



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

August 20, 2015

10:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. James E. Herman, Chair; Hon. David De Alba, Vice-Chair; Hon. Daniel J. Buckley; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. Emilie H. Elias; Hon. Gary Nadler; Mr. Mark Bonino; and Mr. Richard D. Feldstein

Others Present: Mr. Curt Soderlund; Mr. Mark Dusman; Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic; Ms. Diana Earl; Ms. Lucy Fogarty; Ms. Renea Stewart; Ms. Jessica Craven; Ms. Kathy Fink; Mr. David Koon; Ms. Tara Lundstrom; Ms. Katherine Sher; and Ms. June Agpalza

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The vice-chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised that no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The members unanimously approved the minutes of the July 21, 2015 Judicial Council Technology Committee meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-9)

Item 1

Chair Report (No Action Required)

Update: Hon. James E. Herman, Chair of the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC), thanked all members for attending, presented a special acknowledgement to the Vice-Chair, Hon. David De Alba, and thanked staff for their service.

Item 2

Update on V3 Case Management System Budget Change Proposal (BCP)

Update: Mr. Richard Feldstein provided an update on the work of the joint JCTC and Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) working group related to the potential BCP for the replacement of the V3 case management system.

Discussion: The committee discussed the work completed to date, as well as the next steps.

Item 3

E-Service: California Rules of Court, rules 2.251 and 8.71 (Action Required)

Update: The committee reviewed the public comments and final proposal to amend rules 2.251 and 8.71 to authorize electronic service on consenting courts.

Discussion: The committee discussed the report and asked questions to clarify the process.

Action: The committee voted to approve the proposal.

Item 4

Phase I of the Rules Modernization Project: California Rules of Court, titles 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8 (Action Required)

Update: The committee reviewed the public comments and final proposal to make technical, non-substantive amendments to the rules in titles 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8.

Discussion: The committee discussed the report.

Action: The committee voted to approve the proposal.

Item 5

Public Access to Electronic Court Records in the Appellate Courts (Action Required)

Update: The committee reviewed the public comments and final proposal to introduce new rules to address public access to electronic court records in the appellate courts.

Discussion: The committee discussed the report.

Action: The committee voted to approve the proposal.

Item 6

E-Signature Standards and Guidelines: Update to the Trial Court Records Manual (Action Required)

Update: The committee reviewed the electronic signature standards and guidelines that would be circulated for comment to the trial courts. The standards and guidelines would be included in the *Trial Court Records Manual*.

Discussion: The committee discussed the standards and guidelines, as well as the next steps.

Action: The committee voted to approve the proposal.

Item 7

Update on Contract for California Court Technology Center

Update: Mr. Mark W. Dusman, Chief Information Officer and Director, Information Technology provided an update on the contract for the California Court Technology Center.

Discussion: The committee discussed the update.

Item 8

Update on California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) and California Court Protective Order Registry (CCPOR)

Update: Ms. Renea Stewart, Senior Manager, Information Technology provided an update on the CLETS and CCPOR in relation to operations and budget impacts.

Discussion: The committee discussed the update.

Item 9

Update on Work of Information Technology

Update: Mr. Mark W. Dusman provided an update on the current and upcoming work and activities of the Information Technology office including the office's budget.

Discussion: The committee discussed the update.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.