



JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

August 12, 2024

12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.

Videoconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Hon. Michelle Williams Court; Mr. David Fu; Mr. Charles Johnson; Mr. Darrel E. Parker

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. C. Todd Bottke; Hon. Carol A. Corrigan

Others Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson; Mr. Douglas Denton; Mr. John Yee; and Judicial Council staff

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The Technology Committee reviewed and approved the minutes of the following Judicial Council Technology Committee meetings.

- May 16, 2024
- May 29, 2024 (Action by Email)

There were no written comments from members of the public received for this meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, reviewed the state budget situation and how it is affecting the judicial branch. Due to the budget reductions, the Judicial Branch IT Summit has been postponed, with the hope of rescheduling next year. Judge Brodie also explained that decisions related to fiscal year 2024-25 IT Modernization funding are paused due to budget cuts. Pending the outcome of current discussions and clarifications, the committee hopes to move forward with the funding requests currently on hold.

Judge Brodie introduced the action item on the agenda.

Item 2

Language Access Signage and Technology Grant Program (Action Requested)

Update: Mr. Douglas G. Denton, Principal Manager, Language Access Services Program, Judicial Council, presented the recommendations for the Language Access Signage and Technology Grants Cycle - Fiscal Year 2024-25. The program approved funding for all 18 requests, allocating \$603,811.54 to the signage project requests and \$1,746,188.46 to the technology projects.

Action: The committee asked questions and discussed the presentation. The committee unanimously approved Cycle 6, fiscal year 2025-26 recommendations to go to the Judicial Council for consideration.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

IT Modernization Allocation Options Overview			
Courts	Previously Approved \$12.5 Model	Option 1: Reduce Pro Rata by 8%	Option 2: Reduce All Categories by 8%
Court of Appeal	\$ 559,409	\$ 493,082	\$ 528,753
Alameda	\$ 264,502	\$ 233,141	\$ 250,007
Alpine			
Amador	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 322,000
Butte			
Calaveras	\$ 117,221	\$ 117,221	\$ 107,843
Colusa			
Contra Costa	\$ 157,059	\$ 138,437	\$ 148,452
Del Norte	\$ 19,201	\$ 17,849	\$ 17,952
El Dorado	\$ 28,081	\$ 24,751	\$ 26,542
Fresno*	\$ 187,898	\$ 165,620	\$ 177,601
Glenn	\$ 104,058	\$ 104,058	\$ 95,733
Humboldt	\$ 31,675	\$ 28,558	\$ 29,804
Imperial			
Inyo	\$ 12,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 11,040
Kern	\$ 1,135,679	\$ 1,113,290	\$ 1,049,582
Kings*	\$ 274,222	\$ 270,342	\$ 253,108
Lake			
Lassen	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 184,000
Los Angeles	\$ 2,159,700	\$ 1,903,634	\$ 2,041,344
Madera			
Marin			
Mariposa			
Mendocino			
Merced	\$ 50,145	\$ 44,200	\$ 47,397
Modoc			
Mono			
Monterey	\$ 77,608	\$ 68,406	\$ 73,355
Napa*	\$ 27,361	\$ 24,117	\$ 25,862
Nevada	\$ 68,570	\$ 66,250	\$ 63,578
Orange	\$ 554,995	\$ 489,191	\$ 524,580
Placer	\$ 599,200	\$ 590,403	\$ 553,134
Plumas			
Riverside*	\$ 406,081	\$ 357,933	\$ 383,827
Sacramento	\$ 1,086,364	\$ 1,048,273	\$ 1,007,550
San Benito*	\$ 120,418	\$ 120,418	\$ 110,785
San Bernardino			
San Diego	\$ 511,305	\$ 450,682	\$ 483,285
San Francisco	\$ 186,352	\$ 164,257	\$ 176,139
San Joaquin			
San Luis Obispo	\$ 358,412	\$ 351,842	\$ 331,135
San Mateo	\$ 484,766	\$ 469,617	\$ 449,204
Santa Barbara*	\$ 80,979	\$ 71,378	\$ 76,542
Santa Clara	\$ 283,258	\$ 249,674	\$ 267,735
Santa Cruz	\$ 50,118	\$ 44,176	\$ 47,371
Shasta	\$ 365,067	\$ 365,067	\$ 335,862
Sierra			
Siskiyou			
Solano*	\$ 311,716	\$ 301,553	\$ 288,939
Sonoma*	\$ 331,425	\$ 331,425	\$ 306,515
Stanislaus	\$ 95,216	\$ 83,926	\$ 89,998
Sutter	\$ 24,065	\$ 21,212	\$ 22,747
Tehama	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 69,000
Trinity			
Tulare	\$ 77,350	\$ 77,350	\$ 73,111
Tuolumne			
Ventura*	\$ 364,600	\$ 348,943	\$ 338,759
Yolo*	\$ 45,620	\$ 40,211	\$ 43,120
Yuba	\$ 72,513	\$ 72,513	\$ 66,712
	\$ 12,309,208	\$ 11,500,000	\$ 11,500,000

Gray= Did not apply
Yellow= Small Court

*Project is being considered by an alternative branch funding source

*The court may receive up to this amount. A reduction could occur if the project is funded by an alternative funding source.

This document is for deliberative purposes only. The Technology Committee approved a funding methodology at its meeting on May 16, 2024. However, due to ongoing budget discussions, the committee is considering a reduced funding methodology. Final recommended allocations will be confirmed by the Judicial Council for approval.

Option 1: Hybrid Courtroom - Reduce Pro Rata by 8%				
Courts	Hybrid Courtroom (fully fund)	Small Court (fully fund)	Remaining Pro Rata Reduce 8%	Allocation
Court of Appeal			\$ 493,082	\$ 493,082
Alameda			\$ 233,141	\$ 233,141
Alpine				
Amador		\$ 350,000		\$ 350,000
Butte				
Calaveras		\$ 117,221		\$ 117,221
Colusa				
Contra Costa			\$ 138,437	\$ 138,437
Del Norte	\$ 7,800	\$ -	\$ 10,049	\$ 17,849
El Dorado			\$ 24,751	\$ 24,751
Fresno*			\$ 165,620	\$ 165,620
Glenn		\$ 104,058		\$ 104,058
Humboldt	\$ 5,380		\$ 23,177	\$ 28,558
Imperial				
Inyo		\$ 12,000		\$ 12,000
Kern	\$ 946,853		\$ 166,438	\$ 1,113,290
Kings*	\$ 241,500		\$ 28,842	\$ 270,342
Lake				
Lassen		\$ 200,000		\$ 200,000
Los Angeles			\$ 1,903,634	\$ 1,903,634
Madera				
Marin				
Mariposa				
Mendocino				
Merced			\$ 44,200	\$ 44,200
Modoc				
Mono				
Monterey			\$ 68,406	\$ 68,406
Napa*			\$ 24,117	\$ 24,117
Nevada	\$ 49,000		\$ 17,250	\$ 66,250
Orange			\$ 489,191	\$ 489,191
Placer	\$ 525,000		\$ 65,403	\$ 590,403
Plumas				
Riverside*			\$ 357,933	\$ 357,933
Sacramento	\$ 765,100		\$ 283,173	\$ 1,048,273
San Benito*	\$ 120,418			\$ 120,418
San Bernardino				
San Diego			\$ 450,682	\$ 450,682
San Francisco			\$ 164,257	\$ 164,257
San Joaquin				
San Luis Obispo	\$ 303,000		\$ 48,842	\$ 351,842
San Mateo	\$ 357,000		\$ 112,617	\$ 469,617
Santa Barbara*			\$ 71,378	\$ 71,378
Santa Clara			\$ 249,674	\$ 249,674
Santa Cruz			\$ 44,176	\$ 44,176
Shasta	\$ 365,067		\$ -	\$ 365,067
Sierra				
Siskiyou				
Solano*	\$ 226,000		\$ 75,553	\$ 301,553
Sonoma*	\$ 267,750		\$ 63,675	\$ 331,425
Stanislaus			\$ 83,926	\$ 83,926
Sutter			\$ 21,212	\$ 21,212
Tehama		\$ 75,000		\$ 75,000
Trinity				
Tulare			\$ 77,350	\$ 77,350
Tuolumne				
Ventura*	\$ 232,552		\$ 116,392	\$ 348,943
Yolo*			\$ 40,211	\$ 40,211
Yuba		\$ 72,513		\$ 72,513
	\$ 4,412,420	\$ 930,792	\$ 6,156,788	\$ 11,500,000

Gray= Did not apply
Yellow= Small Court

*Project is being considered by an alternative branch funding source.

*The court may receive up to this amount. A reduction could occur if the project is funded by an alternative funding source.

This document is for deliberative purposes only. The Technology Committee approved a funding methodology at its meeting on May 16, 2024. However, due to ongoing budget discussions, the committee is considering a reduced funding methodology. Final recommended allocations will be confirmed by the Judicial Council for approval.

Option 2: Hybrid Court - Reduce All Categories by 8%				
Courts	Hybrid Courtroom (Reduce by 8%)	Small Court (Reduce by 8 %)	Remaining Pro Rata (Reduce 8%)	Allocation
Court of Appeal			\$ 528,753	\$ 528,753
Alameda			\$ 250,007	\$ 250,007
Alpine				
Amador		\$ 322,000		\$ 322,000
Butte				
Calaveras		\$ 107,843		\$ 107,843
Colusa				
Contra Costa			\$ 148,452	\$ 148,452
Del Norte	\$ 7,176	\$ -	\$ 10,776	\$ 17,952
El Dorado			\$ 26,542	\$ 26,542
Fresno*			\$ 177,601	\$ 177,601
Glenn	\$ -	\$ 95,733		\$ 95,733
Humboldt	\$ 4,950		\$ 24,854	\$ 29,804
Imperial				
Inyo		\$ 11,040		\$ 11,040
Kern	\$ 871,104		\$ 178,478	\$ 1,049,582
Kings*	\$ 222,180		\$ 30,928	\$ 253,108
Lake				
Lassen	\$ -	\$ 184,000		\$ 184,000
Los Angeles			\$ 2,041,344	\$ 2,041,344
Madera				
Marin				
Mariposa				
Mendocino				
Merced			\$ 47,397	\$ 47,397
Modoc				
Mono				
Monterey			\$ 73,355	\$ 73,355
Napa*			\$ 25,862	\$ 25,862
Nevada	\$ 45,080		\$ 18,498	\$ 63,578
Orange	\$ -		\$ 524,580	\$ 524,580
Placer	\$ 483,000		\$ 70,134	\$ 553,134
Plumas				
Riverside*	\$ -		\$ 383,827	\$ 383,827
Sacramento	\$ 703,892		\$ 303,658	\$ 1,007,550
San Benito*	\$ 110,785			\$ 110,785
San Bernardino				
San Diego			\$ 483,285	\$ 483,285
San Francisco			\$ 176,139	\$ 176,139
San Joaquin				
San Luis Obispo	\$ 278,760		\$ 52,375	\$ 331,135
San Mateo	\$ 328,440		\$ 120,764	\$ 449,204
Santa Barbara*			\$ 76,542	\$ 76,542
Santa Clara			\$ 267,735	\$ 267,735
Santa Cruz			\$ 47,371	\$ 47,371
Shasta	\$ 335,862			\$ 335,862
Sierra				
Siskiyou				
Solano*	\$ 207,920		\$ 81,019	\$ 288,939
Sonoma*	\$ 246,330		\$ 60,185	\$ 306,515
Stanislaus			\$ 89,998	\$ 89,998
Sutter			\$ 22,747	\$ 22,747
Tehama		\$ 69,000		\$ 69,000
Trinity				
Tulare			\$ 73,111	\$ 73,111
Tuolumne				
Ventura*	\$ 213,948		\$ 124,811	\$ 338,759
Yolo*			\$ 43,120	\$ 43,120
Yuba		\$ 66,712		\$ 66,712
	\$ 4,059,426	\$ 856,329	\$ 6,584,245	\$ 11,500,000

Gray= Did not apply
Yellow= Small Court

*Project is being considered by an alternative branch funding source.

*The court may receive up to this amount. A reduction could occur if the project is funded by an alternative funding source.

This document is for deliberative purposes only. The Technology Committee approved a funding methodology at its meeting on May 16, 2024. However, due to ongoing budget discussions, the committee is considering a reduced funding methodology. Final recommended allocations will be confirmed by the Judicial Council for approval.

Previously Approved by the Technology Committee on May 16, 2024

Option 1: Hybrid Courtroom (Proposed Project Recommendations) (revised)				
Courts	Hybrid Courtroom (fully fund)	Small Court	Remaining Pro Rata	Allocation**
Court of Appeal			\$ 559,409	\$ 559,409
Alameda			\$ 264,502	\$ 264,502
Alpine				
Amador		\$ 350,000		\$ 350,000
Butte				
Calaveras		\$ 117,221		\$ 117,221
Colusa				
Contra Costa			\$ 157,059	\$ 157,059
Del Norte	\$ 7,800		\$ 11,401	\$ 19,201
El Dorado			\$ 28,081	\$ 28,081
Fresno*			\$ 187,898	\$ 187,898
Glenn		\$ 104,058		\$ 104,058
Humboldt	\$ 5,380		\$ 26,295	\$ 31,675
Imperial				
Inyo		\$ 12,000		\$ 12,000
Kern	\$ 946,853		\$ 188,826	\$ 1,135,679
Kings*	\$ 241,500		\$ 32,722	\$ 274,222
Lake				
Lassen		\$ 200,000		\$ 200,000
Los Angeles			\$ 2,159,700	\$ 2,159,700
Madera				
Marin				
Mariposa				
Mendocino				
Merced			\$ 50,145	\$ 50,145
Modoc				
Mono				
Monterey			\$ 77,608	\$ 77,608
Napa*			\$ 27,361	\$ 27,361
Nevada	\$ 49,000		\$ 19,570	\$ 68,570
Orange			\$ 554,995	\$ 554,995
Placer	\$ 525,000		\$ 74,200	\$ 599,200
Plumas				
Riverside*			\$ 406,081	\$ 406,081
Sacramento	\$ 765,100		\$ 321,264	\$ 1,086,364
San Benito*	\$ 120,418			\$ 120,418
San Bernardino				
San Diego			\$ 511,305	\$ 511,305
San Francisco			\$ 186,352	\$ 186,352
San Joaquin				
San Luis Obispo	\$ 303,000		\$ 55,412	\$ 358,412
San Mateo	\$ 357,000		\$ 127,766	\$ 484,766
Santa Barbara*			\$ 80,979	\$ 80,979
Santa Clara			\$ 283,258	\$ 283,258
Santa Cruz			\$ 50,118	\$ 50,118
Shasta	\$ 365,067			\$ 365,067
Sierra				
Siskiyou				
Solano*	\$ 226,000		\$ 85,716	\$ 311,716
Sonoma*	\$ 267,750		\$ 63,675	\$ 331,425
Stanislaus			\$ 95,216	\$ 95,216
Sutter			\$ 24,065	\$ 24,065
Tehama		\$ 75,000		\$ 75,000
Trinity				
Tulare			\$ 77,350	\$ 77,350
Tuolumne				
Ventura*	\$ 232,552		\$ 132,048	\$ 364,600
Yolo*			\$ 45,620	\$ 45,620
Yuba		\$ 72,513		\$ 72,513
	\$ 4,412,420	\$ 930,792	\$ 6,965,996	\$ 12,309,208

Gray= Did not apply
Yellow= Small Court

*Project is being considered by an alternative branch funding source.

*The court may receive up to this amount. A reduction could occur if the project is funded by an alternative funding source.

The Technology Committee approved this funding methodology at its meeting on May 16, 2024. If there are no budget reductions, this model will be presented to the Judicial Council. Final recommended allocations will be confirmed by the Judicial Council for approval.