsequent years.*

Judge Earl indicated that a TCBAC working group is being established to look into how these allocations are made. Don Will of the Judicial Council’s Center for Families, Children, and the Courts will staff the working group, Sherri Carter will be the lead, and other members will be Judge Cope, Judge Masunaga, Kim Flener, and David Yamasaki.

Item 5

Adjustments to IMF 2014–2015 Allocations for Education Programs

Action: The TCBAC unanimously approved a motion of the Judicial Council staff that the following adjustments (indicated in column C in the table below) to the IMF for FY 2014–2015 allocations for the Education Program be presented to the Judicial Council at its October 2014 meeting:

<i>Project and Program Title</i>		Judicial Council Approved Allocation (4/25/2014)	CJER Proposed Allocation (5/15/2014)	Proposed Transfer Amount (\$)
		A	B	C
1	Advanced Education for Experienced Judges	34,000	56,000	22,000
2	Manager and Supervisor Training	34,000	46,000	12,000
3	Primary Assignment Orientation and Overviews	263,000	274,000	11,000
4	Judicial Institutes	150,000	159,000	9,000
5	Trial Court Faculty - Statewide Education Program	249,000	256,000	7,000
6	Regional and Local Court Staff Education Courses	11,000	15,000	4,000
7	Faculty Development	28,000	30,000	2,000
8	Curriculum Committee - Statewide Education Plan Development	1,000	2,000	1,000
9	Regional and Local Judicial Education Courses	9,000	6,000	(3,000)
10	B.E. Witkin Judicial College of CA	180,000	170,000	(10,000)

	<i>Project and Program Title</i>	Judicial Council Approved Allocation (4/25/2014)	CJER Proposed Allocation (5/15/2014)	Proposed Transfer Amount (\$)
		A	B	C
11	Court Personnel Institutes	132,000	77,000	(55,000)
12	Orientation for New Trial Court Judges	121,000	121,000	-
13	Leadership Training - Judicial	55,000	55,000	-
14	Distance Education - Satellite Broadcast	137,000	137,000	-
15	Distance Education - Online Video, Resources, Webinar	10,000	10,000	-
16	Total	1,414,000	1,414,000	-

Item 6

Changes to the Supplemental Funding Process and Trial Court Minimum Operating and Emergency Fund Balance Policy

Action: The TCBCAC approved unanimously presenting the following recommendations of its 2 Percent Reserve Process Working Group to the Judicial Council at its October 2014 meeting:

Supplemental Funding Process Changes in Current Year (2014–2015)

- In January, after the Judicial Council’s December business meeting, distribute 75 percent of the remaining TCTF 2 percent reserve funds. From January 1 through March 15, the remaining 25 percent of the 2 percent reserve would be available for court requests due to unforeseen emergencies or unanticipated expenses. Any remaining funds would be distributed back to the trial courts after March 15. The Judicial Council’s current approved supplemental funding process would need to be updated to reflect this change. These court requests would be reviewed and approved by a TCBCAC working group.***
- Approved court requests due to unforeseen emergencies or unanticipated expenses after March 15 until June 30, would be distributed to the court as a cash advance loan, until the following fiscal year when the court if necessary could apply for supplemental funding from the TCTF 2 percent reserve at the Judicial Council’s October business meeting in order to repay the cash advance loan. These court requests would be reviewed and approved by a TCBCAC working group.***

Supplemental Funding Process Changes in Budget Year (2015–2016)

- The TCBCAC working with the Court Executive Advisory Committee, Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and the Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee would***

recommend proposed amendments to Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(B) to be included as trailer bill language to the 2015 Budget Act. These recommended amendments would be presented at the Judicial Council's business meeting in either January or February 2015.

Minimum Operating and Emergency Reserve Policy

- *Extend the suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy for two fiscal years until June 30, 2016, and request that the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy be in addition to the 1 percent reserve cap while in the interim seeking the repeal of Government Code section 77203.*

The members of the TCBAC working group to review the supplemental funding requests will be Judge Borris, Rebecca Fleming, Mike Roddy, and Tania Ugrin-Capobianco.

Item 7

Court Security Survey

Action: The TCBAC approved unanimously the recommendation of the TCBAC's Security Growth Funding Working Group to send the security survey to the courts that have court-provided security and develop a costing justification and/or methodology to support a spring BCP, based on the data received.

Item 8

Allocation of Trial Court Trust Fund Monies from 1% Fund Balance Cap

Action: The TCBAC discussed possible options for allocating courts' 2013–2014 fund balances that exceed each court's 1-percent cap. No action was taken at this time as the final amounts for the 1% cap computation for 2013–2014 are not known yet. The courts are to submit this information by October 15, 2014.

Item A

Proposal to Amend California Rules of Court, Rule 10.64

Action: This was an information item with no action required at this time.

Item B

Dispute Resolution Program Distribution

Action: This was an information item with no action required at this time.

Immediately following the presentation on this item, Judge Earl indicated to the members that a TCBAC working group needed to be established to address children's waiting room issues. The members of this group will be: Judge Johnson, Kim Flener, Brian Taylor, and David Yamasaki.

Item C

50/50 Excess Revenue Distribution to Courts Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 10.105

Action: This was an information item with no action required at this time.

Item D

2013–2014 Reimbursement from the Trial Court Trust Fund Program 45.45 (Court Interpreter) Appropriation

Action: This was an information item with no action required at this time.

Item E

Update on the Trial Court Workers' Compensation Program

Action: This was an information item with no action required at this time.

Other Items

Judge Earl announced that she had put together a TCBCAC working group to look at addressing retirement cost issues and whether the employer paid portion or employee retirement costs should be addressed in WAFM. Chris Volkens will lead the group, which will consist of the following members: Judge Borris, Judge Walsh, Rick Feldstein, Shawn Landry, and Mary Beth Todd.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:53 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on _____, 201_.

Item 1
Children's Waiting Room Distributions
(Action Item)

Issue

At its June 27, 2014 business meeting the Judicial Council requested the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) to make a recommendation on how far in advance a court can request a children's waiting room (CWR) distribution.

On October 3, 2014 San Francisco Superior Court requested an increase in its CWR distribution from \$4 to \$5 per filing effective January 1, 2015.

Background

Government Code Section 70640 authorizes monthly CWR distributions to each court where a CWR has been established or where the court has elected to establish such a service. CWR distributions for individual courts are made from the respective court's first paper fee collections, which would otherwise support all courts' Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) base allocations. Per GC 70640, the distribution to a court must be no less than two dollars and no more than five dollars per paid filing fee.

At its June 27, 2014 business meeting, the council adopted a policy and procedure on court requests for CWR distributions. The policy and procedure is silent on how far in advance a court can request a CWR distribution.

San Francisco Superior Court's request for an increased CWR distribution meets the requirements of the council's policy and procedure on the matter. The court's request is provided as Attachment 1B.

Approval of the court's request would result in an increase of approximately \$32,125 to the court's annual CWR distribution. This would reduce the funding that supports all courts' base allocations by the same amount.

The TCBAC CWR Work Group (members include Judge Laurie Earl, Judge Elizabeth Johnson, as well as Court Executive Officers Kimberly Flener, Brian Taylor and David Yamasaki) met and discussed these issues and has the following recommendations:

1. Courts applying for new CWR distributions can request that distributions begin no more than one year in advance of the planned opening date of the CWR, unless there are extenuating circumstances. If a court wants to begin receiving distributions more than one year in advance of the planned opening date of a CWR, the request should include an explanation of the extenuating circumstance(s).
2. If a court ceases to operate any CWRs, but has a plan to reopen at least one CWR within two years of the most recent cessation date, the court can retain any unspent CWR distributions. However, if the court does not have plans to reopen at least one CWR within two years, the court must return any unspent CWR distributions to the Trial Court Trust Fund within 90 days of the most recent cessation date.

3. Recommend approval of San Francisco Superior Court's request to increase its CWR distribution from \$4 to \$5 per filing.
4. A court request to decrease its existing CWR distribution does not require Judicial Council approval. The request can be implemented by Judicial Council staff.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

400 McAllister Street, Room 205
San Francisco, CA 94102-4512
Phone: 415-551-5707
FAX: 415-551-5701



T. MICHAEL YUEN
COURT EXECUTIVE OFFICER

October 3, 2014

Zlatko Theodorovic
Finance Director, Judicial Council
455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

Re: Children's Waiting Room Distribution Increase

Dear Mr. Theodorovic:

The Court respectfully requests an increase of the filing fee for the Children's Waiting Room (CWR) pursuant to Government Code Section 70640(e) for consideration at the December 11, 2014 Judicial Council meeting. Listed below is additional information pertaining to our request.

- Requested effective date of increase to the filing fee: January 1, 2015.
- The current filing fee is \$4 and the Court requests an increase to \$5 per filing.
- It is estimated that the requested distribution may be around \$32,125 annually.
- The CWR is located at the San Francisco Hall of Justice and Civic Center Courthouses and has been operational since 1991 and 1998, respectively.

This distribution increase, if approved, will provide the Court with additional revenue to support the CWR and enable us to maintain our current level of services.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "T. Michael Yuen".

T. Michael Yuen
Court Executive Officer

Cc: Sue Wong, Chief Financial Officer

Trial Court Trust Fund -- Fund Condition Statement

#	Description	2011-12 (Year-End Financial Statement) Col. A	2012-13 (Year-End Financial Statement) Col. B	2013-14 (Year-End Financial Statement) Col. C	2014-15 (Estimated) - Scenario 1 - Budget Act of 2014 Revenue Estimate Col. D	FY 2014-15 (Estimated) - Scenario 2 - October 2014 Revenue Estimate Col. E	Variance between Scenario 1 vs. Scenario 2 Col. F
1	Beginning Balance	72,918,702	105,535,205	82,346,997	21,218,232	21,218,232	-
2	Prior-Year Adjustments	42,010,999	19,260,408	(2,688,884)	-	-	-
3	Adjusted Beginning Fund Balance	114,929,701	124,795,613	79,658,114	21,218,232	21,218,232	-
4	Revenue	1,371,175,275	1,400,425,164	1,374,450,890	1,349,987,436	1,329,490,637	(20,496,799)
5	<i>Maintenance of Effort Obligation Revenue</i>	<i>658,592,100</i>	<i>658,893,532</i>	<i>658,755,572</i>	<i>659,050,502</i>	<i>659,050,502</i>	-
6	<i>Civil Fee Revenue</i>	<i>374,477,190</i>	<i>408,289,141</i>	<i>384,474,327</i>	<i>366,699,300</i>	<i>358,115,125</i>	(8,584,175)
7	<i>Court Operations Assessment Revenue</i>	<i>166,992,224</i>	<i>156,455,686</i>	<i>149,578,279</i>	<i>141,947,110</i>	<i>140,834,114</i>	(1,112,996)
8	<i>Civil Assessment Revenue</i>	<i>143,928,228</i>	<i>149,100,873</i>	<i>154,784,402</i>	<i>156,212,932</i>	<i>146,573,331</i>	(9,639,601)
9	<i>Parking Penalty Assessment Revenue</i>	<i>24,760,785</i>	<i>25,194,026</i>	<i>25,360,674</i>	<i>25,005,836</i>	<i>23,582,039</i>	(1,423,797)
10	<i>Interest from SMIF</i>	<i>159,820</i>	<i>218,660</i>	<i>94,882</i>	<i>58,130</i>	<i>61,232</i>	3,103
11	<i>Sanctions and Contempt Fines</i>	<i>1,825,133</i>	<i>1,484,984</i>	<i>1,237,263</i>	<i>956,774</i>	<i>1,088,372</i>	131,598
12	<i>Miscellaneous Revenue</i>	<i>439,795</i>	<i>788,263</i>	<i>165,492</i>	<i>56,852</i>	<i>185,923</i>	129,070
13	General Fund Transfer	888,857,988	263,691,000	742,319,017	911,419,000	911,419,000	-
14	General Fund Transfer - Revenue Backfill	-	-	-	30,900,000	30,900,000	-
15	Reduction Offset Transfers	233,000,000	86,709,000	26,080,000	26,080,000	26,080,000	-
16	Net Other Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements	(6,266,760)	(1,639,392)	(4,256,953)	(4,427,415)	(4,427,415)	-
17	Total Revenue and Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements	2,486,766,503	1,749,185,772	2,138,592,954	2,313,959,021	2,293,462,222	(20,496,799)
18	Total Resources	2,601,696,204	1,873,981,385	2,218,251,067	2,335,177,253	2,314,680,454	(20,496,799)
19	Expenditures/Encumbrances/Allocations						-
20	Program 30 - Expenditures/Allocations	14,604,341	23,610,313	22,672,123	21,198,125	21,198,125	-
21	Program 30.05 - Judicial Council (Staff)	14,604,341	3,692,227	3,764,788	4,369,000	4,369,000	-
22	Program 30.15 - Trial Court Operations	-	19,918,086	18,907,335	16,816,000	16,816,000	-
23							-
24	Program 45 - Expenditures/Allocations	2,481,556,657	1,767,802,888	2,174,214,014	2,285,712,502	2,276,358,161	(9,354,342)
25	Program 45.10 - Support for Operation of the Trial Courts	1,967,578,383	1,344,726,911	1,737,394,306	1,843,035,685	1,833,681,343	(9,354,342)
26	Program 45.25 - Comp. of Superior Court Judges	306,283,062	304,004,469	310,788,986	313,229,000	313,229,000	-
27	Program 45.35 - Assigned Judges	25,412,993	24,624,238	25,496,371	26,047,000	26,047,000	-
28	Program 45.45 - Court Interpreters	90,116,672	84,483,339	90,983,918	94,559,834	94,559,834	-
29	Program 45.55 - Grants	9,619,560	9,963,931	9,550,433	8,840,984	8,840,984	-
30	Item 601 - Redevelopment Agency Writ Case Reimbursements	-	221,186	146,697	250,000	250,000	-
31	Total, Expenditures/Encumbrances/Allocations	2,496,160,999	1,791,634,387	2,197,032,835	2,307,147,502	2,297,793,161	(9,354,342)
32	Ending Fund Balance	105,535,205	82,346,997	21,218,232	28,029,751	16,887,294	(11,142,457)
33							
34	Fund Balance Detail						
35	Restricted Fund Balance	9,993,232	16,219,124	18,557,776	16,942,749	16,942,749	-
36	<i>Court Interpreter</i>	<i>8,026,327</i>	<i>12,924,808</i>	<i>14,734,148</i>	<i>14,734,148</i>	<i>14,734,148</i>	-
37	<i>Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections</i>	<i>1,746,444</i>	<i>2,315,264</i>	<i>996,574</i>	<i>800,000</i>	<i>800,000</i>	-
38	<i>Redevelopment Agency Writ Case Reimbursements</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>1,778,814</i>	<i>1,632,117</i>	<i>1,382,117</i>	<i>1,382,117</i>	-
39	<i>Refund to courts of overcharges for JCC services</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>1,168,453</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	-
40	<i>Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel</i>	<i>220,461</i>	<i>(799,762)</i>	<i>26,484</i>	<i>26,484</i>	<i>26,484</i>	-
41	Unrestricted Fund Balance	95,541,974	66,127,873	2,660,456	11,087,002	(55,455)	(11,142,457)
42							
43	Revenue and Transfers Annual Surplus/(Deficit)	(9,394,496)	(42,448,616)	(58,439,881)	6,811,518	(4,330,939)	(11,142,457)

**FY 2013-14 and FY 2014-15 Trial Court Trust Fund Program 45.10:
Appropriation vs. Estimated/Approved Allocations**

#	Description	Type	2013-14	Estimated 2014-15 - Scenario 1 (May Revise 2014 Revenue Estimate)	Estimated 2014-15 - Scenario 2 (October 2014 Revenue Estimate)	Variance
1	I. Prior-Year Ending Baseline Allocation	Base	1,693,270,804	1,518,726,356	1,518,726,356	-
3	II. Adjustments					-
4	Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions and Screening	Base	-916,979	-702,811	-702,811	-
6	III. FY 2013-2014 Allocations					-
7	\$261 Million Court Operations Reduction	Base	-261,000,000			-
8	\$60 Million in New Funding	Base	60,000,000			-
9	\$50 Million Adjustment for Funding to be Distributed from	Non-Base	-50,000,000	-10,000,000	-10,000,000	-
10	2.0% Holdback	Non-Base	-35,178,540	-37,882,840	-37,882,840	-
11	1.5% & 0.5% Emergency Funding & Unspent Funding Allocated Back to Courts	Non-Base	35,178,540	37,882,840	37,882,840	-
12	Criminal Justice Realignment Funding	Non-Base	9,223,000	9,223,000	9,223,000	-
13	Reduction for FY 2013-14 Appointed Converted SJO Positions	Base	-2,033,219			-
14	FY 2012-13 Benefits Cost Changes Funding	Base	29,405,750	-29,405,750	-29,405,750	-
15	2011-12 Benefits Cost Increases	Non-Base	4,740,092			-
16	Fresno CMS Replacement	Non-Base	2,373,200			-
17	Criminal Justice Realignment Funding (FY 2012-13 costs)	Non-Base	150,000			-
18	Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions	Non-Base	-173,587			-
20	IV. FY 2014-2015 Allocations					-
21	\$42.8 Million in Benefits Cost Changes Funding	Base		41,034,166	41,034,166	-
22	\$86.3 Million in New Funding	Base		86,300,000	86,300,000	-
23	\$22.7 Million Revenue Shortfall	Non-Base		-22,700,000	-22,700,000	-
23	Preliminary 1% Fund Balance Cap Reduction	Non-Base		-2,008,249	-2,008,249	-
25	V. Allocation for Reimbursements					-
26	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	Non-Base	103,725,445	103,725,445	103,725,445	-
27	Jury	Non-Base	16,000,000	16,000,000	16,000,000	-
28	Replacement Screening Stations	Non-Base	2,286,000	2,286,000	2,286,000	-
29	Self-Help Center	Non-Base	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	-
30	Elder Abuse	Non-Base	332,000	332,000	332,000	-
31	CSA Audits	Non-Base	0	325,000	325,000	-
32	FY 2013-14 CAC Dependency Collections Reimbursement	Non-Base		486,714	486,714	-
32	CAC Dependency Collections Reimbursement	Non-Base	2,315,000	509,860	509,860	-
34	VI. Estimated Revenue Distributions¹					-
35	Civil Assessment	Non-Base	107,910,203	107,910,203	98,050,601	(9,859,601)
36	Fees Returned to Courts	Non-Base	22,992,171	22,992,171	24,132,589	1,140,418
37	Replacement of 2% automation allocation from TCIF	Non-Base	10,907,494	10,907,494	10,907,494	-
38	Children's Waiting Room	Non-Base	3,450,448	3,450,448	3,126,882	(323,566)
39	Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics	Non-Base	2,707,282	2,707,282	2,464,384	(242,898)
40	Telephonic Appearances Revenue Sharing	Non-Base	943,840	943,840	943,840	-
42	VII. Miscellaneous Charges					-
44	Repayment of FY 2013-14 Cash Advance			-1,734,355	-1,734,355	-
44	State Admin Infrastructure Charges Prior Year Adjustment-Phoenix Services			1,168,453	1,099,759	(68,694)
44	Judicial Branch Worker's Compensation Fund Premiums			-16,536,018	-16,536,018	-
43	Statewide Administrative Infrastructure Charges	Non-Base	-21,067,516	-5,405,564	-5,405,564	-
44						
45						
46	Total		1,740,041,427	1,843,035,685	1,833,681,343	-9,354,342
48	Program 45.10 Appropriation		1,739,893,437	1,874,457,148	1,874,457,148	0
50	Estimated Remaining Program 45.10 Appropriation		-147,990	31,421,463	40,775,805	9,354,342

¹ The revenue distributions listed are made either as directed by statute or based on existing Judicial Council policy and do not require further Judicial Council action for the amounts to be allocated.

IMF -- Fund Condition Statement

#	Description	Estimate as of 10/9/2014				
		2012-2013 (Year-end Financial Statement)	2013-2014 (Year-end Financial Statement)	2014-15	2015-16*	2016-17*
		A	B	C	D	E
1	Beginning Balance	48,128,575	44,827,741	26,207,006	3,631,046	(12,033,548)
2	Prior-Year Adjustments	11,547,967	4,410,172	3,126,039		-
3	Adjusted Beginning Balance	59,676,542	49,237,913	29,333,045	3,631,046	(12,033,548)
4	<i>Revenues</i>					
5	50/50 Excess Fines Split Revenue	31,920,133	26,873,351	23,384,535	22,898,778	22,473,252
6	2% Automation Fund Revenue	15,753,200	15,242,700	14,471,411	13,916,340	13,649,450
7	Jury Instructions Royalties	518,617	445,365	484,063	484,063	484,063
8	Interest from SMIF	201,201	124,878	89,244	89,244	89,244
9	Other Revenues/SCO Adjustments	2,875	24,476	3,097	-	-
10	<i>Transfers</i>					
11	From State General Fund	38,709,000	38,709,000	38,709,000	38,709,000	38,709,000
12	To Trial Court Trust Fund	(23,000,000)	(20,000,000)	(20,000,000)	-	-
13	To TCTF (GC 77209(k))	(13,397,000)	(13,397,000)	(13,397,000)	(13,397,000)	(13,397,000)
14	To TCTF (IMF AOC staff savings)	(594,000)	(594,000)	(594,000)	(594,000)	(594,000)
15	Net Revenues and Transfers	50,114,026	47,428,770	43,150,350	62,106,425	61,414,009
16	Total Resources	109,790,568	96,666,683	72,483,395	65,737,471	49,380,461
17	<i>Allocations</i>					
18	Program and Project Allocations	64,799,933	69,878,695	71,466,600	71,732,095	91,038,702
19	Move V3 Non-reimbursed Costs to IMF			-	6,259,586	6,512,716
20	Total Program and Project Allocations	64,799,933	69,878,695	71,466,600	77,991,681	97,551,418
21	Less: Estimated Unallocated Amount			(2,911,832)	(518,243)	(518,243)
22	Net Program and Project Allocations	64,799,933	69,878,695	68,554,768	77,473,438	97,033,175
23	Pro Rata Charge	162,894	580,982	297,581	297,581	297,582
24	Total Allocations	64,962,827	70,459,677	68,852,349	77,771,019	97,330,757
25	Fund Balance	44,827,741	26,207,006	3,631,046	(12,033,548)	(47,950,296)
26	Revenue/Transfers Over/(Under) Allocation	(14,848,801)	(23,030,907)	(25,701,999)	(15,664,594)	(35,916,748)

* 2015-16 and 2016-17 proposed allocation for all offices is based on the estimate that was provided to the R/E Subcommittee on 10/31/2013. ITSO proposed allocation for 2015-16 and 2016-17 is based on what was provided by the ITSO on 8/14/2014. The adjusted amount of \$55,835,349 for 2016-17 is \$15,578,558, mainly the increasing costs for LAN/WAN, higher than what was provided to the R/E Subcommittee on 10/31/2013.