

RULES AND PROJECTS COMMITTEE

OPEN MEETING AGENDA

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date: Tuesday, November 6, 2018

Time: 4:00 p.m.to 5:00 p.m.
Location: Conference Call

Public Call-In Number 1-877-820-7831/Listen Only Code: 8254930

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(c)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

II. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS

JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item 01

Proposal to Amend Rules 10.500 and 10.620: Improve Online Access to Public Data (Action required – RUPRO action only)

Proposal submitted by: Advay Mengle, a member of the public.

CRIMINAL

Item 02

Criminal Procedure: Proper Use of Pretrial Risk Assessment Information; Review and Release Standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for Persons Assessed as Medium Risk (adopt rules 4.10 and 4.40) (Action required – approve for circulation)

Presenter: Eve Hershcopf and Kara Portnow

FAMILY AND JUVENILE

Item 03

Family and Juvenile Law Forms: Technical Changes (revise forms DV-800/JV-252, FL-150 and JV-690) (Action required – recommend for Judicial Council action)

Presenter: Audrey Fancy

III. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn

Item: 01

In the Judicial Council of California

Proposal to Amend Rules 10.500 and 10.620, California Rules of Court, to Improve Online Access to Public Data

Proposed by:

Advay Mengle August 31, 2018

Background

The right of the public to access administrative records and decisions of the judiciary is most conveniently exercised when this information is freely available online. Especially for unsophisticated or unrepresented members of the public, accessing public records by formally requesting them from a judicial officer can be intimidating. Furthermore, once a court has already performed the labor to produce records in an electronic format, all of the public (not just the original requestor) can benefit from that labor and the court can save time not manually fielding requests for it in the future. Finally, online publishing means the public can analyze and index the data in an aggregate fashion to make it more useful (find trends, look for malfeasance, etc.).

Current rules¹ regarding such public access are very limited in their demands of courts and other judicial branch entities to make information available on public websites. This proposal is a first step in requiring more online access.

Proposed Solution

The proposal is two-fold:

- Require judicial branch entities to publish online any administrative records already produced for a requestor in electronic format under Rule 10.500(i).
- Require trial courts to publish online any factual materials regarding administrative decisions that are already required to be made public under Rule 10.620(i).

Potential Problems

This proposal is fairly conservative:

1. The proposal does not require the judiciary to publish administrative records online unless they are already in an electronic format (the conditions of Rule 10.500(i)(1) are

¹ All references to rules herein are to the California Rules of Court.

- unchanged by this proposal). Therefore, a large number of records (maintained only in physical form) that the public has a right to access would remain exempt from online publishing.
- The proposal does not mandate the judiciary publish the records in open, non-proprietary electronic formats. Such formats ensure computer software vendors (that control proprietary formats) cannot restrict or manipulate the public's right of access.
- 3. The proposal fails to require proactive online publishing of all records that the public has a right to access. Someone would still have to make an initial request and potentially pay for the cost of the initial search and production.

However, such problems can be mitigated by future rule changes that broaden the scope of online publishing requirements incrementally, and these problems do not necessitate a delay in implementing the current proposal.

Need for Urgent Consideration

None known.

Fiscal Impacts

This proposal may both (1) reduce costs of repeated search and production of records requested more than once and (2) increase web hosting costs for storage of records published online. Details of fiscal impact are unknown.

Prior Related Actions

None known.

Contents of Proposed Amendments

Rule 10.500 (i) would be amended to read (deletions, insertions):

(i) Availability in electronic format

- (1) A judicial branch entity that has information that constitutes an identifiable judicial administrative record not exempt from disclosure under this rule and that is in an electronic format must, on request, produce that information in the electronic format requested, provided that:
 - (A) No law prohibits disclosure;
 - (B) The record already exists in the requested electronic format, or the judicial branch entity has previously produced the judicial administrative record in the requested format for its own use or for provision to other agencies;
 - (C) The requested electronic format is customary or standard for records of a similar type and is commercially available to private entity requesters; and

- (D) The disclosure does not jeopardize or compromise the security or integrity of the original record or the computer software on which the original record is maintained.
- (2) In addition to other fees imposed under this rule, the requester will bear the direct cost of producing a record if:
 - (A) In order to comply with (1), the judicial branch entity would be required to produce a record and the record is one that is produced only at otherwise regularly scheduled intervals or;
 - (B) Producing the requested record would require data compilation or extraction or any associated programming that the judicial branch entity is not required to perform under this rule but has agreed to perform in response to the request.
- (3) Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to require a judicial branch entity to reconstruct a record in an electronic format if the judicial branch entity no longer has the record available in an electronic format.
- (4) A judicial branch entity that produces information in compliance with (1) must also publish that information on its public Web site. The original requester shall not bear any additional costs associated with such publication.

Rule 10.620 (i) would be amended to read (deletions, insertions):

(i)Materials

When a trial court is required to seek public input under (b), (c), or (d), it must also provide for public viewing at one or more locations in the county of any written factual materials that have been specifically gathered or prepared for the review at the time of making the decision of the person or entity making the decision. This subdivision does not require the disclosure of materials that are otherwise exempt from disclosure or would be exempt from disclosure under the state Public Records Act (beginning with Government Code section 6250). The materials must be mailed or otherwise be made available not less than five court days before the decision is to be made except if the request is made within the five court days before the decision is to be made, the materials must be mailed or otherwise be made available the next court day after the request is made. A court must either (1) provide copies to a person or entity that requests copies of these materials in writing or by electronic mail to the executive officer of the court or other person designated by the executive office in the notice, if the requesting person or entity pays all mailing and copying costs as determined by any mailing and copy cost recovery policies established by the trial court, or and (2) make all materials available electronically either on its public Web site or by e-mail. This subdivision does not require the trial court to prepare reports. A person seeking documents may request the court to hold the material for pickup by that person instead of mailing.

RUPRO ACTION REQUEST FORM

RUPRO action requested: Circulate for comment (out of cycle)

RUPRO Meeting: November 6, 2018

Title of proposal (include amend/revise/adopt/approve + form/rule numbers):

Criminal Procedure: Proper Use of Pretrial Risk Assessment Information; Review and Release Standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for Persons Assessed as Medium Risk

Committee or other entity submitting the proposal: Criminal Law Advisory Committee

Staff contact (name, phone and e-mail): Eve Hershcopf, 415-865-7961, eve.hershcopf@jud.ca.gov

Identify project(s) on the committee's annual agenda that is the basis for this item:

Approved by RUPRO: Oct. 24, 2017

Project description from annual agenda: Recently enacted legislation: Review enacted legislation that may have an impact on criminal court administration and propose, for the council's consideration, rules and forms as may be appropriate for implementation of these initiatives and legislation.

If requesting July 1 or out of cycle, explain:

Recent legislation (SB 10), with an effective date of October 2019, mandates that the Judicial Council adopt rules and forms to implement pretrial reform. These rules will provide guidance to the trial courts as they plan for and implement the new pretrial release and detention system. The Criminal Law Advisory Committee identified two areas of required rulemaking as particularly time-sensitive: prescribing the proper use of risk assessment information when making pretrial release and detention decisions pursuant to section 1320.24(a)(1), and , prescribing local rule standards for Pretrial Assessment Services' prearraignment review and release of persons assessed as medium risk, and providing guidance for courts on additional local rule exclusions pursuant to sections 1320.11 and 1320.24(a)(4). Because the legislation requires courts to use pretrial risk assessment information when making release decisions and selecting release conditions, courts need clear advance guidance regarding the proper use of this information. Similarly, the legislation mandates each court to develop its own "medium risk" rule that is consistent with the California Rules of Court. It is therefore critical to develop and adopt the state rule regarding release of persons assessed as medium risk as quickly as possible so that courts can complete the local rule adoption process before the legislation's effective date of October 1, 2019.

Additional Information: (To facilitate RUPRO's review of your proposal, please include any relevant information not contained in the attached summary.)

The Criminal Law Advisory Committee proposes to circulate these rules for public comment from November 7, 2018 through December 14, 2018.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov/policyadmin-invitationstocomment.htm

INVITATION TO COMMENT SP18-23

Title

Criminal Procedure: Proper Use of Pretrial Risk Assessment Information; Review and Release Standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for Persons Assessed as Medium Risk

Proposed Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes

Adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rules 4.10 and 4.40

Proposed by

Criminal Law Advisory Committee Hon. Tricia A. Bigelow, Chair

Action Requested

Review and submit comments by December 14, 2018

Proposed Effective Date

March 15, 2019

Contact

Eve Hershcopf, 415-865-7961 <u>Eve.Hershcopf@jud.ca.gov</u>

Kara Portnow, 415-865-4961 Kara.Portnow@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary and Origin

The Criminal Law Advisory Committee proposes adoption of two new California Rules of Court: rule 4.10, which sets forth the proper use of pretrial risk assessment information, and rule 4.40, which addresses review and release standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for persons assessed as medium risk. These proposed rules are intended to fulfill the Judicial Council's obligation under Penal Code section 1320.24(a) to adopt rules and forms, as needed, to implement specific elements of Senate Bill 10.

Background

Senate Bill 10

On August 28, 2018, the Governor signed Senate Bill 10 (Hertzberg; Stats. 2018, ch. 244), (Pen. Code, § 1320.7, et seq. 1), legislation that, effective October 1, 2019, eliminates the use of cash bail and bail bonds. The legislation requires each court to establish Pretrial Assessment Services to conduct pretrial risk assessments of most arrested persons using a validated risk assessment

¹ All statutory references are to the Penal Code except as otherwise noted.

² See § 1320.7(g).

³ See § 1320.7(f).

tool,⁴ make prearraignment release decisions where authorized, report the risk assessment scores⁵ and supplemental information to the court, and make recommendations for conditions of release. Courts may also choose to have judicial officers or subordinate judicial officers conduct prearraignment reviews using information in the pretrial risk assessment reports prepared by Pretrial Assessment Services. (Pen. Code, §§ 1320.7(a); 1320.13.)

Under the new legislation, most persons arrested and detained for misdemeanors—except for those who fall within the exclusions listed in section 1320.10(e)—must be booked and released within 12 hours of arrest by a booking agency without a risk assessment by Pretrial Assessment Services. (Pen. Code, § 1320.8.) Persons arrested for misdemeanors who meet one of the exclusions listed in section 1320.10(e) and all persons arrested for felonies must have a prearraignment risk assessment by Pretrial Assessment Services within 24 hours of arrest. (Pen. Code, § 1320.9.)

Screening by Pretrial Assessment Services will include administering a validated risk assessment tool. The score from this tool will designate whether a person is "low risk," "medium risk," or "high risk." Prearraignment release of arrested persons will depend on their assessed risk level, determined by their score from the risk assessment tool and other information gathered from an investigation done by Pretrial Assessment Services, as follows:

- Low risk⁶: Pretrial Assessment Services must release persons assessed as low risk prior to arraignment, on their own recognizance⁷ except for those persons arrested for misdemeanors or felonies who fall within the exclusions listed in section 1320.10(e). (Pen. Code, § 1320.10(b).)
- Medium risk⁸: Pretrial Assessment Services has authority to release on own recognizance or supervised own recognizance,⁹ or detain prearraignment, except for those persons subject to one of the exclusions listed in section 1320.10(e) or additional exclusions that may be included by a local court rule.¹⁰ (Pen. Code, § 1320.10(c).)
- High risk¹¹: Pretrial Assessment Services—and the court, if the court provides
 prearraignment review—is not authorized to release persons assessed as "high risk."
 Under sections 1320.10(e) and 1320.13(b), these persons must be held until arraignment
 when the court will make a release determination and set conditions of release, if
 applicable.

⁴ See § 1320.7(k).

⁵ See § 1320.7(i).

⁶ See § 1320.7(c).

⁷ See § 1320.7(e).

⁸ See § 1320.7(d).

⁹ See § 1320.7(j).

¹⁰ The local rule of court must be consistent with the California Rules of Court adopted by the Judicial Council pursuant to section 1320.24(a).

¹¹ See § 1320.7(b).

At arraignment, the court must release all persons with the least restrictive nonmonetary conditions that will reasonably assure public safety and the defendant's return to court unless the prosecutor files a motion for preventive detention in accordance with section 1320.18. (Pen. Code, § 1320.17.) The preventive detention hearing must be held within three court days of the motion if the defendant is in custody. The court must order the defendant released unless the court finds that detention is permitted under the United States and California Constitutions, and determines by clear and convincing evidence that no nonmonetary conditions of pretrial supervision will reasonably assure public safety or the appearance of the defendant in court as required. (Pen. Code, § 1320.20(d)(1).)

Judicial Council responsibilities

Senate Bill 10 places numerous responsibilities on the Judicial Council including the adoption of California Rules of Court and Judicial Council forms, as needed, to aid in implementing the legislation. (Pen. Code, § 1320.24(a).) The Judicial Council's Criminal Law Advisory Committee is responsible for making recommendations to the Judicial Council for improving the administration of justice in criminal proceedings by proposing rules and forms related to criminal law and procedure. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.42.) The Criminal Law Advisory Committee identified two areas of required rulemaking as particularly time-sensitive: prescribing the proper use of risk assessment information when making pretrial release and detention decisions pursuant to section 1320.24(a)(1); and prescribing local rule standards for Pretrial Assessment Services' prearraignment review and release of persons assessed as medium risk, and providing guidance for courts on additional local rule exclusions pursuant to sections 1320.11 and 1320.24(a)(4).

Because the legislation requires courts to use pretrial risk assessment information when making release decisions and selecting release conditions, courts need clear advance guidance regarding the proper use of this information. Similarly, the legislation mandates each court to develop its own "medium risk" rule that is consistent with the California Rules of Court. It is therefore critical to develop and adopt the state rule regarding release of persons assessed as medium risk as quickly as possible so that courts can complete the local rule adoption process before the legislation's effective date of October 1, 2019.

The Proposal

To help courts implement the new legislation, the committee recommends that the Judicial Council adopt two California Rules of Court: rule 4.10, the proper use of pretrial risk assessment information, and rule 4.40, review and release standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for persons assessed as medium risk.

Proper use of risk assessment information by the court (rule 4.10)

Section 1320.24(a)(1) requires the Judicial Council to adopt rules and forms, as needed, to:

[p]rescribe the proper use of pretrial risk assessment information by the court when making pretrial release and detention decisions that take into consideration the safety of the public and victims, the due process rights of the defendant, specific characteristics or

needs of the defendant, and availability of local resources to effectively supervise individuals while maximizing efficiency.

In developing proposed rule 4.10 to fulfill this obligation, the committee drew on Standards of Judicial Administration, standard 4.35: "Court use of risk/needs assessments at sentencing," adopted by the Judicial Council effective January 1, 2018. Although pretrial risk assessment serves a different purpose than a risk/needs assessment at sentencing, the committee recognized that they share certain commonalities. The committee structured proposed rule 4.10 to include "Application and purpose," "Proper use of pretrial risk assessment information," and "Improper uses of pretrial risk assessment information."

- Subdivision (a) confirms that pretrial risk assessment information is intended to assist
 Pretrial Assessment Services and the court to make appropriate release and detention
 decisions, to identify the least restrictive nonmonetary conditions of release, and to
 address any biases in pretrial release and detention decisions.
- Subsections (b)(1) and (b)(2) require Pretrial Assessment Services and the court to give significant weight to the risk assessment score while also considering additional information from the pretrial investigation.
- Subsection (b)(3) clarifies that the risk score, while not determinative, is a relevant factor
 in assessing for pretrial release, appropriate conditions of release, and responses to
 violations of release conditions.
- Subsection (b)(4) prohibits the court from relying on a risk score or other information that is no longer accurate or relevant.
- Subsection (b)(5) requires the court to consider the limitations of risk assessment tools and to be aware that risk assessment tools are designed to identify the likelihood of risk for groups of individuals with certain shared characteristics, such as criminal history, but cannot predict the future behavior of a particular individual. That subsection also instructs the court to consider whether any scientific research has raised questions that the particular instrument used by Pretrial Assessment Services unfairly classifies offenders based on race, ethnicity, gender, or income level, and whether the tool has been validated on a relevant population.
- Subsection (b)(6) requires the court to retain pretrial risk assessment information in the confidential portion of the court's file or by filing it under seal, with access to the information solely by authorized persons, or by order of the court.
- Subdivision (c), which addresses improper uses of pretrial risk assessment information, instructs courts to consider the results of the risk assessment without imposing standardized or predetermined conditions based on risk level.

- Subsection (c)(2) limits consideration of the risk of reoffense to the pretrial stage and prohibits consideration of long-term risk of reoffense.
- Subsection (c)(3) prohibits courts from placing undue emphasis on factors that the risk assessment tool already incorporates and weighs.

Review and release standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for persons assessed as medium risk (rule 4.40)

Under section 1320.10(c), Pretrial Assessment Services has authority to release persons assessed as medium risk—except for those who fall within the exclusions listed in 1320.10(e)—with the least restrictive nonmonetary conditions that will reasonably assure public safety and return to court, or to detain those persons prearraignment. However, Pretrial Assessment Services may only release in accordance with the review and release standards set forth in a local rule of court, as required under section 1320.11. This local rule may expand the list of exclusions for persons assessed as medium risk that Pretrial Assessment Services is not permitted to release, but the court is prohibited from excluding all persons assessed as medium risk from prearraignment release by Pretrial Assessment Services.

Proposed rule 4.40 also provides guidance on the review and release standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for persons assessed as medium risk and the parameters for the local rule of court. In developing proposed rule 4.40, the committee structured the rule into five subdivisions: "Purpose and application," "Review requirements," "Setting of release conditions," "Considerations for expanding the list of exclusions," and "Local rule development and annual review."

- Subdivision (a) sets forth the statutory basis for the rule and emphasizes the legislative intent to encourage pretrial release when appropriate.
- Subdivision (b) directs courts to include specific review requirements for Pretrial Assessment Services, including the mandate to give significant weight to the risk assessment score but also to consider relevant supplementary information, and to include the reasons for the decision to release or to detain in its risk assessment report, pursuant to section 1320.9.
- Subdivision (c) requires Pretrial Assessment Services to exercise independent judgment and to tailor release conditions to the individual person. This subdivision provides a nonexhaustive list of release conditions that Pretrial Assessment Services must consider, and reiterates that those conditions must be limited to the least restrictive ones necessary to reasonably assure public safety and the person's return to court.

- Subsection (c)(7) requires Pretrial Assessment Services to access every reasonably available resource to encourage successful pretrial release and to avoid unnecessary conditions of supervision.
- Subsection (c)(8) prohibits Pretrial Assessment Services from denying release based on the unavailability of any particular resource, unless there is no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably protect the public and assure the defendant's future appearance in court, and from imposing conditions that have rehabilitative objectives related to postconviction supervision.
- Subdivision (d) clarifies that a court may, but is not required to, expand the list of exclusions for prearraignment release of medium-risk persons. This subdivision also requires that any added exclusion must uphold the goals of public safety and appearance in court. It prohibits factors weighed by the risk assessment tool or based on a status condition such as homelessness or mental illness. This subdivision emphasizes the statutory prohibition against an exclusion that would prevent all or nearly all persons assessed as medium risk from being released prior to arraignment and requires courts to consider whether an added exclusion would increase disparity in detention rates of ethnic or racial minorities or other inappropriate demographic within the local population.
- Subdivision (e) addresses procedures for adopting the local rule.
- Subsection (e)(1), pursuant to section 1320.11, requires courts to consult with Pretrial
 Assessment Services and other justice system partners when developing the local rule,
 and to consult with local resource providers, as appropriate, including the county
 behavioral health agency and community-based organizations that provide support for
 defendants and for victims, and to seek guidance on evidence-based practices from
 relevant agencies and organizations.
- Subsection (e)(2) requires courts to annually review their local rule and to examine whether the local rule has disproportionately impacted certain groups that are overrepresented in the criminal justice system. This subdivision requires courts to submit an annual report to the Judicial Council that describes the process for consulting with stakeholders, and documents the data and findings generated by the review.

Alternatives Considered

Section 1320.24(a) mandates the Judicial Council to adopt California Rules of Court and forms, "as needed" to accomplish all of the purposes set forth in that subdivision. The committee considered whether rules of court are necessary to accomplish the requirements set forth in subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(4) and determined that they were, and so has developed proposed rules 4.10 and 4.40.

The committee considered whether to include a provision in rule 4.10 that addressed subsequent use of the risk assessment information. Specifically, the committee considered restricting the use of the risk assessment information "for any purpose other than a determination of pretrial release or release or detention in the current proceeding, or conditions of release, unless both parties otherwise stipulate." Alternatively, the committee considered including an exception to this restriction for impeachment purposes. The committee decided not to include a directive on this point, reasoning that the case law interpreting constitutional and statutory mandates will determine whether and how information included in a pretrial risk assessment report can be used in a subsequent proceeding. The committee would, however, appreciate comments on this question.

The committee also considered whether to define "criminal history," as used in rule 4.40(b)(3)(B), to exclude arrests that did not result in the filing of charges. The committee decided not to include a definition but would appreciate comments on this issue.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

Senate Bill 10 requires courts to establish Pretrial Assessment Services and implement procedures for prearraignment review and pretrial determinations of release or detention. These requirements will likely have substantial operational impacts and implementation requirements for courts and justice system partners. It is anticipated that the two rules proposed here, however, will provide useful guidance to courts regarding implementation of certain essential elements of pretrial release and will not, in and of themselves, have substantial costs or operational impact. Each of the proposed rules will require implementation by the courts. Proposed rule 4.40 will require the courts to develop a local rule and to provide an annual report to the Judicial Council on the impact of the local rule.

Request for Specific Comments

In addition to comments on the proposal as a whole, the advisory committee is interested in comments on the following:

- Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose?
- Does the proposal appropriately consider the criteria articulated by SB 10 regarding the rules required by section 1320.10(a)(1) and 1320.10(a)(4)?
- Should proposed rule 4.10 provide more specificity regarding considerations for:
 - The safety of the public and victims;
 - o The due process rights of the defendant;
 - o The specific characteristics or needs of the defendant; and
 - The availability of local resources to effectively supervise individuals while maximizing efficiency?
- Should rule 4.10 include guidance regarding use of pretrial assessment information in subsequent proceedings? If so, what should the guidance provide?
- Should proposed rule 4.40 provide more specificity regarding review and release standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for persons assessed as medium risk and eligible for prearraignment release? If so, what specific standards should be added?
- Does rule 4.40 support an effective and efficient pretrial release or detention system that:
 - o Protects public safety; and
 - Respects the due process rights of defendants?
- Should proposed rule 4.40 provide more specificity regarding considerations for:
 - o The safety of the public and victims;
 - o The due process rights of the defendant; and
 - The availability of local resources to effectively supervise individuals while maximizing efficiency?
- Should criminal history, as used in rule 4.40(a)(3)(B), exclude arrests that did not result in the filing of charges?
- Does rule 4.40 appropriately provide for the local rule to further expand the list of offenses and factors for which prearraignment release of persons assessed as medium risk is not permitted?
- Does rule 4.40 appropriately constrain the local rule from excluding the release of all or nearly all persons assessed as medium risk?
- Does rule 4.40 appropriately provide for courts to consider, on an annual basis, the impact of the court's local rule on:
 - o Public safety;
 - o The due process rights of defendants; and
 - The preceding year's implementation of the rule?

The advisory committee also seeks comments from *courts* on the following cost and implementation matters:

- Would the two proposed rules provide cost savings or significant additional expense?
 If so, please quantify.
- What would the implementation requirements be for courts? For example, training staff (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and procedures (please describe), changing codes in case management systems, or modifying case management systems.
- How well would this proposal work in courts of different sizes?

Attachments and Links

- 1. Cal. Rules of Court, rules 4.10 and 4.40, at pages 10–16
- 2. Sen. Bill 10 (Stats. 2018, ch. 244), http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB10

Rules 4.10 and 4.40 of the California Rules of Court would be adopted, effective March 15, 2019, to read:

1 **Title 4. Criminal Rules** 2 3 **Division 2. Pretrial** 4 5 **Chapter 1. Pretrial Proceedings** 6 7 8 Rule 4.10. Proper use of pretrial risk assessment information 9 10 (a) **Application and purpose** 11 12 This rule governs the proper use of risk assessment information by Pretrial (1) 13 Assessment Services and by the court when making pretrial release and 14 detention decisions, and when selecting appropriate release conditions. 15 16 (2) The use of pretrial risk assessment information is intended to: 17 (A) Increase public safety and the likelihood of a defendant's return to 18 19 court by assisting Pretrial Assessment Services and the court to make 20 release and detention decisions; 21 22 (B) Identify the least restrictive nonmonetary conditions of release through 23 the use of evidence-based pretrial release and supervision practices; and 24 25 (C) Address any biases in pretrial release and detention decisions. 26 27 (b) Proper use of pretrial risk assessment information 28 29 Consistent with the provisions of Penal Code section 1320.07 et seg. (1) 30 prescribing release with appropriate conditions, Pretrial Assessment Services 31 and the court must give significant weight to the risk assessment score and 32 consider information from the pretrial investigation. Pretrial Assessment 33 Services and the court must also consider: 34 35 (A) The safety of the public; 36 37 (B) The safety and rights of the victim; 38 39 (C) The rights of the defendant; 40 41 (D) The specific characteristics, interests, or needs of the defendant; and 42

1		(E) The particular conditions of release and the availability of local			
2		resources that will maximize the efficiency and effectiveness of pretrial			
3		<u>release.</u>			
4					
5	(2)	Pretrial Assessment Services and the court must consider the risk score in			
6		context with all other available information, including comments, if any, by			
7		law enforcement, counsel, the defendant, or a victim. The absence of			
8		comment by the victim must not be a basis for denying release.			
9					
10	<u>(3)</u>	The risk score is not determinative but is a relevant factor in assessing:			
11					
12		(A) Whether a defendant can be released in the community during the			
13		pretrial period;			
14					
15		(B) The appropriate conditions of release and the appropriate responses to			
16		violations of release conditions; and			
17					
18		(C) Whether a person who is presumptively ineligible for prearraignment of			
19		pretrial release has overcome the presumption in Penal Code sections			
20		1320.13(i) or 1320.20(a).			
21					
22	<u>(4)</u>	Pretrial Assessment Services must provide the court with the date(s) of the			
23		investigation and, to the extent possible, must confirm the accuracy of the			
24		information in the pretrial investigation report. When making a release			
25		determination or when considering a request to modify release conditions, the			
26		court must not rely upon a risk score or other information in the pretrial			
27		investigation report that is no longer accurate or relevant.			
28					
29	<u>(5)</u>	The court must consider any limitations of risk assessment tools in general,			
30		and any limitations of the particular risk assessment tool used by Pretrial			
31		Assessment Services, including:			
32					
33		(A) That the instrument's risk scores are based on group data, and that the			
34		instrument is designed to identify the likelihood of risk for groups of			
35		individuals with certain characteristics, but cannot predict the future			
36		behavior of a particular individual;			
37					
38		(B) Whether the instrument's proprietary nature has been invoked to			
39		prevent the disclosure of information relating to how it weighs risk			
40		factors and how it determines risk scores;			
41					

1 Whether any scientific research has raised questions that the particular (C) 2 instrument unfairly classifies offenders based on race, ethnicity, gender, 3 or income level; and 4 5 (D) Whether the particular instrument has been validated on a relevant 6 population. 7 8 The court must place pretrial risk assessment information provided to the (6) 9 court in the confidential portion of the court's file or filed under seal. The 10 information must be retained in a secure manner that prevents access to the 11 information except by the parties, counsel for the parties, by Pretrial 12 Assessment Services and the court, or by order of the court. 13 14 Improper uses of pretrial risk assessment information <u>(c)</u> 15 16 (1) Pretrial Assessment Services and the court must not use the risk score as the 17 sole basis to detain or release a person other than as required by Penal Code 18 section 1320.10(b) and 1320.13(b)(1), nor subject a person to any particular 19 or predetermined conditions of release other than those included in Penal 20 Code section 1320.10(g) for release by Pretrial Assessment Services and 21 section 1320.13(f) for release by the court respectively. The court and Pretrial 22 Assessment Services must determine whether to release a person and set 23 conditions of release based on an individualized evaluation of the person and 24 the particular circumstances of the case. 25 26 (2) Pretrial Assessment Services and the court must consider the risk score and 27 any additional risk assessment information to facilitate release decisions, but 28 the risk score must not be used as a substitute for sound independent 29 judgment. In evaluating the risk to public safety, Pretrial Assessment 30 Services and the court must consider only the risk of reoffense during the 31 pretrial stage of the case, and not the long-term risk of reoffense. 32 33 (3) The validated risk assessment tool used by Pretrial Assessment Services is 34 scientifically designed to weigh certain factors as they relate to risk. The 35 court must be familiar with the factors included in the particular risk 36 assessment tool used by Pretrial Assessment Services and must not give 37 additional undue weight to these factors when making release and detention 38 decisions. 39 40 Rule 4.40 Review and release standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for 41 persons assessed as medium risk

42

1 **Purpose and application** (a) 2 3 (1) Penal Code section 1320.24(a)(4) requires the Judicial Council to adopt a rule 4 of court that prescribes the parameters of local rules that superior courts must 5 adopt under Penal Code section 1320.11(a) to set review and release 6 standards for Pretrial Assessment Services for persons assessed as medium 7 risk. This rule is intended to fulfill this requirement. 8 9 Each local rule must authorize release for as many arrested persons as (2) 10 possible, while reasonably assuring public safety and appearance in court as 11 required. 12 13 **Review requirements (b)** 14 15 Each local rule must include the following review requirements: 16 17 Pretrial Assessment Services must use the risk assessment information in (1) 18 accordance with the proper use of such information as specified in rule 4.10. 19 20 Pretrial Assessment Services must give significant weight to the risk (2) 21 assessment score from a validated risk assessment tool, as defined in Penal 22 Code section 1320.7(k), but must also consider any supplemental information 23 that directly addresses whether the arrested person may be safely released, 24 and whether the arrested person is likely to appear in court as required. 25 Pretrial Assessment Services must include reasons for the decision to release 26 or to detain in the report, pursuant to Penal Code section 1320.9. 27 (3) Pretrial Assessment Services must consider the following additional factors 28 29 when determining whether to release or to detain pending arraignment: 30 31 The nature and circumstances of the crime charged; (A) 32 33 The arrested person's past conduct, family and community ties, (B) 34 criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court 35 proceedings; 36 (C) The nature and seriousness of the risk to the safety of the victim or any 37 38 other person or the community posed by the arrested person's release, 39 with particular consideration of the safety of victims of domestic 40 violence, if applicable; 41

1			<u>(D)</u>	The impact of detention on the arrested person's family responsibilities
2				and community ties, employment, and participation in education or
3				rehabilitation services; and
4				
5			(E)	The rights of a victim under article I, section 28 of the California
6				Constitution (Marsy's Law).
7				<u> </u>
8		<u>(4)</u>	Pretr	rial Assessment Services may retain an arrested person in custody only if
9		<u> </u>		e is a substantial likelihood that no condition or combination of
10				itions of pretrial supervision will reasonably assure public safety or the
11				arance of the person as required.
12			<u>иррс</u>	arance of the person as required.
13	<u>(c)</u>	Setti	ոց օք	release conditions
14	<u>(C)</u>	Detti	ing or	release conditions
15		Fach	local	rule must include the following requirements related to release
16			itions:	
17		COH	1110115.	·
18		(1)	Dretr	ial Assessment Services must exercise independent judgment in the
19		(1)		ng of release conditions only after consideration of all information
20			_	ined as a result of the investigation under Penal Code section 1320.9.
21			Ootai	ned as a result of the investigation under Fenal Code section 1320.7.
22		<u>(2)</u>	Drotr	rial Assessment Services must tailor release conditions to the individual
23		(2)		ted person, and not impose standardized conditions for types of offenses
23 24				rcumstances not relevant.
24 25			OI CII	reumstances not relevant.
26		<u>(3)</u>	Pretr	ial Assessment Services must only impose release conditions that are
27		(3)		onably related to assuring public safety and the arrested person's return to
28				t in the particular case.
29			court	thi the particular case.
30		<u>(4)</u>	Pretr	rial Assessment Services must not select release conditions that impose
31		7.7		ndue burden on the arrested person's ability to comply.
32			un un	idue ourden on the directed person's domey to compry.
33		<u>(5)</u>	Pretr	rial Assessment Services must consider any release conditions that will
34		<u>(U)</u>		ease the likelihood of success of pretrial release. The local rule must
35				tify the following, nonexclusive list of conditions:
36			100111	ary the ronowing, nonenerasive list of conditions.
37			(A)	Court appearance reminders;
38			(11)	Court appearance reministry,
39			(B)	Transportation assistance for court appearances;
40			<u>(1)</u>	iransportation assistance for court appearances,
4 0 41			(C)	Weekly or monthly telephone check-ins;
4 1			<u>(C)</u>	TO CALLY OF MOREINY COOPHOIC CHECK-IIIS,
1 2			(D)	Weekly or monthly in-person reporting:
TJ			(D)	weekly of monumy in-person reporting,

1				
2			<u>(E)</u>	Field visits by a pretrial supervision officer;
3				
4			<u>(F)</u>	<u>Curfew;</u>
5				
6			<u>(G)</u>	Case management services, including referrals for services;
7				
8			<u>(H)</u>	Compliance with prescribed medication and/or counseling;
9				
10			<u>(I)</u>	Random drug testing;
11				
12			<u>(J)</u>	<u>Transdermal monitoring; and</u>
13			(77)	
14			<u>(K)</u>	Passive or active global positioning system (GPS) monitoring, without
15				home detention.
16			ъ.	
17		<u>(6)</u>		rial Assessment Services must limit release conditions to the least
18			_	ictive necessary to reasonably assure the arrested person's return to cour
19				to reduce the risk of reoffense pending adjudication of the charged
20				nse. Pretrial Assessment Services must not impose conditions that have
21			<u>rena</u>	bilitative objectives related to postconviction supervision.
22		(7)	VV/l- o	n calcuting valence conditions Dustriel Assessment Complete must use
23 24		<u>(7)</u>		en selecting release conditions, Pretrial Assessment Services must use
				y reasonably available state, local, and community resource that will purage successful prearraignment release and avoid unnecessary
25 26				litions of supervision.
20 27			COH	itions of supervision.
28		<u>(8)</u>	Who	en selecting release conditions, Pretrial Assessment Services must
28 29		(8)		ider the availability of local resources. However, Pretrial Assessment
30				ices must not deny release based on the unavailability of any particular
31				urce unless there is no other condition or combination of conditions that
32				reasonably protect the public or a victim, or reasonably assure the
33				sted person's return to court in the current proceeding.
34			arros	ted person's return to court in the earrent proceeding.
35	<u>(d)</u>	Con	sidera	ations for expanding the list of exclusions
36	(32)	0 0 1 2 1	3202020	
37		<u>(1)</u>	Pena	al Code section 1320.10(e) contains a comprehensive list of offenses and
38				ors that make persons assessed as medium risk ineligible for release by
39				rial Assessment Services; a court is not required to expand this list. If a
40				t chooses to add to the list of exclusionary offenses or factors, the court
41				t not adopt a rule that includes exclusions that effectively exclude all or
42				ly all persons assessed as medium risk from prearraignment release.

1 (2) Any added exclusion must directly uphold the goals of public safety and 2 appearance in court as required. 3 4 (3) Factors weighed by the risk assessment tool must not be added as an 5 exclusion. 6 7 An added exclusion must not solely be based on a status condition, such as (4) 8 homelessness or mental illness, that would amount to an impermissible 9 categorical exclusion. 10 11 Any added exclusion must be sufficiently specific and identifiable so that, (5) 12 within the time authorized by statute, Pretrial Assessment Services is able to 13 determine whether the exclusion applies. 14 15 (6) When adding an exclusion, the court must consider the extent to which the 16 additional exclusion may increase disparity in detention rates of ethnic or 17 racial minorities, or other inappropriate demographic such as income level or 18 gender, within the local population. 19 20 (e) Local rule development and annual review 21 22 In developing the local rule, the court must consult with Pretrial Assessment (1) 23 Services and with other justice system partners. The court must consult with 24 other justice system resources, as appropriate, including the county 25 behavioral health agency and community-based organizations that provide support for defendants and for victims. The court may also seek guidance on 26 27 evidence-based practices in pretrial release and detention from state and local 28 organizations with relevant expertise. 29 30 Courts must undertake an annual review of their local rule to consider the (2)31 impact of the rule on public safety, on the due process rights of arrested 32 persons, and the preceding year's implementation of the rule. As part of the 33 review, the court must describe the consultation process used in developing 34 the rule, and explicitly examine whether the rule has had a disproportionate 35 impact based on race or ethnicity, gender, or other demographics that are 36 overrepresented in the criminal justice system. Courts must submit an annual 37 report to the Judicial Council that documents the data and findings generated

38

by the review.

Item number: 03

RUPRO ACTION REQUEST FORM

RUPRO action requested: Submit to JC (without circulating for comment)

RUPRO Meeting: November 5 or 6, 2018

Title of proposal (include amend/revise/adopt/approve + form/rule numbers):

Rules and Forms: Technical Changes

Committee or other entity submitting the proposal: Judicial Council staff

Staff contact (name, phone and e-mail): Audrey Fancy, (415) 865-7706, audrey.fancy@jud.ca.gov

Identify project(s) on the committee's annual agenda that is the basis for this item:

Approved by RUPRO: October 24, 2017

Project description from annual agenda: Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee (item 32 of annual agenda) Technical Changes to Rules and Forms Develop rule and form changes as necessary to correct technical errors meeting the criteria of rule 10.22(d)(2); "a nonsubstantive technical change or correction or a minor substantive change that is unlikely to create controversy...."

If requesting July 1 or out of cycle, explain:

Judicial Council staff recommends making technical changes to three Family/Juvenile law forms. Two of the three forms have approved revisions that go into effect on January 1, 2019. The third form (DV-800/JV-252) requires a technical change to ensure that there is no delay in receiving proof of relinquishment of firearms in domestic violence cases in family and juvenile court.

Additional Information: (To facilitate RUPRO's review of your proposal, please include any relevant information not contained in the attached summary.)



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on November 29-30, 2018

Title

Rules and Forms: Technical Changes

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected Revise forms DV-800/JV-252, FL-150, and

JV-690

Recommended by

Judicial Council staff Audrey Fancy, Principal Managing Attorney Center for Families, Children & the Courts **Agenda Item Type**

Action Required

Effective Date

January 1, 2019

Date of Report

November 1, 2018

Contact

Audrey Fancy, 415-865-7706 audrey.fancy@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

Judicial Council staff and members of the branch have identified errors in Judicial Council family and juvenile law forms that are technical in nature. Judicial Council staff recommends making the necessary corrections to avoid confusion for court staff, judicial officers, and members of the public who use the forms.

Recommendation

Judicial Council staff recommends that the council, effective January 1, 2019:

- 1. Revise form DV-800/JV-252, *Proof of Firearms Turned In, Sold, or Stored*, item 5, to correct "Signature of law enforcement agent" to "Signature of licensed gun dealer" and the footer on page 1 to correct "§ 6389 et se." to "§ 6389 et seq.";
- 2. Revise form FL-150, *Income and Expense Declaration*, item 13a(1), to delete a duplicate line and correct and correct a typographical error in the caption; and

3. Revise form JV-690, *School Notification of Court Adjudication*, footer on pages 1 and 2, to include a reference to Education Code, section 48267 in addition to some other minor edits.

The revised forms are attached at pages 5–12.

Relevant Previous Council Action

The Judicial Council has acted on these forms previously but this proposal only involves minor corrections that are unrelated to prior council action. However, because the council's action on two of the forms occurred at the September 21, 2018 council meeting and would have the same effective date as the corrections recommended in this report, the history is included here.

Form FL-150 was last revised by the council at its meeting on September 21, 2018, to implement the changes to the Internal Revenue Code relating to the tax treatment of spousal support orders. The council adopted the recommended changes, effective January 1, 2019.¹

Form JV-690 was last revised by the council at its meeting on September 21, 2018, to correct inaccuracies in the listed offenses and to conform the form to Welfare and Institutions Code section 827(b)(1). The council adopted the recommended changes, effective January 1, 2019.²

Analysis/Rationale

The proposed changes to these forms are technical in nature and necessary to correct typographical errors and include a reference that was unintentionally omitted.

Form DV-800/JV-252

The Judicial Council revised this form in 2014. The version that was published contained an error in item 5, incorrectly stating "Signature of law enforcement officer" instead of "Signature of licensed gun dealer." In 2017, the form was again revised to make a technical change to the footer on page 1, and the error in the signature line of item 5 was not caught.

Form FL-150

This form incorrectly contains an extra line item for a party to indicate the amount of rent or mortgage that the party pays each month. A fillable line is provided for the party's answer; however, a second line appears immediately below it. This extra line could cause confusion to the person trying to complete the form because it does not relate to any specific query in item 13. To avoid confusion, staff recommends that the Judicial Council approve a revised version of the form in which the extra line is removed. In addition, staff recommends that the Judicial Council correct a misspelling of the word "Petitioner" in the caption on page 4.

¹ The Judicial Council report is available at https://jcc.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=3602276&GUID=5D88574B-D7F4-4B82-8D17-4903594D2D0B.

² The Judicial Council report is available at https://jcc.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=3602274&GUID=78627CB4-D630-4044-8547-5B3F76BD41EC.

Form JV-690

Several minor edits are suggested for the form *School Notification of Court Adjudication* (JV-690). Due to an inadvertent error, the footer of the form does not include a reference to Education Code section 48267. The form was amended during the 2018 spring cycle to include the notice required under Education Code section 48267 if applicable. In addition, several minor edits are suggested, including the correct spelling of "principal" as opposed to "principle" on page two and adding "a" in front of "...court of competent jurisdiction" on page one.

Policy implications

The technical changes recommended to the forms in this report provide for continued effective and consistent procedures in family and juvenile court.

Form DV-800/JV-252

The error in the signature line in item 5 could cause confusion to licensed gun dealers who would need to complete this item on the form and could also lead to delays in providing the court with proof of relinquishment of firearms.

Form FL-150

The inadvertent additional line in the summary of the party's monthly expenses could cause confusion to the party, the party's attorney, or to the court by erroneously prompting the person completing the form to insert a dollar amount beneath the line for the amount of rent or mortgage paid each month. This could ultimately result in the court making an inaccurate calculation of a support or other order relating to a party's financial obligations.

Form JV-690

There are no anticipated policy implications for the changes suggested for the JV-690 form, as the changes are minor typographical edits that do not address the substance of the form.

Comments

This proposal was not circulated for comment because it recommends a noncontroversial, technical revision, and is therefore within the Judicial Council's purview to adopt without circulation. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.22(d)(2).)

Alternatives considered

Form DV-800/JV-252

Staff considered delaying the recommendation but concluded that the form needs to be changed as soon as possible, given the policy implications noted above.

Forms FL-150 and JV-690

Since other changes to these forms have already been approved by the council to take effect on January 1, 2019, staff did not consider delaying the technical changes proposed here.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

Operational impacts are expected to be minor. The proposed revisions may result in some costs to the courts replace old versions of forms, both in paper and electronic systems.

Attachments and Links

1. Forms DV-800/JV-252, FL-150, and JV-690, at pages 5–12

	Proof of Firearms	Turnod In	Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.
	Sold, or Stored	rumeu m,	·
Protected Person			
Name:			
Restrained Person			
a. Your Name:			
Your Lawyer (if you have	ve one for this case):		
Name:	State Ba	ar No.:	
Firm Name:			Fill in court name and street address:
private, you may give a chave to give telephone, f	yer and want to keep your different mailing address	home address	Superior Court of California, County of
Address:			
City:	State:	Zip:	
Telephone:	Fax:		Court fills in case number when form is filed. Case Number:
E-Mail Address:			ouse Number.
you have obeyed its orders. licensed gun dealer to comp	When you deliver your un blete item 4 or 5 and ite	nloaded weapons, ask em 6 . After the form	y use this form to prove to the court that a the law enforcement officer or the m is signed, file it with the court clerk. O, How Do I Turn In, Sell, or Store My
		7 II (I 0/3 V 252 II (I V	-,
To Law Ent	forcement		
Fill out items 4 and 6 or and give the original to the the firearms.	f this form. Keep a copy e person who turned in	Fill out iter copy and g you the fire	To Licensed Gun Dealer ms (5) and (6) of this form. Keep a give the original to the person who sold earms or stored them with you.
Fill out items 4 and 6 or and give the original to the the firearms. The firearms listed in 6 v	f this form. Keep a copy e person who turned in were turned in on:	Fill out iter copy and g you the fire The firearm	To Licensed Gun Dealer ms (5) and (6) of this form. Keep a give the original to the person who sold
Fill out items 4 and 6 or and give the original to the the firearms. The firearms listed in 6 v. Date: at:	f this form. Keep a copy e person who turned in were turned in on:	Fill out iter copy and g you the fire The firearm	To Licensed Gun Dealer ms 5 and 6 of this form. Keep a give the original to the person who sold earms or stored them with you. ms listed in 6 were me transferred to me for storage or
Fill out items 4 and 6 or and give the original to the the firearms. The firearms listed in 6 v	f this form. Keep a copy e person who turned in were turned in on: a.m p.m.	Fill out iter copy and g you the fire The firearm Sold to 1 Date: To:	To Licensed Gun Dealer ms (5) and (6) of this form. Keep a give the original to the person who sold earms or stored them with you. ms listed in (6) were me transferred to me for storage on

Address

above is true and correct.

Signature of law enforcement agent

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws

of the State of California that the information

Address

above is true and correct.

Signature of licensed gun dealer

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information

6)	Firearms			
	<u>Make</u>	Mod	<u>el</u>	Serial Number
	a			
	b			
	c			
	d.			
	e			
	☐ Check here if you turned in, sold, or stored more f JV-252, Item 6—Firearms Turned In, Sold, or Sto of each firearm. You may use form MC-025, Attac	red" for a title. In		
7	Do you have, own, possess, or control any other firearms b	esides the firearn	ns listed in (B)?
	If you answered yes, have you turned in, sold, or stored the <i>If yes, check one of the boxes below:</i> a. I filed a <i>Proof of Firearms Turned In, Sold, or Store</i>		_	
	 b.	-	t):	
	☐ Check here if there is not enough space below the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 at		•	-
	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Sta	te of California t	hat the inform	mation above is true and
	correct.			
Date				
		•		
Type	or print your name	Sign yo	ur name	

Case Number:

		1 E-100
PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY OR ATTORNEY	STATE BAR NUMBER:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME:		
FIRM NAME:		
STREET ADDRESS:		
CITY:	STATE: ZIP CODE:	
TELEPHONE NO.:	FAX NO.:	
E-MAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (name):		
	TVOE	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUN STREET ADDRESS:	TY OF	
MAILING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP CODE:		
BRANCH NAME:		
PETITIONER:		
RESPONDENT:		
OTHER PARTY/PARENT/CLAIMANT:		
OTHER PART T/PARENT/CLAIMANT.		0.405 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
INCOME AND EXP	PENSE DECLARATION	CASE NUMBER:
1. Employment (Give information on you	ur current job or, if you're unemployed, yo	our most recent job.)
Attach copies a. Employer:		
of your pay b. Employer's address:		
stubs for last c. Employer's phone nu	mber:	
two months d. Occupation:		
(black out e. Date job started:		
Social f. If unemployed, date jo	ob ended:	
Security q. I work about	hours per week.	
numbers). h. I get paid \$	gross (before taxes) per mor	nth per week per hour.
(If you have more than one job, attach a jobs. Write "Question 1—Other Jobs" a		l list the same information as above for your other
2. Age and education		
a. My age is (specify):		
b. I have completed high school or th	e equivalent: Yes No	If no, highest grade completed (specify):
c. Number of years of college comple	. — —	s) obtained (specify):
d. Number of years of graduate school		Degree(s) obtained (specify):
e. I have: professional/occu		
vocational training	(specity):	
3. Tax information		
a. I last filed taxes for tax year	(specify year):	
b. My tax filing status is sin	gle head of household	married, filing separately
married, filing jointly with (sp	ecify name):	
c. I file state tax returns in	California other (specify state).	:
	emptions (including myself) on my taxes (
 Other party's income. I estimate the This estimate is based on (explain): 	gross monthly income (before taxes) of t	he other party in this case at <i>(specify):</i> \$
(If you need more space to answer any question number before your answer.)		2-by-11-inch sheet of paper and write the
I declare under penalty of perjury under that any attachments is true and correct.	e laws of the State of California that the	information contained on all pages of this form and
Date:		
	•	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

FL-150

	PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
	RESPONDENT:	
OTH	HER PARTY/PARENT/CLAIMANT:	
	ch copies of your pay stubs for the last two months and proof of any other incoments to the court hearing. (Black out your Social Security number on the pay stub a	
	ncome (For average monthly, add up all the income you received in each category in and divide the total by 12.)	the last 12 months Average Last month monthly
а	. Salary or wages (gross, before taxes)	\$
b	(0)	
С		
d	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
е		
f.	''' '''' ''''	
g h		
i.		Drivete incomence \$
i. i	Unemployment compensation	
, k		
l.		\$
6. lı	nvestment income (Attach a schedule showing gross receipts less cash expenses for	each piece of property.)
	Dividends/interest	
	Rental property income	
С		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
d	. Other (specify):	\$
N T	am the owner/sole proprietor business partner other (specify): lumber of years in this business (specify): lame of business (specify): type of business (specify): attach a profit and loss statement for the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule C from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule P from your specific program of the last two years or a Schedule P from your specific program of the l	ur last federal tax return. Black out your
8. [Additional income. I received one-time money (lottery winnings, inheritance, etc amount):	-
9. [Change in income. My financial situation has changed significantly over the last	12 months because (specify):
10. C	eductions	Last mont
а	. Required union dues	\$
b		
С		nt)\$
d	. Child support that I pay for children from other relationships	\$
е		ax deductible*\$
f.	11 1 3 3	
g	. Necessary job-related expenses not reimbursed by my employer (attach explanatio	n labeled "Question 10g")\$
	assets	Total
а	. Cash and checking accounts, savings, credit union, money market, and other depos . Stocks, bonds, and other assets I could easily sell	sit accounts\$
	Stocks, bonds, and other assets I could easily sell	\$
С	. All other property, real and personal (estimate fair market valu	e minus the debts you owe)\$
	eck the box if the spousal support order or judgment was executed by the parties and the court be tains the spousal support payments as taxable income to the recipient and tax deductible to the p	

PETITIONER: RESPONDENT:				CASE NUMBER:	1 12-100
OTHER PARTY/PARENT/CLAIMANT:					
12. The following people live with me:					
Name	Age	How the person is related to me (ex: son)	That pers	-	Pays some of the household expenses?
a. b. c. d. e.					Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
13. Average monthly expenses	Estimated	expenses Actual 6	expenses	Propo	sed needs
If mortgage: (a) average principal: \$	nce	i. Cloth j. Educ k. Ente l. Auto (insu m. Insui auto s n. Savi o. Chai p. Mont (item q. Othe s s s. Amo	ration ration ration reation	gifts, and vacation transportation repairs, bus, endicated the faccident, etc.; dealth insurance estments	tc.)\$ o not include s)\$ \$\$ \$\$ 14 total here)\$ \$\$
14. Installment payments and debts not Paid to	For	ve	Amount	Balance	Date of last payment
T did to	1 01		\$	\$	Date of last payment
			\$	\$	
			\$	\$	
			\$	\$	
			\$	\$	
			\$	\$	
a. To date, I have paid my attorney thi b. The source of this money was (spect. I still owe the following fees and cost. My attorney's hourly rate is (specify) confirm this fee arrangement.	s amount fo cify): its to my at	or fees and costs (specify):	\$		
Date:		L			
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)				(SIGNATURE O	F DECLARANT)
. ,					

	I E-13
PETITIONER: CASE NUMBER:	
RESPONDENT:	
OTHER PARTY/PARENT/CLAIMANT:	

CHILD SUPPORT INFORMAT (NOTE: Fill out this page only if your case inv		
	,	
 16. Number of children a. I have (specify number): children under the age of 18 with the o b. The children spend percent of their time with me and (If you're not sure about percentage or it has not been agreed on, please de 	percent of their time with	-
17. Children's health-care expenses a. I do I do not have health insurance available to me for b. Name of insurance company: c. Address of insurance company:	the children through my job	ı.
d. The monthly cost for the children's health insurance is or would be (specify (Do not include the amount your employer pays.)	<i>y):</i> \$	
18. Additional expense for the children in this case	Amount nor m	onth.
a. Childcare so I can work or get job training	Amount per mo	onin
b. Children's health care not covered by insurance		
c. Travel expenses for visitation	\$	
d. Children's educational or other special needs (specify below):	\$	
19. Special hardships. I ask the court to consider the following special financial cire (attach documentation of any item listed here, including court orders): a. Extraordinary health expenses not included in 18b	Amount per month \$	For how many months?
c. (1) Expenses for my minor children who are from other relationships and		-
are living with me(2) Names and ages of those children (specify):	\$	
(3) Child support I receive for those children The expenses listed in a, b, and c create an extreme financial hardship because	\$ e (explain):	
20. Other information I want the court to know concerning support in my case	e (specify):	

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH NAME:

SCHOOL NOTIFICATION OF COURT ADJUDICATION

	(Welfare & Institutions Code Section 827)	(b) an	a Eau	cation Code Section 48267)
	TO SUPERINTENDENT:			
	SCHOOL DISTRICT:			
	MAILING ADDRESS:			
	CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE:			
1.	YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that (child's name): is currently enrolled in your public school and that under:			, born on:,
	a. Education Code section 48267, the child is in a grade 7 probation requires that the minor attend a school progra			
	b. Welfare & Institutions Code section 827(b), the child is competent jurisdiction to have committed a felony or mi			
	(1) gambling (code section, optional):	(6)		a sex offense listed in section 290 of the Penal Code (code section, optional):
	(2) alcohol (code section, optional):	(7)		assault or battery (code section, optional):
	(3) drugs (code section, optional):	(8)		larceny (code section, optional):
	(4) graffiti (code section, optional):	(9)		vandalism (code section, optional):
	(5) carrying of weapons (code section, optional):	(10)		distribution of tobacco products (code section, optional):
2.	THE COURT-ORDERED DISPOSITION of the child's case is (co.	mplete	only t	or Welf. & Inst. Code, § 827(b)):
	a. wardship probation	C.		nonwardship probation
	b. Division of Juvenile Facilities (DJF aka DJJ) commitment	d.		Other:
D	ate:			
				CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

For more information, contact the probation officer for the child.

WARNING: UNLAWFUL DISSEMINATION OF THIS INFORMATION IS A MISDEMEANOR

Any information received from this court is to be kept in a separate confidential file at the school of attendance. This record must be destroyed upon the child's graduating from high school, reaching the age of 18, or being released from court jurisdiction, whichever occurs first.

Page 1 of 2

FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS

This form serves two purposes. It is primarily designed to provide the notice required by Welfare and Institutions Code section 827(b). The form can also be used to provide notice under Education Code section 48267. In addition, the form can be used to provide notice under both. If the form is providing notice for both section 827(b) and section 48267, the rules of section 827(b) on its dissemination, listed below, should be followed.

PURPOSE AND DISSEMINATION UNDER EDUCATION CODE SECTION 48267

Education Code section 48267 requires that if the child is in a grade from 7 to 12, the juvenile court must notify the superintendent of the child's school district when the child is described by section 602 and a condition of probation requires attendance in a school program approved by the probation officer.

If the form is being used to provide notice under Education Code section 48267, the juvenile court must provide the written notice to the superintendent of the school district of attendance within seven days of the disposition order, which must be expeditiously transmitted to the principal or to one person designated by the principal of the school that the minor is attending. The principal or t

PURPOSE AND DISSEMINATION UNDER WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE SECTION 827(b)

Welfare and Institutions Code section 827(b) requires that when a child is found to have committed a felony or misdemeanor for certain offenses, the court must send this form to inform the school of the underlying offense and the outcome of the case. The form is intended to encourage communication between the courts, law enforcement, and schools to ensure rehabilitation of the child and to promote public safety.

Juvenile court proceedings and information related to the case are confidential, and disclosure of this form is governed by the rules of confidentiality found in Welfare and Institutions Code section 827. Information related to a child's juvenile case is strictly confidential; the disclosure on this form is a limited exception. It is to be provided only to select individuals in the child's school district. An intentional violation of these rules is a misdemeanor.

Welfare and Institutions Code section 827(b) provides specific instructions for the school on how the form should be disseminated when it is sent by the court:

- The court will send this form to the district superintendent of the child's school district.
- The district superintendent must expeditiously transmit it to the principal at the school of attendance.
- The principal must then expeditiously disseminate the information to those counselors directly supervising or reporting on the behavior or progress of the child. In addition, the principal must disseminate the information to any teachers or administrators directly supervising or reporting on the behavior or progress of the child, if the principal believes they need the information to work with the child in an appropriate fashion or to promote school safety.

Any information received from the court by a teacher, counselor, or administrator must be received in confidence for the limited purpose of rehabilitating the child and protecting students and staff.

A teacher, counselor, or administrator who receives the information in the form must *not* disclose the information or disseminate the form unless it is communication with the child, his or her parents or guardians, law enforcement personnel, or the juvenile probation officer and is necessary to effectuate the child's rehabilitation or to protect students and staff.

An intentional violation of the confidentiality provisions of Welfare and Institutions Code section 827(b) is a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed \$500.

If a child is removed from public school because of the court's finding described in this form, the superintendent must maintain the information in a confidential file and must defer transmitting the form received from the court until the child is returned to public school. If the child is returned to a school district other than the one from which the child came, the parole or probation officer having jurisdiction over the child must notify the superintendent of the last district of attendance, who must transmit the notice received from the court to the superintendent of the new district of attendance.

The form is required to be destroyed when the child graduates from high school, reaches the age of 18, or is released from court jurisdiction, whichever occurs first. At any time after the form is required to be destroyed, the child or his or her parent or guardian has the right to make a written request to the principal of the school to review the child's school records to verify that the form has been destroyed. After this requested review, the principal or his or her designee must respond in writing to the written request and either confirm or deny that the form has been destroyed, or explain why destruction has not yet occurred.

Page 2 of 2