



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

CENTER FOR JUDICIAL EDUCATION AND
RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**CJER Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes
July 29, 2020
Teleconference
Open Meeting**

Present: Hon. Kimberly A. Gaab, Hon. Darrell S. Mavis, Hon. Carlos M. Cabrera, Hon. Michele M. Castillo, Hon. Michael A. Fagalde, Hon. Janet Gaard, Mr. Jason Galkin, Hon. Richard D. Huffman, Hon. Mark A. Juhas, Hon. Michael A. Knish, Mr. Kevin J. Lane, Hon. Mary Ann O'Malley, Hon. Gayle L. Peron, Robert J. Trentacosta, Dr. Cindy Van Schooten

Staff: Ms. Karene Alvarado, Mr. Jason Mayo, Ms. Lynn Muscat, Ms. Rhonda Sharbono, Mr. Steven Warner, Ms. Hazel Houle

Not Present: Mr. Joseph Ford, Hon. Michael S. Groch, Ms. Bonnie Sloan, Hon. Daniel Zeke Zeidler, Dr. Mary Ann Koory

Welcome

Judge Gaab started the meeting at 12:00 p.m.

Proposed Amendment to Rule of Court Rule 10.469 (mandatory bias and sexual harassment prevention education) [Action Item]

Judge Gaab noted that this amendment will be proposed to the Judicial Council at their September meeting, therefore the CJER Advisory Committee will take a formal vote on the proposed amendment in this open meeting.

Judge Juhas moved to approve and Justice Huffman seconded approval of the committee response. The motion was unanimously approved.

Draft New Rule of Court 10.492 [Action Item]

Judge Gaab noted that the CJER Advisory Committee has been seeking input on the draft new rule from various advisory bodies. She said that in response to input from the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee, the CJER Advisory Committee has revised the draft rule to reflect that judges are expected (not required) to complete education hours. In response to

input from the Appellate Advisory Committee, language was also added to the Advisory Committee Comment to clarify that this extension does not apply to the qualifying ethics education program requirements. She noted this new rule will be proposed to the Judicial Council at their November meeting.

Judge Mavis moved and Judge Peron seconded approval of the draft rule of court. The motion was unanimously approved.

Meeting adjourned at 12:10 p.m.