



JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm)  
[jctc@jud.ca.gov](mailto:jctc@jud.ca.gov)

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE**

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1))

THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED BY TELECONFERENCE

THIS MEETING WILL BE RECORDED

**Date:** February 14, 2022  
**Time:** 12:00 - 1:00 pm  
**Connection:** <https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/1398?&redirect=true>

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

**I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))**

**Call to Order and Roll Call**

**Approval of Minutes**

Approve minutes of the December 13, 2021 meeting.

**II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(2))**

**Written Comment**

In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), public comments about any agenda item must be submitted by February 10, 2022, 12:00 pm. Written comments should be e-mailed to [jctc@jud.ca.gov](mailto:jctc@jud.ca.gov). Only comments received by February 10, 2022, 12:00 pm will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

**III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1 - 6)**

**Item 1**

**Chair Report**

Provide an update on activities of or news from the Judicial Council, advisory bodies, courts, and/or other justice partners.

Presenter: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, Judicial Council Technology Committee

**Item 2**

**Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC): Update and Report**

An update and report on ITAC will be provided; this will include the activities of the workstreams.

Presenter: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair, Information Technology Advisory Committee

**Item 3**

**2022 Annual Agenda Amendment (Action Required)**

Consider a proposal to amend the 2022 Annual Agenda to add an item for the Rules & Policy Subcommittee

Presenter: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair, Information Technology Advisory Committee

**Item 4**

**Data Advisory Body: Update**

Update on creation of new advisory body focused on data and information governance.

Presenter: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, Technology Committee

**Item 5**

**Budget for Fiscal Year 2022-23: Update**

Update on the Governor's proposed FY2022-23 budget for the judicial branch.

Presenters: Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Deputy Director, Budget Services  
Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer

**Item 6**

**Court Technology Modernization Funding: Update**

Update on the activities to date related to the Court Technology Modernization Funding.

Presenter: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer

**A D J O U R N M E N T**

**Adjourn**



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm)

[jctc@jud.ca.gov](mailto:jctc@jud.ca.gov)

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

December 13, 2021

12:00 – 1:00 PM

Videoconference

---

**Advisory Body Members Present:** Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair; Hon. C. Todd Bottke, Vice-Chair; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Mr. David Fu; Mr. Shawn Landry; and Hon. Glenn Mondo

---

**Advisory Body Members Absent:** Hon. Kevin C. Brazile; Hon. Carol Corrigan; and Ms. Rachel W. Hill

---

#### Liaison Members

**Present:** Hon. Sheila Hanson

**Others Present:** Mr. Rob Oyung; Ms. Heather L. Pettit; Mr. Mark Dusman; Mr. Andrae Randolph; Ms. Jessica Craven; Ms. Jamel Jones; Mr. Hermawan Trinh; Ms. Camilla Kieliger; Ms. Emily Chirk; Ms. Suzanne Schleder; and Ms. Andrea Jaramillo

---

#### OPEN MEETING

---

##### Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order and took roll call.

##### Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the November 8, 2021, Judicial Council Technology Committee meeting.

There were no public comments for this meeting.

---

#### DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-4)

---

##### Item 1

##### Chair Report

**Update:** Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. He reviewed some highlights from 2021 that the Technology Committee oversaw working with various partners.

## Item 2

### Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) (Update and Action)

**Update:** Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair of the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC), reviewed the list of specific projects that are either continuing or new to ITAC in 2022. ITAC's work coincides with the Strategic and Tactical plans outlined for the judicial branch.

**Action:** Members reviewed, asked questions, and approved the Information Technology Advisory Committee's 2022 Annual Agenda.

## Item 3

### Review of ITAC's Identity & Access Management Workstream: Final Report (Action Required)

**Update:** Hon. Sheila F. Hanson and Mr. Snorri Ogata, presented the final report and findings of the ITAC's Identity & Access Management Workstream for approval and submission to the Judicial Council for its consideration.

**Action:** Members reviewed, asked questions, and approved the final report and submission to the Judicial Council for consideration.

## Item 4

### Data Advisory Body (Information Only)

**Update:** Hon. Kyle S. Brodie provided an update and background information about the need to develop a data advisory body based on the report from the Data Analytics workstream. A small working group comprised of members of the Executive and Planning Committee and the Technology Committee met to discuss future objectives, review existing charters for commonalities, and develop language for a proposed rule of court to form a new advisory committee. There will be opportunities to review and comment on the proposed rule of court, as well as a public comment period that will take place over the next few weeks. This proposed data advisory body will then go to the Judicial Council for its consideration.

---

## ADJOURNMENT

---

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

<b>New or One-Time Project</b>	
<b>6.2 Trial Court Rules: Consider Amending Rules on Remote Access to Electronic Records</b>	<i>Priority 1(e)</i> <sup>1</sup>
	<i>Scope category:</i> <b>Policy</b>
<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Amend Consider amending the California Rules of Court on remote access to electronic records to authorize remote access by appellate courts, and appellate projects contracted to run appointed appellate counsel programs, and the Habeas Corpus Resource Center.</p> <p><b>Origin of Project:</b> Member of the Appellate Advisory Committee.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Project will follow the regular rule cycle in 2022 for a January 1, 2023 effective date for the amendment.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact:</b> Committee staff.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p><b>Resources:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>ITAC:</i> Rules &amp; Policy Subcommittee, Chair: Hon. Julie R. Culver</li> <li>• <i>Judicial Council Staffing:</i> Legal Services, Information Technology</li> <li>• <i>Collaborations:</i> Appellate Advisory Committee, Joint Rules Subcommittee of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and Court Executives Advisory Committee</li> </ul>	

<sup>1</sup> For rules and forms proposals, the following priority levels apply: 1(a) The proposal is urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) The proposal is urgently needed to respond to a recent law change; 1(c) A statute or council decision requires a doption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date; 1(d) The proposal will provide significant cost savings and efficiencies, generate significant revenue, or a void a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) The change is urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; or 1(f) The proposal is otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement changes in law; 2(b) Responsive to identified concerns or problems; or 2(c) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

<b>New or One-Time Project</b>	
<b>6.3 Trial Court Rules: Consider Amending Rules on Remote Access to Criminal Electronic Records</b>	<i>Priority 1(e)</i> <sup>2</sup>
	<b>Scope category:</b> <b>Policy</b>
<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Consider amending the California Rules of Court on remote access to criminal electronic records to provide parity between private defense attorneys and public defenders.</p> <p><b>Origin of Project:</b> California Attorneys for Criminal Justice</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> If amendment project proceeds, it will follow the regular rule cycle in 2022 for a January 1, 2023 effective date for the amendment.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact:</b> Committee staff.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p><b>Resources:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>ITAC:</i> Rules &amp; Policy Subcommittee, Chair: Hon. Julie R. Culver</li> <li>• <i>Judicial Council Staffing:</i> Legal Services, Information Technology</li> <li>• <i>Collaborations:</i> Appellate Advisory Committee, Joint Rules Subcommittee of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and Court Executives Advisory Committee, Criminal Law Advisory Committee</li> </ul>	

---

<sup>2</sup> For rules and forms proposals, the following priority levels apply: 1(a) The proposal is urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) The proposal is urgently needed to respond to a recent law change; 1(c) A statute or council decision requires a doption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date; 1(d) The proposal will provide significant cost savings and efficiencies, generate significant revenue, or a void a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) The change is urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; or 1(f) The proposal is otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement changes in law; 2(b) Responsive to identified concerns or problems; or 2(c) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

Ongoing Project	
<b>6.34</b> Review and Provide Input on Pending Legislation	<i>Priority 1</i>
	<i>Scope category:</i> <b>Policy</b>
<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> Review pending legislation related to court technology and provide input on the impact the legislation may have on the courts.</p> <p><b><i>Origin of Project:</i></b> Judicial Council Office of Governmental Affairs.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Ongoing.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact:</i></b> Committee staff.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p><b><i>Resources:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>ITAC:</i> Rules &amp; Policy Subcommittee, Chair: Hon. Julie R. Culver</li> <li>• <i>Judicial Council Staffing:</i> Legal Services, Information Technology, Governmental Affairs</li> </ul>	