

Judicial Council of California

Judicial Branch Budget Committee

# JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

# MATERIALS JANUARY 29, 2025

# **Meeting Contents**

Agenda	1
Minutes	
Draft Minutes from the December 10, 2024 Meeting	3
Discussion and Possible Action Items (Items 1 - 2)	
Item 1 – Court Reporter Funding Mid-Year Reallocation for Fiscal Year 2024-25 (Action Required)	6
Attachment A – Courts Returning Unused 2024–25 Funding for Court Reporter Reallocation	9
Attachment B – Courts Requesting Additional Funding in 2024–25 for Court Reporter Reallocation	10
Attachment C – Recommended Reallocation of Court Reporter Funding	11
Item 2 – Allocation for Partial Restoration of Trial Court Operations Funding for Fiscal Year 2024-25 (Action Required)	12
Attachment 2A – Partial Funding Restoration for FY 2024–25	15
Information Only Items (Items 1)	
Info 1 – Governor's Budget Proposal for Fiscal Year 2025-26 – no materials	



Judicial Council of California

Judicial Branch Budget Committee

Request for ADA accommodations should be made at least three business days before the meeting and directed to: JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov

# JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

# NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(1)) THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date:Wednesday, January 29, 2025					
Time:	4:00 - 4:40 p.m.				
Public Videocast:	https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/4027				

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to <u>JBBC@jud.ca.gov</u>.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

## I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

## Call to Order and Roll Call

## Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the December 10, 2024, Judicial Branch Budget Committee meeting.

#### II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to JBBC@jud.ca.gov. Only written comments received by 4:00 p.m. on Tuesday, January 28, 2025, will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

### III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1-2)

#### Item 1

# **Court Reporter Funding Mid-Year Reallocation for Fiscal Year 2024–25 (Action Required)** Consideration of mid-year reallocation of court reporter funding for fiscal year 2024–25.

Presenters: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Mr. Chris Belloli, Manager, Judicial Council Business Management Services

#### Item 2

# Allocation for Partial Restoration of Trial Court Operations Funding for Fiscal Year 2024–25 (Action Required)

Consideration of an allocation for a partial restoration of the \$97 million reduction for trial court operations for fiscal year 2024–25.

Presenters: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Ms. Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

#### IV. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

#### Info 1

#### Governor's Budget Proposal for Fiscal Year 2025–26

Update on the Governor's Budget proposal for fiscal year 2025–26. Presenter: Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Judicial Council Budget Services

#### V. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn

Judicial Council of California



Judicial Branch Budget Committee

# JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

# MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

Tuesday, December 10, 2024

12:00 - 1:00 p.m.

https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/3940

Advisory Body Members Present:	Hon. Ann C. Moorman, Chair; Mr. David H. Yamasaki, Vice Chair; Hon. Carin T. Fujisaki; Hon. Brad R. Hill; Hon. Maria Lucy Armendariz; Hon. C. Todd Bottke; Hon. Charles S. Crompton; Ms. Kate Bieker
Advisory Body Members Absent:	Hon. Maria D. Hernandez
Others Present:	Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Mr. Don Will, Ms. Fran Mueller, Mr. Douglas Denton, Ms. Angela Cowan, Mr. Chris Belloli, Ms. Rose Lane, Ms. Oksana Tuk

## OPEN MEETING

#### Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:01 p.m. and took roll call.

#### Approval of Minutes

The advisory body approved the minutes of the October 11, 2024, Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) meeting.

## DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-3)

# Item 1: 2024–25 Trial Court Trust Fund Allocation Increase for Judicial Council Audit Services and Budget Services Offices (Action Required)

Consideration of an increased Trial Court Trust Fund allocation of \$1.5 million for the Judicial Council's Audit Services and Budget Services offices for 2024–25.

**Action:** The Budget Committee unanimously voted to approve increasing the approved fiscal year 2024–25 Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) allocation for the Judicial Council's Audit Services and Budget Services offices by \$1.5 million for consideration by the Judicial Council at its February 21, 2025, business meeting:

1. An additional \$1.3 million for the Audit Services office to reflect the resources included in the Budget Act of 2024 to ensure that the appropriate number of trial courts will be audited by the State Controller's Office; and

2. An additional \$150,000 for the Budget Services office to fund the actual costs of budget workload for the collections program that are supported by the TCTF.

#### Item 2: Trial Court Trust Fund Court Interpreters Program Funding

Consideration of court interpreter allocations and expenditures for 2023-24 and 2024-25.

**Action:** The Budget Committee unanimously voted to approve the following recommendations for consideration by the Judicial Council at its February 21, 2025, business meeting.

- Address the \$4.6 million shortfall in fiscal year 2023–24 by allocating this amount from the \$35 million Court Interpreters Program (CIP) fund balance from the TCTF in fiscal year 2024– 25 to courts that exceeded their allocation as outlined in Attachment A;
- Approve the remaining CIP fund balance from the TCTF to be allocated to courts midyear to address any CIP shortfalls for fiscal years 2024–25 and 2025–26, based on available program savings;
- 3. Direct Judicial Council staff to continue to monitor CIP funding and program expenditures, provide regular updates to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee to report any changes, and work with the trial courts to develop a funding request for additional CIP resources beginning in fiscal year 2026–27.

Recommendation four was removed from consideration.

#### Item 3: 2025 Draft Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Annual Agenda

Consideration of the draft Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee annual agenda for 2025.

**Action:** The Budget Committee unanimously voted to approve the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee's annual agenda for 2025.

INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

#### Item 1: Court Reporter Funding Mid-Year Survey for 2024–25

Informational update on the mid-year survey for one-time redistribution of unspent court reporter funding for 2024–25.

Action: No action taken.

#### Item 2: Community Assistance, Recovery, and Empowerment (CARE) Act Updates

Informational update on the mid-year survey for one-time redistribution of unspent CARE Act funding for 2024–25 and potential allocation adjustments.

Action: No action taken.

# ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:42.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date.

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET SERVICES Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Action Item)

Title:	<b>Court Reporter Funding Mid-Year Reallocation for 2024–25</b>
Date:	1/29/2025
Contact:	Chris Belloli, Manager, Temporary Assigned Judges Program 415-865-7658   <u>chris.belloli@jud.ca.gov</u>

## Issue

Consideration of recommendations from the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) for 2024–25 allocations for a one-time redistribution of unspent court reporter funding in fiscal year 2024–25 to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases.

## **Background**

## **Budget Language**

Senate Bill 170 (Stats. 2021, ch. 240), which amended the Budget Act of 2021, included \$30 million ongoing General Fund to the Judicial Council for establishing a methodology to allocate funding to all trial courts to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases. The budget language in the Budget Act of 2022 and ongoing expanded the use of this funding but did not affect how these funds are allocated to the courts.

# Mid-year Reallocation of 2024-25 Court Reporter Funding

At its business meeting on July 12, 2024<sup>1</sup>, the Judicial Council approved an allocation of \$20 million in court reporter funding and directed Judicial Council staff to survey the courts after allocations are distributed to the trial courts, no later than mid-year of fiscal year 2024–25, for a one-time redistribution of unspent funds to ensure the full appropriation is maximized to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases. On September 20, 2024<sup>2</sup>, the Judicial Council approved an additional allocation of \$10 million for fiscal year 2024–25 for a total of \$30 million in ongoing funding for court reporters, which completed the distribution of court reporter funding to the trial courts.

## **Reallocation Survey**

Judicial Council staff conducted the survey after allocations were distributed to the courts asking for an estimate of potential unspent funding available in fiscal year 2024–25 for the one-time

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Judicial Council Business Meeting (July 12, 2024)

https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=13046534&GUID=FAD8252D-5225-492C-B299-7B2DC379CAEB<sup>2</sup> Judicial Council Business Meeting (September 20, 2024)

https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=13260163&GUID=CE670600-3C2B-421B-B36A-B6FDB7F08599

reallocation process. The survey focused on two key questions needed to identify unspent court reporter funding in fiscal year 2024–25 to be reallocated:

- 1. For courts that would not be able to use all their allocated funding for court reporters in fiscal year 2024–25, an estimate of the amount of funding that would not be used in the current fiscal year and available to be returned for this reallocation process; and
- 2. For courts that would be able to use additional funding for court reporters beyond their initial allocation in fiscal year 2024–25, an estimate of the amount of additional funding that could be used in the current fiscal year.

There are 18 courts that indicated through the survey that they would be able to return a portion of their court reporter funding in fiscal year 2024–25 for this reallocation process. The total amount of funding estimated by these 18 courts is \$820,000. The list of courts and estimated amount of unspent funding is outlined in Attachment A.

There are 15 courts that indicated they would be able to use additional funding for court reporters beyond their initial allocation in fiscal year 2024–25. The total amount of funding identified by these 15 courts is \$10.2 million. The list of courts and estimated amount of additional funding requested is outlined in Attachment B.

Based on the survey results, the preliminary amount of funding available for reallocation is \$820,000, which is not sufficient to cover the \$10.2 million in additional funding that is requested by the 15 courts. To ensure the funding is provided to the courts as timely as possible, the reallocation will take place in the March 2025 distribution and the final amounts may change pending updated estimates.

Trial court feedback was received in response to the mid-year survey that outlined the challenges in providing an accurate estimate for final court reporter expenditures for fiscal year 2024–25 at the time the survey was conducted.

# **Reallocation Methodology**

On January 9, 2025<sup>3</sup>, the TCBAC voted to approve (with 18 yes votes, 3 no votes, and 3 absent) a methodology for the one-time reallocation of additional funding to 15 requesting courts. This methodology would allocate additional funding to the requesting courts based on the total amount of funding available as a proportion of the total amount of funding being requested by these courts in fiscal year 2024–25.

Additionally, the TCBAC approved a recommendation to delegate authority to Judicial Council staff to reallocate unspent funding to trial courts that have identified additional unmet need in late spring 2025 to maximize use of the full allocation for fiscal year 2024–25 to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases.

Each of the requesting courts would be allocated the same proportion (i.e.: 8.02 percent) of the amount of additional funding they requested through the survey. For example, if a court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee meeting materials (January 9, 2025), <u>https://courts.ca.gov/system/files/file/tcbac-20250109-materials.pdf</u>

requested \$100,000 in additional court reporter funding in the survey, then the court would receive 8.02 percent of this requested amount, or approximately \$8,020 in additional funding. The recommended one-time reallocation of additional funding to the 15 courts is outlined in Attachment C.

## **Recommendation**

Approve the following recommendations for consideration by the Judicial Council at its February 21, 2025, business meeting:

- 1. Reallocate \$820,000 of projected unspent court reporter funding in fiscal year 2024–25 to courts based on the total amount of funding available as a proportion of the total amount of funding being requested, including any technical adjustments to account for the final amount available prior to distribution; and
- 2. Delegate authority to Judicial Council staff to reallocate unspent funding to trial courts who have identified additional unmet need in late spring 2025, in efforts to maximize use of the full allocation.

## **Attachments**

Attachment A: Courts Returning Unused 2024–25 Funding for Court Reporter Reallocation Attachment B: Courts Requesting Additional Funding in 2024–25 for Court Reporter Reallocation Attachment C: Recommended Reallocation of Court Reporter Funding Attachment A: Courts Returning Unused 2024–25 Funding for Court Reporter Reallocation

Court	Initial Allocation	Funding Returned for Reallocation Process		
TOTAL	\$1,462,898	\$820,486		
Alpine	\$25,000	\$16,667		
Colusa	\$25,000	\$20,000		
El Dorado	\$118,271	\$111,183		
Glenn	\$25,000	\$25,000		
Lassen	\$25,000	\$25,000		
Marin	\$142,636	\$67,636		
Mariposa	\$25,000	\$25,000		
Merced	\$203,529	\$50,000		
Modoc	\$25,000	\$25,000		
Mono	\$25,000	\$25,000		
Monterey	\$262,987	\$100,000		
Santa Cruz	\$146,060	\$15,000		
Shasta	\$173,496	\$125,000		
Sierra	\$25,000	\$25,000		
Siskiyou	\$42,778	\$40,000		
Sutter	\$83,408	\$60,000		
Tehama	\$64,733	\$40,000		
Trinity	\$25,000	\$25,000		

Attachment B: Courts Requesting Additional Funding in 2024–25 for Court Reporter Reallocation

Court	Initial Allocation	Additional Funding Requested for Reallocation Process
TOTAL	\$18,842,638	\$10,232,734
Kings	\$124,578	\$329,372
Los Angeles	\$9,553,044	\$692,000
Madera	\$166,742	\$74,500
Mendocino	\$74,629	\$25,000
Nevada	\$72,304	\$60,000
Orange	\$2,156,003	\$2,429,126
Riverside	\$1,756,704	\$2,691,597
San Diego	\$2,179,163	\$2,000,000
San Francisco	\$703,092	\$566,000
San Joaquin	\$557,652	\$55,000
San Mateo	\$376,647	\$602,023
Santa Barbara	\$258,026	\$76,465
Solano	\$306,758	\$200,000
Tuolumne	\$54,146	\$153,919
Ventura	\$503,150	\$277,733

# Attachment C: Recommended Reallocation of Court Reporter Funding

Court	Initial Allocation	Additional Funding Requested	Amount of Funding Available for Reallocation	Funding Available as a Proportion of Amount Requested	Reallocation of Additional Funding
TOTAL	\$18,842,638	\$10,232,734	\$820,486	8.02%	\$820,486
Kings	\$124,578	\$329,372		8.02%	\$26,410
Los Angeles	\$9,553,044	\$692,000		8.02%	\$55 <i>,</i> 486
Madera	\$166,742	\$74,500		8.02%	\$5,974
Mendocino	\$74,629	\$25,000		8.02%	\$2,005
Nevada	\$72,304	\$60,000		8.02%	\$4,811
Orange	\$2,156,003	\$2,429,126		8.02%	\$194,773
Riverside	\$1,756,704	\$2,691,597		8.02%	\$215,819
San Diego	\$2,179,163	\$2,000,000		8.02%	\$160,365
San Francisco	\$703,092	\$566,000		8.02%	\$45,383
San Joaquin	\$557 <i>,</i> 652	\$55,000		8.02%	\$4,410
San Mateo	\$376,647	\$602,023		8.02%	\$48,272
Santa Barbara	\$258,026	\$76,465		8.02%	\$6,131
Solano	\$306,758	\$200,000		8.02%	\$16,037
Tuolumne	\$54,146	\$153,919		8.02%	\$12,342
Ventura	\$503,150	\$277,733		8.02%	\$22,269

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET SERVICES Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Action Item)

Title:	Allocation for Partial Restoration of Trial Court Funding for Fiscal Year 2024–25
Date:	1/29/2025
Contact:	Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services 916-643-8027   <u>oksana.tuk@jud.ca.gov</u>

## Issue

Consideration of an allocation to partially restore approximately \$42 million of the \$97 million reduction for trial court operations included in the Budget Act of 2024 for fiscal year (FY) 2024–25. To ensure this funding is provided to the courts as quickly as possible in the current year and expended in the current year, the recommendation will be considered by the Judicial Council at its February 21, 2025, business meeting.

## **Background**

To address the state's projected multiyear budget deficit, the Budget Act of 2024 reflected budget reductions throughout state government, including the judicial branch. Specifically, it included a \$97 million ongoing reduction to the trial courts as well as a reduction of up to 7.95 percent for state-level judicial branch entities.

The Judicial Council allocates funding to the trial courts through various methodologies including its approved Workload Formula allocation methodology. Currently, the Workload Formula policy states that allocations in fiscal years for which a budget reduction must be implemented will be addressed as needed, with special consideration toward those courts below the statewide average funding level. A methodology for applying a funding reduction will be determined in the year a reduction occurs.

At its business meeting on July 12, 2024,<sup>1</sup> the council approved a recommendation to apply the same methodology for the \$97 million reduction that was used in FY 2020–21 to allocate a \$167.8 million reduction that occurred due to the projected budget deficit resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the \$167.8 million reduction was restored in the Budget Act of 2021, the funding was allocated back to the trial courts in the exact amounts of their initial reductions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Judicial Council meeting report (July 12, 2024), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=13077708&GUID=08C509A8-B264-4D66-AFDC-B3EC97A5D296.

The FY 2025–26 Governor's Budget states the \$42 million partial restoration, beginning in FY 2024–25, is intended to mitigate the impact of the reductions on access to justice. In FY 2024–25, and on a one-time basis, the allocation in the current year will utilize available reserves in the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF). The Administration will reassess the condition of the TCTF in the spring to evaluate the need for a General Fund backfill.

At its January 22, 2025, meeting, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee considered two approaches to restore the \$42 million, which will result in an adjusted reduction of \$55 million for the trial courts in FY 2024–25 for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee at its January 29, 2025 meeting. The two methodologies are described below.

1. **Proportional Restoration of \$42 Million** – Allocate the restoration of \$42 million for FY 2024–25 to the trial courts proportionally based on their initial reduction amounts for the \$97 million. Restoring approximately \$42 million of the \$97 million reduction represents a 42.6 percent restoration of each courts' initial reduction:

# \$41,340,000 / \$96,982,000 = 42.6%

For example, Court A had an initial reduction of \$100,000 of the \$97 million. After the proportional restoration, the court will receive \$42,626 (\$100,000 / \$96,982,000 \* \$41,340,000). Thus, the court's revised reduction will be \$57,374 (\$100,000 - \$42,626).

2. **Recalculation of Revised \$55 Million Reduction** – Allocate the restoration of \$42 million utilizing the methodology approved by the Judicial Council at the July 12, 2024<sup>2</sup>, business meeting for the initial \$97 million reduction. For this approach, the \$97 million reduction will be backed out of the methodology and recalculated with the \$55 million reduction. Using this formula, lower funded courts (those below the statewide average) will receive a larger share of the restoration and higher funded courts (those above the statewide average) will receive a lower share of the restoration. The courts within the 4 percent band will receive the same amount of the restoration in both of these methodologies. This approach supports the Workload Formula's core principles of funding equity, stability, and predictability for the trial courts.

Under both methodologies, all courts will receive additional funding. Attachment 2A displays the details of the initial \$97 million reduction, the restoration of \$42 million, and the revised reduction of \$55 million by individual court.

After applying the partial \$42 million restoration, the statewide average funding level increases from 91.3 percent to 92.8 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Judicial Council meeting report (July 12, 2024), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=13077708&GUID=08C509A8-B264-4D66-AFDC-B3EC97A5D296.

# **Recommendation**

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends approval of the second option to allocate the \$42 million partial restoration of the initial \$97 million trial court operations reduction in FY 2024–25, which uses the same Judicial Council-approved methodology to allocate the revised \$55 million reduction as was applied for the initial \$97 million reduction as displayed in Attachment 2A.

This recommendation will be considered by the Judicial Council at its February 21, 2025, business meeting.

# **Attachments**

Attachment 2A: Partial Funding Restoration for FY 2024-25

# Partial Funding Restoration for FY 2024–25

				Reallocation of Reduction Restoration					
Court	2024-25 Final Workload Formula Allocation	2024-25 Workload Formula	2024-25 Workload Formula Percentage (BEFORE Restoration)	2024-25 Initial Reduction (\$96.982 million)	2024-25 Revised Reduction (\$55.642 million)	2024-25 Partial Restoration (\$41.34 million)	2024-25 Workload Formula Allocation (AFTER Restoration)	2024-25 Workload Formula Percentage (AFTER Restoration)	2024-25 Workload Formula Percentage CHANGE
Alameda	A 88,446,403	B 94,645,177	<u> </u>	D (4,324,870)	E (2,884,769)	F 1,440,100	G 89,886,503	<u>H</u> 94.97%	<u> </u>
Alpine	978,500	549,681	178.01%	(4,324,870)	(2,004,703)	1,440,100	978,500	178.01%	0.00%
Amador	4,318,750	4,684,703	92.19%	(167,223)	(95,942)	71,281	4,390,031	93.71%	1.52%
Butte	13,707,099	14,689,951	93.31%	(583,710)	(360,287)		13,930,522	94.83%	1.52%
Calaveras	3,299,313	3,767,570	87.57%	(111,187)	(53,833)		3,356,668	89.09%	1.52%
Colusa	2,454,902	2,635,032	93.16%	(94,059)	(53,965)		2,494,996	94.69%	1.52%
Contra Costa	51,597,645	59,907,816	86.13%	(1,738,846)	(841,887)	896,959	52,494,605	87.63%	1.50%
Del Norte	4,483,485	3,875,339	115.69%	(138,333)	(79,366)	58,966	4,542,452	117.21%	1.52%
El Dorado	9,519,963	10,819,495	87.99%	(320,824)	(155,331)	165,492	9,685,455	89.52%	1.53%
Fresno	63,133,105	66,287,167	95.24%	(3,029,033)	(2,020,422)	1,008,611	64,141,716	96.76%	1.52%
Glenn	2,990,182	3,237,289	92.37%	(115,557)	(66,299)		3,039,440	93.89%	1.52%
Humboldt	8,900,393	9,318,361	95.51%	(425,808)	(284,022)		9,042,179	97.04%	1.52%
Imperial	10,163,038	8,073,327	125.88%	(368,916)	(246,074)		10,285,880	127.41%	1.52%
Inyo	2,512,390	2,676,571	93.87%	(95,542)	(54,816)		2,553,116	95.39%	1.52%
Kern Kings	66,272,438 10,774,613	68,776,330 12,025,488	96.36% 89.60%	(3,142,777) (429,257)	(2,096,291) (246,280)		67,318,923 10,957,590	97.88% 91.12%	1.52% 1.52%
Lake	5,078,997	6,056,222	83.86%	(171,163)	(82,871)		5,167,289	85.32%	1.46%
Lassen	2,581,880	2,580,519	100.05%	(92,113)			2,621,145	101.57%	1.52%
Los Angeles	713,278,790	791,102,381	90.16%	(28,238,886)	(16,201,647)		725,316,029	91.68%	1.52%
Madera	12,659,634	13,875,025	91.24%	(495,278)	(284,158)		12,870,753	92.76%	1.52%
Marin	14,079,161	15,677,866	89.80%	(474,469)			14,323,909	91.36%	1.56%
Mariposa	1,860,977	1,846,094	100.81%	(65,897)	(37,808)	28,090	1,889,067	102.33%	1.52%
Mendocino	7,672,588	7,775,002	98.68%	(355,283)	(236,981)	118,303	7,790,891	100.20%	1.52%
Merced	16,500,078	18,264,043	90.34%	(651,946)	(374,045)	277,902	16,777,980	91.86%	1.52%
Modoc	1,372,099	1,480,959	92.65%	(52,864)	(30,330)	22,534	1,394,633	94.17%	1.52%
Mono	2,417,935	2,038,771	118.60%	(72,775)	(41,754)		2,448,957	120.12%	1.52%
Monterey	26,002,768	28,560,984	91.04%	(1,019,502)	(584,924)		26,437,346	92.56%	1.52%
Napa	9,487,748	10,740,134	88.34%	(319,738)			9,652,680	89.87%	1.54%
Nevada	6,570,957	7,425,652	88.49% 88.88%	(221,442)			6,685,185	90.03% 90.43%	1.54% 1.55%
Orange Placer	186,230,932 24,862,554	209,526,287 27,355,659	90.89%	(6,276,002) (976,477)	(3,038,614) (560,239)		189,468,320 25,278,792	90.43%	1.53%
Plumas	1,897,592	1,629,248	116.47%	(58,157)	(33,367)		1,922,382	117.99%	1.52%
Riverside	134,884,127	155,691,163	86.64%	(4,545,609)			137,228,916	88.14%	1.51%
Sacramento	109,842,203	122,332,264	89.79%	(3,701,694)	(1,792,227)		111,751,670	91.35%	1.56%
San Benito	4,779,146	4,197,092	113.87%	(149,818)			4,843,008	115.39%	1.52%
San Bernardino	135,901,495	156,640,095	86.76%	(4,579,894)	(2,217,420)	2,362,474	138,263,969	88.27%	1.51%
San Diego	176,701,558	189,500,353	93.25%	(6,764,332)	(3,880,936)	2,883,396	179,584,953	94.77%	1.52%
San Francisco	64,458,077	55,305,114	116.55%	(2,527,201)	(1,685,691)	841,510	65,299,587	118.07%	1.52%
San Joaquin	49,951,911	53,533,653	93.31%	(2,430,393)	(1,616,188)		50,766,116	94.83%	1.52%
San Luis Obispo	18,523,163	19,492,482	95.03%	(890,721)			18,819,756	96.55%	1.52%
San Mateo	42,988,911	49,033,290	87.67%	(1,448,731)	(701,423)		43,736,218	89.20%	1.52%
Santa Barbara	26,681,819	29,058,002	91.82%	(1,037,243)			27,123,960	93.34%	1.52%
Santa Clara	93,382,508	97,354,039 16,940,790	95.92% 96.59%	(4,448,653)			94,863,826	97.44% 98.11%	1.52%
Santa Cruz Shasta	16,363,507 16,201,831	18,198,452	96.59% 89.03%	(774,120) (546,003)	(516,352) (264,355)		16,621,274 16,483,479	98.11% 90.58%	1.52% 1.55%
Sierra	978,500	623,149	157.02%	(340,003)	(204,333)	201,040	978,500	157.02%	0.00%
Siskiyou	4,314,253	4,841,098	89.12%	(145,391)	(70,393)	74,998	4,389,251	90.67%	1.55%
Solano	28,669,037	31,445,139	91.17%	(1,122,454)	(643,991)		29,147,499	92.69%	1.52%
Sonoma	30,480,267	30,732,916	99.18%	(1,404,359)			30,947,892	100.70%	1.52%
Stanislaus	31,437,389	37,054,820	84.84%	(1,059,443)			31,983,888	86.32%	1.47%
Sutter	8,192,412	9,485,325	86.37%	(276,085)	(133,670)	142,415	8,334,826	87.87%	1.50%
Tehama	5,876,354	6,426,611	91.44%	(229,402)			5,974,139	92.96%	1.52%
Trinity	1,987,739	2,276,992	87.30%	(66,987)			2,022,293	88.81%	1.52%
Tulare	32,682,780	38,548,955	84.78%	(1,101,413)			33,250,929	86.26%	1.47%
Tuolumne	4,818,467	5,085,552	94.75%	(232,387)			4,895,848	96.27%	1.52%
Ventura	44,177,371	46,999,346	94.00%	(2,147,664)			44,892,503	95.52%	1.52%
Yolo Yuba	15,341,081 6,144,600	17,504,806 7,883,564	87.64% 77.94%	(516,996)			15,607,767 6,251,416	89.16% 79.30%	1.52%
	0,144,000	1,003,304	//.94%	(207,074)	(100,238)	100,010	0,231,410	79.30%	1.35%

Floor courts (2) Cluster 1 courts (13)