



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

January 16, 2020

1:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

In Person

American Room, Judicial Council Conference Center
2860 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95833

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair; Hon. C. Todd Bottke, Vice-Chair; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Hon. Rebecca Wightman; Ms. Nancy Eberhardt; Ms. Rachel W. Hill; and Ms. Andrea K. Rohmann

Advisory Body Members Absent:

Liaison Members Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson

Others Present: Ms. Heather L. Pettit; Mr. Mark Dusman; Mr. David Koon; Ms. Jamel Jones; Mr. Richard Blalock; Ms. Nicole Rosa; Ms. Andrea Jaramillo

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the November 15, 2019.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Judge Brodie reviewed the agenda for the meeting.

Item 2

Review of Information Technology Advisory Committee 2020 Annual Agenda (Action Required)

Update: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair of ITAC, provided a review of the 2020 Annual Agenda.

Action: The committee discussed the activities of ITAC and its workstreams, reviewed the new “scope” categories, asked and answered questions. The committee unanimously approved the Annual Agenda.

Item 3

Technology Budget Change Proposals (BCPs) (Action Required)

Update: Ms. Heather Pettit provided a report on the technology-related concepts for BCP funding beginning FY 21/22.

Actions: The committee asked questions and discussed the concepts presented. The committee then took the following actions, voting unanimously on each:

- A. Removed two concepts – Online Dispute Resolution and Digital Evidence in the Court – Pilots – from consideration. The committee believed it was too early in the process for their submission.
- B. Combined three concepts – Judicial Virtual Customer Service Center, Trial Court Digital Services: Improving Public Access and Customer Service, and Branchwide Automated Email and Text Reminders – into a single proposal entitled: Digital Navigator Services.
- C. Determined the remaining four proposals would be of great benefit to the branch and ranked them in the following order:
 1. **Branchwide Security Operations Center and Identity Management Solution** for Trial Courts, Appellate Courts, Supreme Court, and Judicial Council
 2. **Judicial Branch Data Governance**
 3. **Digital Navigator Services** (consolidating Judicial Virtual Customer Service Center, Trial Court Digital Services: Improving Public Access and Customer Service, and Branchwide Automated Email and Text Reminders concepts)
 4. **California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR) Modernization**
- D. Directed Judicial Council Information Technology staff to forward the completed BCP concepts to the Judicial Council Budget Services staff; and
- E. Directed staff to provide the JCTC ranking of the technology related BCP concepts to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (JBBC) for their consideration. (The JBBC would be ranking all judicial branch BCPs at a future meeting.)

- F. Heather Pettit informed the committee that she is anticipating an additional Appellate IT Modernization BCP concept to be presented through the appellate advisory committee process; and will share an update at a future JCTC meeting.

Action: The committee received the report, agreed on the prioritization, and unanimously approved to submit the concepts to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.