



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

September 11, 2023
12:00 PM to 12:30 PM
Videoconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Mr. David Fu; Hon. Glenn Mondo, and

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. C. Todd Bottke, Vice-Chair; Hon. Kevin C. Brazile; Hon. Carol A. Corrigan; Hon. Michelle W. Court

Others Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson; Mr. Charles Johnson, Mr. Darrel E. Parker, Ms. Heather Pettit; and Judicial Council Staff

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the August 14, 2023 open meeting.

There were no public comments received for this meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1-3)

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie acknowledged outgoing committee members and invited them to share their departing remarks. He then introduced and welcomed new committee members. Finally, he outlined the two agenda items for members to review, consider, and approve at the meeting.

Item 2

Jury Management System Grant Program for the Fiscal Year 2023-2024 (Action Requested)

Update: Ms. Satlin Singh, Business Systems Analyst, presented the recommended Jury Management System Grant Program allocations for fiscal year 2023-2024. The recommendations were determined from the program objectives, prioritization categories, and funding metrics.

Action: The Technology Committee members discussed and asked questions about the program and proposed allocations. The committee voted to approve and recommend the proposed allocations.

Item 3

California Courts Connected Framework (Action Requested)

Update: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director, provided an overview of the updated version of the California Courts Connected Framework to be incorporated into the Tactical Plan for Technology.

Action: The Technology Committee members discussed and asked questions. The committee decided to defer the approval until further updates are made and considered at a future committee meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.