



## Judicial Council of California. Administrative Office of the Courts

455 Golden Gate Avenue. San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

---

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: April 26, 2013

---

**Title**

Child Support: Midyear Funding Reallocation for Fiscal Year 2012–2013 and Base Funding Allocation for Fiscal Year 2013–2014 for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program

**Agenda Item Type**

Action Required

**Effective Date**

April 26, 2013

**Date of Report**

March 21, 2013

**Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected**

None

**Contact**

Michael Wright, 415-865-7619  
[michael.wright@jud.ca.gov](mailto:michael.wright@jud.ca.gov)

**Recommended by**

Family and Juvenile Law Advisory  
Committee

Hon. Kimberly J. Nystrom-Geist, Cochair  
Hon. Dean T. Stout, Cochair

---

### Executive Summary

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve the reallocation of funding for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program for the remainder of fiscal year 2012–2013. Additionally, the committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve the allocation of funding for this same program for fiscal year 2013–2014, as required by Assembly Bill 1058 (Stats. 1996, ch. 957). The funds are provided through a cooperative agreement between the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) and the Judicial Council. At midyear, under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, the Judicial Council redistributes to courts that have a documented need for additional funds any unallocated funds and any available funds from courts that are projected not to spend their full grants that year. The courts are also offered an option to use local court funds up to an approved amount to draw down, or qualify for, federal matching funds.

## **Recommendation**

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective February 28, 2012:

1. Approve the reallocation for funding of child support commissioners for fiscal year 2012–2013, subject to the state Budget Act;
2. Approve the reallocation for funding of family law facilitators for fiscal year 2012–2013, subject to the state Budget Act;
3. Approve the allocation for funding of child support commissioners for fiscal year 2013–2014, subject to the state Budget Act; and
4. Approve the allocation for funding of family law facilitators for fiscal year 2013–2014, subject to the state Budget Act.

Tables detailing the recommended reallocations and allocations of funding are attached at pages 6–9.

## **Previous Council Action**

The Judicial Council is required annually to allocate non–trial court funding to the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program and has done so since 1997.<sup>1</sup> A cooperative agreement between DCSS and the Judicial Council provides the funds for this program and requires the council to annually approve the funding allocation. Two-thirds of the funds are federal, and one-third comes from the state General Fund (non–trial court funding). Any funds left unspent during the fiscal year revert to the state General Fund and cannot be used in subsequent years.

Under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, the Judicial Council at midyear redistributes to courts that have a documented need for additional funds any unallocated funds and any available funds from courts that are projected not to spend their full grants. In addition, in FY 2007–2008, DCSS and the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) provided a mechanism for the courts to recover two-thirds of additional program costs beyond the contract maximum covered by use of local trial court funds. This federal drawdown option continues to be available for FY 2013–2014.

---

<sup>1</sup>AB 1058 added article 4 to chapter 2 of part 2 of division 9 of the Family Code, which at section 4252(b)(6) requires the Judicial Council to “[e]stablish procedures for the distribution of funding to the courts for child support commissioners, family law facilitators pursuant to [Family Code] Division 14 (commencing with Section 10000) and related allowable costs.”

## **Rationale for Recommendation**

### **Midyear reallocation, FY 2012–2013**

The midyear reallocation process is a review of each court's program funding, conducted once each fiscal year to determine if any adjustment is warranted. Midyear reallocation is primarily designed to meet one-time, nonrecurring special needs, such as equipment purchases or temporary help to clear work backlogs. However, due to the limited amount of funds available for reallocation this year, priority in reallocation has been given to courts that need additional funds to maintain current service levels due to the increased costs of doing business. In FY 2007–2008, an additional procedure—the federal drawdown option—was put in place to assist in covering the cost of maintaining current program service levels through the use of local trial court funds spent beyond the current contract maximum and used as a match to obtain additional federal funds for the program.

Base funds and funds under the federal drawdown option allocated at the beginning of this fiscal year but returned by courts unable to use all of these funds are proposed for reallocation during this midyear process. As a result of the midyear reallocation process, three courts have volunteered to return \$63,905 in base funds and seven courts have volunteered to return \$1,001,138 in federal drawdown option funds for the Child Support Commissioner Program and one court has volunteered to return \$18,169 in base funds and two courts have volunteered to return \$115,675 in federal drawdown option funds for the Family Law Facilitator Program.

Under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, a questionnaire is sent to each court requesting the information needed to evaluate appropriate funding levels. In addition to compiling questionnaire responses, AOC staff gathers information on each court's historical spending patterns and calculates projected spending based on invoices received to date for the current fiscal year. Review workgroups and the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee then recommend proposed funding changes. The criteria for consideration of court requests are need, funds available for redistribution, historical spending patterns, workload, and staffing levels. Funds returned by courts with a historical pattern of underspending, funds voluntarily returned, and funds held in reserve are redistributed to courts with documented needs.

This midyear reallocation process ensures that the highest proportion of total funds allocated to the courts is spent where funding is needed. This process also minimizes the amount of unspent funds that revert to the state General Fund. Because of the small amount of funds available for reallocation for the Family Law Facilitator Program, once the total amount of these funds were determined, courts who had requested additional funding were re-surveyed to ensure that they wanted to continue with the reallocation process.

A total of \$1,065,043 from all child support program grant sources was available for reallocation to the child support commissioner component of the program. A total of 43 courts requested no change to their child support commissioner base allocations, 42 requested no change to their

federal drawdown option, 3 courts offered to return base funds, and 7 courts offered to return federal drawdown option funds.

A total of \$133,844 from all family law facilitator program grant sources was available for reallocation to the family law facilitator component of the program. A total of 41 courts requested no change to their family law facilitator base allocations, 39 requested no change to their federal draw down option, and 3 courts offered to return funds.

All allocations to courts requesting additional funding have been based on proportionately allocating the available base and federal drawdown funds among the courts proportionate to their share of the total base funding. Under the established allocation procedures for this program, the request was reviewed by the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee. The committee unanimously recommends the proposed allocations.

### **Base funding, FY 2013–2014**

The Judicial Council is also responsible for the allocation of base program funding at the beginning of each fiscal year. In 1997, the Judicial Council established staffing standards for child support commissioners under Family Code section 4252(b)(3). Staffing standards are based on the number of local child support agency cases that have established child support orders. In addition, under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, questionnaires are sent annually to each court requesting the information needed to evaluate appropriate funding levels in case of any exceptional needs.

Funding for FY 2013–2014 for the child support commissioner component of the program will be \$31.6 million base allocation and \$13.1 million from the federal drawdown option; funding for the family law facilitator component will be \$10.8 million base allocation and \$4.4 million from the federal drawdown option, for a total program base allocation of \$42.4 million and total federal drawdown allocation of \$17.5 million. Statewide program funding for FY 2013–2014 is the same amount as for FY 2012–2013. Funding amounts for each court are based upon allocating at the same level allocated after the FY 2012–2013 midyear reallocation.

### **Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications**

This proposal was not circulated for public comments but input was provided and alternatives were considered by the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee that included relevant stakeholders. The committee considered taking no action, but rejected this option as inconsistent with Judicial Council goals because it would result in the reversion of unspent funds to the General Fund. Taking no action would also deprive courts of the option of using federal financial participation to cover two-thirds of some of the existing court contributions to the program. A number of courts commented in their questionnaires about continued shortfalls in program funding, and these concerns have been forwarded to DCSS. A number of courts also commented in their questionnaires about a potential reduced ability of courts to participate in the federal drawdown option due to the reduction in trial court reserve funds that previously had been used to help meet the state match requirement.

## **Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts**

To draw down federal funds, federal provisions require payment of a state share of one-third of total expenditures. Therefore, each participating court will need to provide the one-third share of the court's total cost to draw down two-thirds of total expenditures from federal participation.

### **Attachments**

1. Child Support Commissioner Program Mid-Year Reallocation (FY 2012–2013), at page 6
2. Family Law Facilitator Program Mid-Year Reallocation (FY 2012–2013), at page 7
3. Child Support Commissioner Program Allocation (FY 2013–2014), at page 8
4. Family Law Facilitator Program Allocation (FY 2013–2014), at page 9



## CHILD SUPPORT COMMISSIONER PROGRAM MID-YEAR REALLOCATON, FY 2012-13

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
County	Beginning Base Funding Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Mid-Year Changes to Base Allocation	Mid-Year Changes to Federal Drawdown Option	Recommended Base Allocation (Column A + C)	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option Allocation (Column B + D)	Federal Share 66% (Column F x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column F x .34)	Total Allocation (Columns E + F)	Contract Amount (Columns E + G)
Alameda	1,055,625	477,581	9,582		1,065,207	477,581	315,203	162,378	1,542,788	1,380,410
Amador	142,508	64,474			142,508	64,474	42,553	21,921	206,982	185,061
Butte	363,685	50,315			363,685	50,315	33,208	17,107	414,000	396,893
Calaveras	133,526	37,209			133,526	37,209	24,558	12,651	170,735	158,084
Colusa	45,987	19,133			45,987	19,133	12,628	6,505	65,120	58,615
Contra Costa	1,014,068	458,778			1,014,068	458,778	302,793	155,985	1,472,846	1,316,861
Del Norte	48,315	21,859			48,315	21,859	14,427	7,432	70,174	62,742
El Dorado	206,440	93,395			206,440	93,395	61,641	31,754	299,835	268,081
Fresno	1,557,552	704,659			1,557,552	704,659	465,075	239,584	2,262,211	2,022,627
Glenn	118,593	53,653	1,076	11,642	119,669	65,295	43,095	22,200	184,964	162,764
Humboldt	122,985	55,640		(55,640)	122,985	-	-	-	122,985	122,985
Imperial	163,746	74,082			163,746	74,082	48,894	25,188	237,828	212,640
Inyo	78,314	18,328	(20,164)	(18,328)	58,150	-	-	-	58,150	58,150
Kern	645,590	292,074	5,860	107,926	651,450	400,000	264,000	136,000	1,051,450	915,450
Kings	294,155	133,080	2,670		296,825	133,080	87,833	45,247	429,905	384,658
Lake	157,624	22,018			157,624	22,018	14,532	7,486	179,642	172,156
Lassen	94,874	42,922			94,874	42,922	28,329	14,593	137,796	123,203
Los Angeles	5,093,465	2,304,358			5,093,465	2,304,358	1,520,876	783,482	7,397,823	6,614,341
Madera	215,224	97,370			215,224	97,370	64,264	33,106	312,594	279,488
Marin	150,260	67,979	(32,184)	(67,979)	118,076	-	-	-	118,076	118,076
Mariposa	76,427	34,576			76,427	34,576	22,820	11,756	111,003	99,247
Mendocino	173,010	78,272			173,010	78,272	51,660	26,612	251,282	224,670
Merced	548,422	248,113			548,422	248,113	163,755	84,358	796,535	712,177
Modoc	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	44,688	20,216	(11,557)	(20,216)	33,131	-	-	-	33,131	33,131
Monterey	371,256	167,961		(83,980)	371,256	83,981	55,427	28,554	455,237	426,683
Napa	179,966	81,420	1,634		181,600	81,420	53,737	27,683	263,020	235,337
Nevada	332,867	150,595			332,867	150,595	99,393	51,202	483,462	432,260
Orange	2,271,576	802,863		(403,740)	2,271,576	399,123	263,421	135,702	2,670,699	2,534,997
Placer	367,149	166,102			367,149	166,102	109,627	56,475	533,251	476,776
Plumas	93,732	12,968			93,732	12,968	8,559	4,409	106,700	102,291
Riverside	968,009	437,940			968,009	437,940	289,040	148,900	1,405,949	1,257,049
Sacramento	1,031,990	466,887	9,367		1,041,357	466,887	308,145	158,742	1,508,244	1,349,502
San Benito	136,260	61,645			136,260	61,645	40,686	20,959	197,905	176,946
San Bernardino	2,544,692	1,151,255		(351,255)	2,544,692	800,000	528,000	272,000	3,344,692	3,072,692
San Diego	1,770,159	800,845	16,067		1,786,226	800,845	528,558	272,287	2,587,071	2,314,784
San Francisco	891,641	479,952			891,641	479,952	316,768	163,184	1,371,593	1,208,409
San Joaquin	689,435	70,349			689,435	70,349	46,430	23,919	759,784	735,865
San Luis Obispo	225,765	102,140			225,765	102,140	67,412	34,728	327,905	293,177
San Mateo	395,940	179,129		419,855	395,940	598,984	395,329	203,655	994,924	791,269
Santa Barbara	460,907	208,521	4,184	45,147	465,091	253,668	167,421	86,247	718,759	632,512
Santa Clara	1,707,810	505,407			1,707,810	505,407	333,569	171,838	2,213,217	2,041,379
Santa Cruz	187,809	76,731			187,809	76,731	50,642	26,089	264,540	238,451
Shasta	423,384	191,546			423,384	191,546	126,420	65,126	614,930	549,804
Sierra	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	233,265	105,533	2,117	51,052	235,382	156,585	103,346	53,239	391,967	338,728
Solano	524,122	153,727			524,122	153,727	101,460	52,267	677,849	625,582
Sonoma	488,152	220,846	4,431	166,076	492,583	386,922	255,369	131,553	879,505	747,952
Stanislaus	783,525	195,072			783,525	195,072	128,748	66,324	978,597	912,273
Sutter	195,330	88,369			195,330	88,369	58,324	30,045	283,699	253,654
Tehama	92,238	41,730		56,767	92,238	98,497	65,008	33,489	190,735	157,246
Trinity	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	552,849	179,730			552,849	179,730	118,622	61,108	732,579	671,471
Tuolumne	161,119	72,893			161,119	72,893	48,109	24,784	234,012	209,228
Ventura	563,318	254,854	5,113	129,425	568,431	384,279	253,624	130,655	952,710	822,055
Yolo	193,254	87,432			193,254	87,432	57,705	29,727	280,686	250,959
Yuba	198,813	89,947	1,804	13,248	200,617	103,195	68,109	35,086	303,812	268,726
<b>Totals</b>	<b>31,581,415</b>	<b>13,074,473</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>31,581,415</b>	<b>13,074,473</b>	<b>8,629,152</b>	<b>4,445,321</b>	<b>44,655,888</b>	<b>40,210,567</b>

CSC Base Funds 31,581,415  
CSC Federal Drawdown 13,074,473  
Total Funding Available 44,655,888

FAMILY LAW FACILITATOR PROGRAM MID-YEAR REALLOCATON, FY 2012-13

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
County	Beginning Base Funding Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Mid-Year Changes to Base Allocation	Mid-Year Changes to Federal Drawdown Option	Recommended Base Allocation (Column A + C)	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option Allocation (Column B + D)	Federal Share 66% (Column F x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column F x .34)	Total Allocation (Columns E + F)	Contract Amount (Columns E + G)
Alameda	369,025	156,997	2,427		371,452	156,997	103,618	53,379	528,449	475,070
Amador	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	103,647	44,095		5,114	103,647	49,209	32,478	16,731	152,856	136,125
Calaveras	119,392	10,926			119,392	10,926	7,211	3,715	130,318	126,603
Colusa	52,326	22,261		2,582	52,326	24,843	16,396	8,447	77,169	68,722
Contra Costa	342,973	130,351			342,973	130,351	86,032	44,319	473,324	429,005
Del Norte	49,723	5,138			49,723	5,138	3,391	1,747	54,861	53,114
El Dorado	105,446	44,862			105,446	44,862	29,609	15,253	150,308	135,055
Fresno	390,532	166,148			390,532	166,148	109,658	56,490	556,680	500,190
Glenn	75,385	32,071	496	3,719	75,881	35,790	23,621	12,169	111,671	99,502
Humboldt	88,688	37,730			88,688	37,730	24,902	12,828	126,418	113,590
Imperial	52,326	22,261	345	2,582	52,671	24,843	16,396	8,447	77,514	69,067
Inyo	56,866	24,194	374	2,806	57,240	27,000	17,820	9,180	84,240	75,060
Kern	351,518	149,549	2,312	17,342	353,830	166,891	110,148	56,743	520,721	463,978
Kings	58,001	24,677	381		58,382	24,677	16,287	8,390	83,059	74,669
Lake	58,640	24,948			58,640	24,948	16,466	8,482	83,588	75,106
Lassen	111,304	47,352			111,304	47,352	31,252	16,100	158,656	142,556
Los Angeles	1,870,754	795,891			1,870,754	795,891	525,288	270,603	2,666,645	2,396,042
Madera	82,062	34,913			82,062	34,913	23,043	11,870	116,975	105,105
Marin	139,122	59,187			139,122	59,187	39,063	20,124	198,309	178,185
Mariposa	46,234	19,668	(18,169)	(19,668)	28,065	-	-	-	28,065	28,065
Mendocino	61,300	26,080		3,024	61,300	29,104	19,209	9,895	90,404	80,509
Merced	100,217	42,636			100,217	42,636	28,140	14,496	142,853	128,357
Modoc	72,130	1,889			72,130	1,889	1,247	642	74,019	73,377
Mono	47,891	1,254			47,891	1,254	828	426	49,145	48,719
Monterey	119,672	50,913			119,672	50,913	33,603	17,310	170,585	153,275
Napa	61,300	26,080	403		61,703	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,783	78,916
Nevada	118,168	50,272	777		118,945	50,272	33,180	17,092	169,217	152,125
Orange	534,214	227,274		(96,007)	534,214	131,267	86,636	44,631	665,481	620,850
Placer	89,126	37,917	586	4,397	89,712	42,314	27,927	14,387	132,026	117,639
Plumas	56,866	7,254			56,866	7,254	4,788	2,466	64,120	61,654
*Riverside	658,653	280,217			658,653	280,217	184,943	95,274	938,870	843,596
Sacramento	306,439	130,372	2,015	15,119	308,454	145,491	96,024	49,467	453,945	404,478
San Benito	61,300	26,080			61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
San Bernardino	454,656	193,428			454,656	193,428	127,662	65,766	648,084	582,318
San Diego	602,559	225,226	3,961		606,520	225,226	148,649	76,577	831,746	755,169
San Francisco	243,890	103,761			243,890	103,761	68,482	35,279	347,651	312,372
San Joaquin	217,745	68,636			217,745	68,636	45,300	23,336	286,381	263,045
San Luis Obispo	66,516	28,298		3,282	66,516	31,580	20,843	10,737	98,096	87,359
San Mateo	129,159	54,948		6,372	129,159	61,320	40,471	20,849	190,479	169,630
Santa Barbara	168,964	71,882	1,111	8,336	170,075	80,218	52,944	27,274	250,293	223,019
Santa Clara	441,000	187,619			441,000	187,619	123,829	63,790	628,619	564,829
Santa Cruz	73,576	31,301			73,576	31,301	20,659	10,642	104,877	94,235
Shasta	160,170	68,142			160,170	68,142	44,974	23,168	228,312	205,144
Sierra	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	75,822	32,258			75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
Solano	131,471	55,933			131,471	55,933	36,916	19,017	187,404	168,387
Sonoma	137,123	58,339	902	6,765	138,025	65,104	42,969	22,135	203,129	180,994
Stanislaus	223,137	94,931			223,137	94,931	62,654	32,277	318,068	285,791
Sutter	65,735	27,967		3,243	65,735	31,210	20,599	10,611	96,945	86,334
Tehama	27,802	3,287			27,802	3,287	2,169	1,118	31,089	29,971
Trinity	24,833	34,565			24,833	34,565	22,813	11,752	59,398	47,646
Tulare	312,151	117,503		15,400	312,151	132,903	87,716	45,187	445,054	399,867
Tuolumne	65,735	27,967			65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	93,702	84,193
Ventura	250,857	106,724	1,650	12,376	252,507	119,100	78,606	40,494	371,607	331,113
Yolo	75,822	32,258			75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
Yuba	65,184	27,733	429	3,216	65,613	30,949	20,426	10,523	96,562	86,039
<b>Totals</b>	<b>10,825,147</b>	<b>4,414,163</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>10,825,147</b>	<b>4,414,163</b>	<b>2,913,348</b>	<b>1,500,815</b>	<b>15,239,310</b>	<b>13,738,495</b>

FLF Base Funds 10,825,147  
 FLF Federal Drawdown 4,414,163  
 Total Funding Available 15,239,310



CHILD SUPPORT COMMISSIONER PROGRAM ALLOCATION, FY 2013-14						
	A	B	C	D	E	F
County	Recommended Base Funding Allocation	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column B x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column B x .34)	Total Allocation (Columns A + B)	Contract Amount (Columns A + C)
Alameda	1,055,625	477,581	315,203	162,378	1,533,206	1,370,828
Amador	142,508	64,474	42,553	21,921	206,982	185,061
Butte	363,685	50,315	33,208	17,107	414,000	396,893
Calaveras	133,526	37,209	24,558	12,651	170,735	158,084
Colusa	45,987	19,133	12,628	6,505	65,120	58,615
Contra Costa	1,014,068	458,778	302,793	155,985	1,472,846	1,316,861
Del Norte	48,315	21,859	14,427	7,432	70,174	62,742
El Dorado	206,440	93,395	61,641	31,754	299,835	268,081
Fresno	1,557,552	704,659	465,075	239,584	2,262,211	2,022,627
Glenn	118,593	53,653	35,411	18,242	172,246	154,004
Humboldt	122,985	55,640	36,722	18,918	178,625	159,707
Imperial	163,746	74,082	48,894	25,188	237,828	212,640
Inyo	78,314	18,328	12,096	6,232	96,642	90,410
Kern	645,590	292,074	192,769	99,305	937,664	838,359
Kings	294,155	133,080	87,833	45,247	427,235	381,988
Lake	157,624	22,018	14,532	7,486	179,642	172,156
Lassen	94,874	42,922	28,329	14,593	137,796	123,203
Los Angeles	5,093,465	2,304,358	1,520,876	783,482	7,397,823	6,614,341
Madera	215,224	97,370	64,264	33,106	312,594	279,488
*Marin	150,260	67,979	44,866	23,113	218,239	195,126
Mariposa	76,427	34,576	22,820	11,756	111,003	99,247
Mendocino	173,010	78,272	51,660	26,612	251,282	224,670
Merced	548,422	248,113	163,755	84,358	796,535	712,177
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	44,688	20,216	13,343	6,873	64,904	58,031
Monterey	371,256	167,961	110,854	57,107	539,217	482,110
Napa	179,966	81,420	53,737	27,683	261,386	233,703
Nevada	332,867	150,595	99,393	51,202	483,462	432,260
Orange	2,271,576	802,863	529,890	272,973	3,074,439	2,801,466
Placer	367,149	166,102	109,627	56,475	533,251	476,776
Plumas	93,732	12,968	8,559	4,409	106,700	102,291
Riverside	968,009	437,940	289,040	148,900	1,405,949	1,257,049
Sacramento	1,031,990	466,887	308,145	158,742	1,498,877	1,340,135
San Benito	136,260	61,645	40,686	20,959	197,905	176,946
San Bernardino	2,544,692	1,151,255	759,828	391,427	3,695,947	3,304,520
San Diego	1,770,159	800,845	528,558	272,287	2,571,004	2,298,717
San Francisco	891,641	479,952	316,768	163,184	1,371,593	1,208,409
San Joaquin	689,435	70,349	46,430	23,919	759,784	735,865
San Luis Obispo	225,765	102,140	67,412	34,728	327,905	293,177
San Mateo	395,940	179,129	118,225	60,904	575,069	514,165
Santa Barbara	460,907	208,521	137,624	70,897	669,428	598,531
Santa Clara	1,707,810	505,407	333,569	171,838	2,213,217	2,041,379
Santa Cruz	187,809	76,731	50,642	26,089	264,540	238,451
Shasta	423,384	191,546	126,420	65,126	614,930	549,804
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	233,265	105,533	69,652	35,881	338,798	302,917
Solano	524,122	153,727	101,460	52,267	677,849	625,582
Sonoma	488,152	220,846	145,758	75,088	708,998	633,910
Stanislaus	783,525	195,072	128,748	66,324	978,597	912,273
Sutter	195,330	88,369	58,324	30,045	283,699	253,654
Tehama	92,238	41,730	27,542	14,188	133,968	119,780
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	552,849	179,730	118,622	61,108	732,579	671,471
Tuolumne	161,119	72,893	48,109	24,784	234,012	209,228
Ventura	563,318	254,854	168,204	86,650	818,172	731,522
Yolo	193,254	87,432	57,705	29,727	280,686	250,959
Yuba	198,813	89,947	59,365	30,582	288,760	258,178
<b>Totals</b>	<b>31,581,415</b>	<b>13,074,473</b>	<b>8,629,152</b>	<b>4,445,321</b>	<b>44,655,888</b>	<b>40,210,567</b>

CSC Base Funds	31,581,415
CSC Federal Drawdown	13,074,473
Total Funding Available	44,655,888

FAMILY LAW FACILITATOR PROGRAM ALOCATION, FY 2013-14

	A	B	C	D	E	F
County	Recommended Base Funding	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% Column B x .66)	Court Share 34% Column B x .34)	Total Allocation (Columns A + B)	Contract Amount (Columns A + C)
Alameda	369,025	156,997	103,618	53,379	526,022	472,643
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	103,647	44,095	29,103	14,992	147,742	132,750
Calaveras	119,392	10,926	7,211	3,715	130,318	126,603
Colusa	52,326	22,261	14,692	7,569	74,587	67,018
Contra Costa	342,973	130,351	86,032	44,319	473,324	429,005
Del Norte	49,723	5,138	3,391	1,747	54,861	53,114
El Dorado	105,446	44,862	29,609	15,253	150,308	135,055
Fresno	390,532	166,148	109,658	56,490	556,680	500,190
Glenn	75,385	32,071	21,167	10,904	107,456	96,552
Humboldt	88,688	37,730	24,902	12,828	126,418	113,590
Imperial	52,326	22,261	14,692	7,569	74,587	67,018
Inyo	56,866	24,194	15,968	8,226	81,060	72,834
Kern	351,518	149,549	98,702	50,847	501,067	450,220
Kings	58,001	24,677	16,287	8,390	82,678	74,288
Lake	58,640	24,948	16,466	8,482	83,588	75,106
Lassen	111,304	47,352	31,252	16,100	158,656	142,556
Los Angeles	1,870,754	795,891	525,288	270,603	2,666,645	2,396,042
Madera	82,062	34,913	23,043	11,870	116,975	105,105
Marin	139,122	59,187	39,063	20,124	198,309	178,185
Mariposa	46,234	19,668	12,981	6,687	65,902	59,215
Mendocino	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
Merced	100,217	42,636	28,140	14,496	142,853	128,357
Modoc	72,130	1,889	1,247	642	74,019	73,377
Mono	47,891	1,254	828	426	49,145	48,719
Monterey	119,672	50,913	33,603	17,310	170,585	153,275
Napa	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
Nevada	118,168	50,272	33,180	17,092	168,440	151,348
Orange	534,214	227,274	150,001	77,273	761,488	684,215
Placer	89,126	37,917	25,025	12,892	127,043	114,151
Plumas	56,866	7,254	4,788	2,466	64,120	61,654
*Riverside	658,653	280,217	184,943	95,274	938,870	843,596
Sacramento	306,439	130,372	86,046	44,326	436,811	392,485
San Benito	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
San Bernardino	454,656	193,428	127,662	65,766	648,084	582,318
San Diego	602,559	225,226	148,649	76,577	827,785	751,208
San Francisco	243,890	103,761	68,482	35,279	347,651	312,372
San Joaquin	217,745	68,636	45,300	23,336	286,381	263,045
San Luis Obispo	66,516	28,298	18,677	9,621	94,814	85,193
San Mateo	129,159	54,948	36,266	18,682	184,107	165,425
Santa Barbara	168,964	71,882	47,442	24,440	240,846	216,406
Santa Clara	441,000	187,619	123,829	63,790	628,619	564,829
Santa Cruz	73,576	31,301	20,659	10,642	104,877	94,235
Shasta	160,170	68,142	44,974	23,168	228,312	205,144
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
Solano	131,471	55,933	36,916	19,017	187,404	168,387
Sonoma	137,123	58,339	38,504	19,835	195,462	175,627
Stanislaus	223,137	94,931	62,654	32,277	318,068	285,791
Sutter	65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	93,702	84,193
Tehama	27,802	3,287	2,169	1,118	31,089	29,971
Trinity	24,833	34,565	22,813	11,752	59,398	47,646
Tulare	312,151	117,503	77,552	39,951	429,654	389,703
Tuolumne	65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	93,702	84,193
Ventura	250,857	106,724	70,438	36,286	357,581	321,295
Yolo	75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
Yuba	65,184	27,733	18,304	9,429	92,917	83,488
<b>Totals</b>	<b>10,825,147</b>	<b>4,414,163</b>	<b>2,913,348</b>	<b>1,500,815</b>	<b>15,239,310</b>	<b>13,738,495</b>

CSC Base Funds 10,825,147  
 CSC Federal Drawdown 4,414,163  
 Total Funding Available 15,239,310