



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON PROVIDING
ACCESS AND FAIRNESS

www.courts.ca.gov/accessfairnesscomm.htm
accessfairnesscomm@jud.ca.gov

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON PROVIDING ACCESS AND FAIRNESS

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING WITH CLOSED SESSION

August 20, 2020

12:15-1:15 p.m.

By Conference Call

Advisory Body

Members Present:

Hon. Luis Lavin, Hon. Kevin Brazile, Gurinder Aujla, Hon. Manuel Covarrubias, Hon. Mark Cullers, Ana Maria Garcia, Janet Hudec, Hon. Victoria Kolakowski, David Levin, Hon. Elizabeth Macias, Hon. Lia Martin, Hon. William Murray, Jr., Julie Paik, Hon. Mickie Reed, Hon. Victor Rodriguez, Janice Schmidt, Hon. Bobbi Tillmon, Hon. Terry Truong, Hon. Erica Yew

Advisory Body

Members Absent:

Hon. Sue Alexander (Ret.), Kim Bartleson, Gina Cervantes, Hon. Mary Greenwood, Mary Hale, Sasha Morgan, Michael Planet, Michael Powell, Hon. Sergio Tapia, Hon. Juan Ulloa, Twila White

Others Present:

Irene Balajadia, Lisa Chavez, Douglas Denton, Diana Glick, Bonnie Hough, Hon. Mark Juhas, Andi Liebenbaum, Hon. Louis R. Mauro, Amanda Morris, Linda McCulloh, Catherine Ongiri, Elizabeth Tam, Greg Tanaka

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:15 p.m. Quorum achieved

Justice Luis Lavin acknowledged the significant contributions Justice Laurie Zelon made for this committee while serving as a long-time member and then co-chair. The committee thanked Justice Zelon for her commitment to access and fairness.

Approval of Minutes

Minutes of June 18, 2020 meeting approved. Motion by Judge Victoria Kolakowski, seconded by Judge Bobbi Tillmon.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-5)

Item 1

COVID-19 Updates: Trial Court operations perspective, now and moving forward

The committee was updated with the various challenges and triumphs experienced keeping courts open and operational during the COVID 19 pandemic.

Item 2

Language Access Subcommittee Update

Judge Rodriguez provided the committee with an update from the Language Access Subcommittee. He briefly shared the efforts by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) to address budget issues related to the Court Interpreter Program Fund. Judge Rodriguez shared the Subcommittee met on August 13 to go over the status of the 2020 Annual Agenda language access projects: Signage and Technology Grant Program, FY 20-21; Annual Language Access Survey; Support for Implementation of Rule 1.300; and Public Outreach Campaign – Phase 2. He also shared Justice Mauro graciously agreed to join the Subcommittee, as a liaison on technology issues.

Item 3

Judicial Diversity Toolkit Update

The committee was updated on future presentations of the Judicial Diversity Toolkit provided by staff, Cathy Ongiri and Greg Tanaka. Staff will continue to provide online trainings as requested. Staff continues to work with California Lawyers Association to plan the Judicial Diversity Summit in 2021.

Item 4

Advisory Members Terms Extended

The committee was informed that the Chief Justice made the decision to extend all advisory body terms by one year to maintain continuity throughout the pandemic. Applications submitted this year for open positions will be held until next year's application period.

Item 5

ADA Updates

The committee was updated on the work of the ADA subcommittee. Changes to the ADA bench guide and pamphlet are currently being incorporated and will be presented at a later date.

I. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn to Closed Session

II. CLOSED SESSION

Approval of Minutes

Minutes of the July 9, 2020 (CLOSED) Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness meeting approved.

Item 1

MC-410 Redesign

Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 10.75 (D)(10)

Item 2

Legislative Update

Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 10.75 (D)(10)

III. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:02 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on **enter date**.

DRAFT



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

MEMORANDUM

Date September 16, 2020	Action Requested Please Review
To Hon. Kevin C. Brazile, Cochair Hon. Luis A. Lavin, Cochair Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness Hon. Victor A. Rodriguez, Chair, Language Access Subcommittee Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair Hon. Louis R. Mauro, Vice-Chair Information Technology Advisory Committee	Deadline N/A Contact Lisa Chavez Supervising Analyst, Language Access Services 415-865-4227 Lisa.Chavez@jud.ca.gov Danielle M. McCurry Senior Analyst, Language Access Services 415-865-7677 Danielle.McCurry@jud.ca.gov
From Douglas G. Denton Principal Manager, Language Access Services Center for Families, Children & the Courts	
Subject Signage and Technology Grant Program, FY 2020–21: Requests and Proposed Allocations	

Background

The 2018 Budget Act included ongoing funding of \$1 million per year for language access signage and \$1.35 million per year for language access technology infrastructure support and equipment needs for the trial courts. In September 2019, the Judicial Council approved a grant program to disburse this funding on an annual basis.

This is the second year of the grant program.¹ On April 20, 2020, Language Access Services (LAS) staff released a grant program packet for fiscal year (FY) 2020–21, which included a memorandum to courts on how to request funding and a project request form. The deadline for courts to submit completed project request forms for signage or technology grants was June 30, 2020. Due to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, the application deadline was extended twice to August 7, 2020.

Objectives of Grant Program

The goals of the Signage and Technology Grant Program are to:

- Support courts with the development of multilingual signage to help limited-English-proficient (LEP) court users to navigate the courthouse;
- Assist courts that need equipment or software that will facilitate communication with LEP court users and the courts;
- Allocate funds to as many trial courts as possible within the given budget to support language access signage and technology initiatives;
- Fund enhancements that provide LEP court users with greater access to the courts and to information in their language; and
- Encourage courts to establish grant funding for an ongoing plan that coordinates with other facilities planning and/or with planned or ongoing technology initiatives that support language access as a core service of the court.

Application Timing and Process

- The application period opened on April 20, 2020.
- Applications were due at close of business June 30, 2020 and the deadline was extended twice to August 7, 2020 due to the impact of COVID-19 on the courts.
- Recommendations on the allocation will be considered by the Judicial Council at its November 2020 meeting.
- All courts that submit signage and technology grant requests will be notified as to whether they will receive funding.
- Intra-branch agreements (IBAs) for the signage and technology grant requests that are funded are expected to be delivered to the court executive officers for signatory approval and returned to the Judicial Council prior to December 31, 2020.
- Once the Judicial Council receives signed IBAs back from the courts, funding will be encumbered by the council on behalf of each of the awarded courts.

¹ For the FY 2019-20 grant cycle, a total of 29 courts applied and in April 2020, the council approved grants to all 29 courts that applied by circulating order and set aside remaining funding as contingency funding to be used in case of need. The entire \$2.35 million allocation for grants was allocated among the 29 courts, including the distribution of contingency funding to three courts that indicated they needed additional funding (see Attachment 2 for the final grant awards for FY 2019-20). In May 2020, Los Angeles Superior Court notified council staff that it would not be able to use its FY 2019-20 grant award due to the COVID-19 emergency.

- The signage and technology grants are reimbursable grants. Awarded courts will have until December 31, 2021 to spend the grant funds, provide invoices, and prepare a short report for council staff on how the grant funding was used under the IBA.

Signage Grants – Priorities

Priority	Project
1	Plain language editing and professional translation of signage language that is unavailable in the <i>Glossary of Signage Terms and Icons</i> ²
2	Development of multilingual wayfinding strategies, including electronic displays with automated maps, orientation guides with multilingual interface, and/or other types of multilingual electronic signage
3	Investment in multilingual nonelectronic signage (paper, plaques, etc.)
4	Equipment and startup costs for an automated queue-management system that will contain multilingual information

Technology Grants – Priorities

Priority	Project
1	Interpreter equipment, including upgraded headsets and other communication equipment for interpreters (for example, wireless transmitters and receivers, charging stations, and carrying cases)
2	Telephonic/video remote solutions equipment for LEP assistance, both inside and outside the courtroom (for example, speakerphones and equipment for video remote appearances, video remote interpreting, counter assistance, or other self-help remote assistance, including tablets, computer equipment, and monitors) ³
3	Scheduling software for language access services, multilingual avatars for LEP court users, or other software that allows for accurate multilingual communication between the LEP court user and the court
4	Multilingual videos for LEP court users, including translation costs
5	Audio-visual systems upgrades, broadband service, and/or other infrastructure enhancements (must directly relate to services provided to LEP court users)
6	Multilingual kiosks

² See www.courts.ca.gov/documents/lap-toolkit-Glossary_of_Signage_Terms_and_Icons.xlsx

³ Courts that participate in the grant program and request funding for video remote interpreting equipment will be asked to agree to follow the council's *Recommended Guidelines for Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) for Spoken Language-Interpreted Events* (Mar. 15, 2019), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/vri-guidelines.pdf.

Number of Requests

A total of 23 trial courts requested funding and submitted project request forms (see Attachment 1). Three (3) courts requested funding in the signage category only, and ten (10) courts requested funding in the technology category only. Ten (10) of the 23 courts requested funding in both signage and technology categories (see table below under “Statewide Representation”).

Statewide Representation

Of the 23 courts that applied for grant funding, there was representation from the northern, southern, and central regions of the state. Court sizes varied with two (2) small, seven (7) small/medium, eight (8) medium, and six (6) large courts applying for funding.

Court Size*	Number of Courts that Applied		Number of Courts that Applied for Signage only		Number of Courts that Applied for Technology only		Number of Courts that Applied for both Signage and Technology	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
Small	6	2	3	0	1	2	2	0
Small/Medium	9	7	0	3	3	2	6	2
Medium	8	8	2	0	2	4	4	4
Large	6	6	0	0	0	2	6	4
Total	29	23	5	3	6	10	18	10

*Court size based on small (2–5 judges), small/medium (6–15 judges), medium (16–47 judges), large (48 judges or more).

Prioritization Metrics

A summary of the funding requests by prioritization category is outlined below, along with an indication on whether the project can be funded.

Signage Projects by Grant Priority

Priority	Requested Projects		Recommended Projects to Fund	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
1: Translation of Signage	9	4	9	4
2: Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies	14	13	14	13
3: Non-electronic signage	6	0	6	0
4: Software	1	1	1	1
Total	30	18	30	18

Technology Projects by Grant Priority

Priority	Requested Projects		Recommended Projects to Fund	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
1: Interpreter Equipment	18	11	18	11
2: Telephonic/Video Remote Solution	8	22	8	22
3: Software	6	3	6	3
4: Multilingual Videos	1	5	1	5
5: Infrastructure Enhancements	1	4	1	4
6: Multilingual Kiosks	4	0	4	0
Total	38	45	38	45

Staff Recommendation

Staff's recommendation is to allocate a minimum of \$748,641.95 for signage grants and a minimum of \$944,234.25 for technology grants to the courts. Because the total grant requests were under the annual allocation, remaining funding will be set aside as a contingency fund (see Methodology). The proposed allocation will provide grant funding to all 23 courts that applied in the grant program's second year. The attached table showing the detail by court is attached to this memorandum (see Attachment 1).

Methodology

Under the grant program, no more than \$100,000 is allocated to any one court for signage, and no more than \$135,000 is allocated to any one court for technology, unless total requests are lower than the total annual allocation. The original deadline for courts to submit completed project request forms for signage or technology grants was June 30, 2020, but the deadline was extended to July 15, 2020 due to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. The application deadline was extended again to August 7, 2020 and during the last grant extension deadline, interested courts could augment or newly apply for funds because the requested amounts for grants to date were still under the annual allocation. As a result, seven (7) courts augmented their application and three (3) new courts applied. In the signage category, two (2) courts requested more than \$100,000 for signage. In the technology category, all individual court requests were below \$135,000.

Signage Requests. Each of these requests can be fully funded. The total requests including any augmentations were under the \$1,000,000 allocation for signage, which resulted in extra funding of \$251,358.05. This additional funding will be set aside as a contingency fund to be used in case

of need by the awarded courts; for example, to help offset unforeseen cost increases for individual signage projects.⁴

Technology Requests. Each of these requests can be fully funded. The total allowable requests including any augmentations were under the \$1,350,000 allocation for technology, which resulted in extra funding of \$405,765.75. This additional funding will be set aside as a contingency fund to be used in case of need by the awarded courts; for example, to help offset unforeseen cost increases for individual technology projects.

LAS staff will work closely with the awarded courts to help track progress, identify any additional funding needs that can be covered by the contingency fund, and support completion of individual projects. A more formalized rubric was not required for the current grant applications but may be required in future years to score applications based on prioritization.

Next Steps

Following approval by the Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness, Information Technology Advisory Committee, and Judicial Council Technology Committee, LAS staff will present the proposed allocations to the Judicial Council for its review and approval in November 2020. Upon approval by the Judicial Council, LAS staff will notify courts of the approved allocations and will post the awards to the Language Access webpage. LAS staff will also work with Branch Accounting and Procurement staff to draft and execute intra-branch agreements (IBAs) with each court for their projects.

Attachments

1. FY 2020–21 Language Access Signage and Technology Grant Requests and Proposed Awards
2. FY 2019-20 Language Access Signage and Technology Grants: Final Awards

DGD/LC/DMM

cc: Robert Oyung, Chief Operating Officer, Judicial Council
Heather Pettit, Director and Chief Information Officer, Information Technology, Judicial Council
Charlene Depner, Director, Center for Families, Children & the Courts, Judicial Council
Don Will, Assistant Director, Center for Families, Children & the Courts, Judicial Council

⁴ Judicial Council staff has clarified with Branch Accounting and Procurement and Facilities staff that courts may use grant funding for facilities modification costs that directly relate to the purpose of the grant, for signage or technology, as long as the anticipated facility modification costs are built into the total grant amount. There may also be additional and unanticipated signage requirements related to health checks at courthouse entry ways, social distancing guidelines and areas of the court that are repurposed or expanded to be able to serve the public while adhering to public health guidelines.

FY 2020-2021 SIGNAGE GRANT REQUESTS | DRAFT ALLOCATIONS

	Trial Court Name	Funded in FY 2019-20?	SIGNAGE Project Description(s):	SIGNAGE Project Amount(s):	TOTAL SIGNAGE Request Amount	Proposed SIGNAGE Awards
1	Alameda	Yes	Translations of 97 documents into Vietnamese, Punjabi, Arabic, French, and Tagalog. Grant Priority 1 (Translation of Signage)	\$156,786.00	\$156,786.00	\$156,786.00
2	Fresno	Yes	Graphic design displays for wayfinding kiosks. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$3,250.00	\$3,250.00	\$3,250.00
3	Kings	Yes	Electronic wayfinding signage. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$48,471.00	\$48,471.00	\$48,471.00
4	Madera	Yes	Translation of wayfinding digital displays and translation of website information of interpreter request, Rule 1.300 (Spanish, Hmong, Punjabi and Simplified Chinese). Grant Priority 1 (Translation of Signage)	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00
			Replace existing signage to add Spanish translation. Grant Priority 1 (Translation of Signage)	\$14,556.67	\$14,556.67	\$14,556.67
5	Mendocino	No	Queuing Systems for Self-Help Center (Ukiah and Fort Bragg locations). Grant Priority 4 (Queue Management System)	\$10,500.00	\$10,500.00	\$10,500.00
6	Napa	No	Multilingual electronic wayfinding in two (2) courthouses. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$14,500.00	\$14,500.00	\$14,500.00
7	Sacramento	Yes	Three (3) Multilingual electronic wayfinding displays. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$85,520.00	\$85,520.00	\$85,520.00
8	San Diego	No	Hire consultant to determine signage needs and wayfinding strategies for seven (7) court locations. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$28,247.00	\$28,247.00	\$28,247.00
9	San Mateo	Yes	Multilingual and Bilingual Signage for one (1) courthouse and the clerk's office. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$15,018.00	\$15,018.00	\$15,018.00
			Wayfinding for accessing remote services and remote hearings. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$6,700.00	\$6,700.00	\$6,700.00
10	Santa Barbara	Yes	Multilingual digital displays. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$105,000.00	\$105,000.00	\$105,000.00
11	Santa Clara	Yes	Two (2) multilingual digital signage and wayfinding solutions. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00
12	Santa Cruz	Yes	Multilingual Electronic Docket Display. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$77,414.28	\$77,414.28	\$77,414.28
13	Sonoma	No	Ten (10) standing wayfinding interactive kiosks. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$35,165.50	\$35,165.50	\$35,165.50
			Directional Mapping. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$573.08	\$573.08	\$573.08
			Translating Information Packets. Grant Priority 1 (Translation of Signage)	\$41,761.95	\$41,761.95	\$41,761.95
			Mounted wayfinding signage outside of courtrooms in three (3) languages. Grant Priority 2 (Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies)	\$2,678.47	\$2,678.47	\$2,678.47
				TOTAL SIGNAGE	\$748,641.95	\$748,641.95
				Remaining Allocation	\$251,358.05	\$251,358.05

FY 2020-2021 TECHNOLOGY GRANT REQUESTS | DRAFT ALLOCATIONS

	Trial Court Name	Funded in FY 2019-20?	TECHNOLOGY Project Description(s):	TECHNOLOGY Project Amount(s):	TOTAL TECHNOLOGY Request Amount	Proposed TECHNOLOGY Awards
1	Alameda	Yes	Multilingual Video to prepare litigants for self-help center appointments. Grant Priority 4 (Multilingual Videos)	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00
2	Fresno	Yes	Replace Interpreter equipment (15 devices); Replace/upgrade headsets (20); Purchase telephones (14) for the Interpreter Department; purchase one (1) web camera. Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$18,726.93	\$18,726.93	\$18,726.93
			Install technology cabling and network ports. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$7,294.57	\$7,294.57	\$7,294.57
			Replace/Upgrade computers (19). Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$18,607.33	\$18,607.33	\$18,607.33
			Purchase 12 Interpreter work stations. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$11,269.80	\$11,269.80	\$11,269.80
3	Mendocino	No	Replace interpreter equipment (Three (3) transmitters, 13 receivers and one (1) dock). Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$5,700.00	\$5,700.00	\$5,700.00
4	Merced	Yes	Fifteen (15) tablets to enable bilingual services to be offered remotely. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$26,118.86	\$26,118.86	\$26,118.86
			Development of multilingual online content and supplemental resources. Grant Priority 5 (Infrastructure Enhancements)	\$47,000.00	\$47,000.00	\$47,000.00
			Four (4) laptops for use in remote interpretations. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$5,907.91	\$5,907.91	\$5,907.91
			Five (5) USB Speakers for enhancing existing remote video stations. Grant Priority 5 (Infrastructure Enhancements)	\$162.30	\$162.30	\$162.30
5	Napa	No	Additional microphones and cameras for in court video. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$6,800.00	\$6,800.00	\$6,800.00
6	Riverside	No	Purchase Laptops for Interpreters (40 Laptops). Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$50,800.00	\$50,800.00	\$50,800.00
7	Sacramento	Yes	Listening Device Charging Stations. Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$1,150.00	\$1,150.00	\$1,150.00
			Video Phones at Initial Points of Contact. Grant Priority 5 (Infrastructure Enhancements)	\$5,050.00	\$5,050.00	\$5,050.00
			Listening devices at all court locations. Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$4,785.00	\$4,785.00	\$4,785.00
			Multilingual Public Case Access (PCA) System. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$18,320.00	\$18,320.00	\$18,320.00
			Seven (7) Multilingual Video Monitors. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$93,720.00	\$93,720.00	\$93,720.00
			Develop and produce Videos for Domestic Violence Workshops. Grant Priority 4 (Multilingual Videos)	\$9,317.00	\$9,317.00	\$9,317.00
8	San Bernardino	No	Increase Internet bandwidth in anticipation of future VRI needs. Grant Priority 5 (Infrastructure Enhancements)	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00
			Equip three (3) distant courtrooms for VRI. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$32,924.00	\$32,924.00	\$32,924.00
9	San Diego	No	Replace interpreter equipment (65 devices). Updating interpreter equipment will ensure that LEP users receive clear, uninterrupted services in the courtroom. Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$11,076.70	\$11,076.70	\$11,076.70
			Laptops for interpreters inside and outside of courtrooms. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$86,782.95	\$86,782.95	\$86,782.95
10	San Joaquin	Yes	Replace interpreter equipment, 42 devices and supplies. Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$15,615.00	\$15,615.00	\$15,615.00
11	San Mateo	Yes	Instructions and Online Interface to request interpretation in six (6) target languages. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$3,186.32	\$3,186.32	\$3,186.32
			Spanish Language Domestic Violence Prevention Act Video. Grant Priority 4 (Multilingual Videos)	\$7,660.00	\$7,660.00	\$7,660.00

FY 2020-2021 TECHNOLOGY GRANT REQUESTS | DRAFT ALLOCATIONS

	Trial Court Name	Funded in FY 2019-20?	TECHNOLOGY Project Description(s):	TECHNOLOGY Project Amount(s):	TOTAL TECHNOLOGY Request Amount	Proposed TECHNOLOGY Awards
			Spanish Language Conservatorship Education Video. Grant Priority 4 (Multilingual Videos)	\$25,865.00	\$25,865.00	\$25,865.00
			Software and technical assistance to provide language specific remote services in Self-Help. Grant Priority 3 (Software)	\$4,075.00	\$4,075.00	\$4,075.00
			Purchases to Facilitate Remote Translation (via Zoom). Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$22,429.55	\$22,429.55	\$22,429.55
12	Santa Barbara	Yes	Equipment for Interpreters: Transceivers and Headsets. Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$21,020.68	\$21,020.68	\$21,020.68
13	Santa Clara	Yes	New interpreter equipment. Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$134,989.22	\$134,989.22	\$134,989.22
14	Sierra	No	Replace interpreter/assisted listening equipment (5 devices). Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$2,491.00	\$2,491.00	\$2,491.00
15	Solano	Yes	Purchasing Video Remote Interpreting equipment (8 laptop systems/6 Webcams & 25 Tablets). Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$33,132.32	\$33,132.32	\$33,132.32
16	Sonoma	No	25 iPad purchases and 25 Jabra 65 wireless headsets with microphones for VRI. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$16,250.00	\$16,250.00	\$16,250.00
			25 Microsoft Surface Pro 6 Laptops for VRI. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$32,500.00	\$32,500.00	\$32,500.00
			Zoom Subscriptions for VRI (initial purchase). Grant Priority 3 (Software)	\$1,071.00	\$1,071.00	\$1,071.00
			LiveChat for Court website with multiple languages. Grant Priority 3 (Software)	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
17	Stanislaus	Yes	Purchasing and implementing video remote interpreting technology (15 courtrooms). Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$23,500.84	\$23,500.84	\$23,500.84
			Purchasing interpreter equipment (16 wireless transmitters and receivers). Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$11,208.85	\$11,208.85	\$11,208.85
			Purchasing interpreter equipment to supplement telephonic remote solutions (5 speakerphones). Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$906.93	\$906.93	\$906.93
18	Sutter	No	Nine (9) Microsoft Surface Book Pro Laptops and cases; Headsets. Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$22,080.00	\$22,080.00	\$22,080.00
19	Ventura	No	Video remote interpreting technology for three (3) courthouses; one (1) offsite Mental Health Court (26 devices). Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$16,462.00	\$16,462.00	\$16,462.00
			Purchase social distancing equipment (20 device sets and 10 device transceivers). Grant Priority 1 (Interpreter Equipment)	\$1,520.00	\$1,520.00	\$1,520.00
			Purchase equipment to create language access centers in all three (3) three courthouses (4 laptops). Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$4,803.00	\$4,803.00	\$4,803.00
			Translation and recording services (16 hours of translation and recording). Grant Priority 4 (Multilingual Videos)	\$1,440.00	\$1,440.00	\$1,440.00
20	Yolo	No	Equipment to implement remote interpreting (Four (4) Cisco wireless IP Phones and three (3) iPads). Grant Priority 2 (Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions)	\$5,514.19	\$5,514.19	\$5,514.19
				TOTAL TECHNOLOGY	\$944,234.25	\$944,234.25
				Remaining Allocation	\$405,765.75	\$405,765.75

FY 2020-2021 SUMMARY OF SIGNAGE AND TECHNOLOGY GRANT REQUESTS | DRAFT ALLOCATIONS

	Trial Court Name	Funded in FY 2019-20?	TOTAL SIGNAGE Request Amount	TOTAL TECHNOLOGY Request Amount	Total Request (Signage & Technology)	Total Proposed Awards (Signage & Technology)
1	Alameda	Yes	\$156,786.00	\$40,000.00	\$196,786.00	\$196,786.00
2	Fresno	Yes	\$3,250.00	\$55,898.63	\$59,148.63	\$59,148.63
3	Kings	Yes	\$48,471.00	\$0.00	\$48,471.00	\$48,471.00
4	Madera	Yes	\$17,056.67	\$0.00	\$17,056.67	\$17,056.67
5	Mendocino	No	\$10,500.00	\$5,700.00	\$16,200.00	\$16,200.00
6	Merced	Yes	\$0.00	\$79,189.07	\$79,189.07	\$79,189.07
7	Napa	No	\$14,500.00	\$6,800.00	\$21,300.00	\$21,300.00
8	Riverside	No	\$0.00	\$50,800.00	\$50,800.00	\$50,800.00
9	Sacramento	Yes	\$85,520.00	\$132,342.00	\$217,862.00	\$217,862.00
10	San Bernardino	No	\$0.00	\$62,924.00	\$62,924.00	\$62,924.00
11	San Diego	No	\$28,247.00	\$97,859.65	\$126,106.65	\$126,106.65
12	San Joaquin	Yes	\$0.00	\$15,615.00	\$15,615.00	\$15,615.00
13	San Mateo	Yes	\$21,718.00	\$63,215.87	\$84,933.87	\$84,933.87
14	Santa Barbara	Yes	\$105,000.00	\$21,020.68	\$126,020.68	\$126,020.68
15	Santa Clara	Yes	\$100,000.00	\$134,989.22	\$234,989.22	\$234,989.22
16	Santa Cruz	Yes	\$77,414.28	\$0.00	\$77,414.28	\$77,414.28
17	Sierra	No	\$0.00	\$2,491.00	\$2,491.00	\$2,491.00
18	Solano	Yes	\$0.00	\$33,132.32	\$33,132.32	\$33,132.32
19	Sonoma	No	\$80,179.00	\$54,821.00	\$135,000.00	\$135,000.00
20	Stanislaus	Yes	\$0.00	\$35,616.62	\$35,616.62	\$35,616.62
21	Sutter	No	\$0.00	\$22,080.00	\$22,080.00	\$22,080.00
22	Ventura	No	\$0.00	\$24,225.00	\$24,225.00	\$24,225.00
23	Yolo	No	\$0.00	\$5,514.19	\$5,514.19	\$5,514.19
	TOTAL		\$748,641.95	\$944,234.25	\$1,692,876.20	\$1,692,876.20

Remaining Allocation	\$251,358.05	\$405,765.75
-----------------------------	---------------------	---------------------

\$657,123.80

FY 2019/2020 SIGNAGE TECHNOLOGY GRANT
TOTAL AWARDS BY COURT

Attachment 2

COUNTY	SIGNAGE AWARD	TECHNOLOGY AWARD	Total Award
1. ALAMEDA	\$ 90,000.00	\$ 80,548.00	\$ 170,548.00
2. AMADOR	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 29,094.00	\$ 49,094.00
3. BUTTE	\$ 57,023.47	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 67,023.47
4. COLUSA	N/A	\$ 2,300.00	\$ 2,300.00
5. DEL NORTE	\$ 2,000.00	N/A	\$ 2,000.00
6. FRESNO	\$ 44,622.44	N/A	\$ 44,622.44
7. IMPERIAL	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 5,500.00	\$ 9,600.00
8. INYO	\$ 10,000.00	N/A	\$ 10,000.00
9. KERN	\$ 1,973.09	\$ 30,704.24	\$ 32,677.33
10. KINGS	\$ 52,863.00	\$ 14,837.42	\$ 67,700.42
11. LASSEN	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 7,000.00
12. LOS ANGELES	\$ 85,000.00	\$ 135,000.00	\$ 220,000.00
13. MADERA	\$ 43,833.49	\$ 18,044.07	\$ 61,877.56
14. MARIN	N/A	\$ 23,080.00	\$ 23,080.00
15. MERCED	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 135,000.00	\$ 210,000.00
16. ORANGE	\$ 89,430.00	\$ 135,000.00	\$ 224,430.00
17. PLACER	N/A	\$ 36,340.00	\$ 36,340.00
18. SACRAMENTO	\$ 13,700.00	\$ 78,492.68	\$ 92,192.68
19. SAN FRANCISCO	\$ 85,000.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 205,000.00
20. SAN JOAQUIN	\$ 57,357.00	N/A	\$ 57,357.00
21. SAN MATEO	N/A	\$ 81,250.00	\$ 81,250.00
22. SANTA BARBARA	\$ 90,000.00	\$ 135,000.00	\$ 225,000.00
23. SANTA CLARA	\$ 90,000.00	\$ 117,776.98	\$ 207,776.98
24. SANTA CRUZ	\$ 57,023.47	\$ 45,746.00	\$ 102,769.47
25. SHASTA	N/A	\$ 34,256.61	\$ 34,256.61
26. SOLANO	\$ 19,817.93	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 34,817.93
27. STANISLAUS	\$ 6,184.00	\$ 7,395.00	\$ 13,579.00
28. TULARE	N/A	\$ 53,635.00	\$ 53,635.00
29. YUBA	\$ 4,072.11	N/A	\$ 4,072.11
	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 1,350,000.00	\$ 2,350,000.00