

Appellate Advisory Committee
Annual Agenda¹—2020-2021
Approved by Rules Committee: [Date]

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Louis R. Mauro, Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Third District
Lead Staff:	Christy Simons, Attorney, Legal Services
<p>Committee's Charge/Membership: <i>Insert charge from Cal. Rules of Court, or the specific charge to the Task Force. Hyperlink rule number to courts public site. Insert total number of members and number of members by category.</i></p> <p>Rule 10.40 of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Appellate Advisory Committee (AAC), which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council for improving the administration of justice in appellate proceedings and to make proposals on training for justices and appellate support staff to the Center for Judicial Education and Research Advisory Committee. Rule 10.34 sets forth additional duties of the committee.</p> <p>Rule 10.40 sets forth the membership positions of the committee. The AAC currently has 21 members. The current committee roster is available on the committee's web page.</p>	
<p>Subcommittees/Working Groups²:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Rules Subcommittee2. Appellate Division Subcommittee3. Legislative Subcommittee4. Privacy Subcommittee <i>[Recommend this subcommittee be inactive in the coming year.]</i>5. Joint Ad Hoc CEQA Fees Subcommittee6. Joint Appellate Technology Subcommittee <i>[Recommend this subcommittee remain inactive in the coming year.]</i>	

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

Meetings Planned for 2020-2021³ (Advisory body and all subcommittees and working groups)

Date/Time/Location or Teleconference:

Full committee meetings:

- November 2020 (videoconference to review winter cycle proposals and plan spring cycle proposals)
- February/March 2021 (in person if permitted; otherwise videoconference to make final recommendations on winter cycle proposals and review spring cycle proposals)
- July 2021 (videoconference to make final recommendations on spring cycle proposals)
- September 2021 (videoconference to make recommendations on annual agenda)

Subcommittee meetings: one or more teleconference or videoconference meetings of each subcommittee before each full committee meeting, to work on rules and forms proposals.

Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.

³ Refer to [Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies](#) for governance on in-person meetings.

COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴	
1.	Amend rules to allow oral argument by telephone in the appellate division	<p><i>Priority 1(d)</i>⁵</p> <p><i>Strategic Plan Goals I, III</i>⁶</p>
<p>Project Summary⁷: Amend rules 8.885 (limited civil and misdemeanor appeals) and 8.929 (infraction appeals) to allow the appellate division to conduct oral argument by telephone. The current rules provide for oral argument in person or by videoconference with all parties “visible,” but do not address appearing by telephone. This is a priority 1 project because the rule amendments would increase efficiency, improve access to justice by providing for remote appearances during the pandemic, and save money and time for courts and litigants. Origin: AAC member</p> <p>Status/Timeline: New project; completion date of January 1, 2022</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate for public comment</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>		

⁴ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

⁵ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁶ Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

⁷ A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or “end of action” to be achieved for the coming year.

# New or One-Time Projects⁴	
2.	<p>Update electronic filing rules to permit electronic signatures and make minor corrections</p> <p>Priority 1(e)⁵</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals⁶ III, IV</p>
<p>Project Summary⁷: Amend rule 8.75 to allow electronic signatures on documents requiring signatures of multiple parties. Rule 8.75(c) currently requires the filer to obtain opposing counsel’s original signature on a printed form of the document or in the form of a copy of the signed signature page, maintain the original signed document or copies of signed signature pages, and make them available for inspection and copying upon request. Trial court rule 2.257 was recently amended to permit electronic signatures in certain situations; this may provide a starting point for amending the appellate rule. This is a priority 1 rules modernization project because it will increase access to justice, promote efficiency among stipulating parties, further consistency in the rules, and reduce unnecessary transmission of paper documents during the pandemic. Origin: appellate attorney in private practice</p> <p>Also amend rule 8.70 (application, construction, and definitions) to correct non-substantive issues and noncontroversial substantive issues including redundancy, a typographical error, and non-parallel sentence structure. Although this is a priority 2 item on the committee’s current annual agenda, it would promote efficiency and reduce amendment processing costs to amend this electronic filing rule at the same time as rule 8.75.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: New project; completion date of January 1, 2022</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate for public comment.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	
3.	<p>Update the rules regarding remote access to electronic appellate court records</p> <p>Priority 1(e)⁵</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals⁶ I, III, IV</p>
<p>Project Summary⁷: Amend rules 8.80-8.83, which were adopted in 2016, to provide greater electronic access to appellate court records, as appropriate, based on the courts’ improving technical capabilities and increased knowledge gained from experience. The updates may include permitting remote public access to briefs, requiring that records accessible at the courthouse be properly redacted, providing for additional access for specified persons and entities, amending definitions and scope of the rules, and modifications based on 2018</p>	

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
	<p>amendments to the trial court rules regarding remote access. This is a priority 1 project because it will increase access to the courts, improve efficiency, and reduce costs by improving remote access to electronic appellate court records, reducing the copying and printing of paper documents, and reducing the need to travel to the courthouse, saving courts and the public time, money, and effort, and promoting safe distancing. Origin: AAC chair, assistant clerk/executive officer of a Court of Appeal, and a Judicial Council attorney.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Priority 1 project for access, efficiency and cost-reduction reasons; completion date of January 1, 2022</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate for public comment.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	
4.	Rules for streamlined CEQA review	Priority 1(a)⁵
	<p>Project Summary⁷: SB 2731 adds Public Resources Code section 21189.70 et seq. regarding Old Town Center Redevelopment in the City of San Diego, and adds that project to the list of those eligible for streamlined CEQA review, which will require amending rules of court, including rules 3.2200 et seq. for the trial court and rules 8.700-8.705 for the appellate courts. In addition, in the prior session, the Legislature amended Public Resources Code sections 21189.50—21189.53 (expanding the State Capitol Building Annex Act); and added section 21168.6.7 (addressing the “Oakland Sports and Mixed Use Projects” by the Oakland A’s) and section 21168.6.8 (addressing projects in Inglewood related to a new NBA arena), all of which also provided for those projects to be provided with expedited CEQA review, requiring amendments to the rules of court. The statutes for the Oakland ballpark and the Inglewood arena projects also require the council to adopt rules regarding costs that must be paid by a project applicant/developer to the court for expedited handling of the case. This project is legislatively mandated.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Current priority 1 project. The committees will recommend circulation on a short special cycle and council adoption at a meeting in early 2021, with an immediate effective date.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff, Governmental Affairs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p>	

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
	<p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate for public comment.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Joint proposal with Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee</p>	
5.	<p>Procedure for notices of appeal filed after guilty pleas</p> <p>Project Summary⁷: Amend rule 8.304(b)(3) to improve the procedure for filing a notice of appeal after guilty plea that is not accompanied by a certificate of probable cause. Currently, if a defendant does not file a statement for issuance of a certificate of probable cause, rule 8.304(b)(3) requires the clerk to mark the notice of appeal “inoperative.” This result is not correct in a significant number of cases, so clerks must analyze and make legal decisions regarding whether to file these notices of appeal. This requires more time and work for court clerks, and incorrect decisions result in further delay. Under the proposed amended rule, if a notice of appeal after a guilty plea is not accompanied by a certificate of probable cause, whether such certificate was denied or not requested, the court would issue an order stating that the appeal is limited to issues that do not require a certificate of probable cause. This is a priority 1 project because the current procedure is inefficient and inappropriately requires clerks to make legal decisions. The improved process will eliminate errors, reduce the workload for trial court clerks, and promote greater fairness and efficiency. Origin: Member of the Criminal Law Advisory Committee, on behalf of the Second District Court of Appeal.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: New project; completion date of January 1, 2022</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate for public comment.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	<p>Priority 1(e)⁵</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals⁶ III, IV</p>
6.	<p>Publication and posting of appellate division opinions certified for publication</p>	<p>Priority 1(e)⁵</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals I, III⁶</p>

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
	<p>Project Summary⁷: Due to gaps in the rules and procedures regarding appellate division opinions that have been certified for publication, these opinions are not posted or otherwise accessible to other courts or the public until after the rendering court has lost jurisdiction and cannot make any modifications. No rules address the status of these opinions if the Court of Appeal orders transfer; the procedures that have developed effectively depublish these opinions and they are never posted or accessible to other courts or the public. As a result, appellate divisions across the state are unable to see the development of the law with respect to issues that arise mainly in limited civil or misdemeanor cases. The current procedures developed in part as a result of provisions in the California Style Manual (CSM) regarding publication of appellate division opinions. The CSM is now under review for the first time in 20 years, and these provisions need to be updated. This project involves considering amendments to the rules on transfer and providing subject matter expertise as requested to the Supreme Court and the Reporter of Decisions regarding publication rules, posting, and updates to the CSM. The goals are to close the gaps, improve transparency and access, and ensure that practices and procedures are coordinated, consistent, and accord appropriate status to these opinions. This is a priority 1 project because it will provide access to the development of the law in unlawful detainer, debt collection, and fee waiver cases, among others. It must be done this year while the CSM is being updated so that rule amendments and revisions to the CSM will be consistent. Origin: AAC member and Reporter of Decisions</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Previously a priority 2 project, upgraded to priority 1 for access reasons and the need to coordinate with existing Reporter of Decisions deadlines. Work has begun; completion date of January 1, 2022</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: The Supreme Court, Reporter of Decisions, JC Information Technology; all draft rules proposals will circulate for public comment</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	
7.	Retention of the reporter’s transcript in felony appeals	<p>Priority 1(e)⁵ DEFERRED</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</p>
	<p>Project Summary⁷: Amend rule 10.1028 to extend the time for keeping reporters’ transcripts in appeals affirming felony convictions. The rule currently requires that the original reporter’s transcript be kept by the Court of Appeal for 20 years. However, this is not long enough to account for longer sentences and defendants’ potential need for the reporter’s transcript to avail themselves of changes in the law. Also consider whether to require a digital copy. The rule also needs to be amended to conform to recently amended Code of Civil Procedure</p>	

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
	<p>section 271. The AAC circulated a proposal last spring but deferred the project in order to gather more information and revise the proposal. Origin: Supreme Court attorney and retired Clerk/Executive Officer of a District Court of Appeal.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic. Current priority 1 project.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate for public comment. Stakeholders include the six District Courts of Appeal.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	
8.	<p>Pilot program to reduce indexing of unpublished Court of Appeal opinions</p>	<p>Priority 1(e)⁵ DEFERRED</p> <hr/> <p>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</p>
	<p>Project Summary⁷: Review data, evaluate results, draft a report, and develop recommendations to the Administrative Presiding Justices Advisory Committee and/or the Judicial Council. This program to reduce indexing of unpublished appellate opinions to better protect personal privacy without affecting public access to the opinions on the courts website was approved by the Rules Committee and has been on the 2017, 2018, and 2019 AAC annual agenda. The project is part of the privacy subcommittee’s charge to consider whether to recommend amendments to the rules of court or other actions to better protect the privacy of victims, witnesses, and others who are described in or otherwise affected by unpublished Court of Appeal opinions. The successful pilot will continue in an effort to protect the public, but data analysis and preparation of a report is temporarily deferred.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic. Current priority 1 project.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Victims, witnesses, and others who are named in or identified in unpublished appellate opinions</p>	

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> N/A

DRAFT

# Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
1. <i>Improve Rules and Forms</i>	<i>Priority 1⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goals⁶ I, III, IV</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Working through the Rules Subcommittee and the Appellate Division Subcommittee, review case law changes that impact appellate courts and appellate procedure and suggestions from committee members, judicial officers, court staff, the bar, and the public concerning appellate rules and forms and appellate administration. Make recommendations to the Judicial Council for necessary changes to appellate rules, standards, and forms (rule 10.21).</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Ongoing</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: Committee staff; potentially others depending on the project</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: Depends on the project; all draft proposals circulate for public comment</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: As appropriate, depending on the project</p>	
2. <i>Review Pending Legislation</i>	<i>Priority 1⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goals⁶ III, IV</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Working through the Legislative Subcommittee, review pending legislation affecting appellate procedure and court administrations and make recommendations to the Legislation Committee as to whether the Judicial Council should support or oppose the legislation (rule 10.34).</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Ongoing</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: Committee staff, Governmental Affairs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: California Legislature</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: N/A</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
3.	<i>Review Enacted Legislation</i>	<i>Priority 1⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goals⁶ III, IV</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Review all enacted legislation referred to the committee by the Judicial Council’s Governmental Affairs office that may have an impact on appellate procedure and court administration, and, where appropriate, propose to the Judicial Council rules and forms to implement the legislation or to bring rules and forms into conformity with it.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Ongoing</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: Committee staff, Governmental Affairs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: N/A</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: As appropriate, depending on the legislation</p>		
4.	<i>Provide Subject Matter Expertise</i>	<i>Priority 2(b)⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal⁶ III</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Serve as subject matter resource for other advisory groups to avoid duplication of efforts and contribute to the development of recommendations for council action. Such efforts may include providing appellate procedural expertise and review to working groups, advisory committees, and subcommittees as needed.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Ongoing</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: Committee staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: N/A</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: As appropriate, depending on the project for which advice or consultation was requested</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
5.	<i>Rules and Forms: Miscellaneous Technical Changes</i>	<i>Priority 2(a)⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goals⁶ III, IV</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Develop rule and form changes as necessary to correct technical errors meeting the criteria of rule 10.22(d)(2): “a nonsubstantive technical change or correction or a minor substantive change that is unlikely to create controversy”</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Ongoing</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: Committee staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: N/A</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: N/A</p>		

II. LIST OF 2019-2020 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	Use of an appendix in limited civil appeals. New and amended rules and new and revised forms to allow litigants in limited civil appeals to elect an appendix as the record of documents in the trial court. Submitted to the Judicial Council for approval on September 25, 2020; changes would take effect January 1, 2021.
2.	Consent to electronic service in the Court of Appeal and Supreme Court. Amended rules and a revised form to clarify consent to electronic service in the appellate courts following the Legislature’s amendment of Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6. Submitted to the Judicial Council for approval on September 25, 2020; changes would take effect January 1, 2021.
3.	Date and time of filing for electronically submitted documents. This proposal sought to clarify that an electronically filed document that meets filing requirements is deemed to have been filed at the date and time received by the court. Submitted to the Judicial Council for approval on September 25, 2020; changes would take effect January 1, 2021.
4.	Method of notice to the court reporter. Rule amendments to provide courts with greater flexibility in notifying the court reporter in juvenile cases to prepare the reporter’s transcript. Submitted to the Judicial Council for approval on September 25, 2020; changes would take effect January 1, 2021.
5.	Technical revisions to forms to use gender-neutral language. Forms revisions following a survey of all appellate forms to eliminate gender terms and use gender-neutral language. Approved by the Judicial Council on May 15, 2020; changes take effect January 1, 2021.
6.	Appointment of counsel in misdemeanor appeals. Amended rule and revised forms to implement <i>Gardner v. Appellate Division of Superior Court</i> (2019) 6 Cal.5th 998, which expands the right of a misdemeanor defendant to counsel on appeal. Approved by the Judicial Council on May 15, 2020; changes took effect September 1, 2020.
7.	Access to juvenile case files in appellate court proceedings. Amended rules and new and revised forms to implement legislation amending Welfare and Institutions Code section 827, which clarifies access to juvenile records in the appellate courts. Approved by the Judicial Council on May 15, 2020; changes took effect September 1, 2020.

Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions
Annual Agenda¹—2020–2021
Approved by Rules Committee: [DATE], 2020

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Martin J. Tangeman, Justice California Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District
Lead Staff:	Eric Long, Attorney, Legal Services
Committee's Charge/Membership: <p>Rule 10.58 of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions, which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council for updating, amending, and adding topics to the <i>Judicial Council of California Civil Jury Instructions</i> (CACI).</p> <p>Rule 10.58 sets forth the membership position of the committee. The Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions currently has 22 members (a majority of which must be judges). Under rule 10.58, the Committee must include at least one member from each of the following categories: (1) appellate court justice; (2) trial court judge; (3) lawyer whose primary area of practice is civil law; and (4) law professor whose primary area of expertise is civil law. The current committee roster is available on the committee's web page.</p>	
Subcommittees/Working Groups²: <p>The committee has three subcommittees (referred to internally as working groups). Each is made up exclusively of committee members. Each working group reviews approximately one third of the proposed meeting agenda before the full committee meeting and makes recommendations to the committee regarding each proposal. The working groups are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Working Group 122. Working Group 343. Working Group 56	

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

Meetings Planned for 2020–2021³ (Advisory body and all subcommittees and working groups)

Date/Time/Location or Teleconference:

Three working group meetings: on or about the week of December 7, 2020, 10:00 a.m.–2:00 p.m., Videoconference

Advisory committee meeting: on or about January 21, 2021, 10:00 a.m.–4:00 p.m., San Francisco (and/or videoconference)

Three working group meetings: on or about the week of June 7, 2021, 10:00 a.m.–2:00 p.m., Videoconference

Advisory committee meeting: on or about July 15, 2021, 10:00 a.m.–4:00 p.m., San Francisco (and/or videoconference)

Due to the nature of the committee’s work and the detailed drafting that is required to phrase CACI’s civil jury instructions in plain English, two in-person meetings, rather than only one, have been authorized in prior years. If in-person meetings can be held safely in light of the COVID-19 pandemic and the attendant health and safety precautions can be satisfied, the committee will again seek an exception for two in-person meetings.

Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.

³ Refer to [Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies](#) for governance on in-person meetings.

COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴	
1.	<i>Maintenance—Secondary Sources</i>	<p><i>Priority 2</i>⁵</p> <p><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i>⁶</p>
<p>Project Summary⁷: Update Secondary Source citations to ensure that the secondary sources included in CACI are up to date.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Publishers of Secondary Sources.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None.</p>		

⁴ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

⁵ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or a amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or a voids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁶ Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

⁷ A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or “end of action” to be achieved for the coming year.

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
1.	Maintenance—Case Law	Priority 1⁵ Strategic Plan Goal IV⁶
<p>Project Summary⁷: Review new case law affecting jury instructions to determine whether changes to any civil jury instructions are required. Draft and present proposed changes for council approval.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing with delivery of any changes requiring Judicial Council approval to the council at its May and November meetings; delivery of any changes requiring only Rules Committee approval to Rules Committee in January, May, July, and/or November.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Each Working Group reviews, considers, and makes recommendations to the AC regarding each proposal.</p>		
2.	Maintenance—Legislation	Priority 1⁵ Strategic Plan Goal IV⁶
<p>Project Summary⁷: Review new legislation affecting jury instructions to determine whether changes to any civil jury instructions are required. Draft and present proposed changes for council approval. Make any necessary citation revisions to statutes cited under Sources and Authority.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, with delivery of any changes requiring Judicial Council approval to the council at its May and November meetings; delivery of any changes requiring only Rules Committee approval to Rules Committee in January.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Each Working Group reviews, considers, and makes recommendations to the AC regarding each proposal.</p>		
3.	New Instructions and Expansion into New Subject Matter Areas	Priority 1⁵ Strategic Plan Goal IV⁶

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
	<p>Project Summary⁷: Review suggestions received from jury instruction users, new legislation, and case law; draft and propose new civil jury instructions, including new series of instructions in an entirely new subject area, as appropriate.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, with delivery of any changes requiring Judicial Council approval to the council at its May and November meetings.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Each Working Group reviews, considers, and makes recommendations to the AC regarding each proposal.</p>	
4.	Maintenance—Comments from Users	Priority 1⁵
	<p>Project Summary⁷: Review suggestions received from jury instruction users, including the bench and bar; draft and propose changes and improvements, as appropriate, based on user suggestions.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, with delivery of any changes requiring Judicial Council approval to the council at its May and November meetings; delivery of any changes requiring only Rules Committee approval to Rules Committee in January.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Each Working Group reviews, considers, and makes recommendations to the AC regarding each proposal.</p>	
5.	Technical Corrections	Priority 1⁵
		Strategic Plan Goal IV⁶

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
	<p>Project Summary⁷: Make any necessary corrections or editing changes to the jury instructions.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, with delivery of any changes requiring Judicial Council approval to the council at its May and November meetings; delivery of any changes requiring only Rules Committee approval to Rules Committee in January.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Each Working Group reviews, considers, and makes recommendations to the AC regarding each proposal.</p>	
6.	Maintenance—Sources and Authority	<p>Priority 1⁵</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal IV⁶</p>
	<p>Project Summary⁷: Add quotations excerpted from new cases to Sources and Authority as appropriate once source is final.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, with delivery of any additions to the Sources and Authority with changes requiring Judicial Council approval to the council at its May and November meetings, and delivery of any new additions to the Sources and Authority to the Rules Committee in January, May, July, and/or November.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Each Working Group reviews, considers, and makes recommendations to the AC regarding each proposal.</p>	

II. LIST OF 2020 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	Maintenance—Case Law, Legislation, and Comments from Users: Review case law, new legislation affecting jury instructions, and comments from users to determine whether changes to the civil jury instructions are required. Ongoing. Releases presented to Judicial Council for approval on May 15, 2020, and to be presented to the Judicial Council on November 12, 2020.
2.	New Instructions and Expansion into New Subject Matter Areas: Review new legislation, case law, and suggestions received from jury instruction users and propose new civil jury instructions as appropriate. Ongoing. Releases presented to Judicial Council for approval on May 15, 2020, and to be presented to the Judicial Council on November 12, 2020. The November 2019 release (release 36) included seven new instructions, including four new instructions expanding into real property law, and revisions to nearly thirty instructions and verdict forms to bring them up to date. The May 2020 release (release 37) included one new instruction on the use of personal pronouns, three new instructions on the Comprehensive Computer Data and Access Fraud Act, and two new instructions on wrongful foreclosure, and revisions to hundreds of instructions and verdict forms to bring them up to date.
3.	Maintenance—Sources and Authority: Review case law and new legislation and propose inclusion of excerpts and citations from new sources and authority. Ongoing. Release presented to the Rules Committee in April 2020, and to be presented to Rules Committee for approval in November 2020.
4.	Technical Corrections: Make any necessary corrections or editing changes to the jury instructions. Ongoing. Releases presented to Judicial Council for approval on May 15, 2020, and to be presented to Judicial Council on November 12, 2020.

Advisory Committee on Criminal Jury Instructions
Annual Agenda—2021
Approved by Rules Committee: [November 2, 2020]

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Peter J. Siggins, Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three
Lead Staff:	Kara Portnow, Supervising Attorney, Criminal Justice Services
<p>Committee's Charge/Membership: Rule 10.59 of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Advisory Committee on Criminal Jury Instructions (CALCRIM), which is to regularly review case law and statutes affecting jury instructions and to make recommendations to the Judicial Council for updating, amending, and adding topics to the council's criminal jury instructions.</p> <p>Rule 10.59 also sets forth the membership position of the committee. The Advisory Committee on Criminal Jury Instructions currently has 13 members. The current committee roster is available on the committee's web page.</p>	
<p>Subcommittees/Working Groups: The committee has one subcommittee, the CALCRIM Workgroup, consisting of six members who meet to pre-vet all materials before they go to the full committee for review.</p>	
<p>Meetings Planned for 2021 (Advisory body and all subcommittees and working groups) Date/Time/Location or Teleconference: Two full committee meetings in June and in November. Two workgroup meetings in May and in October. Dates and location TBD.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.</p>	

COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects	
1.	<i>Project Title</i>	<i>Priority</i>
	<i>Strategic Plan Goal</i>	
	<p><i>Project Summary:</i></p> <p><i>Status/Timeline:</i></p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i></p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities <small>Error! Bookmark not defined.</small>	
1	Project Title: Maintenance—Case Law and Legislation	Priority 1
		Strategic Plan Goal IV
<p>Project Summary: Review case law and new legislation affecting jury instructions to determine whether changes to the criminal jury instructions are required. Judicial Council Direction: Draft and maintain jury instructions that accurately and understandably state the law.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, with delivery to Judicial Council at March and September meetings.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: No implementation costs are associated with this project. To the contrary, under the publication agreement, the official publisher, LexisNexis, will print a new edition and pay royalties to the Judicial Council. The council’s contract with West Publishing provides additional royalty revenue.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Not applicable.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Not applicable.</p>		
2	Project Title: Maintenance—Case Law and Legislation	Priority 1
		Strategic Plan Goal IV
<p>Project Summary: Review comments received from jury instruction users and propose any necessary changes and improvements. Judicial Council Direction: Draft and maintain jury instructions that accurately and understandably state the law.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, with delivery to Judicial Council at March and September meetings.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: No implementation costs are associated with this project. To the contrary, under the publication agreement, the official publisher, LexisNexis, will print a new edition and pay royalties to the Judicial Council. The council’s contract with West Publishing provides additional royalty revenue.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Not applicable.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Not applicable.</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities Error! Bookmark not defined.	
3	Project Title: New Instructions and Expansion into New Areas.	Priority 1
<p data-bbox="176 310 1934 410">Project Summary: Review suggestions received from jury instruction users, new legislation, and case law and propose new criminal jury instructions as appropriate. Judicial Council Direction: Draft and maintain jury instructions that accurately and understandably state the law.</p> <p data-bbox="176 464 1402 496">Status/Timeline: Ongoing, with delivery to Judicial Council at March and September meetings.</p> <p data-bbox="176 545 1940 646">Fiscal Impact/Resources: No implementation costs are associated with this project. To the contrary, under the publication agreement, the official publisher, LexisNexis, will print a new edition and pay royalties to the Judicial Council. The council’s contract with West Publishing provides additional royalty revenue.</p> <p data-bbox="176 659 1902 727"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p data-bbox="176 773 806 805">Internal/External Stakeholders: Not applicable.</p> <p data-bbox="176 837 632 870">AC Collaboration: Not applicable.</p>		
4	Project Title: Technical Corrections.	Priority 1
<p data-bbox="176 1019 1871 1084">Project Summary: Make any necessary corrections or editing changes to the jury instructions. Judicial Council Direction: Draft and maintain jury instructions that accurately and understandably state the law.</p> <p data-bbox="176 1133 1402 1166">Status/Timeline: Ongoing, with delivery to Judicial Council at March and September meetings.</p> <p data-bbox="176 1214 1940 1315">Fiscal Impact/Resources: No implementation costs are associated with this project. To the contrary, under the publication agreement, the official publisher, LexisNexis, will print a new edition and pay royalties to the Judicial Council. The council’s contract with West Publishing provides additional royalty revenue.</p> <p data-bbox="176 1328 1902 1396"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p data-bbox="176 1442 806 1474">Internal/External Stakeholders: Not applicable.</p> <p data-bbox="176 1474 632 1507">AC Collaboration: Not applicable.</p>		

II. LIST OF 2020 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	Maintenance—Case Law and Legislation: Review case law and new legislation affecting jury instructions to determine whether changes to the criminal jury instructions are required. Ongoing. Releases presented to Judicial Council for approval in April 2020 and September 2020.
2.	Maintenance—Comments From Users: Review comments received from jury instruction users and propose any necessary changes and improvements. Ongoing. Releases presented to Judicial Council for approval in April 2020 and September 2020.
3.	New Instructions and Expansion into New Areas: Review new legislation and case law and suggestions received from jury instruction users and propose new criminal jury instructions as appropriate. Ongoing. Releases presented to Judicial Council for approval in April 2020 and September 2020.
4.	Technical Corrections: Make any necessary corrections or editing changes to the jury instructions. Ongoing. Releases presented to Judicial Council for approval in April 2020 and September 2020.

Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee
Annual Agenda¹—2020-2019
Approved by Rules Committee: []

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Ann I. Jones, Superior Court of Los Angeles County
Lead Staff:	Anne M. Ronan, Supervising Attorney, Legal Services
Committee's Charge/Membership:	
<p>Under rule 10.41 of the California Rules of Court, the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee (C&SCAC) is charged with making recommendations to the Judicial Council for improving the administration of justice in civil and small claims proceedings.</p> <p>Rule 10.41 also sets out the membership categories for the committee, which currently has 27 voting members and 2 advisory members. The committee roster is available on the committee's web page.</p>	
Subcommittees/Working Groups²:	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Alternative Dispute Resolution Subcommittee 2. Legislative Subcommittee 3. Small Claims and Limited Case Subcommittee 4. Unlimited Case and Complex Litigation Subcommittee 5. Joint Ad Hoc CEQA Fee Subcommittee 6. Joint Ad Hoc Video Remote Appearances Subcommittee³ 	
Meetings Planned for 2020-2021⁴	
<p>Full committee meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • September 2020 (videoconference, regarding urgent unlawful detainer forms proposal) 	

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

³ The Protective Orders Subcommittee (which also works with the Joint Protective Order Working Group, led by Family & Juvenile Adv. Committee) is in suspense this year; the only project related to its work is a technical change that will be handled by another subcommittee.

⁴ Refer to [Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies](#) for governance on in-person meetings.

- November 2020 (videoconference to review winter cycle proposals)
- February/March 2021 (in person if permitted; otherwise videoconference to make final recommendations on winter cycle proposals and review spring cycle proposals)
- July 2021 (videoconference to make final recommendations on spring cycle proposals)

Subcommittee meetings: one or more video-conference meeting of each subcommittee before each full committee meeting, to work on rules and forms proposals.

Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.

DRAFT

COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁵ [Group projects by priority number.]
1.	<p>Video Remote Appearances in Civil Proceedings</p> <p><i>Priority 1—at direction of Chief Justice⁶</i></p> <p><i>Strategic Plan Goals III and IV⁷</i></p>
<p>Project Summary: Work with Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) and other advisory committees to develop legislative and rule proposals to further the recommendations of the Commission on the Future of California’s Court System (Futures Commission) relating to video remote appearances by parties, counsel, and witnesses for most noncriminal court proceedings (pursuant to directive to ITAC from the Chief Justice).</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Legislative proposal has circulated for comment and final recommendation will go to the council in November 2020, and to Legislature in 2021, with rule proposals to be developed concurrently while legislation is pending.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff only.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate to seek comments from legal services groups, bar organizations, and court executives and presiding judges throughout the state.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Information Technology Advisory Committee, Family and Juvenile Advisory Committee, Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee.</p>	

⁵ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, it is identified as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda is attached to this Annual Agenda.

⁶ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁷ Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

#	New or One-Time Projects⁵ [Group projects by priority number.]	
2.	Unlawful Detainers: UD and SC forms to implement AB 3088	<i>Priority 1(a)</i>
<i>Strategic Plan Goal III</i>		
<p>Project Summary: The enactment of the Tenant, Homeowner, and Small Landlord Relief and Stabilization Act of 2020 (Assem. Bill 3088) changes the practice and procedures relating to all residential unlawful detainer actions from now until January 31, 2021, and for a longer period for actions based on unpaid rent due at any time between March 1, 2020, and January 31, 2021. The new law raises the jurisdictional limit of small claims cases for recovery of unpaid rents due during that period. New forms or rules will be developed as appropriate to implement this bill. Additional forms or further revisions may be required if additional legislation is enacted before January 1, 2021 year relating to unlawful detainers as legislators have indicated is likely.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: AB 3088 became effective August 31, 2020 and three new and one revised forms have been adopted and approved by the council effective October 5, 2020, the operative date of certain of the provisions in the new act. One of those, form UD-105, was approved prior to circulation and will be circulated for comment in October. New or revised forms for small claims cases over the general jurisdictional amount will need to be in effect by March 1, 2021. If further legislation is enacted before January 31, 2021 (as legislators have indicated is likely), these forms may all require further revisions at that time. Changes to federal law may require revisions to the forms as well.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff only.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate to seek comments from legal services groups, property owner associations, bar organizations, and court executives and presiding judges throughout the state.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>		
3.	Rules for streamlined CEQA review	<i>Priority 1(a)</i>
<i>Strategic Plan Goal III</i>		
<p>Project Summary SB 2731 adds Public Resources Code section 21189.70, et seq regarding Old Town Center Redevelopment in the City of San Diego, and adds that project to the list of those eligible for streamlined CEQA review, which will require amending rules of court, including rules 3.2200 et seq. for the trial court and rules 8.700-8.705 for the appellate courts. In addition, in prior sessions, the Legislature amended Public Resources Code sections 21189.50—21189.53 (expanding the State Capitol Building Annex Act); and added section 21168.6.7 (addressing the “Oakland Sports and Mixed Use Projects” by the Oakland A’s) and section 21168.6.8 (addressing projects in Ingleside related to a new NBA arena), all of which also provided for those projects to be provided with expedited CEQA review, requiring amendments to the rules of court. The statutes for the Oakland ballpark and the Inglewood arena projects also require the council to adopt</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects⁵ [Group projects by priority number.]	
	<p>rules regarding costs that must be paid by a project applicant/developer to the court for expedited handling of the case. This project is legislatively mandated.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing priority 1 project. The committees intend to recommend circulation on a short special cycle and council adoption in early 2021.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate for public comment.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: This is a joint project with the Appellate Advisory Committee. May also need to collaborate with Governmental Affairs staff and Business Management Services staff.</p>	
4.	Rules on Remote Depositions	Priority 1(a)
	<p>Project Summary: Senate Bill 1146 amends Code of Civil Procedure section 2025.310 and authorizes the greater use of remote technology in depositions, allowing parties, witnesses, and deposition officers to be in separate locations. Current Rules of Court, rule 3.1010 regarding remote depositions must be amended in light of the new statute.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: The bill was enacted as urgency legislation and became effective upon signing. The committee intends to have proposed amended rules ready to circulate for the spring 2021 cycle.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff only.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate for public comment.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	
5.	Revision to EPO-002 (GVRO form)	Priority 1(a)

#	New or One-Time Projects⁵ [Group projects by priority number.]	
		<i>Strategic Plan Goal III and IV</i>
	<p>Project Summary: Senate Bill 2617 amends Penal Code section 18140 and provides that a more specific time frame in which a law enforcement officer must file a copy of a Gun Violence Emergency Protective Order (form EPO-002) with the court after it has been issued. Current law states it must be filed “as soon as practicable”; the new law adds “but not later than three days after issuance”. This bill was sponsored by the Judicial Council.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: The change to the form is a technical change which will not need to circulate for comment, and will be ready for approval by the council early next year.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff only. <input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	
6.	Revision to Enforcement of Judgment forms	Priority 1(a)
	<p>Project Summary: Senate Bill 898 amends Code of Civil Procedure section 703.140 et seq, and adds section 704.104 to provide that money held in an account owned by the judgment debtor and established pursuant to the Golden State Scholarshare Trust Act is exempt from enforcement of collection of a money judgment. The new exemption and exemption amounts in the bill need to be added to council’s enforcement of judgment forms describing available exemptions.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: The change to the form is a technical change which will not need to circulate for comment, and will be ready for approval by the council early next year.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff only. <input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A.</p>	

#	New or One-Time Projects⁵ [Group projects by priority number.]	
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> N/A	
7.	<i>Removal of “optional” from item requesting party’s email address</i>	<i>Priority 1(e)</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III and IV</i>
<p><i>Project Summary:</i> Courts have had some difficulty obtaining email addresses from some parties because some Judicial Council forms still state that the item requesting the email address is “optional.”. For several years, this designation of optional has been removed from Judicial Council forms as they are revised for other reasons, in compliance with the rule of court requiring that such addresses be provided if available. In order to ensure that court, which increasingly need the email addresses as they provide electronic service and electronic notice of remote hearings under the provisions of emergency rule 3, this proposal is to remove the “optional” designator on three forms used early in many civil actions: the civil cover sheet, the general denial, and the case management conference statement.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline:</i> The only change to the forms is a technical change which will not need to circulate for comment, and will be ready for approval by the council early next year.</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i> Committee staff only.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> N/A.</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i> N/A</p>		
8.	<i>Waiver of Fees for Remote Appearances</i>	<i>Priority 1(e)</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III and IV⁷</i>
<p><i>Project Summary:</i> Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.55 and related forms to include waiver of all court fees for remote appearances. Currently, rule 3.55, which lists all fees that are waived upon the grant of a fee waiver, includes court fees for telephonic appearances under Code of Civil Procedure section 367.5 on that list. That rule, and forms that reflect the rule, would be amended to provide that all fee waivers cover fees for remote appearances of any kind (not only telephone appearance fees) and at any hearing (not only those authorized under § 367.5). To obtain the waiver of remote appearance fees beyond telephonic appearance fees, current rules require parties to file and courts to rule on a separate request in addition to a request for waiver of court fees. The amendment is particularly relevant in light of the expanded need for remoter appearances resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic.</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects⁵ [Group projects by priority number.]	
	<p>Status/Timeline: The committee intends to have proposed amended rules ready to circulate in the spring 2021 cycle, if not earlier.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff only.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A.</p>	
9.	Remote Evidence at Small Claims Hearings	<p>Priority 1(e)</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal/III and IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary. Act as subject matter experts in efforts to develop best practices for courts to use to facilitate parties’ submitting evidence relevant to remote appearances at small claims hearings. Provide expertise on this issue for ITAC workstream on remote evidence and potentially to Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and Court Executives Advisory Committee as they revise the materials developed by Pandemic Continuity of Operations Working Group. This supports the implementation projects of ITAC and TCPCAC/CEAC.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Work on through 2021.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None beyond AC Collaboration.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Information Technology Advisory Committee and Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee, Court Executives Advisory Committee, Pandemic Continuity of Operations Working Group</p>	
10.	Protective Orders: Redesign of Forms for Self-Represented Litigants	<p>Priority 2b DEFERRED</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals III and IV</p>

#	New or One-Time Projects⁵ [Group projects by priority number.]	
	<p>Project Summary: Work with Protective Order Working Group (<i>under lead of Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee</i>) to revise the protective order forms used in domestic violence and civil cases to ensure they are written in language that is comprehensible to non-attorneys, while maintaining legal accuracy (forms to be consistent across DV and civil restraining order form groups).</p> <p>At the same time, the committee will consider expanding or deleting any items asking for gender on forms CH-100, CH-110, CH-130, EA-100, EA-110, and EA-130. The same considerations will be provided to items on forms GV-100, GV-110, GV-130; SV-100, SV-110, SV-130; and WV-100, WV-110, WV-130 when they are revised the following year.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff, CFCC</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: California Department of Justice. All draft proposals will circulate to seek comments from legal services groups, bar organizations, and court executives and presiding judges throughout the state.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Joint Protective Order Working Group, Family and Juvenile Advisory Committee</p>	
11.	<p>Protective Orders: Continuance of Hearings on Requests to Renew</p>	<p>Priority 2(b) DEFERRED</p> <hr/> <p>Strategic Plan Goals III and IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary: Work with Protective Order Working Group (<i>under lead of Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee</i>) to revise the forms used in domestic violence and civil cases to request and order continuances of hearings in proceedings to renew or termination of protective orders (the CH-700 form series and the parallel forms in the DV, EA, GV, SV, and WV form series).</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff, CFCC</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: California Department of Justice. All draft proposals will circulate to seek comments from legal services groups, bar organizations, and court executives and presiding judges throughout the state.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Joint Protective Order Working Group, Family and Juvenile Advisory Committee</p>	

DRAFT

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁵ [Group projects by priority number.]	
12.	Online ADR in Small Claims Courts	Priority 1 [at direction of Chief Justice] Strategic Plan Goals III and IV
<p>Project Summary In light of the recommendation in the report of the Futures Commission section on streamlining civil litigation, review and evaluate current programs using online ADR programs in small claims court. Working in conjunction with ITAC workstream, which was deferred last year, but has been revived.</p> <p>Status/Timeline Ongoing; slowed down in light of COVID-19 related issues at courts, but still underway because could be helpful to courts in light of need for remote procedures.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A <input type="checkbox"/> The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Courts with current and planned online ADR programs.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Information Technology Advisory Committee</p>		
13.	Review Suggestions for Rules and Forms	Priority 1 Strategic Plan Goal III and IV
<p>Project Summary As mandated by rule 10.21(c), review suggestions from members of the judicial branch and the public for improving civil practice and procedure, court-connected ADR, and case management and recommend actions by the council or one of its committees.</p> <p>Status/Timeline Ongoing; will only take further action upon approval of Rules Committee.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A <input type="checkbox"/> The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A</p> <p>AC Collaboration: as appropriate based on proposal received.</p>		

14.	Review Enacted Legislation	Priority 1
		Strategic Plan Goals II and III
<p>Project Summary Review all enacted legislation referred to the committee by the Judicial Council’s Governmental Affairs office that may have an impact on issues within the advisory committee’s purview and, where appropriate, propose to the council rules and forms to implement the legislation or to bring rules and forms into conformity with it.</p> <p>Status/Timeline Ongoing; will only take further action upon approval of Rules Committee.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A</p> <p>AC Collaboration: as appropriate for specific legislation.</p>		
15.	Provide Subject Matter Expertise	Priority 1
		Strategic Plan Goal III
<p>Project Summary Serve as subject matter resource for other advisory groups to avoid duplication of efforts and contribute to the development of recommendations for council action. Such efforts may include providing civil and small claims procedural expertise and review to working groups, advisory committees, and subcommittees as requested</p> <p>Status/Timeline Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A</p> <p>AC Collaboration: as appropriate for project on which advice or consultation requested.</p>		
16.	Rules and Forms: Miscellaneous Technical Changes	Priority 1
		Strategic Plan Goal III
<p>Project Summary: Develop rule and form changes as necessary to correct technical errors meeting the criteria of rule 10.22(d)(2); “a nonsubstantive technical change or correction or a minor substantive change that is unlikely to create controversy....”. For coming year, will only revise forms under this item to ensure forms are correct and in compliance with law or other reasons that fall within Priority 1.</p>		

	<p>Status/Timeline Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>
17.	<p>New Civil Tiers and Streamlined Litigation</p> <p>Priority I [at direction of Chief Justice] DEFERRED</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal III</p>
	<p>Project Summary: Project Summary Assess and develop recommendations to further the “civil tiers” proposal in Report of the Commission on Future of California’s Court System (Futures Commission) for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advancing a legislative proposal for increasing the maximum jurisdictional dollar amounts for limited civil cases to \$50,000 and developing an intermediate civil case tier • Developing streamlined methods for litigating and managing all types of civil cases, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Legislative proposal for including unlawful detainer proceedings within the procedures for limited civil cases, including mandatory expedited jury trials ○ Legislative proposal revising discovery statutes to make discovery proportional to amount at issue (based on civil case tiers), require mandatory early disclosures, and limit number of expert witnesses ○ Amended case management rules and statutes, and amended forms to implement same ○ Legislative proposal to allow partial summary judgments in unlimited cases ○ Legislative proposal, rules, and best practices relating to remote access in certain civil proceedings ○ Increased ADR in all case levels, including, potentially, online ADR for small claims cases <p>Status/Timeline Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Project history.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the 2017-2018 committee year, the committee developed legislative proposals concerning limited civil case jurisdiction and unlawful detainers (which was circulated for public comment in Spring 2018) and concerning changes to civil discovery based on new civil tiers (which was circulated in fall 2018). • During the 2018-2019 year, following review of the comments received on the proposals, the committee concluded that, in light of the strong opposition from bar and legal service organizations, the committee decided not to continue with those proposals at this time. The committee began working on alternative ways to further the recommendations, and focused on the concept of a pilot project, based on voluntary participation of the parties.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 2019-2020, the committee, having identified two courts interested in participating in the pilot project circulated proposed rules and forms for public comment in sprint 2020. However, both courts have had to delay participation in light of issues at their courts relating to COVID-19 pandemic. <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	
18.	<p>Update Deskbook on the Management of Complex Civil Litigation</p> <p>Project Summary Implementation project; charge for work was made to CSCAC by the council at October 22, 1999 meeting in which the council received the report of the Complex Civil Litigation Task Force and voted to adopt the Task Force’s recommendations (see authorization attached; item 3 from the minutes of Judicial Council meeting in October 1999, beginning at page 17).</p> <p>Status/Timeline Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	<p>Priority 2 DEFERRED</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal III</p>
19.	<p>Revision of Judicial Council Forms with a Gender Identity Question or Term</p> <p>Project Summary: The forms within this committee’s purview that include a gendered term or gender identity question are primarily in the Name Change form set. Most of those forms have already been revised to include “nonbinary” along with male or female as a choice for gender identity. Three forms in that set, NC-110, NC-110G, and NC-510G, will be revised in the future, as revisions to the form set are needed due to legislative changes.</p>	<p>Priority 2(b) DEFERRED</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals III and IV See footnote 6</p>

One additional form within the committee's purview, *Jury Questionnaire for Civil Cases* (form JURY-001), includes a question as to the sex of the children of the potential jury member. That form is used frequently, and can be easily revised if appropriate. There are no translated versions.

Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic, except to the extent a form with a gendered term in it is being revised for legislatively mandated reasons.

Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A

The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.

Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate to seek comments from legal services groups, bar organizations, and court executives and presiding judges throughout the state.

AC Collaboration: N/A

II. LIST OF 2019-2020 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements <i>[Provide brief, broad outcome(s) and completed date.]</i>
1.	<i>Implementation of new law re court reporters for fee waiver recipients.</i> Developed new request form and revised information sheet for fee waiver recipients who want to request a court reporter, and rules for the process of doing so. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.956, revised form FW-001-INFO, and new form FW-020 (effective January 1, 2021).)
2.	<i>Video Remote Appearances in Civil Proceedings.</i> Worked with ad hoc joint subcommittee to develop proposed legislation authorizing courts to permit remote appearances. (Proposed Code Civ. Proc. section 367.7 going to council for approval in November 2020.)
3.	<i>Pseudonymous Parties in Civil Cases.</i> To implement the new law allowing Safe at Home Participants to appear pseudonymously in civil actions, developed the mandated Confidential Information Form and a set of forms for a defendant/respondent to ask the court to retroactively seal already-filed documents in which the party's name or other identifiers appear. (New forms SH-001 (effective September 1, 2020); and new forms SH-020, SH-020-INFO SH-022, SH-025, SH-030, SH-032; and SH-035 (effective January 1, 2021).)
4.	<i>Gun Violence Restraining Orders.</i> Revised current and developed new GVRO forms to implement legislation that changed the duration of a GVRO, expanded the categories of those who may seek them, and provided a process for relinquishment of firearms without a hearing. (New form GV-125; revised forms EPO-002, GV-009, GV-020, GV-020-INFO, GV-030, GV-100, GV-100-INFO, GV-109, GV-110, GV-120, GV-120-INFO, GV-130, GV-600, GV-610, GV-620, GV-630, GV-700, and GV-710 (effective September 1, 2020).)
5.	<i>Exemptions to Enforcement of Money Judgments.</i> Revised current writ of execution and other enforcement of judgment forms and developed new ones to implement new law expanding and revising certain exemptions, changing the time frame for claiming exemptions, and providing a process for identifying to which bank account certain exemptions apply. (Revised forms EJ-130, EJ-150, EJ-155, and EJ-156 (effective September 1, 2020); and new forms EJ-157, EJ-157-INFO, EJ-158, and EJ-159 (effective January 1, 2021).)
6.	<i>Unlawful Detainers.</i> Revised unlawful detainer complaint and answer forms to reflect new law prohibiting a landlord for terminating a tenant's possession of property without just cause in certain circumstances and requiring new notice provisions for certain types of termination. (Revised form UD-100 and UD-105 (effective September 1, 2020).)
7.	<i>Protective Orders—Confidential Information Regarding Minors.</i> (Joint project with Family and Juvenile Advisory Committee). Revised protective order rules and forms to reflect change in law expanding the circumstances in which it is permissible to disclose certain otherwise-confidential information in relation to domestic violence and civil harassment protective orders. (Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.1161 and 5.382; adopt forms CH-176, CH-177, CH-178, CH-179, DV-176, DV-177, DV-178, and DV-179; revise forms CH-160, CH-160-INFO, CH-165, CH-170, CH-175, DV-160, DV-160-INFO, DV-165, DV-170, and DV-175 (effective September 1, 2020).)
8.	<i>Protective Orders—Elder and Dependent Adults Abuse.</i> Revised protective order forms to reflect new provisions authorizing a court to order counseling or anger management in elder abuse and dependent adult abuse cases. (Revised forms EA-100, EA-120, and EA-130 (effective January 1, 2020).)
9.	<i>Deskbook for Complex Litigation.</i> Reviewed and approved updates to the Deskbook (August 2020.)

#	Project Highlights and Achievements <i>[Provide brief, broad outcome(s) and completed date.]</i>
10.	<i>Review of Pending Legislation.</i> Reviewed, provided technical assistance on, and made recommendations regarding council position on approximately two dozen bill with potential impact on the civil courts, including several different unlawful detainer bills

DRAFT

Criminal Law Advisory Committee
Annual Agenda¹—2021
Approved by Rules Committee: [Date]

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Brian M. Hoffstadt, Chair; Hon. Lisa Rodriguez, Vice Chair
Lead Staff:	Sarah Fleischer-Ihn, Attorney, Criminal Justice Services Office
Committee's Charge/Membership: Rule 10.42(a) of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Criminal Law Advisory Committee, which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council for improving the administration of justice in criminal proceedings. Rule 10.42(b) sets forth the membership position of the committee. The Criminal Law Advisory Committee currently has 20 voting members. The current committee roster is available on the committee's webpage.	
Subcommittees/Working Groups²: 1. Protective Orders Working Group (POWG)	
Meetings Planned for 2021³ (Advisory body and all subcommittees and working groups) Date/Time/Location or Teleconference: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• January 2021 (in person if permitted; otherwise teleconference to discuss and review spring cycle proposals)• February/March 2021 (teleconference to discuss and review spring cycle proposals and discuss pending legislation)• April 2021 (teleconference to discuss pending legislation)• May 2021 (teleconference to discuss pending legislation)• June 2021 (teleconference to discuss pending legislation)	

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

³ Refer to [Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies](#) for governance on in-person meetings.

- July 2021 (teleconference to make final recommendations on spring cycle proposals and discuss pending legislation)
- August 2021 (teleconference to discuss pending legislation)
- September 2021 (teleconference to discuss pending legislation)
- November 2021 (teleconference to discuss spring cycle proposals)
- Other teleconference meetings as needed to address urgent items

Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.

DRAFT

COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴
1.	<p data-bbox="176 280 1121 315">Implementation of SB 10, pretrial release or detention: pretrial services</p> <p data-bbox="1619 280 1797 315">Priority 1(a)⁵</p> <p data-bbox="1619 337 1934 404">Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</p>
<p data-bbox="176 431 1934 537">Project Summary⁷: Develop rules of court to implement SB 10, pretrial release or detention: pretrial services (Hertzberg; Stats. 2018, ch. 244) and assist criminal courts with any required implementation. The legislation requires the Judicial Council to adopt rules of court for implementation.</p> <p data-bbox="176 578 1955 716">Status/Timeline: Referendum 1856 (18-0009), Referendum to Overturn a 2018 Law That Replaced Money Bail System with a System Based on Public Safety Risk, qualified for the November 2020 ballot, after being certified by the Secretary of State on January 16, 2019. If approved by the voters, the bill would go into effect October 1, 2021, and it is anticipated that the rule proposals will be effective by that date.</p> <p data-bbox="176 764 1881 831">Fiscal Impact/Resources: It is anticipated that the rules proposal will provide useful guidance to courts regarding implementation of certain essential elements of pretrial release and will not, in and of themselves, have substantial costs or operational impact.</p> <p data-bbox="176 846 1902 912"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p data-bbox="176 954 905 989">Internal/External Stakeholders: Justice system partners</p> <p data-bbox="176 1029 506 1063">AC Collaboration: None</p>	

⁴ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

⁵ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or a amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or a voids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁶ Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

⁷ A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or “end of action” to be achieved for the coming year.

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
2.	Revise Plea Form, With Explanations and Waiver of Rights—Felony (form CR-101), regarding immigration advisement	Priority 1(a), 1(f)⁵ Strategic Plan Goal III⁶
<p>Project Summary⁷: The committee will consider amending the felony plea form’s immigration advisement in light of <i>People v. Ruiz</i> (2020) 49 Cal.App.5th 1061.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Justice system partners</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>		
3.	Revise Order for Commitment (Sexually Violent Predator) (form CR-173)	Priority 1(a)⁵ Strategic Plan Goal III⁶
<p>Project Summary⁷: Revise form CR-173 to reflect statutory changes to Welfare and Institutions Code sections 6600 and 6604 and replace gender specific pronouns.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Justice system partners</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
4.	<i>Develop a rule of court on proper notice of withdrawal of a time waiver under Penal Code section 1382</i>	<i>Priority 1(b), 1(d)⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III⁶</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Develop a rule of court on proper notice of a withdrawal of a time waiver under Penal Code section 1382, as requested by the First District in <i>Daws v. Superior Court</i> (2019) 42 Cal.App.5th 81.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: Justice system partners</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: None</p>		
5.	<i>Develop forms to implement AB 2147, Convictions: expungement: incarcerated individual hand crews</i>	<i>Priority 1(b)⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Develop forms to implement AB 2147, which grants criminal record relief to persons who have fought fires while incarcerated.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Anticipated effective date of September 1, 2021</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: Justice system partners</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: None</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
6.	<i>Develop proposals and recommendations to assist criminal courts during and following the COVID-19 pandemic</i>	<i>Priority 1(d), 1(e), 1(f)⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Develop proposals and recommendations to assist criminal courts during and following the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: None <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: Justice system partners</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: None</p>		
7.	<i>Develop a proposal on remote video criminal proceedings</i>	<i>Priority 1(d)⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Due to COVID-19, courts have successfully used technology to allow parties to appear remotely for criminal proceedings. This project would explore developing legislation or rules to allow courts to continue holding remote video criminal proceedings.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022-23</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: None <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: Justice system partners</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: Information Technology Advisory Committee</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
8.	Revise Criminal Protective Order – Domestic Violence (form CR-160), Criminal Protective Order – Other Than Domestic Violence (form CR-161), and Order to Surrender Firearms in Domestic Violence Case (form CR-162).	Priority 1(f)⁵, Deferred Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶
<p>Project Summary⁷: Consider revising the protective order forms to 1) increase accessibility; 2) change references to the sex of the restrained person to gender, and include a nonbinary gender option; 3) add additional identification data fields for protected persons; 4) add additional identification data fields for animals granted to the protected person for care, possession, and control; and 5) reflect the change in law prioritizing enforcement of protective orders in pending cases involving specified sex offenses and offenses requiring sex offender registration.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Justice system partners</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee</p>		
9.	Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.117, qualifications for appointed counsel in capital cases	Priority 1(e)⁵, Deferred Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶
<p>Project Summary⁷: The committee may consider amending rule 4.117 to clarify whether qualified counsel must be appointed when special circumstances are charged but before the district attorney announces an intent to seek the death penalty.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Justice system partners</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴	
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> None	
10.	<i>Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.150, change of venue</i>	<i>Priority 2(a)⁵, Deferred</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III⁶</i>
<p>Project Summary⁷: The committee may consider amending rule 4.150 in light of <i>Ashmus v. Superior Court</i> (2019) 42 Cal.App.5th 1120. In <i>Ashmus</i>, the First District implied that the rule of court on change of venue may conflict with Penal Code section 1509 to the extent the rule suggests that a habeas petition should be transferred to a court other than “the court which imposed the sentence.”...</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Justice system partners</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i> None</p>		
11.	<i>Revise Motion to Vacate Conviction or Sentence (form CR-187)</i>	<i>Priority 2(a)⁵, Deferred</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</i>
<p>Project Summary⁷: The committee may consider revising form CR-187 to add a box for a detained pro per defendant to request the appointment of counsel under <i>People v. Fryhatt</i> (2019) 35 Cal.App.5th 969. This revision was requested by the Immigration Law Resource Center.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Justice system partners, advocacy groups</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> None	
12.	<i>Model colloquy regarding a defendant’s waiver of a jury trial in favor of a bench trial</i>	<i>Priority 2(a)⁵, Deferred</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III⁶</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷:</i> The committee may consider developing a rule of court or other resource containing a model colloquy that explains the nature of a defendant’s jury trial right when a defendant waives a jury in favor of a bench trial, as noted in <i>People v. Sivongxxay</i> (2017) 3 Cal.5th 151, 169–70. Staff to the California Supreme Court requested the committee take further study of this issue.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline:</i> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i> None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> California Supreme Court</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i> None</p>		
13.	<i>Revise Order to Attend Court or Provide Documents (Subpoena/Subpoena Duces Tecum) (form CR-125/JV-525)</i>	<i>Priority 2(a)⁵, Deferred</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal III⁶</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷:</i> The committee may consider incorporating alternative delivery options provided in Penal Code section 1328d and separating the criminal form from the juvenile form.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline:</i> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i> None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> Justice system partners</p>		

# New or One-Time Projects ⁴					
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> None				
14.	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><i>Adopt a rule of court to establish procedures for resentencing recommendations under Penal Code section 1170(d)(1).</i></td> <td><i>Priority 2(a)⁵, Deferred</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>Strategic Plan Goal III⁶</i></td> </tr> </table> <p>Project Summary⁷: The committee may consider developing a rule of court on procedures for handling section 1170(d)(1) resentencing recommendations.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Justice system partners, advocacy groups</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i> None</p>	<i>Adopt a rule of court to establish procedures for resentencing recommendations under Penal Code section 1170(d)(1).</i>	<i>Priority 2(a)⁵, Deferred</i>		<i>Strategic Plan Goal III⁶</i>
<i>Adopt a rule of court to establish procedures for resentencing recommendations under Penal Code section 1170(d)(1).</i>	<i>Priority 2(a)⁵, Deferred</i>				
	<i>Strategic Plan Goal III⁶</i>				

# Ongoing Projects and Activities ⁴					
1.	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><i>Implementation of SB 384 (Stats. 2017, ch. 541), Sex offenders: registration: criminal offender record information systems</i></td> <td><i>Priority 1, 1(b)⁵</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</i></td> </tr> </table> <p>Project Summary⁷: Develop forms to implement SB 384, which, in relevant part, establishes three tiers of sex offender registration based on specified criteria and a petition process to request termination from the registry upon completion of a mandated minimum registration period under specified conditions. The proposal was suggested by the California Department of Justice. Assist criminal courts with any required implementation.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipated effective date of July 1, 2021 for forms</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: The proposed forms are intended to mitigate workload burdens by streamlining some of this process and providing greater thoroughness and consistency in the presentation of the relevant information. Expected costs include training, case management system updates, and the production of new forms.</p>	<i>Implementation of SB 384 (Stats. 2017, ch. 541), Sex offenders: registration: criminal offender record information systems</i>	<i>Priority 1, 1(b)⁵</i>		<i>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</i>
<i>Implementation of SB 384 (Stats. 2017, ch. 541), Sex offenders: registration: criminal offender record information systems</i>	<i>Priority 1, 1(b)⁵</i>				
	<i>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</i>				

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i> Internal/External Stakeholders: Information Technology, California Department of Justice AC Collaboration: None	
2.	Review recently enacted legislation	Priority 1⁵ Strategic Plan Goal III⁶
	Project Summary⁷: Review enacted legislation that may have an impact on criminal court administration and propose rules and forms as may be appropriate for implementation of the legislation. Status/Timeline: Ongoing Fiscal Impact/Resources: None <input type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i> Internal/External Stakeholders: Governmental Affairs AC Collaboration: None	
3.	Review pending legislation	Priority 1⁵ Strategic Plan Goal III⁶
	Project Summary⁷: Review pending criminal law legislation and make recommendations as to whether the Judicial Council should support or oppose the legislation. Provide subject matter expertise on pending criminal law legislation. Status/Timeline: Ongoing Fiscal Impact/Resources: None <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
	<p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Governmental Affairs</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	
4.	<p>Criminal justice and mental health</p> <p>Project Summary⁷: Review pending legislation related to criminal justice and mental health, make recommendations as to whether the Judicial Council should support or oppose the legislation, and provide subject matter expertise on pending criminal justice and mental health legislation and related issues.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Governmental Affairs</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee</p>	<p>Priority 1⁵</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</p>
5.	<p>Provide subject matter expertise for other advisory committees</p> <p>Project Summary⁷: Provide subject matter expertise for other advisory committees and working groups developing proposals involving or relevant to criminal law and procedure.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p>	<p>Priority 2⁵</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal III⁶</p>

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
	<p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Governmental Affairs</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Judicial Council advisory committees and working groups</p>	
6.	<p>Participate in the Protective Orders Working Group</p>	<p>Priority 1⁵</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal III, IV⁶</p>
<p>Project Summary⁷:</p> <p>Continue participation in the Protective Orders Working Group, which assists in ensuring consistency and uniformity in the different protective orders used in family, juvenile, civil, criminal, and probate proceedings, and helps to develop and update protective order forms and rules of court.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Rules and Projects Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee, Information Technology Advisory Committee</p>		

II. LIST OF 2020 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	<p>Implementation of SB 384 (Stats. 2017, ch. 541), Sex offenders: registration: criminal offender record information systems. The committee circulated for public comment a proposal to adopt four mandatory forms and approve an information sheet related to the petition process to request termination from the sex offender registry upon completion of a mandated minimum registration period. Based on changes made due to the comments provided and legislation amending the implementing statute, the committee is recirculating the forms for public comment in the fall.</p>
2.	<p>Amend California Rules of Court, rule 4.452, determinate sentence consecutive to prior determinate sentence. It is anticipated that at its September 2020 meeting, the Judicial Council will approve amendments to rule 4.452 to (1) clarify that certain provisions apply only to sentences under Penal Code section 1170(h), (2) add procedures for when a subsequent court sentences a defendant to state prison when the prior sentence was under section 1170(h), and (3) clarify that subsequent courts may not increase the custody or mandatory supervision portion of the sentence imposed by the previous court.</p>
3.	<p>Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.530, intercounty transfer of probation and mandatory supervision. It is anticipated that at its September 2020 meeting, the Judicial Council will amend rule 4.530 to allow electronic transmission of court files from the transferring court to the receiving court and allow only the receiving court to make certified copies of court records. The committee also discussed amending the rule to address notification of disposition changes between receiving and transferring courts, but concluded that a legislative amendment would be more effective. The committee developed a legislative proposal that circulated for public comment, which is anticipated for Judicial Council approval at its November 2020 meeting.</p>
4.	<p>Revise ignition interlock forms. It is anticipated that at its September 2020 meeting, the Judicial Council will approve revisions to the six criminal forms implementing ignition interlock device requirements to conform to statutory changes, increase clarity and usability, and make nonsubstantive technical changes.</p>
5.	<p>Form proposal to implement recently enacted legislation (AB 1793, 1073). The committee circulated for public comment a proposal to revise six criminal forms used to petition for dismissals and reductions of convictions and request sealing of arrest records to reflect statutory changes allowing for automatic record relief. The committee is not recommending Judicial Council approval at this time because of a statutory change delaying the effective date of an implementing statute.</p>
6.	<p>Form proposal to implement recently enacted legislation (SB 136, AB 1261, SB 1210, SB 1021). It is anticipated that at its September 2020 meeting, the Judicial Council will approve revisions to <i>Plea Form, With Explanations and Waiver of Rights—Felony</i> (form CR-101) to conform to multiple statutory changes that have added or changed relevant sentencing requirements and advisements.</p>

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
7.	<i>Form proposal to implement recently enacted legislation (AB 1812).</i> The committee circulated for public comment a proposal to adopt a new rule of court establishing procedures for resentencing recommendations under Penal Code section 1170(d)(1). The committee is not recommending Judicial Council approval at this time due to the lack of clarity around certain statutory provisions.
8.	<i>Pending criminal legislation.</i> The committee provided subject matter expertise or a recommended position on numerous pending criminal law bills, including AB 3070 , Juries: peremptory challenges and challenges for cause; AB 1910 , Firearms: prohibited persons; AB 1983 , SVP civil commitment: probable cause hearing; AB 2105 , Criminal procedure: competent to stand trial; AB 2147 , Convictions: expungement: inmate hand crews; AB 2339 , Deferred entry of judgment; AB 2351 , Drug courts: mental health and addiction services; AB 2645 , Abused animals: advocates; SB 1133 , Peremptory challenges; AB 2200/AB 2542 , Criminal procedure: discrimination; AB 2978 , Department of Justice: arrest and conviction records: review; AB 3348 , Public records; SB 1023 , Sexually violent predators: open court proceedings; SB 1045 , Criminal records: sealing; SB 1076 , Factual innocence: compensation and services; SB 1226 , Criminal procedure: DNA evidence; AB 1950 , Probation: length of terms; AB 2512 : Death penalty: person with an intellectual disability; and SB 315 ; Criminal procedure: COVID-19 Alternative Adjudication Program.
9.	<i>Provide subject matter expertise for other advisory groups.</i> The committee provided subject matter expertise to the Information Technology Advisory Committee on remote proceedings.

Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee
Annual Agenda¹—2021
Approved by Rules Committee:

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Jerilyn Borack and Hon. Mark A. Juhas, Co-chairs
Lead Staff:	Ms. Tracy Kenny and Ms. Audrey Fancy, Co-lead Staff; Ms. Amanda Morris, Administrative Coordinator, Center for Families, Children & the Courts
<p>Committee’s Charge/Membership: Rule 10.43. Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council for improving the administration of justice in all cases involving marriage, family, or children. Rule 10.43. Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee sets forth additional duties of the committee.</p> <p>The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee currently has 36 voting members and one advisory member. The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee website provides the composition of the committee.</p>	
<p>Subcommittees/Working Groups²:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Protective Order Working Group (POWG) 2. Violence Against Women Education Program (VAWEP) 	
<p>Meetings Planned for 2021³: The committee meets by teleconference each second and fourth Monday of the Month from 4:30 to 5:30 unless a meeting is not required and has one in person meeting per year. VAWEP meets twice a year, typically once in person and once by teleconference.</p>	

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

³ Refer to [Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies](#) for governance on in-person meetings.

II. COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴	
1.	Legislative Changes from the 2019-2020 Legislative Session	Priority 1 ⁵
		Strategic Plan Goal IV ⁶
<p>Project Summary: As directed by the Judicial Council, review legislation identified by Governmental Affairs that may have an impact on family and juvenile law issues within the advisory committee’s purview. The committee will review the legislation below, and any other identified legislation, and propose rules and forms as may be appropriate for the council’s consideration and will take action only where necessary to allow courts to implement the legislation efficiently.</p> <p><u>Domestic Violence:</u></p> <p>a. AB 2517 (Gloria) Domestic violence: personal property and liens (Ch. 245, Stats. Of 2020) Authorizes courts, beginning January 1, 2022, to make a finding in a domestic violence restraining order issued after notice and a hearing that specific debts were incurred as a result of domestic violence.</p> <p>b. SB 1141 (Rubio) Domestic violence: coercive control (Ch. 248, Stats. of 2020) Expands the definition of “disturbing the peace” for which a court may issue a restraining order under the Domestic Violence Prevention Act to include, among other things, coercive control.</p> <p><u>Family:</u></p> <p>c. AB 2325 (Carrillo) Child support: suspension (Ch. 217, Stats. of 2020) Reestablishes, until January 1, 2023, a program to suspend a parent’s obligation to pay child support if the parent is incarcerated or involuntarily institutionalized, unless they have the means to pay or are incarcerated for domestic violence.</p> <p>d. AB 2338 (Weber) Courts: contempt orders (Ch. 283, Stats. of 2020)</p>		

⁴ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

⁵ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or a amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or a voids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁶ Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴
	<p>Clarifies that an alternative punishment of probation or a conditional sentence is available for parties found in contempt of a family law court order or judgement.</p> <p><u>Juvenile Dependency:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> e. <u>AB 1929 (Rubio) Child abuse and neglect reporting (Ch. 242, Stats. of 2020)</u> Authorizes any county welfare agency to develop and implement a system for internet-based reporting of child abuse and neglect. The internet-based reporting system may receive reports from any mandated reporter of suspected child abuse or neglect, but may not be used if there is an indication that the child is at an immediate risk or in imminent danger of severe harm or death. f. <u>AB 2741 (Rubio) Children’s advocacy centers (Ch. 343, Stats. of 2020)</u> Authorizes counties to create Child Advocacy Centers to implement a coordinated multidisciplinary approach to investigative reports of child abuse. g. <u>AB 2805 (Eggman) Juveniles: reunification (Ch. 356, Stats. of 2020)</u> Expands the scope of evidence that a court may consider when determining whether to order reunification services for a child who has been made a dependent of the juvenile court because the child, before reaching five years of age, was the victim of severe physical abuse by a parent or by any person known by the parent h. <u>AB 2944 (Stone) Foster care (Ch. 104, Stats. of 2020)</u> Adopts changes to further facilitate implementation of the Continuum of Care Reform, specifically as it relates to flexibility for resource families, reference checks for approval of resource family applicants, forfeiture of a group home license, and the California Department of Social Services rate setting authority, among other changes. i. <u>AB 3364 (Judiciary) Judiciary omnibus (Ch. 36, Stats. Of 2020)</u> Among other provisions, provides that, in administering the request-for-proposal process for the Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) program the Judicial Council may, allow for local matching funds or in-kind funds that are less than equal to the amount requested. Additionally, clarifies that court files in Title IV-D cases are not confidential, and eliminates the sunset date on the current low income adjustment for child support calculations. <p><u>Juvenile Justice:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> j. <u>AB 901 (Gipson) Juveniles (Ch. 323, Stats. of 2020)</u>

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴
	<p>Repeals the jurisdiction of the juvenile criminal court over minors who habitually refuse to obey the reasonable and proper orders or directions of school authorities.</p> <p>k. <u>AB 2321 (Jones-Sawyer) Juvenile court records: access (Ch. 329, Stats. of 2020)</u> Authorizes a judge or prosecutor to access specified sealed juvenile records for the limited purpose of certifying victim helpfulness on specified forms required in order to apply for a U- or T-Visa.</p> <p>l. <u>AB 2425 (Stone) Juvenile police records (Ch. 330, Stats. of 2020)</u> Limits the ability of a law enforcement agency to release a copy of a juvenile police record, as specified, and to prohibit the release of information by the arresting law enforcement agency when a juvenile has successfully completed a program of diversion or supervision.</p> <p>m. <u>SB 203 (Bradford) Juveniles: custodial interrogation (Ch. 335, Stats. of 2020)</u> Expands and extends protections for minors prior to custodial interrogation by law enforcement.</p> <p>n. <u>SB 823 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review) Juvenile justice realignment: Office of Youth and Community Restoration (Ch. 337, Stats. of 2020)</u> Implements changes to realign responsibility for all juvenile court commitments from the state to the counties thereby eliminating the Division of Juvenile Justice as a commitment option as of July 1, 2021.</p> <p>o. <u>SB 1126 (Jones) Juvenile court records (Ch. 338, Stats. of 2020)</u> Allows sealed juvenile records to be accessed, inspected, or used by the probation department, the district attorney, counsel for the minor, and the court for the purpose of assessing the minor's mental competency in a subsequent juvenile proceeding if the issue of competency has been raised.</p> <p>p. <u>SB 1290 (Durazo) Juveniles: costs (Ch. 340, Stats. of 2020)</u> Vacates certain county-assessed or court-ordered costs imposed before January 1, 2018, against parents and guardians of youth subject to the juvenile delinquency system and against persons aged 18 to 21 subject to the criminal justice system.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Any rules and forms proposals required to implement legislation enacted in 2020 will be prepared for the Winter or Spring public comment cycles in 2021 as appropriate with anticipated effective dates of either September 1, 2021 or January 1, 2022.</p>

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
	<p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: CFCC staff, in consultation with staff from the Legal Services will prepare revised rules and forms as needed. Joint Rules Subcommittee of Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executive Advisory Committees (TCPJAC/CEAC JRS) will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: All draft proposals will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: For proposals that impact family and civil courts, the committee will collaborate with the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee. For proposals impacting tribal courts, the committee will collaborate with the Tribal Court–State Court Forum. For proposals impacting the Courts of Appeal, the committee will collaborate with the Appellate Advisory Committee.</p>	
2.	Develop guidance and tools to assist courts in addressing COVID-19 related challenges	Priority 1a
	<p>Project Summary: Develop proposals and recommendations to assist family and juvenile courts during and following the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing during state of emergency related to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Department of Social Services, Department of Child Support Services, Family Court Services Directors, Chief Probation Officers of California, County Welfare Directors Association</p> <p>AC Collaboration: TCPJAC/CEAC, Pandemic Continuity of Operations Working Group</p>	

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
3.	AB 1058 Program Rule and Statutory Changes to Implement Guideline Study and Federal Rule and Forms Changes	<i>Priority 1a</i>
<p><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></p> <p>Project Summary: The Judicial Council submitted its statutorily required quadrennial child support guideline review to the legislature in 2019 and staff has been working with the Department of Child Support Services and other key stakeholders to discuss what changes might be needed to statute, rules of court, and forms to implement the recommendations in the report, including revising the Income Withholding for Support (IWO) form and instructions, as these forms are periodically updated by the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement. This effort will also consider needed changes to implement recently issued changes in federal regulations governing the Title IV-D program which funds child support enforcement and the AB 1058 program. It is expected that proposals for rules and form changes will be suggested and that legislation will be introduced for the council to review and comment on. This process may be informed by COVID-19 related Supplemental Reporting Language adopted during the 2020-2021 Budget. In addition, make recommendations to the Judicial Council regarding the observations contained in the 2022 quadrennial child support guideline review.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipated effective date of September 1, 2021 or January 1, 2022 for rules and forms, and introduction of legislation in 2021 for review. TCPJAC/CEAC JRS will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services and Government Affairs; TCPJAC/CEAC JRS will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: California Department of Child Support Services</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>		
4.	Family Law: Update Statutory References in FL-165 and FL-620	<i>Priority 1b</i>
<p><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></p> <p>Project Summary: Update federal statutory references in item 5, Declaration of nonmilitary status, on the <i>Request to Enter Default (Family Law-Uniform Parentage)</i> (FL-165) and item 3 <i>Request to Enter Default Judgment (Governmental)</i> (FL-620) and consider whether the current affidavit language is legally sufficient. Because the legal accuracy of the forms is in question, the committee needs to act to correct these forms.</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
	<p>Status/Timeline: Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	
5.	Remote Video Appearances in Noncriminal Proceedings Workstream	Priority 2
	<p>Strategic Plan Goal VI</p> <p>Project Summary: Provide input and feedback to the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) as it seeks legislation and amended rules of court to allow for remote video appearances in civil proceedings.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services, Government Affairs <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders:</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Information Technology Advisory Committee, Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
1.	Proposition 57 and SB 1391	Priority 1 Strategic Plan Goal IV
<p>Project Summary: Monitor implementation of a recently enacted propositions and legislation, and assist juvenile courts with any required implementation: Proposition 57 enacted November 8, 2016 restructured the process for transfer of jurisdiction from juvenile to criminal court and eliminated the ability of prosecutors to directly file cases in criminal court. SB 1391 limited the transfer of youth to those age 16 and over or who are arrested after the age of juvenile court jurisdiction. The California Supreme Court granted review in a case decided in October 2019 (<i>O.G. v. Superior Court</i>, 40 Cal.App.5th 626 (2019)) that held that SB 1391 was enacted in violation of Proposition 57 and thus a rules and forms proposal to implement the legislation that was enacted by the council on September 24, 2019, was rescinded by the council on November 25, 2019. If the court upholds SB 1391, it is expected that the proposal would move forward as approved by the council in 2019. If the court strikes down SB 1391, no action will be required.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Criminal Justice Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Criminal Law Advisory Committee</p>		
2.	Family First Prevention Services Act Implementation	Priority 1 Strategic Plan Goal II
<p>Project Summary: Monitor implementation of the Family First Prevention Services Act (FFPSA), which reforms federal child welfare financing streams, Title IV-E and Title IV-B of the Social Security Act, to provide services to families who are at risk of entering the child welfare system. The committee may be asked to provide input on required changes to California law or to develop rules and forms.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services and Government Affairs; TCPJAC/CEAC JRS will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: California Department of Social Services, Chief Probation Officers of California, Child Welfare Directors Association</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	
3.	Indian Child Welfare Act Legal Updates	Priority 1
	<p>Project Summary: Monitor implementation of rules and forms created pursuant to AB 3176 (Waldron) Indian children. Assembly Bill 3176 updates the Indian Child Welfare Act provisions in the Welfare and Institutions Code to comply with recent Federal Bureau of Indian Affairs regulations.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022 for any required rules and forms. TCPJAC/CEAC JRS will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Tribal Court–State Court Forum</p>	
4.	Assembly Bill 1058 Child Support Program Funding	Priority 1
	<p>Project Summary: As directed by the council, provide recommendations to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee regarding a new funding methodology for the Family Law Facilitator program, review the implementation of the workload-based funding methodology for the AB 1058 Child Support Commissioners program, including its impact on the performance of the program as federally mandated, and make a</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p>recommendation for sufficient funding that provides a minimum service level for smaller courts for FY 2021–22. Make recommendations to TCBAC regarding the continued reallocation of funds in FY 2021–22. Provide recommendations to the council for allocation of federal draw down funding for courts who are able to provide matching funds to obtain additional Title IV-D funds for the AB 1058 program.⁷</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and Court Executives Advisory Committee</p>	
5.	<p>Access to Visitation Funding and Legislative Report</p>	<p>Priority 1</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal VII</p>
	<p>Project Summary: Provide recommendations to the council for allocation of funding pursuant to Family Code section 3204. Additionally, the committee will provide the council with the statutorily mandated legislative report on the program due every other year.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	

⁷ See Judicial Council report, January 15, 2019, *Child Support: AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program Funding Allocation*, <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6953308&GUID=A6F15A78-08B6-42DA-8826-19A6AF0B7CB1>.

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
6.	Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) grants program Funding Augmentation and Program Oversight (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 100 et seq.)	<i>Priority 1</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i>
<p>Project Summary: Evaluate impact of \$500,000 augmentation received beginning fiscal year 18-19 and develop recommendation to the Judicial Council for an ongoing methodology for these funds and any other needed changes to support CASA programs.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>		
7.	Domestic Violence	<i>Priority 1</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i>
<p>Project Summary: Provide recommendations to the council on statewide judicial branch domestic violence issues in the area of family and juvenile law, including projects referred from the work of the Domestic Violence Practice and Procedure Task Force and the Violence Against Women Education Program (VAWEP). Serve as lead committee for Protective Orders Working Group (POWG). In 2021 the committee will narrow its focus to required activities like overseeing VAWEP due to the pandemic.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Criminal Justice Services, Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> Civil Small Claims Advisory Committee, Criminal Law Advisory Committee	
8.	Legislation	Priority 1
	Strategic Plan Goal II	
9.	Education	Priority 1
	Strategic Plan Goal V	
	<p>Project Summary: Contribute to planning efforts in support of family and juvenile law judicial branch education.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: CJER</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: CJER Governing Committee</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
10.	Review approval of training providers under 5.210, 5.225, 5.230, and 5.518.	Priority 1 Strategic Plan Goal V
<p>Project Summary: Training providers/courses are reviewed for compliance with these rules by Judicial Council staff, in consultation with the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee. As directed by the Judicial Council, result of review of delegations.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Support Services, Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>		
11.	FL-800 Joint Petition for Summary Dissolution	Priority 1 Strategic Plan Goal IV
<p>Project Summary: Update to reflect change in cost of living per Family Code section 2400(b) as a technical change.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing requirement to adjust every other year, next adjustment to be effective September 1, 2021 (last adjustment approved by the Judicial Council 3/19/19 in a technical report).</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
12.	Advise on Distribution of Federal Title IV-E Reimbursement for Dependency Counsel	Priority 1a Strategic Plan Goal VII
<p>Project Summary: A change in the federal <i>Child Welfare Policy Manual</i> permits attorneys who provide dependency representation to claim reimbursement for specified legal activities. The Budget Act of 2019 supports this federal reimbursement with an increase of \$34 million, and ongoing funds in future years, for court appointed dependency counsel. The Council recently authorized distribution of these funds to court appointed dependency counsel statewide.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services; BAPS</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: CEAC Subcommittee, California Department of Social Services, Child Welfare Directors Association, Court Appointed Counsel in Dependency Providers</p> <p>AC Collaboration: TCPJAC/CEAC</p>		
13.	Serve as subject matter resource for other advisory groups to avoid duplication of efforts and contribute to development of recommendations for council action.	Priority 1d Strategic Plan Goal IV
<p>Project Summary: Such efforts may include providing family and juvenile law expertise and review to working groups, advisory committees, and subcommittees as needed.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i> Internal/External Stakeholders: None AC Collaboration: Respective advisory bodies	
14.	Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed-Counsel Workload	Priority 1e Strategic Plan Goal IV
	Project Summary: As referred by the council , begin fulfilling the Judicial Council’s charge to “Consider a comprehensive update of the attorney workload data and time standards in the current workload model” by monitoring and assessing the impact of the new funding provided for court-appointed dependency counsel in the 2017-18 Budget Act. Status/Timeline: Ongoing Fiscal Impact/Resources: Budget Services <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i> Internal/External Stakeholders: None AC Collaboration: None	
15.	Judicial Council forms within the committee’s purview that have a gender identity question or term	Priority 2 Strategic Plan Goal I
	Project Summary: Revise all gendered terms or gender identity questions to conform to legislative changes providing for nonbinary gender identity if those forms are being revised for other reasons. Status/Timeline: Ongoing with each RUPRO cycle	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	
16.	Rules and Forms: Miscellaneous Technical Changes	Priority 2
	Strategic Plan Goal IV	
	<p>Project Summary: Develop rule and form changes as necessary to correct technical errors meeting the criteria of rule 10.22(d)(2); “a nonsubstantive technical change or correction or a minor substantive change that is unlikely to create controversy....” if those forms are being revised for other reasons.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	
17.	Juvenile Law: Intercounty Transfers	Priority 2
	Strategic Plan Goal II	
	<p>Project Summary: Review requests under rule 5.610(g) to approve local collaborative agreements for alternative juvenile court transfer forms in lieu of JV-550. This project originated from the Judicial Council Delegations to the Administrative Director of the Courts (October 25, 2013).</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	
18.	<p>Protective Order Forms: Continuance form for Renewal of Protective Order</p>	<p>Priority 1e Deferred</p>
	<p>Strategic Plan Goal IV</p> <p>Project Summary: As lead committee for Protective Orders Working Group (POWG), work with Civil Small Claims Advisory Committee to consider whether to adopt a form to request a continuance in a DVPA proceeding to renew a protective order.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: California Department of Justice</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee</p>	
19.	<p>Protective Order Forms: Self-Represented Litigants</p>	<p>Priority 1e Deferred</p>
	<p>Strategic Plan Goal I</p> <p>Project Summary: As lead committee for Protective Orders Working Group (POWG), work with Civil Small Claims Advisory Committee to revise the protective order forms used in domestic violence and civil to ensure they are written in language that is comprehensible to non-attorneys, while maintaining legal accuracy.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: California Department of Justice</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee</p>	
20.	<p>Blue Ribbon Commission on Children in Foster Care (BRC) Recommendations</p>	<p>Priority 1 Deferred</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary: Continue to provide Judicial Council members input on council accepted recommendations concerning child welfare made by the BRC.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	
21.	<p>Family Law: Elkins Family Law Task Force recommendations</p>	<p>Priority 1 Deferred</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goal IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary: Continue to provide Judicial Council members input on council accepted recommendations for family law issues addressed by the Elkins Family Law Task Force.</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	
22.	Referrals from the Commission on the Future of California’s Court System	<p>Priority 1 Deferred</p> <hr/> <p>Strategic Plan Goal IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary: The Futures Commission made recommendations for significant reform in family and juvenile law. If those recommendations are referred to the committee it would review them and determine the next steps needed for implementation. See Letter from Chief Justice to Judicial Council internal committee chairs, May 17, 2017</p> <p>Family Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Provide mediation without recommendations as the first step in resolving all child custody disputes. b) Explore through pilot projects or otherwise whether additional services, including tiered mediation, would be effective in complex or contentious cases. <p>Juvenile Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Establish a single juvenile court with consolidated jurisdiction over all juvenile court matters. b) Provide courts with jurisdiction over children and parents in all juvenile cases and provide children and parents counsel when appropriate. c) Test these proposals via pilot programs in a diverse set of courts <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services, Governmental Affairs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> None</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i> None</p>	
23.	<p>Consider Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force Referrals</p>	<p><i>Priority 1b</i> <i>Deferred</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></p>
<p>Project Summary: Coordinate with Judicial Council staff and other advisory committees on developing and implementing recommendations to improve access and procedures in mental health proceedings, including review and consideration of implementation of select recommendations referred by the Judicial Council following the task force’s final report to the council. Recommend appropriate action within the committee’s purview. As referred by the council.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services, Criminal Justice Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee, Criminal Law Advisory Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee</p>		
24.	<p>Court Coordination and Efficiencies</p>	<p><i>Priority 2</i> <i>Deferred</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></p>
<p>Project Summary: Review promising practices that enhance coordination and increase efficient use of resources across case types involving families and children including review of unified court implementation possibilities, court coordination protocols, and methods for addressing legal mandates for domestic violence coordination to provide recommendations for education content and related policy efforts.</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: None</p>	
25.	<p>Court coordination and allegations of child abuse and neglect</p>	<p>Priority 2 Deferred</p> <hr/> <p>Strategic Plan Goal IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary: A proposal to work collaboratively with Probate and Mental Health as well as the Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness on issues related to court coordination and allegations of child abuse and neglect in guardianship cases.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: None</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee</p>	
26.	<p>Protective Orders: Access to the California Protective Order Registry</p>	<p>Priority 1b Deferred</p> <hr/> <p>Strategic Plan Goal VI</p>

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities
	<p>Project Summary: As lead committee for Protective Orders Working Group (POWG), work with Civil Small Claims Advisory Committee to examine the need for statewide guidance and policies on access to the California Protective Order Registry (CCPOR).</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: California Department of Justice</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee</p>

III. LIST OF 2020 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	<p>Implementation of Legislative Changes from the 2018-2019 Legislative Session (Completed by September 1, 2020 or January 1, 2021) requiring rule or form changes.</p> <p>As directed by the Judicial Council, reviewed legislation identified by Governmental Affairs that may have an impact on family and juvenile law issues within the advisory committee’s purview. The committee reviewed legislation and proposed rules and forms as appropriate for the council’s consideration.</p> <p><u>Family:</u></p> <p>Family Law: Implementation of Assembly Bills 677 and 1373 Regarding Adoptions Effective January 1, 2021 adopt a new rule of court and revisions to a chapter title in title 5 of the California Rules of Court, in addition to amendments to adoption forms, to implement Assembly Bill 677 (Choi; Stats. 2019, ch. 805) regarding intercountry adoptions. Revise adoption forms and approve an optional form to implement Assembly Bill 1373 (Patterson; Stats. 2019, ch. 192) regarding stepparent adoptions in cases of gestational surrogacy.</p> <p>Family Law: Changes to Supervised Visitation Standard and Form Effective January 1, 2021 revise one standard of judicial administration and one form relating to supervised visitation providers to comply with the statutory changes to Family Code section 3200.5, enacted by Assembly Bill 1165 (Bauer-Kahan; Stats. 2019, ch. 823).</p>

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
	<p>Family Law: Changes to Child Custody Evaluations Rule and Form Effective January 1, 2021 amend one rule of court and adopts a new mandatory form to comply with recent statutory changes to Family Code section 3118 enacted by Assembly Bill 1179 (Rubio; Stats. 2019, ch. 127) and revise existing forms to reflect statutory requirements.</p> <p><u>Indian Child Welfare Act:</u></p> <p>Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA): Remote Appearance by an Indian Child’s Tribe in ICWA Proceedings Effective January 1, 2021 amend rules 5.9, 5.482, and 5.531 of the California Rules of Court, to permit an Indian child’s tribe to participate by telephone or other computerized remote means in any hearing in a proceeding governed by the Indian Child Welfare Act, as required by section 224.2(k) of the Welfare and Institutions Code.</p> <p>Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA): Tribal Information Form Effective January 1, 2021, revise rule 5.522 of the California Rules of Court and approve a new optional form and instructions sheet for that form to be used by an Indian child’s tribe to provide information to the court on issues where consultation with the child’s tribe is required by the Indian Child Welfare Act, and the tribe’s position on these issues in cases governed by the Indian Child Welfare Act.</p> <p>Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA): Consent to Temporary Custody of an Indian Child Effective January 1, 2021, amend rule 5.514 and adopt a new mandatory form to be used to have a judge witness the consent of an Indian parent or custodian to the temporary custodial placement of an Indian child in accordance with section 1913 of title 25 of the United States Code, 25 Code of Federal Regulations parts 23.125–23.127, and Welfare and Institutions Code section 16507.4(b)(3).</p> <p><u>Juvenile Dependency:</u></p> <p>Juvenile Law: Information, Documents, and Services for Youth 16 Years of Age and Older Effective January 1, 2021 amend three California Rules of Court, adopt three forms, and revise one form to conform to Assembly Bill 718’s statutory mandate that child welfare agencies begin the process of providing key information, documents, and services to youth in foster care beginning at age 16, rather than at the end of juvenile court jurisdiction. (Eggman; Stats. 2019, ch. 438).</p> <p>Juvenile Law: Nonminor Disposition Hearing–Dependency Effective January 1, 2021 adopt a new rule of court, amend two rules, and adopt three new Judicial Council forms to create a uniform procedure for specified nonminor disposition hearings.</p> <p>Juvenile Law: Guardianship Rules and Forms</p>

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
	<p>Effective January 1, 2021 amend nine rules of court addressing juvenile court proceedings to appoint, terminate, modify, or oversee guardianships and revise two forms used for court orders in those proceedings to conform to recent statutory amendments, resolve inconsistencies with existing statutes and rules of court, and make technical corrections.</p> <p>Juvenile Law: Psychotropic Medication Information Release Effective September 1, 2020 adopt one rule of court, amend one rule of court, approve three forms, and amend three forms, to conform to recent statutory changes regarding children for whom the juvenile court has approved requests for prescription of psychotropic medications, which were enacted by Senate Bill 377 (McGuire; Stats. 2019, ch. 547).</p> <p><u>Joint Proposals with Other Advisory Committees:</u></p> <p>Appellate Procedure, Juvenile Law: Access to Juvenile Case Files in Appellate Court Proceedings (joint with Appellate Advisory Committee) Effective September 1, 2021 to implement recent Judicial Council–sponsored legislation amending the statute that governs access to records in a juvenile case, amends the rules regarding confidentiality in juvenile court and appellate court proceedings. and revises several forms to add a new notice about access to records on review and make other clarifying changes. The proposal also adopts a new information sheet to assist those litigants who must file a petition to request access to records.</p> <p>Protective Orders: Forms and Procedures for Protecting Minors’ Information (joint with Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee) Effective September 1, 2021 amends rules of court, adopts eight forms (a set of four in the Domestic Violence Prevention series and a set of four in the Civil Harassment Prevention series), and revises several forms, in order to implement the provisions in AB 925 concerning the confidentiality of information pertaining to minors in protective order cases.</p>
2.	<p>Juvenile Law: Educational Rights Holders Effective September 1, 2020 amend California Rules of Court, rule 5.649 (Right to make educational or developmental-services decisions); revise Order Designating Educational Rights Holder (form JV-535) and its attachment (form JV-535(A)); and adopt form JV-535-INFO to clarify requirements, alleviate confusion, and provide more guidance on service of process and to further clarify the procedural requirements regarding educational rights holders.</p>
3.	<p>Family Law: Changes to Spousal Support and Property Division Forms Effective January 1, 2021 revise two optional forms (FL-157, FL-343) and approve one new optional form (FL-349) relating to spousal support, as well as revise one optional form (FL-345) relating to property division in family law cases. This proposal corrected an error identified by the Court of Appeals in <i>In re Marriage of Martin</i>, 32 Cal.App.5th 1195 (2019).</p>
4.	<p>Juvenile Law: Access to Sealed Records Effective January 1, 2021 adopt one new rule of court, revise two existing forms, and approve four new optional forms to assist courts with the implementation of recently enacted statutory provisions concerning the sealing of juvenile records and access to those records by prosecuting attorneys.</p>

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
5.	Co-sponsored legislative proposals to go to the council to expand authority to use video appearances in civil proceedings, and to allow specified tribal court property orders in family law cases to be recognized in state court.
6.	Provided oversight to implementation of new program to expand funding for court appointed counsel in dependency proceedings and submitted an informational update report on the Federally Funded Dependency Representation Program as well as a related survey of court appointed counsel providers.
7.	Provided technical assistance and position recommendations on family and juvenile related proposed legislation via numerous legislative review calls.
8.	Approved list of training providers for court connected child custody mediators, recommending counselors, and evaluators as directed by the Judicial Council.
9.	AB 1058 Funding related activities: in addition to making recommendations to the council for ongoing funding allocations and last minute budget reductions and midyear reallocations, the committee also continues to work on a new workload-based methodology for family law facilitators.
10.	Provided recommendations to the Judicial Council for allocation of funding for the Access to Visitation Grant Program and submitted a report to the legislature on the grant program for council approval.
11.	Provided recommendations to the Judicial Council for allocation of funding for CASA programs, including a new CASA funding allocation methodology.
12.	Provided support for the activities and meetings of the Violence Against Women Education Program.
13.	Began process of considering a comprehensive update of the attorney workload data and time standards in the current workload model by monitoring and assessing the impact of the new funding provided for court-appointed dependency counsel in the 2017-18 Budget Act.
14.	Provided technical assistance and position recommendations on family and juvenile related proposed legislation via numerous legislative review calls.
15.	Approved list of training providers for court connected child custody mediators, recommending counselors, and evaluators as directed by the Judicial Council.
16.	Family Law: Certification of Statewide Uniform Guideline Support Calculators

Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee
Annual Agenda¹—2021
Approved by Rules Committee: November 2, 2020

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Jayne C. Lee, Judge, Superior Court of San Joaquin County
Lead Staff:	Corby Sturges, Attorney, Center for Families, Children & the Courts
<p>Committee’s Charge/Membership:</p> <p>Rule 10.44(a) of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee, which is to make recommendations to the council for improving the administration of justice in proceedings involving decedents’ estates, trusts, conservatorships, guardianships, and other probate matters, as well as in proceedings involving mental health and developmental disability issues. Rule 10.44(b) also directs the committee to coordinate activities and work with the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee in areas of common concern and interest.</p> <p>Rule 10.44(c) sets forth the membership categories of the committee. The Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee currently has 17 members. The current committee roster is available on the committee’s web page.</p>	
<p>Subcommittees/Working Groups²:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Guardianship Subcommittee 2. Conservatorship and Legal Capacity Subcommittee 3. Legislation Subcommittee 4. Trusts & Estates Subcommittee (<i>New</i>) – <i>Review statutes, cases, rules of court, and Judicial Council forms applicable to proceedings involving decedents’ estates and trusts; propose necessary changes to rules and forms to conform to law and improve judicial administration, practice, and procedure in those proceedings.</i> 	
<p>Meetings Planned for 2021³ (Advisory body and all subcommittees and working groups)</p> <p>Date/Time/Location or Teleconference:</p> <p>Teleconference: 4:00 pm, 11/18/20, 12/16/20, 1/20/21, 2/17, 3/17, 4/21, 5/19, 6/16, 7/21, 8/18, 9/15, 10/20, 11/17, 12/15.</p> <p>In-person (tentative): JCCC, TBD, 10 am to 4 pm. Subcommittees will meet by teleconference as work requires.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.</p>	

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30(c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

³ Refer to [Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies](#) for governance on in-person meetings.

COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴
1.	<p>Rules and forms to implement legislation</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Priority 1(b)⁵</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Strategic Plan Goal⁶ III, IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary⁷: Develop new, amended, or revised rules of court and Judicial Council forms to implement legislation enacted in the second year of the 2019–2020 legislative session that affects guardianship, conservatorship, trust, estate, and civil mental health proceedings. PMHAC staff, in consultation with Governmental Affairs, has identified 7 bills, either enacted or pending, that may require implementation via rules or forms. Staff is also analyzing recent case law to identify any required changes to rules or forms. These bills include AB 2165, Electronic filing and service; AB 2463, Enforcement of judgments on homestead; AB 2723, Stipulated judgments; AB 2844, Account statements; AB 3364, Judiciary omnibus; AB 3366, Emergency orders; and SB 1146, Electronic filing, trial delays, and remote depositions.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipate that any required rule amendments or form revisions will take effect January 1, 2022.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Rules committee staff; possible collaboration with Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee (CSCAC) staff on implementation of AB 2165, AB 2463, AB 2723, AB 3364, AB 3366, and SB 1146.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Rules Committee, CSCAC, Conservatorship and Legal Capacity Subcommittee</p>

⁴ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

⁵ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or voids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁶ Indicate which goal number of the Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

⁷ A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or “end of action” to be achieved for the coming year.

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
2.	Revise Capacity Declaration Forms	Priority 1(a), 1(e) Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV
<p>Project Summary: Develop recommendations to revise the Judicial Council forms for providing expert evidence regarding a person’s decision-making capacity to conform to the requirements of existing law. The subcommittee has identified several areas of tension between the statutory standards for establishing a conservatorship, the typical use of the existing capacity declaration form, and the findings sought on the form from clinical evaluators.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipate that revised forms will take effect January 1, 2022.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Rules Committee staff</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Conservatorship and Legal Capacity Subcommittee</p>		
3.	Develop Guardianship Objection Form	Priority 1(a), 1(e) Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV
<p>Project Summary: Develop a recommendation for a Judicial Council form for filing an objection to a petition for appointment of a guardian. This form would promote due process and access to the courts by providing a mechanism for a parent or other interested person to object to the appointment of a guardian of a child.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipate that new form will take effect January 1, 2022.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Rules Committee staff, FamJuv staff</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Guardianship Subcommittee</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
4.	<i>Collaborate in developing proposals and recommendations to assist courts in responding to emergency conditions that limit access to court</i>	<i>Priority 1(d), 1(e), 1</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goals I, IV, VI</i>
<p><i>Project Summary:</i> PMHAC will develop proposals and recommendations to assist courts in addressing legal uncertainty and administrative and procedural challenges related to the COVID-19 pandemic and the associated economic downturn that limit the probate courts’ ability to provide access to court and conduct judicial proceedings.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline:</i> Ongoing during state of emergency related to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> Rules Committee staff, staff to advisory bodies, California Lawyers Association, California Judges Association</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i> Rules Committee, CSCAC, FamJuv, Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC), Pandemic Continuity of Operations Working Group</p>		
5.	<i>Participate in developing legislation and rules of court to provide for remote video appearances in most non-criminal proceedings</i>	<i>Priority 1, 1(b)</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV, VI</i>
<p><i>Project Summary:</i> PMHAC members will continue to collaborate with members of other advisory committees on council-sponsored legislation authorizing remote video appearances in civil proceedings, including proceedings under the Probate Code. PMHAC members worked with members of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, and the Information Technology Advisory Committee in developing a proposal for legislation that would expand the authority of the courts to allow persons to appear by video in civil proceedings. That legislation, which circulated for comment in 2020 and will go to the council in November 2020, requires implementation via rules of court. It is anticipated that a subcommittee with representatives of all of the advisory bodies above will work to develop those rules to take effect at the same time as the legislation.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline:</i> Legislation and rules to be enacted and adopted, effective January 1, 2021</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i> TBD</p>		

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i> Internal/External Stakeholders: Rules Committee staff, FamJuv staff, ITAC staff, CSCAC staff AC Collaboration: Legislation Committee, Rules Committee, ITAC, FamJuv, CSCAC, Joint ad Hoc Subcommittee on Remote Video Appearances	
6.	Consult on electronic wills legislation	Priority 1 Strategic Plan Goals III, IV
	Project Summary: Participate in negotiation, drafting, technical assistance, and recommendation of Judicial Council position on legislation to authorize the execution and probate of electronic wills in California. Status/Timeline: Legislation expected to take effect January 1, 2022 Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i> Internal/External Stakeholders: Governmental Affairs staff, California Lawyers Association, California Judges Association AC Collaboration: N/A	
7.	Revise guardianship notice, nomination, and consent forms	Priority 1(a), 1(e) Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV
	Project Summary: Develop recommendations to revise the forms for notice of a hearing on a petition for appointment of a guardian and dispensation of notice; for parental nomination of a guardian and, possibly for parental designation of emergency caregiver to serve until guardian is appointed; and for consent to appointment of a guardian. The revisions will conform to existing law, promote access to the courts by providing parents with effective notice and the opportunity, and protect children whose parents are unable to care for them in an emergency. Status/Timeline: Anticipate that revised forms will take effect January 1, 2022.	

#	New or One-Time Projects⁴	
	<p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Rules Committee staff</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Guardianship Subcommittee, possible consultation with Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee (FamJuv)</p>	
8.	<p>Amend probate guardianship rules to implement Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA)</p>	<p>Priority 1(a)</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary: Collaborate with the Tribal Court–State Court Forum to mend rules of court applicable to probate guardianships to implement the requirements of the federal Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA), as amended, the 2016 federal ICWA regulations and Bureau of Indian Affairs guidance, and California statutes incorporating the amended federal requirements into state law.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Anticipate that amended rules will take effect January 1, 2021</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Rules Committee staff, Tribal Court–State Court Forum staff</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Guardianship Subcommittee, Rules Committee, Tribal Court–State Court Forum</p>	
9.	<p>Revise guardianship and conservatorship fee waiver forms and amend rule 7.5 to conform to statute</p>	<p>Priority 1(e)</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary: Develop revisions to the Judicial Council fee waiver forms adopted for use in guardianship and conservatorship proceedings and propose amendments to rule 7.5 of the California Rules of Court more clearly to implement the statutory distinction in guardianship and conservatorship proceedings between the <i>petitioner</i> and the fee waiver <i>applicant</i> introduced by Assembly Bill 2747 (Stats. 2014, ch. 913), simplify the application process for petitions for guardianships of the person but not of the estate, and make any</p>	

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴
	<p>remaining revisions necessary to conform to the principles articulated in the Supreme Court’s decision in Jameson v. Desta (2018) 5 Cal.5th 594.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Revised forms and amended rule anticipated to take effect no sooner than January 1, 2021.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Committee staff, Rules Committee staff</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Conservatorship and Legal Capacity Subcommittee, Guardianship Subcommittee, CSCAC, TCPJAC/CEAC Joint Rules Subcommittee</p>

DRAFT

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities ⁴	
1.	<i>Review and restructure probate guardianship process</i>	<i>Priority 1, 1(e)</i>
		<i>Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV</i>
<p>Project Summary: The Guardianship Subcommittee will continue to examine the Judicial Council forms adopted for use in guardianship proceedings to identify revisions needed to provide notice and an opportunity to be heard to parents whose children are the subject of a guardianship petition, to implement changes to California law, as amended to implement the 2016 federal regulations implementing the Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978 (ICWA) (25 U.S.C. § 1901 et seq.), and to simplify the processes to petition for appointment of a guardian and to object to the petition/appointment. Recommend revisions and additional forms for use in commencing proceedings to appoint a guardian. Consider separating guardianship forms and conservatorship forms into two discrete form sets.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, but all action—other than development of the objection form and revision of the notice, nomination, and consent forms—will be deferred until after the COVID-19 state of emergency is lifted and the courts are able to resume normal operations.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: <i>N/A</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Committee staff, Governmental Affairs staff, Superior Court Self-Help Centers, Language Access Program staff; translation services, plain language contractor</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Guardianship subcommittee, Tribal Court–State Court Forum</p>		
2.	<i>Review the role of legal capacity in the appointment of probate conservator</i>	<i>Priority 1, 1(e)</i>
		<i>Strategic Plan Goals I, IV</i>
<p>Project Summary: The Conservatorship and Legal Capacity Subcommittee will continue to study the role, under current law, of legal capacity determinations in the process for appointment of a conservator and the grant of specific powers to an appointed conservator. The subcommittee will develop recommendations, likely to include revisions to several Judicial Council forms, better to conform to current law. The subcommittee will collaborate with a team from the Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, to evaluate the effectiveness of the forms in eliciting clinically accurate and legally material evaluations of a person’s abilities, including but not limited to, legal capacity to perform functions identified in the law. In addition, the subcommittee will consider providing expressly for the confidentiality of capacity declarations filed in judicial proceedings.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, but all action—other than revision of the capacity declaration forms—will be deferred until after the COVID-19 state of emergency is lifted and the courts are able to resume normal operations.</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
	<p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: <i>N/A</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Committee staff; Conservatorship and Legal Capacity Subcommittee; Rules Committee staff; consultants</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Conservatorship and Legal Capacity Subcommittee</p>	
3.	Review pending legislation	<p>Priority 1</p> <hr/> <p>Strategic Plan Goal III</p>
	<p>Project Summary: Review pending legislation affecting court administration, practice, or procedure in proceedings under the Probate Code and the Lanterman-Petris-Short Act; provide technical assistance to Governmental Affairs staff, legislative staff, sponsors, and stakeholders, as appropriate; and recommend positions to the council’s Legislation Committee, as required by rule 10.34(a)(3). Staff anticipates that, in addition to the bill mentioned above to authorize electronic wills, legislation affecting limited conservatorships, guardianship jurisdiction, emergency child care, and Special Immigrant Juvenile status will be introduced in the next session.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: TBD</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Governmental Affairs staff, advisory committee staff</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Legislation Committee, other advisory bodies to be determined</p>	
4.	Review enacted legislation	<p>Priority 1</p> <hr/> <p>Strategic Plan Goal III</p>
	<p>Project Summary⁷: Review all enacted legislation referred to the committee by the Judicial Council’s Governmental Affairs staff that may affect issues within the advisory committee’s purview and, where appropriate, propose to the council rules and forms to implement the legislation or to bring rules and forms into conformity with it.</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
	<p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: TBD</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Governmental Affairs staff, other advisory body staff to be determined</p> <p>AC Collaboration: TBD</p>	
5.	Gender Neutrality on Forms	<p>Priority 1(a)</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary: As forms are revised, PMHAC will continue to review and, where possible, replace gendered terms or gender identity questions to conform to legislation providing for nonbinary gender identity.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing as individual forms are revised for other reasons</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Rules Committee staff</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A</p>	
6.	Review suggestions	<p>Priority 1</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary⁷: As mandated by rule 10.21(c), review suggestions from members of the judicial branch and the public for improving judicial administration, practice, and procedure in decedents’ estate, trust, guardianship, conservatorship, and other proceedings under the Probate Code and recommend action by the council or one of its committees.</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴	
	<p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, but all action that is not required to be taken this year will be deferred until after the COVID-19 state of emergency is lifted and the courts are able to resume normal operations.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: TBD</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: TBD</p> <p>AC Collaboration: TBD</p>	
7.	<p>Monitor developments in California guardianship law related to immigrant children</p>	<p>Priority 1</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals I, IV</p>
	<p>Project Summary: Monitor the implementation, in probate guardianship proceedings, of the directives in section 155 of the Code of Civil Procedure (added by Stats. 2014, ch. 685, § 1), section 1510.1 of the Probate Code (added by Stats. 2015, ch. 694), and other provisions concerning judicial findings to support (proposed) wards’ petitions for Special Immigrant Juvenile (SIJ) status in federal immigration proceedings.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, but all action that is not required to be taken this year will be deferred until after the COVID-19 state of emergency is lifted and the courts are able to resume normal operations.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Governmental Affairs staff, FamJuv staff, other TBD</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Guardianship Subcommittee, FamJuv</p>	
8.	<p>Provide subject-matter expertise</p>	<p>Priority 1</p> <p>Strategic Plan Goals I, III, IV</p>

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities ⁴
	<p>Project Summary: Serve as subject-matter resource for other advisory groups and Judicial Council staff to support legal work, avoid duplication of efforts, and contribute to the development of recommendations for council action. These efforts may include providing probate and mental health procedural expertise and review to working groups, advisory committees, subcommittees, and Judicial Council staff, as needed.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing, as needed</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Judicial Council staff</p> <p>AC Collaboration: TBD</p>

DRAFT

II. LIST OF 2020 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	Recommended revisions to 8 JC forms and amendments to 7 rules of court used in proceedings to approve compromises or settlement for minors or persons with disabilities (JC meeting 9/25/20; effective date 1/1/21)
2.	Engaged in extensive drafting, negotiation, and technical assistance on AB 1667, which would have authorized execution and probate of electronic wills in California, to enable the Judicial Council to remove its opposition to the bill. Ultimately, held in Senate Appropriations. (8/27/20)
3.	Consulted with Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, and Legal Services staff on measures in response to the COVID-19 state of emergency, including emergency rule 3, allowing courts to conduct remote hearings in most proceedings; emergency rule 9, which tolled statutes of limitations in civil actions and special proceedings of a civil nature; and emergency rule 12, which expanded the courts' authority to require acceptance of electronic service of notice and documents. (ER3, April 6, 2020; ER9, amended 5/29/20); ER12, amended 4/17/20.)
4.	Collaborated with the Criminal Law Advisory Committee and staff to draft and issue emergency guidance on statutory deadlines for hearings on urgent matters involving involuntary detainees under the Lanterman-Petris-Short (LPS) Act. (4/14/20)
5.	Contributed to Legislative Proposal for Remote Video Appearances in Non-Criminal Proceedings (JC meeting 11/13/20)
6.	Recommended revisions to 3 Judicial Council forms to implement AB 473 (Stats. 2019, ch. 122). (1/1/20)

Traffic Advisory Committee
Annual Agenda¹—2021
Approved by RUPRO: [Date]

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Gail Dekreon, Judge, Superior Court of San Francisco County
Lead Staff:	Jamie Schechter, Attorney, Criminal Justice Services Office
Committee's Charge/Membership: Rule 10.54 of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Traffic Advisory Committee, which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council for improving the administration of justice in the area of traffic procedure, practice, and case management and in other areas as set forth in the fish and game, boating, forestry, public utilities, parks and recreation, and business licensing bail schedules. The Traffic Advisory Committee currently has 13 members. The current committee roster is available on the committee's web page.	
Subcommittees/Working Groups²: None.	
Meetings Planned for 2021³ Date/Time/Location or Teleconference: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• In-person meeting October 2021 San Francisco.• Bi-weekly telephone conferences as needed throughout the year.	

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

³ Refer to [Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies](#) for governance on in-person meetings.

Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.

DRAFT

COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴	
1.	<i>Develop Proposals and Recommendations to Assist Courts During and Following the COVID-19 Pandemic</i>	<i>Priority 1⁵</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal 3⁶</i>
<p><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Develop proposals and recommendations to assist criminal courts during and following the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Anticipated effective rule date of September 1, 2021 or January 1, 2022.</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: Committee Staff.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: N/A.</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: N/A.</p>		

⁴ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

⁵ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or a amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or a voids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁶ Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

⁷ A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or “end of action” to be achieved for the coming year.

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴	
2.	<i>Rule of Court 4.220 and Corresponding Forms</i>	<i>Priority 1⁵</i>
<p data-bbox="176 305 1965 451"><i>Project Summary⁷</i>: Revision of California Rule of Court 4.220, remote video proceedings in traffic infraction cases, and corresponding forms. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, several courts are utilizing or exploring the option of utilizing remote video proceedings for traffic cases. It is anticipated that some courts may continue to utilize remote video proceedings permanently. Courts have expressed that Rule 4.220 and the corresponding forms require changes.</p> <p data-bbox="176 493 1052 529"><i>Status/Timeline:</i> Anticipated effective rule date of January 1, 2022.</p> <p data-bbox="176 578 747 613"><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i> Committee Staff.</p> <p data-bbox="176 623 1923 701"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p data-bbox="176 753 674 789"><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> N/A.</p> <p data-bbox="176 837 516 873"><i>AC Collaboration:</i> ITAC.</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴ [Group projects by priority number.]	
1.	2022 Bail Schedules Revision	Priority 1⁵
<p data-bbox="178 310 1942 375">Project Summary⁷: The Traffic Advisory Committee is required to revise and update the Uniform Bail and Penalty Schedules annually to conform with new laws, as required by Penal Code section 1269b and California Rule of Court 4.102.</p> <p data-bbox="178 415 621 448">Status/Timeline: December 2021.</p> <p data-bbox="178 488 743 521">Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff.</p> <p data-bbox="178 532 1902 602"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p data-bbox="178 643 674 675">Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A.</p> <p data-bbox="178 716 495 748">AC Collaboration: N/A.</p>		
2.	Review Pending and Enacted Legislation	Priority 1⁵
<p data-bbox="178 932 1902 1029">Project Summary⁷: Review pending and enacted legislation that may have an impact on traffic court administration. Provide subject matter expertise on legislation, including fiscal impacts for the courts. Propose rules and forms necessary to comply with legislation or other directives.</p> <p data-bbox="178 1086 520 1118">Status/Timeline: Ongoing.</p> <p data-bbox="178 1159 1041 1192">Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee Staff, Governmental Affairs.</p> <p data-bbox="178 1203 1902 1273"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p data-bbox="178 1313 674 1346">Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A.</p> <p data-bbox="178 1386 495 1419">AC Collaboration: N/A.</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴ [Group projects by priority number.]	
3.	Traffic Bench Officer and Temporary Judge Training	Priority 1⁵ Strategic Plan Goal 5⁶
<p>Project Summary⁷: Provide advice as requested by the Center for Judicial Education and Research (CJER) with development of traffic training programs and materials for bench officers and temporary judges assigned to traffic proceedings.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee Staff.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: CJER Governing Committee.</p>		
4.	Revising Traffic Instructions and Citations (TR-INST, TR-100, TR-106, TR-108, TR-115, TR-120, TR-130, TR-135, TR-145)	Priority Deferred⁵ Strategic Plan Goal 3⁶
<p>Project Summary⁷: Traffic citation forms fall within the purview of the Traffic Advisory Committee. The forms were last modified in 2015. The forms are confusing, and some sections are out of date.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Committee staff.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: N/A.</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities⁴ [Group projects by priority number.]	
5.	<i>Support Recommendations of the Futures Traffic Working Group for Civil Adjudication of Traffic Infractions</i>	<i>Priority 5, Deferred</i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal 36</i>
<p><i>Project Summary</i>⁷: The Chief Justice directed the Futures Traffic Working Group to consider a proposal to implement and evaluate a civil model for adjudication of minor vehicle infractions. In addition, the working group was directed to explore, evaluate, and recommend options for online processing for all phases of traffic infractions. The Traffic Advisory Committee has supported the Futures Traffic Working Group in this effort. The committee will continue to support the recommendations from the Futures Traffic Working Group on civil adjudication, including proposing legislation, and modifying rules and forms as appropriate if needed.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: Committee staff. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: Law enforcement agencies, California District Attorneys Association, Court Executives Advisory Committee, Advocacy groups.</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: Futures Traffic Working Group.</p>		

II. LIST OF 2020 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

[Provide highlights and achievements of completed projects that were included in the 2020 Annual Agenda.]

#	Project Highlights and Achievements <i>[Provide brief, broad outcome(s) and completed date.]</i>
1.	The committee updated the Uniform Bail and Penalties Schedule to be consistent with 2020 legislation, completed December 2020.
2.	The committee provided Government Affairs subject matter expertise on numerous pending traffic bills, including operational and fiscal impacts of proposed legislation, ongoing.
3.	The committee supported the Futures Traffic Working Group in the effort to move minor vehicle infractions to a civil model as needed.