



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm)  
[jctc@jud.ca.gov](mailto:jctc@jud.ca.gov)

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

March 25, 2016

12:00 - 1:00 PM

Teleconference

---

**Advisory Body Members Present:** Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair; Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Vice-Chair; Mr. Mark G. Bonino; Mr. Jake Chatters; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. David E. Gunn; Hon. Gary Nadler; and Ms. Debra Elaine Pole

**Advisory Body Members Absent:** Mr. Richard D. Feldstein

**Others Present:** Mr. Mark Dusman, Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Ms. Renea Stewart; Ms. Kathy Fink, Ms. Jamel Jones; Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic; Mr. David Yamasaki; Mr. David Koon; Mr. Robert Oyung; Mr. Patrick O'Donnell; Ms. Tara Lundstrom; and Mr. Michael Derr

---

#### OPEN MEETING

---

##### Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised one public comment received that was circulated to members prior to the meeting for their consideration.

##### Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the February 8, 2016 meeting, as well as the March 7 2016, and March 14, 2016 Judicial Council Technology Committee Action by Emails.

---

#### DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-7)

---

##### Item 1

###### Chair Report

**Update:** Hon. Marsh G. Slough provided an update to members. There was one public comment received that was circulated to members prior to the meeting for their consideration.

##### Item 2

###### Update/Report on Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC)

**Update:** Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers, Chair of ITAC, provided an update and report on the activities of the advisory committee, its subcommittees, and its workstreams.

**Action:** The committee discussed the activities of ITAC and received the report.

**Item 3**

**Consortium of Seven Court Information Technology Infrastructure (Action Required)**

**Update:** This item was deferred to the April 14 in person meeting.

**Item 4**

**Update on Civil Case Management System (V3) Replacement Budget Change Proposal**

**Update:** Justice Slough provided the update on behalf of Mr. Rick Feldstein. Mr. Feldstein, JCTC and Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) member as well as CEO of Napa Court has been a key part of the BCP to replace the V3 civil case management system. The V3 BCP was submitted to the Department of Finance, who have made some inquiries that were addressed by Judicial Council Information Technology staff.

**Item 5**

**Update on Sustain Justice Edition Case Management System**

**Update:** Mr. David Koon, Manager in Judicial Council Information Technology, provided an update on the work being done to issue the Request for Proposal (RFP). Plumas and Sierra courts are leading this effort. They are using the RFP used by other courts as a baseline and currently defining the scope and business requirements. The goal is to issue this in early April then continue the work on the BCP to replace the SJE case management system.

**Item 6**

**Improvement and Modernization Fund Budget Review: Telecommunications Program**

**Update:** Mr. Michael Derr, Principal Manager in Judicial Council Technology Information, provided a summary of the telecom program. A large spike in expenses for FY 16-17 was projected as a result of hardware needing to be refreshed. Expenditures were forecast that significantly exceeded the available budget. Working with court IT managers to close the budget gap, a solution to reduce the FY16-17 budget and establish a more stable spending pattern moving forward was adopted. Also in collaboration with the court IT managers, three options were reviewed to address the remaining deficit. This team is also looking at options to avoid future spikes in the budget.

**Item 7**

**Remote Video Proceedings Pilot Project**

**Update:** Ms. Tara Lundstrom, Attorney in Judicial Council Legal Services, updated the committee that the pilot project went to a standing rule of court. So far Fresno is still the only court. Courts participating will have to submit reports under the rule. Fresno is leveraging their proceedings, received Federal grant for a rural site. Courts will no longer need to apply, only notify and provide annual reports.

---

**A D J O U R N M E N T**

---

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.