



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

June 13, 2016
12:00 - 1:00 PM
Teleconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair; Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Vice-Chair; Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. David E. Gunn; Hon. Gary Nadler; Mr. Jake Chatters; Mr. Rick Feldstein; and Ms. Debra Elaine Pole

Advisory Body Members Absent: Mr. Mark G. Bonino

Liaison Members Present: Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers

Others Present: Mr. Mark Dusman, Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic; Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Ms. Renea Stewart; Ms. Jessica Goldstein; Ms. Kathy Fink, Mr. David Koon; Ms. Gwen Arafiles; and Ms. Jamel Jones

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the May 9, 2016 meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Marsh G. Slough, Chair of the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC), welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Justice Slough reviewed the agenda for the meeting, as well as provided updates on recent meetings in which she and other members represented the JCTC or reported on the JCTC activities.

Item 2

Technology Budget Change Proposals

Update: Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Vice-Chair of the JCTC, facilitated a discussion on potential technology Budget Change Proposals (BCPs) with FY 17/18 being the target year for funding. The JCTC reviewed the potential technology BCP concepts and discussed

which were of branchwide significance and would be congruent with the *Court Technology Governance and Strategic Plan*.

Action: After discussion and agreement to eliminate four concepts, the JCTC decided that it would continue the discussion of the list of BCPs at the June 23, 2016 JCTC meeting, with the intent of prioritizing those BCPs to be submitted to the Judicial Council for approval at its August 2016 meeting.

Item 3

Report on E-Filing Workstream: Final Deliverables

Update: Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers, Chair of the Information Technology Committee, presented the final deliverables of the E-Filing Workstream; the preliminary deliverables were approved at the May 9, 2016 JCTC meeting. The deliverables included a set of high-level and functional recommendations related to establishing a statewide Electronic Filing (E-Filing) capability, and requested that they be recommended to the Judicial Council for review.

The recommendations included:

1. Approve the following statewide e-filing policies:
 - (a) Establish the National Information Exchange Model (NIEM)/Electronic Court Filing (ECF) as the technical standard for State of California trial court e-filing.
 - (b) Allow individual courts to retain authority as to which e-filing manager(s) (EFM(s)) they will use.
 - (c) The California judicial branch will select more than one statewide EFM.
2. Approve the following high-level functional requirements for trial court e-filing:
 - (a) EFMs must support all case types.
 - (b) EFMs must have the ability to integrate with all statewide case management systems (CMS) included in the statewide CMS Master Services Agreement (currently, Tyler Odyssey, Thomson-Reuters C-Track, Justice Systems Inc.) and Journal Technologies eCourt.
 - (c) EFMs must describe their approach for integration with “non-standard” CMSs, including a free-standing e-delivery option
 - (d) EFMs must integrate with Judicial Council approved financial gateway vendors, if directed.
 - (e) EFMs must support electronic payment types beyond credit card.
 - (f) EFMs must provide a zero cost e-filing option for indigent and government filers.
 - (g) EFMs must clearly disclose all costs and services to the e-filing service provider (EFSP) community.
 - (h) EFMs must support electronic service of court generated documents.
 - (i) EFSPs must integrate with all “statewide” EFMs in all participating counties.
3. Commission the ITAC to manage the vendor selection process for a statewide trial court EFM solution.

Action: The committee voted to approve the final recommendations for consideration to the Judicial Council at its June 2016 meeting.

Item 4

Update/Report on Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC)

Update: Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers, Chair of ITAC, provided an update and report on the activities of the advisory committee, its subcommittees, and its workstreams.

Action: The committee discussed the activities of ITAC and received the report.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.