



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1))
THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED BY TELECONFERENCE
THIS MEETING WILL BE RECORDED

Date: December 14, 2020
Time: 12:00 noon - 1:00 p.m.
Connection: <https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/1098?&redirect=true>

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the October 9, 2020 meeting and October 20, 2020 action by email.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(2))

Written Comment

In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), public comments about any agenda item must be submitted by December 11, 2020, 12:00 noon. Written comments should be e-mailed to jctc@jud.ca.gov. Only comments received by December 11, 2020, 12:00 noon will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1 – 5)

Item 1

Chair Report

Provide an update on activities of or news from the Judicial Council, advisory bodies, courts, and/or other justice partners.

Presenter: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, Judicial Council Technology Committee

Item 2

Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC): Update and Report

An update and report on ITAC will be provided; this will include the activities of the workstreams.

Presenter: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair, Information Technology Advisory Committee

Item 3

Court Technology Modernization Funding – *Direct Allocations to Trial Courts*: Update and Proposed Recommendations (Action Requested)

Previously, the committee approved \$12.5 million of the court modernization funding to be distributed across the Superior Courts of California (i.e., trial courts) in FY20/21. Provide an update on activities to date. The committee will consider approval of the recommended allocations by court.

Presenter: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director, Information Technology

Item 4

Court Technology Modernization Funding – *Branchwide Initiatives*: Update and Report

Previously, the committee approved \$10 million of the court modernization funding for use toward branchwide initiatives across 13 program categories. Provide a status update on activities related to this segment of funding.

Presenter: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director, Information Technology

Item 5

Court Technology Modernization Funding – *Reserve Funding*: Proposed Recommendations (Action Requested)

Previously, the committee approved reserving \$2.5 million of the court modernization funding for contingencies and related needs in executing the FY20/21 modernization efforts. The committee will consider approval of a recommended use of reserve funds to support immediate needs.

Presenter: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director, Information Technology

A D J O U R N M E N T

Adjourn



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

October 9, 2020

12:00 noon – 1:00 p.m.

videoconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair; Hon. Hon. Todd C. Bottke, Vice-Chair; Hon. Kevin C. Brazile; Hon. Carol Corrigan; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Hon. Glenn Mondo; Ms. Rachel W. Hill; and Mr. Shawn Landry

Liaison Members Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson

Others Present: Hon. Victor A. Rodriguez; Hon. James Mize; Hon. Tara Desautels; Mr. David Yamasaki; Mr. Rick Walery; Ms. Heather L. Pettit; Ms. Jamel Jones; Ms. Jessica Craven; Ms. Deborah Silcox; Mr. John Yee; Mr. Mark Dusman; Mr. Andrae Randolph; Mr. Douglas Denton; Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin; Ms. Danielle McCurry; Ms. Lisa Chavez; Mr. Richard Blalock; Ms. Camilla Kieliger; and Ms. Fran Mueller

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised that no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The committee reviewed and approved the minutes of the September 14, 2020 open meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-6)

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Judge Brodie discussed activities since the last meeting. Judge Brodie also reviewed the agenda topics for the meeting.

Item 2

Update/Report on Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC)

Update: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair of ITAC, provided an update and report on the activities of the advisory committee, its subcommittees, and its workstreams.

Action: The committee received the report.

Item 3

Language Access Services Signage and Technology Grant Program, FY 2020-2021

Update: Hon. Victor A. Rodriguez, Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda; and Mr. Douglas Denton, Principal Manager, Language Access Services, Center for Families, Children & the Courts provided an update and report on the Language Access Services Signage and Technology Grant Program for FY 2020-2021. They reviewed the proposed awards.

Action: The committee received the report, asked questions, discussed the proposed awards, and voted unanimously to recommend to the Judicial Council for consideration.

Item 4

Court Modernization Funding

Update: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director, Information Technology, reviewed the proposed funding models, allocation methodology, program requirements, as well as the process for submitting an application for receiving a portion of the funding for the modernization of court operations included in the California State Budget for FY 2020/2021.

Action: The committee received the report, asked questions, and discussed the proposal.

Item 5

Futures Commission Directive: Voice to Text Language Services Outside the Courtroom – Status and Final Report

Update: Hon. James Mize, Workstream Executive Sponsor; and Mr. Rick Walery, Workstream Court Lead reviewed and discussed the draft report to the Judicial Council on the potential of a pilot project using real-time voice-to-text language services at court filing and service counters, and in self-help centers.

Action: The committee received the report and voted unanimously to recommend to the Judicial Council for acceptance and submission of the report to the Judicial Council.

Item 6

Data Analytics Workstream – Preview of Findings

Update: Hon. Tara Desautels and Mr. David Yamasaki, Workstream Co-Executive Sponsors, provided an update on the workstream’s recent progress, including an overview of proposed governance principles and policy concepts. The Workstream leads also discussed the timeline for finalizing its work and for soliciting feedback on the proposal from judicial branch entities and the public.

Action: The committee received the report.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF ACTION BY EMAIL BETWEEN MEETINGS
OCTOBER 20, 2020

Email Proposal

The Judicial Council Technology Committee was asked to consider approving the recommended allocations for the \$25 million in court modernization funding and to clarify the program language to provide additional flexibility to the courts.

Due to the limited availability of Technology Committee members and the body's other priorities, the Technology Committee did not have time to consider this request at a meeting in a timely manner. Accordingly, the Chair concluded that prompt action by email was necessary.

Notice

On October 14, 2020 a notice was posted advising that the Technology Committee was proposing to act by email between meetings under California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(o)(1)(B).

Public Comment

Because the email recommendation concerned a subject that otherwise must be discussed in an open meeting, the Technology Committee invited public comment on the proposed allocations under rule 10.75(o)(2). The public comment period began at 9 a.m., October 14, 2020 and ended at 10 a.m. October 19, 2020. No public comments were received.

Action Taken

After the public comment period ended, Technology Committee members were asked to submit their votes on the recommended allocations by 4:00 p.m. on October 20, 2020. All eight members voted to approve the allocations. The email recommendation was approved.