



# Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

---

## REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

*Item No.: 25-133*

For business meeting on October 24, 2025

---

**Title**

Rules and Forms: New Case Categories for  
*Civil Case Cover Sheet*

**Report Type**

Action Required

**Effective Date**

January 1, 2026

**Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected**

Revise form CM-010

**Date of Report**

July 17, 2025

**Recommended by**

Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee  
Hon. Donald J. Proietti, Chair

**Contact**

Kristin Burford, 916-263-2989

[kristin.burford@jud.ca.gov](mailto:kristin.burford@jud.ca.gov)

Paarth Malkan, 415-865-7588

[paarth.malkan@jud.ca.gov](mailto:paarth.malkan@jud.ca.gov)

Jeremy Varon, 415-865-7424

[jeremy.varon@jud.ca.gov](mailto:jeremy.varon@jud.ca.gov)

---

### Executive Summary

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee and Court Executives Advisory Committee jointly recommend adding new case categories and case types to *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (form CM-010). The addition of the “Comprehensive groundwater adjudication” case type will ensure consistency with both Code of Civil Procedure section 838(b) and the associated pending amendment to rule 3.400(c) of the California Rules of Court. The addition of the Asbestos and Employment Development Department (EDD) case categories will fulfill new data reporting requirements in an upcoming version of the Judicial Branch Statistical Information System and eliminate manual data reporting by courts.

### Recommendation

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee and Court Executives Advisory Committee jointly recommend that the Judicial Council, effective January 1, 2026, revise form CM-010 to

add “Comprehensive groundwater adjudication” as a case type and add Asbestos and Employment Development Department (EDD) as case categories.

### Relevant Previous Council Action

The original version of *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (form 982.2) listed case types in alphabetical order with a corresponding number from 01 to 34. In that version of the form, parties were instructed to write the number corresponding to the appropriate case type in a box at the top of the section instead of checking a box next to the selected case type, as the current form instructs. In subsequent versions of the form, the case types were rearranged by subject matter into case categories, but the number corresponding to each case type was carried over from the original form. In 2003 the council adopted a new case type on *Civil Case Cover Sheet* for uninsured motorist cases, which was added under the Auto Tort case category.<sup>1</sup> This case type was given the next number in sequence, 46.<sup>2</sup>

In October 1996, the council adopted *Policies and Timeline for the Development of a Judicial Branch Statistical Information System*.<sup>3</sup> Effective January 1, 1998, the council adopted rule 996 of the California Rules of Court (renumbered as rule 10.400, effective January 1, 2007).<sup>4</sup> This rule established the requirements for the Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS), which included automatic reporting by each trial court.<sup>5</sup> Effective July 1, 1998, the council adopted the first version of the JBSIS manual.<sup>6</sup> Between 1998 and 2019, adjustments were made to the JBSIS manual to reflect updated reporting requirements and ensure that clarifying language and references to legislative codes remained current. Effective May 18, 2019, the council approved the updated JBSIS manual, version 3.0, which reflected new data definitions that the council had approved in 2018.<sup>7</sup> In July 2022, the Judicial Council approved the proposal to update data reporting standards from JBSIS version 3.0 to the upcoming version 4.0.<sup>8</sup> The

---

<sup>1</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (Apr. 2, 2003).

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>3</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Policies and Timeline for the Development of a Judicial Branch Statistical Information System* (Sept. 23, 1996).

<sup>4</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS) Reporting Requirements* (May 5, 1997).

<sup>5</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>6</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Proposed Judicial Branch Statistical Information Manual* (June 3, 1998).

<sup>7</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Judicial Branch Administration: Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS) Version 3.0 Manual* (Apr. 10, 2019), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7214076&GUID=6F9A30EE-17DF-431D-B952-0198DE135ADA>.

<sup>8</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Judicial Branch: Revisions to the Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS)* (June 24, 2022), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11014325&GUID=42A68D4E-6A64-4BD3-9F67-268811FD21A5>.

updates include adding Asbestos and Employment Development Department (EDD) as new case types to the Civil Limited and Civil Unlimited reports.

## **Analysis/Rationale**

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee (CSCAC) and Court Executives Advisory Committee (CEAC) recommend the addition of two new case categories and three new case types to *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (form CM-010). These changes will ensure consistent data reporting standards across *Civil Case Cover Sheet* and JBSIS 4.0 and conform the cover sheet to statute. Additionally, some minor technical changes were made as indicated in highlights on the attached form CM-010.

### **Comprehensive groundwater adjudication**

One case category on *Civil Case Cover Sheet* is Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403). The case types listed in this category reflect those that receive a provisional designation of “complex” under rule 3.400(c). Code of Civil Procedure section 838 provides that comprehensive groundwater adjudications are presumed to be complex under rule 3.400. Accordingly, in a separate report, the CSCAC recommends amending rule 3.400(c) to reflect this change in the law by adding “Comprehensive groundwater adjudication” to the list of case types in that rule that are provisionally designated as complex.<sup>9</sup> Consistent with that recommendation, the committees recommend revising *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to reflect the provisional designation of such cases as complex.

### **Asbestos and Employment Development Department**

CEAC recommends adding Asbestos and Employment Development Department (EDD) as distinct case categories on *Civil Case Cover Sheet*. Currently, *Civil Case Cover Sheet* includes “Asbestos” as a case type under the Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort case category, and EDD cases fall under the “Other employment” case type within the Employment case category. However, JBSIS does not capture those specific data points because it maps to the case categories from form CM-010, not the case types. Given this limitation, Judicial Council staff manually collect this data annually from courts for use in the Resource Assessment Study and the Judicial Needs Assessment. The addition of Asbestos and Employment Development Department (EDD) as distinct case categories will enable JBSIS 4.0 to collect these data points and eliminate the need for additional manual data reporting by court staff.

Adding case categories also requires adding case type checkboxes for each new case category to maintain consistent formatting within *Civil Case Cover Sheet*. The case type for the Employment Development Department (EDD) case category is “EDD decision review,” and the asbestos case type is “Asbestos.” Although the Asbestos case category and case type share the same title, the form already employs this nomenclature for the Enforcement of Judgment category.

---

<sup>9</sup> See Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Rules and Forms: Comprehensive Adjudications of Groundwater Rights* (pending), 25-133.

Accordingly, the committees recommend revising *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to add Asbestos and Employment Development Department (EDD) as separate case categories, add “EDD decision review” as a case type under the respective case category, and move the “Asbestos” case type from the Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort case category to the Asbestos case category.

### **Policy implications**

The committees recommend revising *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to ensure consistent data reporting standards with JBSIS 4.0. This will reduce operational demands by eliminating the need for manual data reporting of asbestos and EDD cases by court staff. The revisions also will conform the form to a simultaneous rule amendment made to conform the rule to statute. This policy decision was made by the Legislature.

### **Comments**

The committees solicited public comments on this proposal from April 14 to May 23, 2025, as part of the council’s regular spring invitation-to-comment cycle. Of the four comments received, two were from courts, one was from the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and the Court Executives Advisory Committee Joint Rules Subcommittee (Joint Rules Subcommittee), and one was from an individual. The commenters agreed with the proposal or did not indicate a position. The substantive comments and the committees’ responses are summarized below.

Due to the additions of the new case categories and types, the informational section containing case type examples has shifted from page two to page three. The Joint Rules Subcommittee suggested that the form remain two pages because litigants may forget to print or address the third page. Although the first two pages are the only pages of the form that require responses from litigants, if court staff receive only the first two pages but see that the form has three pages, it may cause confusion and delay. Based on this comment, staff’s initial recommendation to both the JBSIS Subcommittee of CEAC and the Case Management and Environmental Law (CMEL) Subcommittee of CSCAC was to shorten the form to two pages and move the third informational page online. After the JBSIS Subcommittee voted to adopt staff’s recommendation, the CMEL Subcommittee members raised an additional consideration about access to information in the form for self-represented litigants without internet access. Based on this consideration, the CMEL Subcommittee voted to keep the third page of the form but – to address the concern about the third page not being included – add a disclaimer at the bottom of the second page that reads, “SEE PAGE 3 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY”.

An individual suggested changing the form’s reference to the collections case limit from \$25,000 to \$35,000 because the CSCAC is simultaneously proposing an increase to this limit.<sup>10</sup> The committees agree with this comment and recommend that the revised form, which is anticipated

---

<sup>10</sup> See Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Civil Practice and Procedure: Amendment of the Collections Case Rule* (pending).

to go into effect on the same date as the increased collections case limit (January 1, 2026), reflect this increased limit.

### **Alternatives considered**

The JBSIS and CMEL Subcommittees considered the alternative of keeping the list of case type examples as part of the form. To avoid filing issues and make this information easier to maintain, the JBSIS Subcommittee voted to keep the form to two pages and post the list of case type examples online. Following the JBSIS Subcommittee's vote, the CMEL Subcommittee concluded that it was most appropriate to keep the information as page 3 of the form to ensure self-represented litigants are able to reference the information even without internet access. It should be noted that because the JBSIS Subcommittee held their vote prior to the CMEL Subcommittee, they did not have the opportunity to consider the CMEL Subcommittee's reason to keep the third page. Following the subcommittees' votes, CSCAC voted in-line with the CMEL Subcommittee to keep the third page of the form, and to authorize the committee chair to reach a consensus with the CEAC chair if the committees vote differently. Due to this difference in recommendations from the JBSIS Subcommittee and CSCAC, CEAC should consider whether to keep the information on the third page of the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* as part of the form. CEAC should also consider authorizing the chair to reach a consensus with the CSCAC chair if the committees vote differently.

Because the additions to *Civil Case Cover Sheet* are for the form to serve its purpose of accurately reporting case types and to streamline data reporting under the new standard, the committees did not consider the alternative of no form revision.

### **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

The committees anticipate that this proposal could require training for court staff and judicial officers, but any such training would already have been required to implement the statutory requirement that comprehensive groundwater adjudications be presumed complex under rule 3.400. The addition of the Asbestos and Employment Development Department (EDD) case categories will eliminate the need for manual data reporting by court staff, reducing operational demands.

Additionally, revision of *Civil Case Cover Sheet* will require coordination between the Judicial Council, the courts, and case management system (CMS) vendors to ensure that the new case categories are correctly mapped in the CMS and configured in Judicial Council data reporting. Any changes required in the CMS for asbestos and EDD data reporting will be integrated into the implementation of JBSIS 4.0. Courts will likely incur costs to incorporate the revised forms into paper or electronic processes.

### **Attachments and Links**

1. Form CM-010, at pages 6–8
2. Chart of comments, at pages 9–11

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY NAME: FIRM NAME: STREET ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP CODE: TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO.: EMAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (name):	<b>FOR COURT USE ONLY</b>  <b>DRAFT</b>  <b>2025-06-24</b>  <b>Not approved by the Judicial Council</b>
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF</b> STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH NAME:	
CASE NAME:	
<b>CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unlimited</b> (Amount demanded exceeds \$35,000) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Limited</b> (Amount demanded is \$35,000 or less)	<b>Complex Case Designation</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)
	CASE NUMBER:  JUDGE: DEPT.:

*Items 1–6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).*

1. Check **one** box below for the case type that best describes this case:

**Auto Tort**

- ☐ Auto (22)  
☐ Uninsured motorist (46)

**Asbestos**

- ☐ Asbestos (04)

**Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort**

- ☐ Product liability (24)  
☐ Medical malpractice (45)  
☐ Other PI/PD/WD (23)

**Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort**

- ☐ Business tort/Unfair business practice (07)  
☐ Civil rights (08)  
☐ Defamation (13)  
☐ Fraud (16)  
☐ Intellectual property (19)  
☐ Professional negligence (25)  
☐ Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)

**Employment**

- ☐ Wrongful termination (36)  
☐ Other employment (15)

**Contract**

- ☐ Breach of contract/warranty (06)  
☐ Rule 3.740 collections (09)  
☐ Other collections (09)  
☐ Insurance coverage (18)  
☐ Other contract (37)

**Real Property**

- ☐ Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)  
☐ Wrongful eviction (33)  
☐ Other real property (26)

**Unlawful Detainer**

- ☐ Commercial (31)  
☐ Residential (32)  
☐ Drugs (38)

**Judicial Review**

- ☐ Asset forfeiture (05)  
☐ Petition re arbitration award (11)  
☐ Writ of mandate (02)  
☐ Other judicial review (39)

**Employment Development Department (EDD)**

- ☐ EDD decision review (48)

**Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)**

- ☐ Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)  
☐ Construction defect (10)  
☐ Mass tort (40)  
☐ Securities litigation (28)  
☐ Environmental/Toxic tort (30)  
☐ Comprehensive groundwater adjudication (47)  
☐ Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41)

**Enforcement of Judgment**

- ☐ Enforcement of judgment (20)

**Miscellaneous Civil Complaint**

- ☐ RICO (27)  
☐ Other complaint (*not specified above*) (42)

**Miscellaneous Civil Petition**

- ☐ Partnership and corporate governance (21)  
☐ Other petition (*not specified above*) (43)

2. Is this case complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:

- a. ☐ Large number of separately represented parties  
 b. ☐ Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve  
 c. ☐ Substantial amount of documentary evidence  
 d. ☐ Large number of witnesses  
 e. ☐ Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court  
 f. ☐ Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (*check all that apply*):  
 a. ☐ monetary  
 b. ☐ nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief  
 c. ☐ punitive
4. Number of causes of action (*specify*):
5. Is this case a class action suit? ☐ Yes ☐ No
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (*You may use form CM-015.*)

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

#### NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 of the California Rules of Court or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

#### INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

**To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers.** If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you **must** complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on **pages 1 and 2**. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check **one** box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

**To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases.** A "collections case" under rule 3.740 of the California Rules of Court is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than **\$35,000**, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

**To Parties in Complex Cases.** In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

**SEE PAGE 3 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY.**

## CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

**Auto Tort**

Auto (22)–Personal Injury/Property Damage/  
Wrongful Death  
Uninsured Motorist (46) *(if the case involves  
an uninsured motorist claim subject to  
arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)*

**Asbestos**

Asbestos (04)  
Asbestos Property Damage  
Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death

**Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/****Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort**

Product Liability *(not asbestos or toxic/  
environmental)* (24)  
Medical Malpractice (45)  
Medical Malpractice–Physicians &  
Surgeons  
Other Professional Health Care  
Malpractice  
Other PI/PD/WD (23)  
Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)  
Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g.,  
assault, vandalism)  
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress  
Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress  
Other PI/PD/WD

**Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort**

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)  
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest)  
*(not civil harassment)* (08)  
Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)  
Fraud (16)  
Intellectual Property (19)  
Professional Negligence (25)  
Legal Malpractice  
Other Professional Malpractice *(not  
medical or legal)*  
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

**Employment**

Wrongful Termination (36)  
Other Employment (15)

**Contract**

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)  
Breach of Rental/Lease Contract *(not  
unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)*  
Contract/Warranty Breach–Seller Plaintiff  
*(not fraud or negligence)*  
Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty  
Other Breach of Contract/Warranty  
Collections (e.g., money owed, open book  
accounts) (09)  
Collections Case–Seller Plaintiff  
Other Promissory Note/Collections Case  
Insurance Coverage *(not provisionally  
complex)* (18)  
Auto Subrogation  
Other Coverage  
Other Contract (37)  
Contractual Fraud  
Other Contract Dispute

**Real Property**

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)  
Wrongful Eviction (33)  
Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)  
Writ of Possession of Real Property  
Mortgage Foreclosure  
Quiet Title  
Other Real Property *(not eminent  
domain, landlord-tenant, or  
foreclosure)*

**Unlawful Detainer**

Commercial (31)  
Residential (32)  
Drugs (38) *(if the case involves illegal drugs,  
check this item; otherwise, report as  
Commercial or Residential)*

**Judicial Review**

Asset Forfeiture (05)  
Petition **re** Arbitration Award (11)  
Writ of Mandate (02)  
Writ–Administrative Mandamus  
Writ–Mandamus on Limited Court Case  
Matter  
Writ–Other Limited Court Case Review  
Other Judicial Review (39)  
Review of Health Officer Order  
Notice of Appeal–Labor Commissioner  
Appeals

**Employment Development Department (EDD)**

EDD Decision Review (48) *(if the case  
involves an Employment Development  
Department decision, check this item  
instead of Wrongful Termination or Other  
Employment)*

**Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.****Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)**

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)  
Construction Defect (10)  
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)  
Securities Litigation (28)  
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)  
Comprehensive Groundwater Adjudication  
(47)  
Insurance Coverage Claims *(arising from  
provisionally complex case type listed  
above)* (41)

**Enforcement of Judgment**

Enforcement of Judgment (20)  
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)  
Confession of Judgment *(non-domestic  
relations)*  
Sister-State Judgment  
Administrative Agency Award *(not unpaid  
taxes)*  
Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment  
on Unpaid Taxes  
Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

**Miscellaneous Civil Complaint**

RICO (27)  
Other Complaint *(not specified above)* (42)  
Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief  
Only *(non-harassment)*  
Mechanic's Lien  
Other Commercial Complaint Case *(non-  
tort/non-complex)*  
Other Civil Complaint *(non-tort/non-  
complex)*

**Miscellaneous Civil Petition**

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)  
Other Petition *(not specified above)* (43)  
Civil Harassment  
Workplace Violence  
Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse  
Election Contest  
Petition for Name Change  
Petition for Relief From Late Claim  
Other Civil Petition



## ITC SPR25-04

### Rules and Forms: New Case Categories for *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (Amend form CM-010)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
1.	Julia A. Goren Author/Publisher of Litigation by the Numbers	NI	Page 2 of the form defines Collections Case as having a \$25K limit, but SPR25-08 proposes to raise that to \$35K as of Jan 2026. It would be great to make that change simultaneously to avoid the need for another revision.	The committees will recommend this change.
2.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County by Stephanie Kuo	A	In response to the Judicial Council of California's ITC, "Rules and Forms: New Case Categories for Civil Case Cover Sheet," the Court agrees with the proposal and has no other comments.	The committees appreciate the information provided.
3.	Superior Court of San Bernardino County by Staff Civil Committee for the Superior Court	NI	Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose?  Yes, the proposal appropriately addresses the stated purpose.	The committees appreciate the information provided.
			Does any court or justice partner use the parenthetical number next to each case category?  Not aware if the parenthetical number is being used in the case management system at time of filing, or simply identifying the case category/type is enough.	The committees appreciate the information provided.

Positions: A = Agree; AM = Agree if modified; N = Do not agree

## ITC SPR25-04

### Rules and Forms: New Case Categories for *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (Amend form CM-010)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Would the proposal provide cost savings? If so, please quantify  No cost savings.	The committees appreciate the information provided.
		What would the implementation requirements be for courts—for example, training staff (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and procedures (please describe), changing docket codes in case management systems, or modifying case management systems?  Minimal change will be required. Clerk's Office, Judicial Assistants, and Judges would need to be informed of the change. This can be done by email. No additional training required. Changes in the case management system would be needed for JBSIS purposes. This is what would take time.	The committees appreciate the information provided.
		Would two months from Judicial Council approval of this proposal until its effective date provide sufficient time for implementation?  Possibly 2 months may be enough time.	The committees appreciate the information provided.
		How well would this proposal work in courts of different sizes?  This will work well in any size court.	The committees appreciate the information provided.

Positions: A = Agree; AM = Agree if modified; N = Do not agree

## ITC SPR25-04

### Rules and Forms: New Case Categories for *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (Amend form CM-010)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
4.	Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and Court Executives Advisory Committee Joint Rules Subcommittee (TCPJAC/CEAC Joint Rules Subcommittee)	A	<b>JRS Position: Agree</b> with proposed changes.  The JRS notes that the proposal is required to conform to a change of law.	The committees appreciate the information provided.
			The JRS notes that the proposal is required to conform to a change of law.  The JRS also notes the following impact to court operations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Impact on existing automated systems<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Update Efile and case management system.</li></ul></li><li>• Results in additional training, which requires the commitment of staff time and court resources.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Training staff and updating Self Help Center packets.</li></ul></li><li>• Other fiscal or operational impacts.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Small fiscal impact: Auto JBSIS reporting for asbestos cases.</li></ul></li></ul>	The committees appreciate the information provided.
			<b>Suggested modification:</b> Court staff strongly prefers that this form remain two pages. People often forget to print or address the third page. This could increase the workload to address.	The committees appreciate the information provided and will recommend this change.

Positions: A = Agree; AM = Agree if modified; N = Do not agree