



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

April 14, 2016

9:00 - 10:30 AM

Judicial Council of California, San Francisco

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair; Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Vice-Chair; Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; Hon. David E. Gunn; Hon. Gary Nadler; Mr. Jake Chatters; Mr. Richard D. Feldstein; and Mr. Mark Bonino

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. Ming W. Chin; and Ms. Debra Elaine Pole

Liaison Members Present: Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers

Others Present: Mr. Mark Dusman; Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic; Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Ms. Renea Stewart; Ms. Jessica Craven; Ms. Kathy Fink; Mr. David Koon; and Ms. Jackie Woods

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised that public comments were received and shared with members prior to the meeting.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the March 25, 2016, Judicial Council Technology Committee meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair of the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC), welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced new member Judge Kyle Brodie of San Bernardino County. Judge Slough reviewed the agenda for the meeting, as well as provided updates on recent meetings in which she and other members represented the JCTC or reported on the JCTC activities.

Item 2

Seven Court Consortium Request for Funding for Information Technology Infrastructure & Scenarios for Eventual Elimination of Subsidies from Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for the Interim Case Management System (ICMS or Sustain Justice Edition) and Managed Court Program (Hosting)

Update: The committee received a report on the request for Funding for Information Technology Infrastructure for a seven court consortium and scenarios for eventual elimination of subsidies from TCTF and IMF for the Interim Case Management System (ICMS or Sustain Justice Edition) and Managed Court Program (Hosting). Mr. Jake Chatters, in his role as Court Executive Officer for Placer Superior Court presented three scenarios and Mr. David Koon, Manager for Judicial Council Information Technology presented two additional scenarios. The committee then reviewed these possible scenarios for the eventual elimination of subsidies from TCTF and IMF and Managed Court Program (Hosting), developed in response to the April 2014 Judicial Council directive asking the committee “to develop a plan to eliminate the subsidies from the IMF and TCTF for CCMS V3 and the Sustain Justice Edition costs, and to make recommendations to the Judicial Council.” This was to consider the request for funding to support the Placer Proposal for a seven court hosting consortium.

Action: After review and discussion, the following motion was approved by the JCTC; Mr. Chatters abstained.

1. Endorsing the position that all Sustain hosted courts move away from the current IMF subsidized funding structure to an IT administrative program that is funded in a manner consistent with other trial courts throughout the state.
2. Endorsing Scenario 3 (Elimination of the Interim Case Management System and Managed Court Program use of the California Court Technology Center if any use remains at the start of FY 19/20, any such costs are paid by the participating courts) a cooperatively developed plan by and with the hosted ICMS and Managed Courts that ends all IMF subsidies by the beginning of FY 19/20.
3. Working with the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee to find one-time funding for the support of this effort, as early as the current year.
4. Continuing to support the Sustain hosted courts in their efforts to acquire a replacement of the outdated Interim Case Management System as a longer term goal, which would further reduce the Improvement and Modernization Fund expenditures.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.