



JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm)  
[jctc@jud.ca.gov](mailto:jctc@jud.ca.gov)

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

November 10, 2014

4:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.

Teleconference

**Advisory Body  
Members Present:**

Hon. James E. Herman, Chair; Hon. David De Alba, Vice-Chair; Hon. Daniel J. Buckley; Hon. Emilie H. Elias; Hon. Gary Nadler; Mr. Mark G. Bobino; and Mr. Richard D. Feldstein

**Liaison Members  
Present:**

Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers

**Others Present:**

Mr. Curt Soderlund; Mr. Mark Dusman; Ms. Diana Earl; Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Ms. Jessica Craven; Ms. Kathy Fink; and Mr. David Koon

OPEN MEETING

**Call to Order and Roll Call**

The chair called the meeting to order and took roll call.

**Approval of Minutes**

The members reviewed and made a motion to approve the minutes of the October 27, 2014 Judicial Council Technology Committee meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-5)

**Items 1**

**Chair Report (No Action Required)**

**Update:** Hon. James E. Herman, Chair of the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC), welcomed and thanked everyone for attending.

**Item 2**

**Update on Court Technology Advisory Committee (No Action Required)**

**Update:** Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers, Chair of the Court Technology Advisory Committee (CTAC), provided an update on the current and upcoming work of the committee including the new membership, the impact of the newly approved Court Technology Governance and Strategic Plan on CTAC, the CTAC to Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) transition, and the State-level Data Exchanges and Justice Partner Interfaces workstream.

**Item 3**

**Update on Information Technology Budget (No Action Required)**

**Discussion:** Mr. Mark W. Dusman, Chief Information Officer and Director of JCC Information Technology provided an update on the Information Technology budget. The update included a breakdown by fund, review of five year historical allocations, how the FY14-15 budget reductions were achieved, the Information Technology budget development process, and issues facing the Information Technology Budget. These issues include the fact there is no sustainable and stable funding source for judicial branch technology needs, the cyclical program budgets vary year to year (equipment replacement, deployment, contract renewals, etc.), five years of numerous budget cuts, as well as others.

---

**A D J O U R N M E N T**

---

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Approved by the advisory body on December 11, 2104.