



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

September 24, 2019

1:00 - 1:30 PM

In Person

Tower Room A, Judicial Council Conference Center
2850 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95833

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair; Hon. C. Todd Bottke, Vice-Chair; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Hon. Rebecca Wightman; Ms. Nancy Eberhardt; Ms. Rachel W. Hill; and Ms. Andrea K. Rohmann

Liaison Members Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson

Others Present: Mr. Robert Oyung; Ms. Heather L. Pettit; Mr. Mark Dusman; Ms. Jessica Goldstein; Ms. Jamel Jones; Ms. Kathy Fink; Mr. Richard Blalock; and Ms. Camilla Kieliger

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the September 9, 2019 open meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Judge Brodie reviewed the agenda for the meeting and provided updates on recent meetings in which the Chair and other members represented the JCTC or reported on the JCTC activities.

Item 2

2019-2020 Pilot Courts for Digitizing Documents

Update: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer and Director of Judicial Council Information Technology (JCIT), and Ms. Kathy Fink, Manager in JCIT, presented a report on the recommended selection of phase 1 courts for the initial phase for digitizing paper and/or filmed case files for the Appellate and Superior Courts. They explained that the funding was received through the Budget Change Proposal process and supports the Strategic Plan goals of Promoting the Digital Court and Advancing IT Security and Infrastructure. They also explained that the proposed phase 1 courts were selected according to the objectives of the program and the established criteria.

Action: The committee received and discussed the report and ranking, asked questions, voted to approve (one abstention), and directed staff to notify the selected courts and begin work on the next steps for the project.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.