

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

July 11, 2022 12:00 - 1:00 PM Videoconference

Advisory Body **Members Present:**

Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair; Hon. Kevin C. Brazile; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Hon. Carol Corrigan; Ms. Rachel W. Hill; Mr. Shawn Landry; and Hon. Glenn

Mondo

Advisory Body Hon. C. Todd Bottke, Vice-Chair; Mr. David Fu

Members Absent:

Liaison Member

Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson

Others Present: Ms. Heather Pettit: and Judicial Council staff

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the May 18, 2022, Judicial Council Technology Committee meeting.

There were no public comments for this meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-6)

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, chair, provided an update of activities since the last meeting. He

> reported on the Technology Committee activities at the June 29 meeting of the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC), providing updates on the Court

Technology Modernization Funding and Strategic Plan for Technology.

Item 2

Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) Update: Recommendations from the Ad Hoc Workgroup on Post-Pandemic Initiatives (Action Required)

Update:

Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair, Information Technology Advisory Committee reported that ITAC approved an amendment to its 2022 Annual Agenda at its May 25 meeting. The amendment adds two recommendations from the Ad Hoc Workgroup on Post-Pandemic Initiatives. The first recommendation asks ITAC to review and address any California Rules of Court or legislation that may hinder the filing of documents at any courthouse, as well as those that may constrain the use of e-signatures. The second recommendation is to monitor the continued optimization of the California Courts Self-Help Center and the creation of chatbots and live chat options.

Action:

Members approved the amendment to the 2022 Annal Agenda of the Information Technology Advisory Committee unanimously.

Item 3

Trial Court Rules Revisions: Proposed Amendment to rule 2.253 of the California Rules of Court (Action Required)

Update:

Hon. Julie R. Culver, chair of ITAC's Rules & Policy Subcommittee presented the proposed amendment to rule 2.253 of the California Rules of Court to remove the requirement that a trial court with mandatory electronic filing submit reports to the Judicial Council. This rule is no longer necessary as the Judicial Council collects the same information from other sources.

Action:

Members unanimously approved the proposed rule revision of rule 2.253 of the California Rules of Court and recommended the proposal to the Judicial Council for approval.

Item 4

Trial Court Rules Revisions: Remote Access to Criminal Electronic Records by Private Criminal Defense Attorneys (Action Required)

Update:

Hon. Julie R. Culver, chair of ITAC's Rules & Policy Subcommittee presented the proposed amendment to rule 2.519 of the California Rules of Court on remote access to electronic records. An attorney representing a party in a criminal action may be provided remote access to any electronic criminal records that the attorney would be legally entitled to view at the courthouse.

Action:

Members unanimously approved the proposed rule revision of rule 2.519 of the California Rules of Court and recommended the proposal to the Judicial Council for approval.

Item 5

Trial Court Rules Revisions: Remote Access to Electronic Records by Appellate Appointed Counsel Administrators, Courts of Appeal, and the Habeas Corpus Resource Center (Action Required)

Update:

Hon. Julie R. Culver, chair of ITAC's Rules & Policy Subcommittee presented the proposed amendments to rules 2.515, 2.521, 2.523, and 2.540 of the California Rules of Court on remote access to electronic records that would authorize remote access by appellate courts, appellate appointed counsel administrators, and the Habeas Corpus Resource Center.

Action:

Members unanimously approved the proposed rule revisions to rules 2.515, 2.521, 2.523, and 2.540 of the California Rules of Court and recommended the proposal to the Judicial Council for approval.

Item 6

Court Technology Modernization Funding

Update:

Ms. Heather Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director, Information Technology presented an update on the Court Technology Modernization Funding program. The announcement of the single, online application for four technology-related programs and grants was distributed June 16, and the deadline to apply is Friday, July 15. Courts may submit applications online through a new online portal for the direct allocation portion of the Court Technology Modernization Funding, Language Access Signage & Technology, Jury Management Systems, and Model Self Help: Technology grants. The Technology Committee will be looking at the funding model and types of proposals at upcoming meetings. The Judicial Council will review recommended proposals at its September meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Technology Committee August 31, 2022

Principles guiding decision making

- Goal of moving branch forward, rather than a strictly pro rata approach
 - Mod Fund BCP designed to enhance judicial branch modernization efforts and bridge the gap between branch and individual court modernization initiatives
- Interest in getting all courts funding, including through alternate funding
- Some projects need considerable investment even for smaller courts
 - Distribution may not be proportionate and/or may consider a minimum
- Focus on concepts versus numbers
- Avoid gross funding inequities, outliers

Priorities considered



Cyber Security, CMS, ERM, Courthouse, Infrastructure

Technology Committee set Branch priorities (June 1):

ERM, Remote Access, Infrastructure, Innovation

Majority of proposals (July):

ERM, Infrastructure, Remote Appearances, CMS, Courthouse

Sample allocation models:

Digitizing Records (ERM), CMS

Priorities considered

	Court Priorities	Branch Priorities	Highest # of Proposals
ERM (including digitizing records)	X	X	X
Infrastructure (alt fund)	X	X	X
Remote Access/Appearances (alt fund)		X	X
CMS (including enhancements)	X		X
Cyber Security (alt fund)	X		
Courthouse	X		X

CTMF Workstream Efforts

- Reviewed all proposals
- Sought more information from courts when information was lacking
- Flagged court projects that:
 - Did not meet published CTMF Project Proposal Requirements
 - Could potentially be funded through other programs (alternate funding)
 - May not comply with branch initiatives
- Reviewed recommendations for consistency
- Provided final recommendations for approval by the Technology Committee (see materials)

CTMF Project Proposal Requirements

- Benefit the public
- Comply with branchwide policies and standards
- Be vetted and approved by the Technology Committee
- Fall within at least one of the approved program categories
- Commence project initiation activities immediately after project proposals are approved (September 2022)
- Show demonstrable progress by the following January (January 2023)
- Expend or encumber funds by end of the fiscal year (June 30, 2023)
- Project completion by end of the third fiscal year (June 30, 2025)
- Report quarterly on measurable successful outcomes

Alternate Technology Funding Opportunities

Modernization BCP also funds:

- The Judicial Branch Information Security Office
- CTMF Branchwide Initiatives
- Modernization of Appellate and Supreme Courts (staffing)
- Modernization of Trial Courts (direct allocation by cluster)

Cluster Size	Allocation
1	\$50,000
2 & 3	\$75,000
4	\$100,000

Alternate funding sources:

- Language Access Signage & Technology grants
- Jury Management System grants
- Model Self-Help grant
- LAN/WAN program
- Courtroom Upgrade BCP (remote appearances) AB 716

Options for allocation methodology

Total Available: Approximately \$12.5M

Title	Approach
Option A: Pro Rata Based	Distribute entire \$12.5M across all courts based on the trial court workload/appellate per justice percentages
Option B: Digitization and then pro rata	\$5M toward digitization projects first, remainder spread across courts with pro rata cap
Option C: Digitization for small courts only and then pro rata	\$5M toward digitization for small courts only, remainder spread across all courts with pro rata cap
Option D: Digitization + P1 for remaining small courts and then pro rata	\$5M toward digitization projects first, + fund priority 1 projects for remaining small courts, and reminder spread across courts with pro rata cap

Recommendation & Action Requested

Option D - \$5M for Digitization + Priority 1 for remaining small courts and \$7.5M spread across all courts with pro rata cap

- + Moves branch forward in digitization, which is fundamental to a digital court and the California Courts Connected framework
- + Expands efforts of previous BCP funding toward digitization
- + Ensures smaller courts receive reasonable funding for investments despite size
- + All courts with approved projects received some funding amount
- + Applies safeguards against funding too little
- + Helps bridge the gap between branch and individual court modernization initiatives

The Technology Committee will take action by email following this meeting.

Judicial Council Technology Committee FY 2022–23 Proposed Court Technology Modernization Funding Models

	Scenario A-		
	Pro Rat		
Amellete Counts	Pro Rata	Total Allocation	
Appellate Courts	\$ 1,045,228	\$ 1,045,228	
Appellate Courts Sub Total		\$ 1,045,228	
	Pro Rata	Total Allocation	
Alameda	3.58%	\$ 477,199	
Alpine *	0.04%	\$ 5,127	
Amador †	0.16%		
Butte	0.54%	\$ 72,369	
Calaveras *	0.13%	\$ 17,742	
Colusa †	0.10%		
Contra Costa	2.10%	\$ 280,151	
Del Norte *	0.15%	\$ 20,084	
El Dorado	0.38%	\$ 50,750	
Fresno	2.59%	\$ 345,791	
Glenn *	0.12%	\$ 15,721	
Humboldt	0.34%	\$ 45,358	
Imperial †	0.41%		
Inyo ‡	0.10%		
Kern	2.63%	\$ 350,032	
Kings	0.43%	\$ 57,899	
Lake †	0.20%		
Lassen *	0.10%	\$ 13,521	
Los Angeles	29.37%	\$ 3,916,414	
Madera	0.49%	\$ 15,824	
Marin	0.57%	\$ 76,130	
Mariposa *	0.07%	\$ 9,767	
Mendocino	0.31%	\$ 23,990	
Merced	0.67%	\$ 89,618	
Modoc *	0.05%	\$ 7,172	
Mono †	0.09%	,=	
Monterey	1.03%	\$ 136,714	
Napa	0.38%	\$ 18,706	
Nevada	0.27%	\$ 35,385	
Orange	7.46%	\$ 994,436	
Placer	0.98%	\$ 120,000	
Plumas †	0.07%	.,,,,,,	
Riverside	5.39%	\$ 259,686	
Sacramento	4.28%	\$ 570,833	
San Benito *	0.19%	\$ 25,160	
San Bernardino	5.64%	\$ 63,800	
San Diego	6.88%	\$ 917,250	
San Francisco	2.58%	\$ 343,476	
San Joaquin	2.04%	\$ 271,414	
San Luis Obispo	0.74%	\$ 98,070	
San Mateo	1.78%	\$ 237,300	
Santa Barbara	1.07%	\$ 142,854	
Santa Clara	3.71%	\$ 494,129	
Santa Cruz	0.66%	\$ 88,288	
Shasta	0.63%	\$ 51,674	
Sierra *	0.04%	\$ 5,127	
Siskiyou †	0.17%	\$ 20,125	
Solano	1.14%	\$ 152,407	
Sonoma †	1.14%	y 132,407	
Stanislaus	1.26%	\$ 167,445	
Sutter	0.32%	\$ 42,669	
Tehama	0.23%	\$ 42,669	
		51,144	
Trinity †	0.08%	¢ 100,000	
Tulare	1.25%	\$ 166,860	
Tuolumne *	0.19%	\$ 25,825	
Ventura †	1.75%	A 54.000	
Yolo	0.61%	\$ 51,000	
Yuba Trial Court Sub Total	0.24%	\$ 32,339	
Trial Court Sub Total		\$ 11,454,771	

Scenario B-							
Digitization and Pro Rata Cap							
Digitization	Pro Rata Total Allocation						
\$312,854	\$ -		\$312,854				
\$ 3							
Digitization	Pro Rata		Total Allocation				

				_	312,834
D	igitization		Pro Rata		Total Allocation
		\$	397,053	\$	397,053
		\$	4,266	\$	4,266
		\$	-	\$	-
\$	105,000	\$		\$	105,000
\$	66,649	\$	-	\$	66,649
_		\$	-	\$	-
\$	312,854	\$		\$	312,854
ڔ	312,634	\$	16,711	\$	16,711
ć	212.054		10,711	ې	312,854
\$	312,854	\$	22.027	\$	
\$	312,854	\$	32,937	\$	345,791
\$	165,000	\$		\$	165,000
		\$	37,740	\$	37,740
		\$	-	\$	-
		\$	-	\$	
\$	161,587	\$	188,445	\$	350,032
\$	312,854	\$	-	\$	312,854
		\$	-	\$	-
		\$	11,250	\$	11,250
\$	312,854	\$	3,258,648	\$	3,571,503
	*	\$	15,824	\$	15,824
\$	75,000	\$	1,130	\$	76,130
\$	266,070	\$	-,150	\$	266,070
-	_30,0.0	\$	23,990	\$	23,990
		\$	74,567	\$	74,567
		\$	5,967	\$	5,967
		\$	3,307	\$	3,307
ć	212.054		-		212.054
\$	312,854	\$	40.700	\$	312,854
_	440.000	\$	18,706	٠,	18,706
\$	113,000	\$		\$	113,000
		\$	827,419	\$	827,419
		\$	108,660	\$	108,660
		\$	-	\$	
		\$	259,686	\$	259,686
		\$	474,961	\$	474,961
		\$	20,934	\$	20,934
		\$	63,800	\$	63,800
\$	312,854	\$	604,395	\$	917,250
\$	312,854	\$	30,622	\$	343,476
		\$	225,830	\$	225,830
\$	72,000	\$	26,070	\$	98,070
\$	312,854	\$	-	\$	312,854
•	,	\$	118,861	\$	118,861
\$	312,854	\$	181,275	\$	494,129
\$	146,070	\$,2,5	\$	146,070
-	0,0,0	\$	51,674	\$	51,674
		\$	4,266	\$	4,266
\$	17,875	\$	2,250	\$	20,125
			2,250	\$	
\$	312,854	\$		\$	312,854
		\$	420.222	\$	420.000
		\$	139,322	\$	139,322
		\$	35,502	\$	35,502
		\$	25,913	\$	25,913
		\$		\$	-
		\$	138,836	\$	138,836
		\$	21,487	\$	21,487
		\$	-	\$	-
		\$	51,000	\$	51,000
\$	57,500	\$	-	\$	57,500
ć	1 607 116	ć	7 500 000	÷	12 107 146

7,500,000 \$

12,187,146

4,687,146 \$

Scenario C- Small Court Only Digitization and Pro Rata Cap						
Digitization Pro Rata Total Allocation						
\$	-	\$	627,137	\$	627,137	
				ς.	627 137	

\$ 627,137				
Digitization		Pro Rata	Tot	tal Allocation
	\$	475,589	\$	475,589
	\$	5,109	\$	5,109
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	72,124	\$	72,124
\$ 66,649	\$	-	\$	66,649
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	279,205	\$	279,205
	\$	20,016	\$	20,016
	\$	50,579	\$	50,579
	\$	344,624	\$	344,624
\$ 165,000	\$	-	\$	165,000
	\$	45,205	\$	45,205
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	348,850	\$	348,850
	\$	57,704	\$	57,704
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	13,475	\$	13,475
	\$	3,903,198	\$	3,903,198
	\$	15,824	\$	15,824
	\$	75,873	\$	75,873
\$ 266,070	\$	-	\$	266,070
	\$	23,990	\$	23,990
·	\$	89,316	\$	89,316
	\$	7,148	\$	7,148
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	136,253	\$	136,253
	\$	18,706	\$	18,706
	\$	35,265	\$	35,265
	\$	991,080	\$	991,080
	\$	120,000	\$	120,000
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	259,686	\$	259,686
	\$	568,907	\$	568,907
	\$	25,075	\$	25,075
	\$	63,800	\$	63,800
	\$	914,154	\$	914,154
	\$	342,317	\$	342,317
	\$	270,498	\$	270,498
	\$	97,739	\$	97,739
	\$	236,499	\$	236,499
	\$	142,372	\$	142,372
	\$	492,461	\$	492,461
	\$	87,990	\$	87,990
	\$	51,674	\$	51,674
	\$	5,109	\$	5,109
\$ 17,875	\$	2,250	\$	20,125
	\$	151,893	\$	151,893
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	166,880	\$	166,880
	\$	42,525	\$	42,525
	\$	31,039	\$	31,039
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	166,297	\$	166,297
	\$	25,738	\$	25,738
	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	51,000	\$	51,000
			_	
\$ 515,594	\$ \$	32,230 11,357,269	\$ \$	32,230 11,872,863

Scenario D- Digitization, Small Court P1, and Pro Rata Cap							
Digitization Pro Rata Total Allocation							
\$312,854	\$312,854						
			4				

		Ÿ		\$	312,854		
	Digitization		nall Court riority 1		Pro Rata		tal Allocation
				\$	362,108	\$	362,108
		\$	15,093	\$	-	\$	15,093
				\$	-	\$	-
\$	105,000			\$	-	\$	105,000
\$	66,649			\$	-	\$	66,649
				\$	-	\$	-
\$	312,854			\$	-	\$	312,854
		\$	57,392	\$	-	\$	57,392
\$	312,854			\$	-	\$	312,854
\$	312,854			\$	32,937	\$	345,791
\$	165,000			\$	-	\$	165,000
				\$	34,419	\$	34,419
				\$	-	\$	-
				\$	-	\$	-
\$	161,587			\$	188,445	\$	350,032
\$	312,854			\$	-	\$	312,854
				\$	-	\$	-
		Ś	288,500	\$		\$	288,500
\$	312,854	~	200,500	\$	2,971,854	\$	3,284,708
٧	512,051			\$	15,824	\$	15,824
\$	75,000			\$	1,130	\$	76,130
\$	266,070			\$	1,130	\$	266,070
٧	200,070			\$	23,990	\$	23,990
				\$	68,004	\$	
			F 000	_	08,004	·	68,004
		\$	5,000	\$	-	\$	5,000
	242.054			\$	-	\$	-
\$	312,854			\$		\$	312,854
_				\$	18,706	\$	18,706
\$	113,000			\$	-	\$	113,000
				\$	754,598	\$	754,598
				\$	99,096	\$	99,096
				\$	-	\$	-
				\$	259,686	\$	259,686
				\$	433,160	\$	433,160
		\$	45,500	\$	-	\$	45,500
				\$	63,800	\$	63,800
\$	312,854			\$	604,395	\$	917,250
\$	312,854			\$	30,622	\$	343,476
				\$	205,955	\$	205,955
\$	72,000			\$	26,070	\$	98,070
\$	312,854			\$	-	\$	312,854
				\$	108,400	\$	108,400
\$	312,854			\$	181,275	\$	494,129
\$	146,070			\$	-	\$	146,070
				\$	51,674	\$	51,674
		\$	139,428	\$	-	\$	139,428
\$	17,875			\$	2,250	\$	20,125
\$	312,854			\$	-	\$	312,854
				\$	-	\$	-
				\$	127,061	\$	127,061
				\$	32,378	\$	32,378
				\$	23,632	\$	23,632
				\$	-	\$	-
				\$	126,617	\$	126,617
		\$	50,000	\$	-	\$	50,000
				\$	-	\$	-
				\$	51,000	\$	51,000
\$	57,500			\$	-	\$	57,500
\$	4,687,146	\$	600,913	\$	6,899,087	\$	12,187,146
•	,,	•		•	-,,	•	, . ,

^{*} Small Court defined as receiving less than .2% or less pro rata percentage

[†] Court did not submit any project proposals to CTMF

[‡] Court did not have any approved projects

FY 2022–23 Court Technology Modernization Funding Project Recommendations

	OT145 D 1 11		070.00		
Court of Appeal 1st Appellate District	CTMF Priority	Project Name	CTMF Category	Recommendation Yes	Notes on recommendation
Court of Appeal 1st Appellate District Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District	1	Digitization of Case Records WifiUpgrade	Electronic Records Management (ERM) Infrastructure	No No	Maintenance, not modernization
Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District	2	LanguageKiosk	Interactive Customer Service	No No	Branchwide program available
Court of Appeal 4th Appellate District	1	Modernizing and Enhancing Word Macros	Collaboration & Office Tools	Yes	Branchwide program available
Court of Appeal 5th Appellate District	1	ACCMS Modernization	Case Management Systems (CMS)	Yes	
Alameda	1	Traffic CMS Modernization	Case Management Systems (CMS)	Yes	
Alpine	2	CMS Conversion Project Costs	Case Management Systems (CMS)	Yes	
Alpine	3	Fujitsu 7160 Scanners	Case Management Systems (CMS)	No	Maintenance, not modernization
Alpine	4	Benchtop Computer and Accessories	Case Management Systems (CMS)	No	Maintenance, not modernization
Butte	1	Microsoft Teams Phone Migration	Collaboration & Office Tools	Yes	Maintenance, not modernization
Butte	2	Microfilm Imaging & Digitization	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Calaveras	1	Electronic Records Management	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Contra Costa	1	Infrastructure – Disaster Recovery	Infrastructure	Yes	
Contra Costa	2	Electronic Records Management – Digitizing documents	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Del Norte	1	Remote Appearance Technology- Phase 2	Remote Appearances	Yes	
El Dorado	1	Court Records Digitization	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Fresno	1	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Fresno	2	Remote Apperances - Audio & Video Enhancement	Remote Appearances	Yes	
Fresno	3	HR-Onboarding & Performance Measurement	Human Resources (HR)	Yes	
Glenn	1	Digitization	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Humboldt	1	Electronic Calendar Wall	Courthouse	Yes	
Humboldt	2	Virtual Remote Interpreting	Courthouse	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Inyo	1	Self-Help Center Computing Infrastructure	State and Local Integrations	No	Maintenance, not modernization
Kern	1	Digitizing Documents	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Kern	2	Hybrid Courtroom Integrated A/V Enhancement	Courthouse	Yes	
Kern	3	Assisted Listening Devices	Courthouse	Yes	
Kern	4	Wi-Fi	Infrastructure	No	Maintenance, not modernization
Kern	5	Imaging-JSM	Jury Management Systems (JMS)	Yes. Alt Fund- JMS Grant	
Kern	6	Crowdstrike	Cyber Internet Security	Yes	
Kern	7	NEOGOV Onboarding and eForms	Human Resources (HR)	Yes	
Kern	8	Claims and AP Streamlining	Financials	Yes	
Kings	1	Document Digitization	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Kings	2	Courtroom A/V Upgrade and Refresh	Remote Appearances	Yes	
Kings	3	Docuware Cloud	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Lassen	1	Remote Appearance Technology Upgrade	Remote Appearances	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Lassen	2	Telephone System Modernization	Infrastructure	Yes	
Lassen	3	Self-Help Communication Suite	Collaboration & Office Tools	Yes. Alt Fund- MSH-T Grant	
Los Angeles	1	Website upgrade	Web Solutions	Yes	
Los Angeles	2	Litigant Portal	Remote Records Access and Search	Yes	
Los Angeles	3	Evidence and Exhibit Management	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Los Angeles	4	Hybrid Courtroom Upgrades	Courthouse	Yes	
Los Angeles	5	Criminal Scanning	Remote Records Access and Search	Yes	
Madera	2	Courtwide Tech Improvements	Collaboration & Office Tools	No No	Maintenance, not modernization
Madera	-	Self Help - Kiosks & Instructional Videos and Upgraded Public & Staff Devices	Courthouse	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Marin	1	Hyperconverged Infrastructure	Infrastructure	No V	Maintenance, not modernization
Marin	2	Courtroom Audio Enhancement Collaboration	Remote Appearances	Yes Yes	
Marin Marin	4	Digitizing of Court Microfilm and Microfiche	Collaboration & Office Tools Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes Yes	
Mariposa	1	Lower court room upgrade	Remote Appearances	Yes	
Mariposa	2	Digitize court records	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Mendocino	1	Establish In-House Collections Program	Courthouse	No Yes	Limited direct public benefit
Mendocino	2	Jury Systems Hosting	Web Solutions	Yes. Alt Fund- JMS Grant	annes uncer public benefit
Merced	1	Courtroom 8 AV Upgrade	Courthouse	Yes	
Merced	2	Courtroom AV Conferencing Component Upgrades	Remote Appearances	Yes	
Modoc	1	Hearing Reminder Service Server Upgrade	Notifications and Reminders	Yes	
Modoc	2	Comprehensive Wifi Network	Infrastructure	Yes	
Modoc	3	Courtroom Upgrade for Remote Appearances	Remote Appearances	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Modoc	4	Digitial Signatures	Collaboration & Office Tools	Yes	
Monterey	1	Monterey Case File Digitization Phase III	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Napa	1	Expanded Network Server/Storage	Infrastructure	No	Maintenance, not modernization
Napa	2	Enhanced Public Meeting Capability	Courthouse	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Nevada	1	Records Digitization - Phase 3	Remote Records Access and Search	Yes	
Nevada	2	CMS Enhancements	Case Management Systems (CMS)	Yes	
Nevada	3	Remote Work Pilot	Interactive Customer Service	Yes. Alt Fund- MSH-T Grant	
Nevada	4	Jury IVR Improvements	Jury Management Systems (JMS)	Yes. Alt Fund- JMS Grant	
Orange	1	Hybrid Court Equipment	Remote Appearances	Yes	
	·	Internal programment			1

FY 2022–23 Court Technology Modernization Funding Project Recommendations

Court	CTMF Priority	Project Name	CTMF Category	Recommendation	Notes on recommendation
Orange	2	Jail Management System Data Exchange (Grand Central)	State and Local Integrations	Yes	Notes on recommendation
Orange	3	Oracle Cloud	Branch & Court Developed Architecture and Solutions	No	Limited direct public benefit
Orange	4	IVR - Chatbot and Conversational AI	Interactive Customer Service	Yes	
Orange	5	Online Probate Case Record Access	Remote Records Access and Search	Yes	
Orange	6	Expansion of CAP Probate Conservator Accounting Portal	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Orange	7	CUP expansion to include e-Check payments	Branch & Court Developed Architecture and Solutions	Yes	
Orange	8	Document AI	Branch & Court Developed Architecture and Solutions	No	Limited direct public benefit
Orange	9	Digital Court Platform	Remote Appearances	Yes	
Orange	10	Vision NG	Case Management Systems (CMS)	No	Inconsistent with branchwide framework
Orange	11	Court Analytics Virtual Environment	Data	Yes	
Orange	12	Predicting Future Filings and Jury Trials (using CAVE data)	Data	No	Limited direct public benefit
Orange	13	Modernize Mobile App	Web Solutions	Yes	
Orange	14	Court Kiosks	Interactive Customer Service	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Orange	15	Online Document Assistance Program	Web Solutions	Yes	
Orange	16	Multilingual Electronic Wayfinding Displays	Interactive Customer Service	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Placer	1	Upgrade Branch Facility Courtrooms to Support Microsoft Teams	Remote Appearances	Yes	
Placer	2	Replace Public Kiosk and Monitors	Courthouse	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Placer	3	Implement eFiling in Juvenile	Electronic Filing	Yes	
Riverside	1	Riverside Remote Magistrate	State and Local Integrations	Yes	
Sacramento	1 2	Court Reporter Recording System Thick Client Configuration	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	Maintananca not modernization
Sacramento	2	Thick Client Configuration	Infrastructure	No No	Maintenance, not modernization
Sacramento	3 4	Rollout of Desktop PCs Server Patching	Infrastructure Cyber Internet Security	No No	Maintenance, not modernization
Sacramento Sacramento	5	Upgrade of Storage	Cyber Internet Security Infrastructure	No No	Maintenance, not modernization Maintenance, not modernization
Sacramento Sacramento	6	Project Coordinator for Family Law	Case Management Systems (CMS)	No No	Not modernization
Sacramento	7	Rewrite Court Intranet Site	Cyber Internet Security	No No	Limited direct public benefit
Sacramento	8	Filenet Decommission	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	Elitited direct public beliefit
Sacramento	9	Security Policy Implementation	Cyber Internet Security	Yes	
Sacramento	10	Upgrade Development Environments	Cyber Internet Security Cyber Internet Security	No	Maintenance, not modernization
Sacramento	11	Migrate Oracle Databases to MS SQL Server	Data	No	Maintenance, not modernization
San Benito	1	Digital Signage	Notifications and Reminders	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	Waintenance, not modernization
San Bernardino	1	Enhanced Public Wi-Fi	Courthouse	No No	Maintenance, not modernization
San Bernardino	2	Cloud Based Disaster Recovery	Data	Yes	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
San Bernardino	3	Secure FTP	Infrastructure	Yes	
San Diego	1	Backup Data Protection Replacement	Infrastructure	Yes	
San Diego	2	Odyssey (ODY) Criminal Integration Project and Criminal Case Search Application	State and Local Integrations	Yes	
San Diego	3	Internal Cyber Security Penetration Test	Cyber Internet Security	Yes. Alt Fund- LAN/WAN	
San Diego	4	Odyssey Automated Testing Project	Case Management Systems (CMS)	Yes	
San Diego	5	Data Analytics and Business Intelligence System for Jury, Civil and HR	Data	Yes	
San Diego	6	Forms Workflow and Robotic Process Automation	Financials	Yes	
San Diego	7	SMTP Relay Upgrade	Infrastructure	Yes	
San Diego	8	Digital Calendar Board for Courthouse Lobby	Courthouse	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
San Diego	9	Zscaler (AT&T MSS) Cloud Security Gateway Service	Cyber Internet Security	Yes. Alt Fund- LAN/WAN	
San Diego	10	Cloudflare Web Application Firewall	Cyber Internet Security	Yes. Alt Fund- LAN/WAN	
San Diego	11	Microfilm/Microfiche Conversion	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
San Francisco	1	Document Digitization	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
San Francisco	2	Wifi Access points	Infrastructure	No	Maintenance, not modernization
San Francisco	3	Laptops and ipads	Interactive Customer Service	No	Maintenance, not modernization
San Francisco	4	Strategic planning consultant	Branch & Court Developed Architecture and Solutions	No 	Limited direct public benefit
San Joaquin	1	Document Digitization	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
San Joaquin	2	Juvenile Probation with CMS	Case Management Systems (CMS)	Yes	
San Joaquin	3	Small Claims ODR	Online Dispute Resolution (ODR)	Yes	
San Joaquin	4	Audio/Video Deployment	Remote Appearances	Yes Alt Fund SST Cront	
San Luis Obispo	1 2	Court Technology Modernization, Integrated Courtroom A/V Systems Court Technology Modernization, Digitize microfiche/paper files	Remote Appearances	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant Yes	
San Luis Obispo San Mateo	1	Record Book Digitization, Digitize microficne/paper files	Electronic Records Management (ERM) Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes Yes	1
San Mateo Santa Barbara	1	Automated Workflow Solutions to Support Administrative Functions	Financials	Yes No	Limited direct public benefit
Santa Barbara	2	Leapwork: Test & Process Automation	Case Management Systems (CMS)	Yes	Emitted direct public benefit
Santa Barbara	3	Data Analytics and Machine Learning in Managing Case flow and Innovation in Jury Management	Data	Yes	
Santa Barbara	4	Judicial Council Data Analytics Partnership	Data	No No	Full time equivalent not allowable
Santa Clara	1	Process Automation and Integration	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	r an anne equivalent not allowable
	2	Digitization of Paper Documents	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
			Data	Yes	
Santa Clara		Business Intelligence and Analytics Dashboard Infrastructure			
Santa Clara Santa Clara	3	Business Intelligence and Analytics Dashboard Infrastructure Virtual Clerk Queues			Branchwide program available
Santa Clara		Business Intelligence and Analytics Dashboard Infrastructure Virtual Clerk Queues Electronic Evidence	Interactive Customer Service Electronic Records Management (ERM)	No Yes	Branchwide program available

FY 2022–23 Court Technology Modernization Funding Project Recommendations

Court	CTMF Priority	Project Name	CTMF Category	Recommendation	Notes on recommendation
Santa Cruz	1	Remote Appearance - Courthouse A/V	Remote Appearances	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Santa Cruz	2	Records Management - Imaging to CMS	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Shasta	1	Electronic Records Management- New High Speed Scanners	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	No	Maintenance, not modernization
Shasta	2	Self-Help Center/Family Law Facilitator Systems Upgrade	Courthouse	Yes. Alt Fund- MSH-T Grant	
Sierra	1	Sierra-Project1-BCDR	Infrastructure	Yes	
Siskiyou	1	Scanners for digitizing documents	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Siskiyou	2	Redundant internet service	Infrastructure	Yes	
Siskiyou	3	Digitization of old case files	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Solano	1	Digitization of Criminal Case Files	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Solano	2	Internet Connectivity/Redundancy + Public Wi-Fi Improvements	Infrastructure	Yes. Alt Fund- JMS Grant	
Solano	3	JTI Post Go-Live Interfaces	Case Management Systems (CMS)	Yes	
Solano	4	Digital Signage	Courthouse	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Stanislaus	1	Eforms enhancements	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Stanislaus	2	Office 365 migration from E3 to G5	Collaboration & Office Tools	Yes	
Stanislaus	3	Next Generation Hosting EPCD/eWarrant	Infrastructure	No	Insufficient project detail
Sutter	1	Courtroom AV Phase 3 - Audio	Remote Appearances	Yes	
Sutter	2	Courthouse Cloud Backup and DR	Infrastructure	Yes	
Sutter	3	Family Law Classroom Language Access	Remote Appearances	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Sutter	4	Outdoor Multifunction Kiosk	Courthouse	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Sutter	5	Jury Modernization Upgrades - Phase 2	Jury Management Systems (JMS)	Yes. Alt Fund- JMS Grant	
Tehama	1	Court AV/Remote Appearance Upgrade	Remote Appearances	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Tulare	1	Digital Evidence Submission System	Case Management Systems (CMS)	Yes	
Tulare	2	Datacenter Cooling Revitalization Project	Infrastructure	No	Maintenance, not modernization
Tuolumne	1	Migrate data from AppXtender to Sharepoint	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Tuolumne	2	Jury software upgrade	Jury Management Systems (JMS)	Yes. Alt Fund- JMS Grant	
Tuolumne	3	VMWare upgrade	Infrastructure	Yes	
Tuolumne	4	Workstation Refresh	Infrastructure	No	Maintenance, not modernization
Yolo	1	Self Help Queue Management System	Interactive Customer Service	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Yolo	2	Courthouse Digital PSA update	Courthouse	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Yuba	1	Document Imaging Services	Electronic Records Management (ERM)	Yes	
Yuba	2	Courtroom Zoom UPS Project	Remote Appearances	Yes. Alt Fund- S&T Grant	
Yuba	3	Zoom-Compatible Digital Presentation Podium	Courthouse	Yes	
Yuba	4	HR Document Imaging	Human Resources (HR)	No	Limited direct public benefit
Yuba	5	Server for Microsoft Virtual Machine Manager	Infrastructure	Yes	

Key

* Project is a collaboration with another court(s)

Alt Fund- LAN/WAN: The project has been routed to the LAN/WAN Program for funding consideration.

Alt Fund- MHS- T: The project has been routed to the Model Self-Help Technology Grant for funding consideration.

Alt Fund- S&T Grant: The project has been routed to the Language Access Signage and Technology Grant for funding consideration.

Alt Fund- JMS Grant: The project has been routed to the Jury Management Systems Grant for funding consideration.