

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1)) THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED BY TELECONFERENCE

THIS MEETING WILL BE RECORDED

Date: September 24, 2019 **Time:** 1:00 – 1:30 p.m.

Location: Tower Room A, Judicial Council Conference Center

2850 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95833

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(c)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the September 9, 2019 meeting.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(2))

Written Comment

In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), public comments about any agenda item must be submitted by September 23, 2019, 12:00 noon. Written comments should be e-mailed to jctc@jud.ca.gov or mailed or delivered to 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, attention: Rita Alderucci. Only written comments received by September 23, 2019, 12:00 noon will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

Item 1

Chair Report

Provide update on activities of or news from the Judicial Council, advisory bodies, courts, and/or other justice partners.

Presenter: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair

Item 2

2019-2020 Pilot Courts for Digitizing Documents (Action Requested)

The committee will consider the recommended selection of pilot courts for the initial phase for digitizing paper and/or filmed case files for the Appellate and Superior Courts. This funding was received through the Budget Change Proposal process and supports the Strategic Plan goals of Promoting the Digital Court and Advancing IT Security and Infrastructure. Proposed pilot courts were selected according to the objectives of the program and the established criteria.

Presenters: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer and Director, Judicial

Council Information Technology

Ms. Kathy Fink, Manager, Judicial Council Information Technology

ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688 Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

MEMORANDUM

Date

September 16, 2019

To

Hon. Kyle Brodie, Chair Judicial Council Technology Committee

From

Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer Information Technology

Subject

Digitizing Records Pilot Program: Court Selection

Action Requested

Review and approval

Deadline

September 24, 2019

Contact

Kathleen Fink, Manager Information Technology (415) 865-4094 Kathleen.Fink@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Judicial Council Tech committee (JCTC) is asked to consider the recommended selection of pilot courts for an initial phase of the Digitizing Records Pilot Program for the Appellate and Superior Courts. Funding was received for phase one of this program through the Budget Change Proposal process. Proposed pilot courts were selected according to the objectives of the program and the established criteria.

Background

The Judicial Council received funding to conduct phase one of a multi-phase program for digitizing mandatory court records, including paper and/or filmed case files for the Superior and Appellate Courts. The goal for phase one is to digitize the equivalent of 28,000 linear feet of paper case files. After this phase, the data, including digitization costs, timelines and business reengineering effort, will be analyzed to refine the cost estimates, processes and techniques so that funding needed for remaining courts can be requested in the future, as appropriate for the remaining non-digitized courts.

The Digitizing Records Pilot Program supports the Strategic Plan goals of Promoting the Digital

Court by increasing access to the courts, administering justice in a timely and efficient manner, and optimizing case processing by supporting a foundation for the digital court by implementing comprehensive digital services for the public and justice partners.

An initial survey to gather information on courts interested in participating in the pilot program was distributed to the courts in November 2017. Survey results supplied the information necessary for the Budget Change Proposal (BCP). A subsequent survey (attached) gathered information on courts interested in participating in the immediate pilot program to digitize paper case files. Based on the results, staff developed a selection of proposed pilot courts according to the objectives of the program and established criteria. The selection criteria are provided below.

Selection Criteria

The selection criteria used for ranking court applications for the Digitizing Records Pilot Program includes:

- Court agreement to re-engineer business processes to utilize a fully electronic case file.
- Available court staff resources to conduct phase one of the program.
- Court agreement to contribute to a digitizing documents playbook summarizing best practices.
- Previous experience in digitizing documents.
- Courts of varying sizes: small, medium, large, and at least one appellate court.
- Total volume of documents to digitize.
- Types of files to digitize: paper, microfilm, microfiche.

Court Selection

The following table ranks the courts interested in participating in the pilot based on the selection criteria; based on this ordering, the courts identified below are recommended for selection:

Appellate Courts	Selected
Fifth District Court of Appeals	X
Third District Court of Appeals	
Trial Courts	
San Mateo Superior Court	X
Alameda Superior Court	X
San Luis Obispo Superior Court	X
Santa Clara Superior Court	X
Sutter Superior Court	X

Placer Superior Court	X
Los Angeles Superior Court	X
Kern Superior Court	
Madera Superior Court	
San Joaquin Superior Court	
San Francisco Superior Court	
Orange Superior Court	
Tulare Superior Court	
Sacramento Superior Court	
Contra Costa Superior Court	
Amador Superior Court	
Santa Cruz Superior Court	
Lake Superior Court	
Tehama Superior Court	
Sierra Superior Court	
Humboldt Superior Court	
Modoc Superior Court	
Santa Barbara Superior Court	
Colusa Superior Court	
El Dorado Superior Court	

Action Requested

The JCTC is requested to approve the above selections for the initial phase of the Digital Records Pilot Program.

Attachment



1. Introduction

Note: This PDF is provided as a preview to the survey. Please complete the survey online at: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/LM5MSDR

An initial survey to gather information on courts interested in participating in a pilot program to digitize paper, microfilm, and microfiche case files was distributed to the courts in November, 2017. That survey supplied the information needed to request funds from the Department of Finance. It is hoped that the pilot will be funded beginning July 2019.

The purpose of this survey is to help the Judicial Council gather information on courts interested in participating in a pilot program to digitize paper case files.

This is an optional survey and only courts needing funds and able to participate in a pilot are expected to respond.

The survey will close on Friday, May 17, 2019.

Questions should be directed to: Kathleen.Fink@jud.ca.gov.

On behalf of Judicial Council Information Technology, thank you in advance for your time.



Digitizing rapor rilot (South College Carrey (2010)
2.	
* 1. Please complete yo court is needed.	our contact information. Email and phone are requested in case follow up with the
Name	
Title	
Court	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
The following section the Digitizing Paper	on attempts to gather information for selecting pilot courts for Pilot.
* 2. Would you like to pa	articipate in the Digitizing Paper Pilot?
Yes	○ No
Comment	



3.		
•	fully electronic environment for the case types that you digitize after n electronic environment means no more maintaining or adding to a pilot project is complete.	•
Yes	○ No	
Comment		
electronic case file in the sele external assistance? This inc	nd resources to re-engineer your paper business processes to utilize ted case type(s) by examining and redesigning existing workflows udes, but is not limited to project managing the effort, workflow resoftware tools for accessing the electronic files, training court use my other associated tasks.	without
Yes	○ No	
Comment		

Yes	○ No	
Comment		
6 Will you make staff available	to assist Judicial Council staff with the development and review of a E	۲. I
Change Proposal (BCP) and Re		Juc
Yes	No No	
Comment		
7. Will you participate in the dev	velopment of a digitizing court documents "playbook" to summarize yo	ur
learnings so that other courts ca	an implement similar programs?	
Yes	○ No	
Comment		
Comment		
8. Do you have experience con	verting paper, microfilm, and/or microfiche to electronic documents?	
Yes	○ No	
If you answered yes, please describe y	vour experience	
,	, san	
		_



9. Which case types hav	e you con	verted and to wh	nat extent (list o	of case types a	ınd percentages	s)?
	0%	1% - 25%	26% - 50%	51% - 75%	76% - 99%	1009
Civil (includes Civil Unlimited, Small Claims and Unlawful Detainer)		\circ			\bigcirc	
Probate						
Mental Health						
Family						
Juvenile Delinquency/Justice						
Juvenile Dependency						
Criminal						
Traffic						
Automatic Appeals						
Other (please specify below)						
Other (please specify below)						
Other (please specify below)						
Comment (list other case type	s noted abov	e)				

11. Have you re-	engineered your busi	ness process to su	pport electronic cas	e files?	
Yes					
O No					
Comment					



12. Do you h	nave a document m	nanagement syst	em?	
Yes				
○ No				
Comment (plea	ase specify)			

13. What case types are you considering or interested in converting for the purposes of the pilot?	
Civil (includes Civil Unlimited, Small Claims and Unlawful Detainer)	
Probate	
Mental Health	
Family	
Juvenile Delinquency/Justice	
Juvenile Dependency	
Criminal	
Traffic	
Automatic Appeals	
Other (please specify)	
Other (please specify)	
Other (please specify)	

Civil (includes Civil				
Unlimited, Small Claims				
and Unlawful Detainer)				
Probate				
Mental Health				
Family				
Juvenile				¬
Delinquency/Justice				
Juvenile Dependency				
Criminal				
Traffic				
Automatic Appeals				
Other (please specify)				
Other (please specify)				
Other (please specify)				
15. For the previous (nuestion, please provi	de anv additional co	mments.	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
16. Do you have physat least 48 square fee	•	o use as a documen	t preparation and scanni	ng area? (must b
at icast 40 Square let	π (υλο)).			
Yes		No		
Comment				
·				

Yes	No	
Comment		



- * 18. How many linear feet do you have for your <u>active</u> case files in paper format? To measure linear footage, please measure:
 - for boxes: total length of boxes
 - for file drawers: total depth of drawers assuming file folders are vertical; total width if horizontal/lateral
 - <u>for shelving:</u> total length of shelves

Civil (includes Civil Unlimited, Small Claims	
and Unlawful Detainer)	
Probate	
Mental Health	
Family	
Juvenile	
Delinquency/Justice	
Juvenile Dependency	
Criminal	
Traffic	
Traine	
Automatic Appeals	
Other (please specify)	
Other (please specify)	
Other (please specify)	
Carrotte (product opening)	

20. Do you hav	e case files in paper format that must be kept permanently or for more than 10 years?	,
Yes	○ No	
Comment		



7.

* 21. How many linear feet do you have for your case files in paper format that must be kept permanently or for more than 10 years?

To measure linear footage, please measure:

- for boxes: total length of boxes
- for file drawers: total depth of drawers assuming file folders are vertical; total width if horizontal/lateral
- for shelving: total length of shelves

Civil (includes Civil Unlimited, Small Claims	
and Unlawful Detainer)	
Probate	
Mental Health	
Family	
Juvenile	
Delinquency/Justice	
Juvenile Dependency	
Criminal	
Traffic	
Automatic Appeals	
Other (please specify)	
Other (please specify)	
Other (please angle)	
Other (please specify)	

23. Do you have any microf	ilm that needs to be digi	tized?	
Yes		No	
Comment			



vil (includes Civil nlimited, Small Claims				
nd Unlawful Detainer)				
obate				
ental Health				
amily				
venile				
elinquency/Justice				
venile Dependency				
iminal				
affic				
utomatic Appeals				
ther (please specify)				
ther (please specify)				
ther (please specify)				
5. For the previous que	stion, please pro	vide any addi	tional comme	nts.

Yes	○ No	
Comment		
Comment		



Civil (includes Civil Unlimited, Small Claims und Unlawful Detainer)	
obate	
ental Health	
mily	
uvenile Delinquency/Justice	
uvenile Dependency	
riminal	
affic	
tomatic Appeals	
er (please specify)	
her (please specify)	
her (please specify)	
3. For the previous o	question, please provide any additional comments.

29. Can you think of anything we may have missed that we need to take into consideration?				



10.

Thank you for participating in the Digitizing Paper Pilot Court Selection survey.

Please click on DONE to submit your answers.



JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

September 9, 2019 12:00 - 1:00 PM

Teleconference

Advisory Body Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Members Present: Hon. Rebecca Wightman; Ms. Nancy Eberhardt; and Ms. Rachel W. Hill

Advisory Body Hon. Gary Nadler, Vice-Chair; Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; and Ms. Andrea K.

Members Absent: Rohmann

Others Present: Hon. Louis R. Mauro: Hon. C. Todd Bottke: Ms. Heather Pettit: Mr. Mark

Dusman; Ms. Jessica Goldstein; Ms. Jamel Jones; Ms. Kathy Fink; Mr. Richard Blalock; Mr. Douglas Denton; Mr. Don Will; Ms. Daphne Light; Ms. Andrea

Jaramillo; and Mr. Eric Long

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the August 12, 2019 open meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Chair Report

Update:

Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair, welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Justice Slough reviewed the agenda for the meeting and provided updates on recent meetings in which the Chair and other members represented the JCTC or reported on the JCTC activities. Justice Slough welcomed the new JCTC Chair Judge Brodie and the Vice-Chair Judge Bottke. She wished the committee well in the next year, as she transitioned to her new role of Chair of the Executive and Planning Committee. Justice Slough also provided an update related to funding the initial phase of a project to digitize paper and filmed case files for the Appellate and Superior Courts. The funding was received through the budget change proposal process and supports the Strategic Plan goals of Promoting the Digital Court and Advancing IT Security and Infrastructure.

Item 2

Update/Report on Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC)

Update: Hon. Louis R. Mauro, Vice-Chair of ITAC, provided an update and report on the activities

of the advisory committee, its subcommittees, and its workstreams.

Action: The committee received the report.

Item 3

2019-2020 Language Access Signage and Technology (Action)

Update: Mr. Douglas Denton, Supervising Analyst in the Center for Families, Children, & the

Courts provided an update and presented a proposal fora grant program to disburse \$2.55 million for language access signage, technology infrastructure support, and

equipment needs for the trial courts and the Judicial Council for 2019-2020 and ongoing.

Action: The committee asked questions about the program and then voted to approve the

program for submission to the Judicial Council at its September 2019 meeting.

Item 4

2019-20 State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for V3 Case Management System (CMS)

Update: Ms. Heather Pettit, Chief Information Officer for the Judicial Council, and Ms. Kathy Fink,

Manager in Judicial Council Information Technology provided an update on the status of the V3 courts' CMS transition projects and on a recommendation to reallocate unspent funds provided in a 2016-17 budget change proposal for Sacramento Superior Court as it

relates to the V3 CMS transition.

Action: The committee received the report.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.