

Judicial Council of California

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

OFFICE OF GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

770 L Street, Suite 700 • Sacramento, California 95814-3393 Telephone 916-323-3121 • Fax 916-323-4347 • TDD 415-865-4272

RONALD M. GEORGE Chief Justice of California Chair of the Judicial Council WILLIAM C. VICKREY
Administrative Director of the Courts

RONALD.G. OVERHOLT Chief Deputy Director

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD Director, Office of Governmental Affairs

March 23, 2006

Hon. Elaine Alquist Member of the Senate State Capitol, Room 4088 Sacramento, California 95814

Subject: SB 1128 (Alguist), as amended March 7, 2006 – Oppose unless amended

Dear Senator Alquist:

The Judicial Council opposes SB 1128, unless it is amended to delete the provisions eliminating the court's authority under Penal Code section 1385 to dismiss an action in the furtherance of justice and to address the record retention issues described below.

The council has long advocated that, while the discretion is not absolute, dismissal of an action in the furtherance of justice is within the court's exclusive discretion. Elimination of this authority inappropriately interferes with a core judicial function, namely the court's ability to accommodate unusual or extraordinary facts.

In addition, the council is seeking amendments to make the record retention provisions more manageable. The bill would require courts to retain all records relating to persons required to register as sex offenders for the life of the offender. The council's concerns with this provision are twofold. First, the court has no way of knowing when a person subject to these provisions dies. Second, the court has no way of knowing which of its existing court records involve persons required to register as sex offenders, so would not know to retain the records beyond existing requirements.

Hon. Elaine Alquist March 23, 2006 Page 2

The council requests that the bill be amended to provide that the records be retained for 75 years, which is the current requirement for felony records, or some other specified time, and to clarify that the new retention requirements apply only prospectively.

For these reasons, the Judicial Council opposes SB 1128, unless it is amended as described.

June Clark Senior Attorney

JC/yt

cc:

Mr. Eric Csizmar, Deputy Legislative Secretary, Office of the Governor Ms. Sue Blake, Director of Legislation, Office of Planning and Research