JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

June 23, 2016

10:00 AM – 12:00 Noon

Redwood Room, Judicial Council Conference Center, 455 Golden Gate Avenue,
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

Advisory Body Members Present:	Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair; Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Vice-Chair; Mr. Mark G. Bonino; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. David E. Gunn; Hon. Gary Nadler; Mr. Jake Chatters; Mr. Rick Feldstein; and Ms. Debra Elaine Pole
Advisory Body and Liaison Members Absent:	Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers
Others Present:	Mr. Mark Dusman, Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic; Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Ms. Renea Stewart; Ms. Lucy Fogarty; Ms. Jessica Goldstein; Ms. Katy Fink; Mr. David Koon; Mr. Michael Derr; Ms. Jamel Jones; and Ms. Jackie Woods

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the June 13, 2016, Judicial Council Technology Committee meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Marsha Slough, welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Justice Slough reviewed the agenda for the meeting, as well as provided updates on recent meetings in which she and other members represented the JCTC or reported on the JCTC activities.

Item 2

Technology Budget Change Proposals (Action Required)

Update: Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Vice-Chair of the JCTC, facilitated a discussion to prioritize potential technology Budget Change Proposal (BCP) concepts. These BCP concepts

will be presented to the Judicial Council at its August 2016 meeting. Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds, Principal Manager in Judicial Council Information Technology, reviewed the initial scoring of the BCPs.

Action 1: The committee reviewed the potential technology BCPs. After discussion, the committee began prioritizing the BCPs. The first action was to defer the following three potential BCPs:

1. Case management system replacement for courts other than the Sustain Justice Edition (SJE) courts;
2. Defer the pending Disaster Recovery worksteam recommendations; and
3. Defer the expansion of the California Court Protective Order Registry (CCPOR).

Action 2: The committee continued the review of the potential technology BCPs with the understanding that the full BCP would need to be submitted to the Department of Finance (DOF) in September 2017. The exception is that Placeholders would be able to submit the final, full BCPs to DOF in early 2017. After extensive discussion, the committee approved the following prioritization of the BCPs:

1. Sustain Justice Edition case management system replacement
2. Appellate Document Management System (Placeholder)
3. Statewide Electronic Filing Manager (Placeholder)
4. Video Remote Interpreting pilot program (note that the JCTC only evaluated the technology component of the larger Language Access BCP).

Item 3

Update on Security Framework

Update: Mr. Michael Derr, Principal Manager with Judicial Council Information Technology, provided an update on the implementation of the security framework that was previously adopted by the Judicial Council. After a brief history, he reviewed the implementation process, the need for information technology security protocols, the work completed to date, as well as a preview of the next steps.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.