



# Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

---

## REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

*Item No. 25-069*

For business meeting on July 18, 2025

---

**Title**

Language Access Plan: Allocations for Signage and Technology Grant Program, Cycle 7, Fiscal Year 2025–26

**Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected**

None

**Recommended by**

Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness

Hon. Kevin C. Brazile, Cochair

Hon. Victor A. Rodriguez, Cochair

Language Access Subcommittee

Hon. Victor A. Rodriguez, Chair

Information Technology Advisory Committee

Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair

Hon. Samantha P. Jessner, Vice-Chair

**Report Type**

Action Required

**Effective Date**

July 18, 2025

**Date of Report**

April 28, 2025

**Contact**

Eunice Lee, Supervising Analyst

Language Access Implementation Unit

415-865-7748

[eunice.lee@jud.ca.gov](mailto:eunice.lee@jud.ca.gov)

Irene Balajadia, Senior Analyst

Language Access Implementation Unit

415-865-8833

[irene.balajadia@jud.ca.gov](mailto:irene.balajadia@jud.ca.gov)

---

### Executive Summary

The Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness (PAF) and the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) recommend approving proposed grant awards for the Language Access Signage and Technology (S&T) Grant Program of \$2.35 million to improve services and expand language access for court users. For Cycle 7 (fiscal year 2025–26), 17 courts applied for and will be awarded grants for signage and technology projects.

### Recommendation

The Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness and the Information Technology Advisory Committee recommend that the Judicial Council, effective July 18, 2025:

1. Approve the proposed allocations of \$707,792.00 for signage and \$1,642,208 for technology, totaling \$2.35 million for the Language Access Signage and Technology Grant Program for fiscal year (FY) 2025–26; and
2. Direct staff to work with Branch Accounting and Procurement to draft and execute intra-branch agreements with each awarded court.

The proposed allocations and summary of the requests for funding are included as Attachment A.

### **Relevant Previous Council Action**

In January 2015, the Judicial Council adopted the *Strategic Plan for Language Access in the California Courts*.<sup>1</sup> The plan provides recommendations, guidance, and a consistent statewide approach to ensure language access for all of California’s approximately 6.4 million limited-English-proficient (LEP) residents, as well as those who are deaf or hard of hearing.

The Budget Act of 2018 (Stats. 2018, ch. 29) included \$2.55 million in ongoing funding for language access signage and technology infrastructure support and equipment needs for the trial courts and the Judicial Council. On September 24, 2019, the Judicial Council adopted a process to annually disburse these S&T grants to the trial courts and directed Language Access Services staff to solicit and review grant applications and develop recommendations for review and approval by the Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness, the Information Technology Advisory Committee, the Technology Committee, and the Judicial Council.<sup>2</sup>

Under the Language Access Signage and Technology Grant Program, courts may be eligible to receive up to \$200,000 for signage projects and up to \$270,000 for technology projects. If total requests are under the annual allocation for each category, then larger amounts may be requested and approved by the council for grants to expend funding.<sup>3</sup>

This is the seventh year of the Language Access Signage and Technology Grant Program (Cycle 7). For Cycle 6, the council approved S&T grants to all 18 trial courts that applied under the \$2.35 million annual allocation.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Available at [https://languageaccess.courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/partners/default/2024-01/CLASP\\_report\\_060514.pdf](https://languageaccess.courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/partners/default/2024-01/CLASP_report_060514.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> See Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Language Access Plan: Signage and Technology Grants* (Sept. 9, 2019), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7675626&GUID=F2CCA714-356A-41B7-82B5-05C058CE0D6E>.

<sup>3</sup> See Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Language Access Plan: Signage and Technology Grant Program, Fiscal Year 2021–22: Requests and Proposed Allocations* (Sept. 30, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9942092&GUID=5220FB28-A269-47DA-BAAD-4D8A89638903>.

<sup>4</sup> See Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Language Access Plan: Proposed Allocations for Signage and Technology Grant Program, Cycle 6, Fiscal Year 2024–25* (Oct. 22, 2024), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=13392861&GUID=20566B41-E6E8-449F-A7E9-B56EDC8B92A8>.

## **Analysis/Rationale**

To support judicial branch language access expansion efforts, the Budget Act of 2018 included ongoing funding of \$1 million per year for language access signage and \$1.55 million per year for language access technology infrastructure support and equipment needs. Of the \$1.55 million for technology, \$200,000 is dedicated to the Judicial Council for updates to the Language Access Toolkit resources, which now include multilingual resources on the California Courts Self-Help Guide site, and other council language access resource development, including translation of court forms and web content. The amount available to trial courts for technology is, therefore, \$1.35 million each year. With the \$1 million available for signage funding, the total grant amount available to trial courts each year is \$2.35 million.

Following are the goals of the Language Access Signage and Technology Grant Program, which are aimed at enhancing court access for LEP, deaf, or hard of hearing court users:

- Support courts with the development of multilingual signage to help court users navigate the courthouse.
- Assist courts that may need equipment or software that will facilitate communication with court users and the courts.
- Allocate funds to as many trial courts as possible within the given budget to support language access signage and technology initiatives.
- Fund enhancements that provide court users with greater access to the courts and to information in the languages needed to serve court users.
- Encourage courts to establish an ongoing plan that coordinates with other facilities planning and/or with planned or ongoing technology initiatives that support language access as a core service of the court.

On February 5, 2025, Judicial Council Information Technology released a memorandum to courts on how to request funding for various technology grant opportunities via a single application process. The deadline for courts to apply was February 28, 2025.

Judicial Council staff coordinated the review of Cycle 7 Language Access Signage and Technology Grant requests with the other technology funding requests (i.e., IT Modernization Funding Program and Jury Management Systems Grant (JMSG)) to ensure that no court would receive duplicate funding for the same project.

For Cycle 7, 17 courts applied for signage and technology needs. The majority of the projects proposed by all 17 courts can be fully funded, with the following adjustments: one court's signage award will be capped at the maximum award limit; two courts submitted a jury related project, and those two projects are being considered by JMSG; and one court submitted four proposals, of which, two did not meet program requirements, one was withdrawn, leaving one for consideration.

The total recommended signage allocation is \$707,792 leaving \$292,208 unallocated in the signage category. The remaining funding from the signage category is allowed to go toward

related technology category projects as long as they are language access projects that benefit LEP, deaf, or hard of hearing court users. As in prior cycles, the recommendation is to apply this \$292,208 in unallocated signage funds to the technology category.

For the technology category, all but two courts can be fully funded for their requested amounts. Those two courts had requests exceeding the maximum limits. Three courts will receive the maximum technology award of \$270,000. One court will receive a higher technology award of \$300,250 because, upon consultation, the court requested that grant funding go towards one project only; and despite exceeding the standard technology funding limit, the court’s total grant award will remain below the \$470,000 total received by the other three courts across both signage and technology categories. See Attachment A for details.

The proposed allocations were reviewed and approved by the Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness on April 17, the Information Technology Advisory Committee on April 28, and the Technology Committee on May 5 (TBD).

**Proposed Allocations: Signage and Technology Grant Program, Cycle 7 (FY 2025–26), in Dollars**

County of Trial Court	Signage Award	Technology Award	Total Proposed Award
Alameda	—	71,366.00	71,366.00
Fresno	34,365.00	—	34,365.00
Kern	—	55,029.00	55,029.00
Los Angeles	200,000.00	270,000.00	470,000.00
Madera	6,427.00	975.00	7,402.00
Monterey	—	115,460.00	115,460.00
Orange	200,000.00	270,000.00	470,000.00
Riverside	—	300,250.00	300,250.00
Sacramento	14,500.00	45,000.00	59,500.00
San Benito	—	25,125.00	25,125.00
San Diego	30,000.00	20,000.00	50,000.00
San Francisco	200,000.00	270,000.00	470,000.00
San Mateo	22,500.00	7,200.00	29,700.00
Santa Cruz	—	11,000.00	11,000.00
Solano	—	115,425.00	115,425.00
Stanislaus	—	11,000.00	11,000.00
Ventura	—	54,378.00	54,378.00
	<b>\$707,792.00</b>	<b>\$1,642,208.00</b>	<b>\$2,350,000.00</b>

The table below summarizes the number of eligible project requests by signage grant category.

**Signage Grant Projects**

<b>Category #</b>	<b>Signage Grant Project</b>	<b>Total # of Projects</b>
1	Translation of Signage	0
2	Court Websites & Wayfinding Translations	2
3	Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies	6
4	Automated Queue-Management System	1
5	Multilingual Nonelectronic Signage	2
<b>Total Signage Grant Projects</b>		<b>11</b>

The table below summarizes the number of eligible project requests by technology grant category.

**Technology Grant Projects**

<b>Category #</b>	<b>Technology Grant Project</b>	<b>Total # of Projects</b>
1	Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions to Support Language Access	3
2	Interpreter Equipment	6
3	Scheduling or Other Software	0
4	Multilingual Videos	0
5	Audiovisual Systems Upgrade	3
6	Multilingual Kiosks	4
<b>Total Technology Grant Projects</b>		<b>16</b>

The above two tables summarize the total number of eligible projects requested; some courts requested multiple projects. As a result, the total number of projects exceeds the total number of courts that applied this cycle. For a high-level description of all the grant projects, see Attachment A.

**Policy implications**

Under the grant program, courts can apply for funding for audio or video remote solutions to support language access, including video remote interpreting (VRI), if permitted by their memorandums of understanding and any other agreements between court administration and court employees or independent contractors. All courts, including courts that participate in the

grant program and request funding for VRI equipment, will be asked to follow the council’s VRI guidelines for interpreted court events in spoken languages and American Sign Language.<sup>5</sup>

### **Comments**

Public comments were not solicited for this proposal because the recommendations are within the Judicial Council’s purview to approve without circulation.

### **Alternatives considered**

No alternatives were considered because the recommended allocations were calculated using the funding methodology approved by the Judicial Council.

### **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

Funding assists courts with language access signage and technology initiatives. Courts may use grant funding for facilities modification costs that directly relate to the purpose of the grant—for signage or technology—as long as the anticipated facility modification costs are built into the total grant amount. The Signage and Technology Grant Program’s application cycle renews annually; however, all state funding is subject to budget approval. The program encourages courts to develop diverse funding plans that align with other facilities or technology initiatives underway or are planned in their court to support language access.

### **Attachments and Links**

1. Attachment A: Signage and Technology Grant Program, FY 2025–26: Proposed Allocations

---

<sup>5</sup> See Judicial Council of Cal., *Recommended Guidelines and Minimum Specifications for Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) for Spoken Language-Interpreted Events* (May 21, 2021), <https://languageaccess.courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/partners/default/2023-07/vri-guidelines.pdf>.

See Judicial Council of Cal., *Recommended Guidelines for Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) for ASL-Interpreted Events* (2012), <https://languageaccess.courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/partners/default/2023-07/cip-asl-vri-guidelines.pdf>.

**Attachment A: Signage and Technology Grant Program, FY 2025-26: Proposed Allocations  
(Signage)**

#	Trial Court Name	SIGNAGE Project Description(s):	TOTAL SIGNAGE Requested	PROPOSED SIGNAGE Awards
1	Fresno	Digital Docket and Wayfinding Signs - <b>Signage 3 Project: Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies</b>	34,365.00	34,365.00
2	Los Angeles	CourtWays: Enhancing Courthouse Navigation Through Digital Signage and Wayfinding - <b>Signage 3 Project: Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies</b>	200,000.00	200,000.00
3	Madera	Wayfinding Kiosks (Update for new courtroom & related Translation Services) - <b>Signage 3 Project: Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies</b>	3,120.00	3,120.00
		Multilingual Non-electronic Signage - Addition of New Courtroom - <b>Signage 5 Project: Multilingual Non-Electronic Signage</b>	3,307.00	3,307.00
4	Orange	Self-Help Kiosks - <b>Signage 3 Project: Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies</b>	270,000.00	200,000.00
5	Sacramento	Multilingual Signage - <b>Signage 5 Project: Multilingual Non-Electronic Signage</b>	14,500.00	14,500.00
6	San Diego	Digital Calendar Board for Courthouse Lobby - <b>Signage 3 Project: Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies</b>	30,000.00	30,000.00
7	San Francisco	ACCESS Center PARTWAYS Virtual WayFinder - <b>Signage 3 Project: Multilingual Wayfinding Strategies</b>	200,000.00	200,000.00
8	San Mateo	Bilingual Family Court Services Orientation Video Update - <b>Signage 2 Project: Court Websites Wayfinding Translations</b>	\$9,000.00	\$9,000.00
		Multilingual Online Wayfinding - <b>Signage 2 Project: Court Websites Wayfinding Translations</b>	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00
		Line Queueing Upgrade - <b>Signage 4 Project: Automated Queue Management System</b>	\$11,000.00	\$11,000.00
			<b>\$777,792.00</b>	<b>\$707,792.00</b>

**Signage and Technology Grant Program, FY 2025-26: Proposed Allocations (Technology)**

#	Trial Court Name	TECHNOLOGY Project Description(s):	TOTAL TECHNOLOGY Requested	PROPOSED TECHNOLOGY Awards
1	Alameda	Courtroom Microphones and Installation - <b>Technology 5 Project:</b> Audiovisual Systems Upgrade	71,366.00	71,366.00
2	Kern	Courthouse Technology - <b>Technology 6 Project:</b> Multilingual Kiosks	55,029.00	55,029.00
3	Los Angeles	CourtWays: Enhancing Courthouse Navigation Through Digital Signage and Wayfinding - <b>Technology 6 Project:</b> Multilingual Kiosks	12,690,509.00	270,000.00
4	Madera	Language Access & Translation Services - <b>Technology 2 Project:</b> Interpreter Equipment	975.00	975.00
5	Monterey	Interpretation Hardware Replacement - <b>Technology 2 Project:</b> Interpreter Equipment	115,460.00	115,460.00
6	Orange	Video Remote Interpreting Equipment Kits - <b>Technology 1 Project:</b> Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions	270,000.00	270,000.00
7	Riverside	Assisted Listening Device - <b>Technology 5 Project:</b> Audiovisual Systems Upgrade	550,000.00	300,250.00
8	Sacramento	Wayfinding Kiosks - <b>Technology 6 Project:</b> Multilingual Kiosks	45,000.00	45,000.00
9	San Benito	Virtual Public County for Self Help Center - <b>Technology 1 Project:</b> Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions	25,125.00	25,125.00
10	San Diego	Interpreter Audio Equipment - <b>Technology 2 Project:</b> Interpreter Equipment	20,000.00	20,000.00
11	San Francisco	ACCESS Center PARTWAYS Virtual Wayfinder - <b>Technology 6 Project:</b> Multilingual Kiosks	270,000.00	270,000.00
12	San Mateo	Interpreter Equipment Enhancements - <b>Technology 2 Project:</b> Interpreter Equipment	7,200.00	7,200.00
13	Santa Cruz	Interpretation Equipment Refresh - <b>Technology 2 Project:</b> Interpreter Equipment	11,000.00	11,000.00
14	Solano	Courtroom A/V Upgrades - <b>Technology 1 Project:</b> Telephonic/Video Remote Solutions	115,425.00	115,425.00
15	Stanislaus	Update Interpreter Equipment - <b>Technology 2 Project:</b> Interpreter Equipment	11,000.00	11,000.00
16	Ventura	Digital Signage Installation - <b>Technology 5 Project:</b> Audiovisual Systems Upgrade	54,378.00	54,378.00
			<b>\$14,312,467.00</b>	<b>\$1,642,208.00</b>

**Signage and Technology Grant Program, FY 2025–26: Proposed Allocations (Summary)**

#	Trial Court Name	Funded in FY 2019-2020	Funded in FY 2020-2021	Funded in FY 2021-2022	Funded in FY 2022-2023	Funded in FY 2023-2024	Funded in FY 2024-2025	Funded in FY 2025-2026	SIGNAGE AWARD	TECHNOLOGY AWARD	TOTAL PROPOSED AWARDS
1	<b>Alameda</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		71,366.00	71,366.00
2	<b>Fresno</b>	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	34,365.00		34,365.00
3	<b>Kern</b>	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes		55,029.00	55,029.00
4	<b>Los Angeles</b>	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	200,000.00	270,000.00	470,000.00
5	<b>Madera</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	6,427.00	975.00	7,402.00
6	<b>Monterey</b>	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		115,460.00	115,460.00
7	<b>Orange</b>	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	200,000.00	270,000.00	470,000.00
8	<b>Riverside</b>	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		300,250.00	300,250.00
9	<b>Sacramento</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	14,500.00	45,000.00	59,500.00
10	<b>San Benito</b>	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		25,125.00	25,125.00
11	<b>San Diego</b>	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	30,000.00	20,000.00	50,000.00
12	<b>San Francisco</b>	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	200,000.00	270,000.00	470,000.00
13	<b>San Mateo</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	22,500.00	7,200.00	29,700.00
14	<b>Santa Cruz</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		11,000.00	11,000.00
15	<b>Solano</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		115,425.00	115,425.00
16	<b>Stanislaus</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes		11,000.00	11,000.00
17	<b>Ventura</b>	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		54,378.00	54,378.00
									<b>\$707,792.00</b>	<b>\$1,642,208.00</b>	<b>\$2,350,000.00</b>



## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688  
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

---

### MEMORANDUM

---

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action Requested</b>
April 25, 2025	Please Review
<b>To</b>	<b>Deadline</b>
Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair Hon. Maria D. Hernandez, Vice-Chair Judicial Council Technology Committee	N/A
<b>From</b>	<b>Contact</b>
Andraé M. Randolph, Principal Manager, Information Technology	Angela Gulley, Principal Manager Information Technology 916-643-6907 angela.gulley@jud.ca.gov
<b>Subject</b>	Scott Livingston, Sr. Business Systems Analyst Information Technology 916-643-6932 scott.livingston@jud.ca.gov
Jury Management System Grant Applications and Proposed Allocations FY 2025–26	

---

#### **Background**

The Jury Management System (JMS) Grant Program began in fiscal year (FY) 2000–01. Initially, the fund allocations were designed to help courts migrate from DOS-based systems to Windows-based systems. With the advent of the One Day or One Trial Jury Service program<sup>1</sup>, these grants evolved to increase jury management efficiency with Interactive Voice Response (IVR)/Interactive Web Response (IWR) systems, imaging, self-check-in kiosks, check writing, and other modules that reduce court costs and improve the juror experience.

---

<sup>1</sup> Available at: <https://courts.ca.gov/system/files/2024-08/onedayonetrial.pdf>.

The JMS Grant Program is funded through royalties generated by Judicial Council-developed jury instructions. The available grant funding varies each year. It is anticipated that the program's budget will be \$500,000 for FY 2025–26.

The Technology Committee will review the proposed grant allocations on May 5. If approved, the Judicial Council will consider final approval on July 18. Once approved, staff will begin establishing Intrabranch Agreements with the courts. If the program's budget changes, staff will return to seek approvals of a revised list of project and funding recommendations.

For FY 2025–26, the grant application period opened on February 5 and applications were due by February 28. The Judicial Council received applications from 12 trial courts for 15 projects. After the initial review by Judicial Council Information Technology staff, all 15 of the projects were accepted for possible funding. To assist with developing funding recommendations, staff developed a prioritization framework that includes the jury program objectives, prioritization categories and other considerations. These objectives, categories, and other considerations are provided in detail below.

### **Program Objectives**

The following objectives guided the review of JMS Grant Program applications:

- Assist courts with upgrading their jury management system software/infrastructure to keep the systems on supported platforms;
- Fund as many different courts as possible;
- Fund enhancements and modules that reduce the courts' costs; and
- Minimize court resources needed to communicate with potential jurors, improve access to jury information, and enhance the juror experience.

### **Prioritization Categories**

The following jury project prioritization categories were used to develop proposed funding recommendations:

1. Jury Management System Version Replacement or Upgrade: Replaces or upgrades the jury management software/infrastructure to keep the systems on supported platforms.
2. IVR/ IWR Enhancements or Other Jury Management System Modules: Enhances interactive voice and web response systems or other modules to reduce resources needed to communicate with potential jurors and improve access to jury information.
3. Self-Check-In: Allows jurors to self-check-in when reporting to the court. The level of self-check-in functionality varies by court.

4. Imaging: Automates court staff responses to paper documents and phone calls related to postponements, permanent excuses, and qualifications/disqualifications.
5. Peripheral Hardware: Includes items such as scanners, printers, folder/sealer machines used to assist with mailing jury summons, and audio/video equipment located in the courtroom or jury room for jury assistance.
6. Juror Experience/Technical Equipment: Includes items such as charging tables and display monitors to enhance jurors' experience.

### **Other Considerations**

The following factors were also considered in determining which projects to fund:

1. Ongoing costs are not funded as part of the JMS Grant Program and were removed (if included) from funding requests. Ongoing costs include software subscription fees and support and maintenance expenses.
2. Funding allocations were capped at 10 percent of the program's total available funding, unless there is remaining funding after all qualifying projects have been allocated.

### **Proposed Jury Grant Funding Recommendation**

Using the framework described above, staff recommends allocating a total of \$500,000 to 12 courts for 15 projects. The projects from all 12 courts can be funded, with adjustment for one court to stay within the proposed FY 2025–26 budget. Yolo Superior Court initially requested \$219,113 for a Jury Management System upgrade, representing approximately 44% of the total available budget. However, to enable a broader distribution of funds, the proposed allocation has been adjusted to \$139,360, or 28%. This allocation, while reduced from the original request, exceeds the typical 10% funding cap. Notably, Yolo Superior Court may also receive pro-rata funding from the IT Modernization grant, along with cluster funding, which could be used to help bridge the funding gap. A summary of court funding requests, proposed allocations, and project descriptions are in Table 1, below.

Jury Management System Grant Applications and Proposed Allocations FY 2025–26

April 25, 2025

Page 4

**Table 1**

#	Court	Description	Requested Allocation	Proposed Allocation	JMS Grant Program Priority Category
1	Calaveras	Jury Assembly Room - Audio Visual Equipment	\$30,000	\$30,000	Peripheral Hardware
2	Fresno	Jury A/V System Upgrade	\$54,046	\$54,046	Peripheral Hardware
		Jury Box A/V Equipment Installation	\$25,200	\$25,200	Peripheral Hardware
3	Glenn	Jury Management Software & Hardware Upgrade	\$64,985	\$64,985	Jury Management System Upgrade
4	Kern	Jury Room Digital Signage	\$8,627	\$8,627	Peripheral Hardware
		Automatic Envelope Machine	\$7,993	\$7,993	Peripheral Hardware
5	Madera	Jury Assembly Room AV Upgrade	\$44,430	\$44,430	Peripheral Hardware
6	Marin	Jury Express Check-in Kiosks	\$13,962	\$13,962	Self-Check-In
7	Sacramento	QR Code Express Check-in	\$15,000	\$15,000	Self-Check-In
		Multilingual Jury Phone System	\$10,000	\$10,000	IVR/ IWR Enhancements
8	San Benito	IVR Jury Phone Line	\$5,000	\$5,000	IVR/ IWR Enhancements
9	San Bernardino	Self-Check-In/Kiosk	\$50,000	\$50,000	Self-Check-In
10	Stanislaus	IVR/IWR Enhancement	\$3,500	\$3,500	IVR/ IWR Enhancements
11	Tehama	Self-Check-in Kiosks and Jury related digital signage	\$27,897	\$27,897	Self-Check-In
12	Yolo	Jury Management System Upgrade	\$219,113	\$139,360	Jury Management System Upgrade
<b>Total:</b>			<b>\$579,753</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>	

### **Next Steps**

- Present the proposed allocations to the Judicial Council Technology Committee for review and approval on May 5.
- Present the proposed allocations to the Judicial Council for review and approval on July 18.
- Notify each court of the approved allocation.
- Prepare an Intrabranh Agreements for each court.

JY/JCG

Cc: Salena Chow, Chief Operating Officer, Judicial Council  
Andraé Randolph, Principal Manager, Information Technology  
Daphne Light, Principal Manager, Information Technology  
Angela Gulley, Principal Manager, Information Technology  
Jamel Jones, Manager, Information Technology  
Juan Ambriz, Supervisor, Information Technology  
Jessica Craven Goldstein, Supervisor, Information Technology  
Laura Brown, Senior Business Systems Analyst, Information Technology  
Scott Livingston, Senior Business Systems Analyst, Information Technology

### Item 3: Budget Change Proposal Concept with Technology Components for Fiscal Year (FY) 2026–27

#### Summary

<b>Proposal Title:</b> Trial Court Data Analytics for Improved Caseflow Management
<b>Requesting Entity:</b> Data Analytics Advisory Committee
<b>Proposal Summary:</b> <p>The Judicial Council of California requests 7 positions and \$5.4 million General Fund in FY 2026–27, an additional 5 positions and \$17.6 million General Fund in FY 2027–28, an additional 5 positions and \$7 million General Fund in FY 2028–29, and an additional 4 positions for an ongoing total of 21 positions and \$7.6 million General Fund in FY 2029–30 and \$5 million General Fund ongoing to support trial court data reporting and data analytics that are necessary to improve caseflow management and service to the public.</p> <p>Prior Legislative investments for data analytics have shown that new approaches to data management can improve statewide data reporting and more sophisticated data analysis. Through a series of pilot programs that were made available to a subset of courts that represented different court sizes and case management systems, these investments established technical capability for data reporting and management for a limited group of courts. This concept will build on these prior investments to expand the technical platform to all 58 courts to provide all trial courts and the Judicial Council with improved data management, data validation, and analytics to give courts the tools that they need for better case flow management and to deliver timely access to justice. Additionally, this solution will also be used to replace aging technical infrastructure. The Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS) is housed on outdated technology that is no longer supported and must be replaced. The size and scale of the data platform requires additional staff support; current staffing levels can only support maintenance and support for the 10 courts that are part of the initial phases of the project. The requested positions will provide ongoing support to the data analytics platform, support data reporting and data validation, and increase the analytic staff needed to steward new agency data resources.</p>