JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET SERVICES Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Action Item)

| Title: | Court Reporter Allocations for 2024–25 for the Remaining \$10 Million |
|----------|--|
| Date: | 7/18/2024 |
| Contact: | Chris Belloli, Manager, Business Management Services 415-865-7658 <u>chris.belloli@jud.ca.gov</u> |

Issue

Consideration of recommendations from the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) for 2024–25 allocations for the remaining \$10 million of the \$30 million in court reporter funding included in the Budget Act of 2024.

Background

Budget Language

Senate Bill 170 (Stats. 2021, ch. 240), which amended the Budget Act of 2021, included \$30 million ongoing General Fund to the Judicial Council for establishing a methodology to allocate funding to all trial courts to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases. The budget language in the Budget Act of 2022 and ongoing expanded the use of this funding but did not affect how these funds are allocated to the courts.

Funding Included in the Budget Act of 2024

Due to the state's fiscal deficit, the May Revision for the 2024–25 budget proposed reducing the funding from \$30 million ongoing to \$20 million. The TCBAC met on May 24, 2024, to consider recommendations to allocate the proposed \$20 million for court reporters¹. The allocations approved by the TCBAC at its May 24, 2024, meeting were based on the \$20 million. The May 24, 2024, report to the TCBAC noted that allocation changes may be necessary if there are changes to the court reporter appropriation and associated language in the Budget Act of 2024.

The TCBAC's recommendations for the 2024–25 allocations for \$20 million were approved by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) at its June 4, 2024, meeting². The

¹ Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda (May 24, 2024), <u>https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/tcbac-20240524-noticeandagenda.pdf;</u> Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Meeting Materials (May 24, 2024), https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/tcbac-20240524-materials.pdf.

² Judicial Branch Budget Committee Meeting Agenda (June 4, 2024), <u>https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jbbc-20240604-noticeandagenda.pdf;</u>

Judicial Council approved these allocations at its July 12, 2024, business meeting and the funding will be distributed to the trial courts in August.

The final enacted budget for 2024–25 maintained the \$30 million ongoing to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases. On July 16, 2024, in an action by email between meetings, the TCBAC approved the recommended allocations for the remaining \$10 million of the \$30 million included in the Budget Act of 2024. The TCBAC's allocation recommendations for the \$10 million are outlined in this report for consideration by the Budget Committee.

Allocation Methodology

In the first year of funding in 2021–22, the Funding Methodology Subcommittee of the TCBAC established the Ad Hoc Court Reporter Funding Subcommittee, consisting of members from the TCBAC, to develop an allocation methodology recommendation for 2021–22. Through deliberations, the ad hoc subcommittee developed a recommendation for an allocation methodology for the \$30 million and presented it to the TCBAC at its November 30, 2021, meeting and to the Budget Committee on December 7, 2021. The Judicial Council approved the allocation methodology at its January 21, 2022, business meeting and directed Judicial Council staff to update the allocation methodology used for this ongoing funding based on the most recent data available.

The council-approved allocation methodology was developed based on the 2020 Judicial Needs Assessment (JNA), which was the most current study at the time. Judicial workload, as described by the JNA, is measured by a court's assessed judicial need (AJN) and was identified as the best metric for the allocation methodology because of the parallel workload drivers between judgeships and court reporters. In addition, the AJN data includes separate noncriminal and criminal judicial workload metrics by court. Focusing on noncriminal judicial need, consistent with the requirements in the budget language, the proposed methodology for allocating funds to the trial courts is as follows:

- a) Identify the proportion of judicial workload, as measured by the AJN, for noncriminal need by court;
- b) Apply a funding floor to all courts. The allocation of the \$20 million approved by the TCBAC at its May 24, 2024, meeting included a proportional one-third reduction in the funding floor from the previous \$25,000 to \$16,667, consistent with the proposed reduction from \$30 million to \$20 million. With the \$30 million ongoing maintained in the Budget Act of 2024, the funding floor should be adjusted to the previous \$25,000. This will result in an additional funding floor increase of \$8,333 for the allocation of the remaining \$10 million for court reporters;

Judicial Branch Budget Committee Materials (June 4, 2024), <u>https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jbbc-20240604-materials.pdf</u>.

- c) After applying the funding floor amount to the courts, allocate the remaining funding proportionally to all other courts based on their noncriminal judicial need; and
- d) Allocate the funding in one lump sum, on council approval.

The AJN data used in the allocation methodology for 2024–25 was updated based on the 2022 JNA, and the detail of the allocations by court for the remaining \$10 million in court reporter funding is included as Attachment A. The allocations for the \$20 million approved by the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024, business meeting are included as Attachment B. The allocations for the total \$30 million in court reporter funding are included as Attachment C for reference.

Recommendation

Approve the Court Reporter allocations for the remaining \$10 million to the trial courts as outlined in Attachment A, for consideration by the Judicial Council at its September 20, 2024, business meeting.

Attachments

Attachment A: Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024–25 Allocations of \$10 Million Attachment B: Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024–25 Allocations of \$20 Million Attachment C: Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024–25 Total Allocations of \$30 Million

Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024-25 Allocations of \$10 Million Restoration in Funding

| | | Initial Allocation based on Nonc | - | | Allocation of \$10M with Funding Floor - restoring \$25,000 floor amount from the \$16,667 floor established in the \$20M funding allocation | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---|----------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | I | Proportion of | | | | Revised AJN | Allocation of | |
| | | Noncriminal | Statewide | Proportion | Funding Floor | | portion for Non- | Non floor | |
| Cluster | Court | AJN * | AJN | of \$10M | Court? | Funding | floor Courts | Funding | Final Allocation |
| | Statewide | 1,067 | 100% | \$10,000,000 | | \$91,663 | | \$9,908,337 | \$10,000,000 |
| 4 | Alameda | 36.8 | 3.45% | \$345,209 | | | 3.47% | \$343,680 | \$343,680 |
| 1 | Alpine | 0.1 | 0.01% | \$591 | Х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| 1 | Amador | 1.1 | 0.11% | \$10,560 | | | 0.11% | \$10,514 | \$10,514 |
| 2 | Butte | 6.1 | 0.57% | \$56,836 | | | 0.57% | \$56,585 | \$56,585 |
| 1 | Calaveras | 1.3 | 0.12% | \$12,290 | | | 0.12% | \$12,236 | \$12,236 |
| 1 | Colusa | 0.5 | 0.04% | \$4,411 | Х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| 3 | Contra Costa | 23.2 | 2.18% | \$217,693 | | | 2.19% | \$216,729 | \$216,729 |
| 1 | Del Norte | 1.2 | 0.11% | \$11,369 | | | 0.11% | \$11,319 | \$11,319 |
| 2 | El Dorado | 4.2 | 0.40% | \$39,599 | | | 0.40% | \$39,424 | \$39,424 |
| 3 | Fresno | 28.4 | 2.67% | \$266,554 | | | 2.68% | \$265,374 | \$265,374 |
| 1 | Glenn | 0.8 | 0.08% | \$7,555 | Х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| 2 | Humboldt | 4.5 | 0.42% | \$42,194 | | | 0.42% | \$42,007 | \$42,007 |
| 2 | Imperial | 4.4 | 0.41% | \$41,427 | | | 0.42% | \$41,243 | \$41,243 |
| 1 | Inyo | 0.5 | 0.05% | \$4,713 | Х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| 3 | Kern | 24.9 | 2.33% | \$233,026 | | | 2.34% | \$231,993 | \$231,993 |
| 2 | Kings | 4.5 | 0.42% | \$41,711 | | | 0.42% | \$41,526 | \$41,526 |
| 2 | Lake | 2.4 | 0.22% | \$22,230 | | | 0.22% | \$22,131 | \$22,131 |
| 1 | Lassen | 0.8 | 0.07% | \$7,461 | Х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 341.3 | 31.99% | \$3,198,518 | | | 32.14% | \$3,184,349 | \$3,184,349 |
| 2 | Madera | 6.0 | 0.56% | \$55,828 | | | 0.56% | \$55,581 | \$55,581 |
| 2 | Marin | 5.1 | 0.48% | \$47,757 | | | 0.48% | \$47,545 | \$47,545 |
| 1 | Mariposa | 0.4 | 0.03% | \$3,407 | Х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| 2 | Mendocino | 2.7 | 0.25% | \$24,987 | | | 0.25% | \$24,876 | \$24,876 |
| 2 | Merced | 7.3 | 0.68% | \$68,145 | | | 0.68% | \$67,843 | \$67,843 |
| 1 | Modoc | 0.4 | 0.04% | \$3,550 | Х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| 1 | Mono | 0.3 | 0.03% | \$2,703 | Х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| 3 | Monterey | 9.4 | 0.88% | \$88,053 | | | 0.88% | \$87,663 | \$87,663 |
| 2 | Napa | 3.6 | 0.34% | \$33,794 | | | 0.34% | \$33,644 | \$33,644 |
| 2 | Nevada | 2.6 | 0.24% | \$24,208 | | | 0.24% | \$24,101 | \$24,101 |
| 4 | Orange | 77.0 | 7.22% | \$721,866 | | | 7.25% | \$718,668 | \$718,668 |
| 2 | Placer | 9.3 | 0.88% | \$87,558 | | | 0.88% | \$87,170 | \$87,170 |
| 1 | Plumas | 0.6 | 0.06% | \$6,010 | Х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| 4 | Riverside | 62.8 | 5.88% | \$588,174 | | | 5.91% | \$585,568 | \$585,568 |
| 4 | Sacramento | 43.7 | 4.10% | \$409,521 | | | 4.11% | \$407,707 | \$407,707 |
| 1 | San Benito | 1.4 | 0.14% | \$13,553 | | | 0.14% | \$13,493 | \$13,493 |
| 4 | San Bernardino | 69.2 | 6.49% | \$648,753 | | | 6.52% | \$645,879 | \$645,879 |
| 4 | San Diego | 77.9 | 7.30% | \$729,620 | | | 7.33% | \$726,388 | \$726,388 |
| 3 | San Francisco | 25.1 | 2.35% | \$235,407 | | | 2.37% | \$234,364 | \$234,364 |
| 3 | San Joaquin | 19.9 | 1.87% | \$186,711 | | | 1.88% | \$185,884 | \$185,884 |
| 2 | San Luis Obispo | | 0.56% | \$55,971 | | | 0.56% | \$55,723 | \$55,723 |
| 3 | San Mateo | 13.5 | 1.26% | \$126,108 | | | 1.27% | \$125,549 | \$125,549 |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | 9.2 | 0.86% | \$86,391 | | | 0.87% | \$86,009 | \$86,009 |
| 4 | Santa Clara | 30.9 | 2.90% | \$289,961 | | | 2.91% | \$288,676 | \$288,676 |
| 2 | Santa Cruz | 5.2 | 0.49% | \$48,903 | | | 0.49% | \$48,687 | \$48,687 |
| 2 | Shasta | 6.2 | 0.58% | \$58,089 | | | 0.58% | \$57,832 | \$57,832 |
| 1 | Sierra | 0.1 | 0.01% | \$955 | х | \$8,333 | 0.0070 | + - / ,00 2 | \$8,333 |
| - | Cicita | 0.1 | 0.01/0 | | ~ | Ŷ0,000 | | | |

Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024-25 Allocations of \$10 Million Restoration in Funding

| _ | | • | | Allocation of \$10M with Funding Floor - restoring \$25,000 floor amount from the \$16,667 floor established in the \$20M funding allocation | | | | |
|------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | P Noncriminal | roportion of Statewide | Proportion | Funding Floor | Floor Pro | Revised AJN | Allocation of Non floor | |
| Court | AJN * | AJN | of \$10M | Court? | Funding | floor Courts | | Final Allocation |
| Statewide | 1,067 | 100% | \$10,000,000 | | \$91,663 | | \$9,908,337 | \$10,000,000 |
| Siskiyou | 1.5 | 0.14% | \$14,323 | | | 0.14% | \$14,259 | \$14,259 |
| Solano | 11.0 | 1.03% | \$102,708 | | | 1.03% | \$102,253 | \$102,253 |
| Sonoma | 10.8 | 1.01% | \$101,405 | | | 1.02% | \$100,956 | \$100,956 |
| Stanislaus | 14.1 | 1.32% | \$131,857 | | | 1.32% | \$131,272 | \$131,272 |
| Sutter | 3.0 | 0.28% | \$27,926 | | | 0.28% | \$27,803 | \$27,803 |
| Tehama | 2.3 | 0.22% | \$21,674 | | | 0.22% | \$21,578 | \$21,578 |
| Trinity | 0.7 | 0.06% | \$6,223 | х | \$8,333 | | | \$8,333 |
| Tulare | 13.3 | 1.24% | \$124,420 | | | 1.25% | \$123,869 | \$123,869 |
| Tuolumne | 1.9 | 0.18% | \$18,129 | | | 0.18% | \$18,049 | \$18,049 |
| Ventura | 18.0 | 1.68% | \$168,463 | | | 1.69% | \$167,717 | \$167,717 |
| Yolo | 5.3 | 0.50% | \$49,690 | | | 0.50% | \$49,470 | \$49,470 |
| Yuba | 2.5 | 0.23% | \$23,254 | | | 0.23% | \$23,151 | \$23,151 |
| | Statewide Siskiyou Solano Sonoma Stanislaus Sutter Tehama Trinity Tulare Tuolumne Ventura Yolo | based on NonerPNoncriminalCourtAJN *Statewide1,067Siskiyou1.5Solano11.0Sonoma10.8Stanislaus14.1Sutter3.0Tehama2.3Trinity0.7Tulare13.3Tuolumne1.9Ventura18.0Yolo5.3 | CourtAJN *AJNStatewide1,067100%Siskiyou1.50.14%Solano11.01.03%Sonoma10.81.01%Stanislaus14.11.32%Sutter3.00.28%Tehama2.30.22%Trinity0.70.06%Tulare13.31.24%Ventura18.01.68%Yolo5.30.50% | based on Noncriminal AJN Proportion of Noncriminal Proportion Statewide Proportion of \$10M Court AJN AJN of \$10M Statewide 1,067 100% \$10,000,000 Siskiyou 1.5 0.14% \$14,323 Solano 11.0 1.03% \$102,708 Sonoma 10.8 1.01% \$101,405 Statislaus 14.1 1.32% \$131,857 Sutter 3.0 0.28% \$27,926 Tehama 2.3 0.22% \$21,674 Trinity 0.7 0.06% \$6,223 Tulare 13.3 1.24% \$124,420 Yuolumne 1.9 0.18% \$18,129 Ventura 18.0 1.68% \$168,463 | based on Noncriminal AJNthe \$1Proportion of NoncriminalFroportion of StatewideFunding Floor Court?CourtAJN *AJNof \$10MFunding Floor Court?Statewide1,067100%\$10,000,000\$10Siskiyou1.50.14%\$14,323Solano11.01.03%\$102,708Sonoma10.81.01%\$101,405Statislaus14.11.32%\$27,926Sutter3.00.28%\$27,926Tehama2.30.22%\$21,674Trinity0.70.06%\$6,223XTulare13.31.24%\$124,420Ventura18.01.68%\$168,463Yolo5.30.50%\$49,690 | based on Noncriminal AJNthe \$16,667 floor estatProportion ofProportion ofFunding FloorFloor ProCourtAJN *AJNof \$10MFunding FloorFloor ProStatewide1,067100%\$10,000,000\$91,663\$91,663Siskiyou1.50.14%\$14,323\$91,663\$91,663Solano11.01.03%\$102,708\$91,663\$1000Sonoma10.81.01%\$101,405\$101,405\$101,405Statislaus14.11.32%\$131,857\$1000\$1000Sutter3.00.28%\$27,926\$1000\$1000Tehama2.30.22%\$21,674\$1000\$1000Tualre13.31.24%\$124,420\$1000\$1000Ventura18.01.68%\$168,463\$1000\$1000Yolo5.30.50%\$49,690\$1000\$1000 | based on Noncriminal AJNthe \$16,667 floor established in the \$20Proportion of Noncriminal CourtNoncriminal StatewideProportion of \$10Mthe \$16,667 floor established in the \$20CourtAJN *AJNOf \$10MFunding Floor Court?Floor Proportion for Non- Court?Funding floor CourtsStatewide1,067100%\$10,000,000\$91,663\$000\$000Siskiyou1.50.14%\$14,323\$000,000\$91,663Siskiyou1.50.14%\$102,708\$000,000\$000,000Solano11.01.03%\$102,708\$000,000\$000,000Sonoma10.81.01%\$101,405\$000,000\$000,000Stateir3.00.28%\$27,9260.28%\$000,28%Sutter3.00.28%\$22,9260.28%\$000,22%Trinity0.70.06%\$6,223X\$8,333Tulare13.31.24%\$112,4201.25%Tuolumne1.90.18%\$18,1290.18%\$10,876Yolo5.30.50%\$49,6900.50%\$0,50% | the \$16,667 floor established in the \$20M funding allowbased on Noncriminal AJNthe \$16,667 floor established in the \$20M funding allowNoncriminal StatewideStatewideProportion of Funding FloorFloor Proportion for Non- FundingAllocation of Funding floor CourtsCourtAJNof \$10Mof \$10MFunding Floor Court?Floor Proportion for Non- FundingMon floor FundingStatewide1,067100%\$10,000,000\$91,663\$99,98,337Siskiyou1.50.14%\$14,3230.14%\$10,2708\$10,03%Solano11.01.03%\$102,7081.03%\$102,253Sonoma10.81.01%\$101,4051.02%\$10,956Statislaus14.11.32%\$131,8570.28%\$27,926Sutter3.00.28%\$27,9260.28%\$27,803Tehama2.30.22%\$21,6740.22%\$21,578Trinity0.70.06%\$6,223X\$8,333Tulare13.31.24%\$124,4201.25%\$123,869Tuolumne1.90.18%\$18,1290.18%\$18,049Ventura18.01.68%\$49,6900.50%\$49,470 |

* Assessed Judicial Need (AJN) based on the updated 2022 data.

<u>Noncriminal case types</u>: Civil, Family, Juvenile, Probate, Mental Health <u>Criminal case types</u>: Felony, Misdemeanors, Infractions

Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024-25 Allocations

| | | Initial Allocati based on Nonc | - | | Allocation of \$20M with Funding Floor adjusted from \$25,000 to \$16,667 based on one-third reduction in statewide funding (i.e., from \$30M to \$20M) | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Proportion of | | | | Revised AJN Allocation of | | | | | |
| Cluster | Court | Noncriminal AJN * | Statewide AJN | Proportion of \$20M | Funding Floor Court? | Floor Pr Funding | oportion for Non- floor Courts | Non floor | Final Allocation | |
| Cluster | Statewide | 1,067 | 100% | \$20,000,000 | | \$183,337 | | \$19,816,663 | \$20,000,000 | |
| 4 | Alameda | 36.8 | 3.45% | \$690,419 | | | 3.47% | \$687,360 | \$687,360 | |
| 1 | Alpine | 0.1 | 0.01% | \$090,419 | х | \$16,667 | 5.4770 | 2007,300 | \$16,667 | |
| 1 | Amador | 1.1 | 0.01% | \$1,181 \$21,121 | Λ | \$10,007 | 0.11% | \$21,027 | \$10,007 | |
| 2 | Butte | 6.1 | 0.57% | \$113,673 | | | 0.57% | \$113,169 | \$113,169 | |
| 1 | Calaveras | 1.3 | 0.12% | \$24,580 | | | 0.12% | \$24,471 | \$24,471 | |
| 1 | Colusa | 0.5 | 0.12% | \$8,822 | х | \$16,667 | 0.1278 | Ş24,471 | \$16,667 | |
| 3 | Contra Costa | 23.2 | 2.18% | \$435,387 | X | Ş10,007 | 2.19% | \$433,458 | \$433,458 | |
| 1 | Del Norte | 1.2 | 0.11% | \$22,738 | | | 0.11% | \$433,438 | \$433,438 | |
| 2 | El Dorado | 4.2 | 0.11% | \$79,198 | | | 0.40% | \$78,847 | \$78,847 | |
| 3 | Fresno | 28.4 | 2.67% | \$533,109 | | | 2.68% | \$530,747 | \$530,747 | |
| 1 | Glenn | 0.8 | 0.08% | | Х | \$16,667 | 2.00/0 | \$550,747 | | |
| 2 | Humboldt | 4.5 | 0.08% | \$15,110 \$84,388 | ^ | \$10,007 | 0.42% | \$84,015 | \$16,667 \$84,015 | |
| 2 | | | 0.42% | | | | 0.42% | | | |
| | Imperial | 4.4 | | \$82,853 | V | \$16,667 | 0.42% | \$82,486 | \$82,486 | |
| 1 | Inyo | 0.5 24.9 | 0.05% 2.33% | \$9,427 \$466,051 | Х | \$10,007 | 2.34% | ¢462.097 | \$16,667 \$463,987 | |
| 3 | Kern | | | | | | | \$463,987 | | |
| 2 | Kings | 4.5 | 0.42% | \$83,421 | | | 0.42% | \$83,052 | \$83,052 | |
| 2 | Lake | 2.4 | 0.22% | \$44,460 | V | ¢10.007 | 0.22% | \$44,263 | \$44,263 | |
| 1 | Lassen | 0.8 | 0.07% | \$14,922 | Х | \$16,667 | 22.4.40/ | ¢c 200 005 | \$16,667 | |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 341.3 | 31.99% | \$6,397,035 | | | 32.14% | \$6,368,695 | \$6,368,695 | |
| 2 | Madera | 6.0 | 0.56% | \$111,656 | | | 0.56% | \$111,162 | \$111,162 | |
| 2 | Marin | 5.1 | 0.48% | \$95,514 | | 64 C C C 7 | 0.48% | \$95,091 | \$95,091 | |
| 1 | Mariposa | 0.4 | 0.03% | \$6,814 | Х | \$16,667 | 0.050/ | 4 40 0 | \$16,667 | |
| 2 | Mendocino | 2.7 | 0.25% | \$49,974 | | | 0.25% | \$49,753 | \$49,753 | |
| 2 | Merced | 7.3 | 0.68% | \$136,290 | ., | 440.00- | 0.68% | \$135,686 | \$135,686 | |
| 1 | Modoc | 0.4 | 0.04% | \$7,099 | X | \$16,667 | | | \$16,667 | |
| 1 | Mono | 0.3 | 0.03% | \$5,405 | Х | \$16,667 | 0.000/ | 4475 005 | \$16,667 | |
| 3 | Monterey | 9.4 | 0.88% | \$176,105 | | | 0.88% | \$175,325 | \$175,325 | |
| 2 | Napa | 3.6 | 0.34% | \$67,587 | | | 0.34% | \$67,288 | \$67,288 | |
| 2 | Nevada | 2.6 | 0.24% | \$48,417 | | | 0.24% | \$48,202 | \$48,202 | |
| 4 | Orange | 77.0 | 7.22% | \$1,443,731 | | | 7.25% | \$1,437,335 | \$1,437,335 | |
| 2 | Placer | 9.3 | 0.88% | \$175,115 | | | 0.88% | \$174,339 | \$174,339 | |
| 1 | Plumas | 0.6 | 0.06% | \$12,020 | Х | \$16,667 | | | \$16,667 | |
| 4 | Riverside | 62.8 | 5.88% | \$1,176,347 | | | 5.91% | \$1,171,136 | \$1,171,136 | |
| 4 | Sacramento | 43.7 | 4.10% | \$819,041 | | | 4.11% | \$815,413 | \$815,413 | |
| 1 | San Benito | 1.4 | 0.14% | \$27,105 | | | 0.14% | \$26,985 | \$26,985 | |
| 4 | San Bernardinc | | 6.49% | \$1,297,506 | | | 6.52% | \$1,291,758 | \$1,291,758 | |
| 4 | San Diego | 77.9 | 7.30% | \$1,459,240 | | | 7.33% | \$1,452,775 | \$1,452,775 | |
| 3 | San Francisco | 25.1 | 2.35% | \$470,814 | | | 2.37% | \$468,728 | \$468,728 | |
| 3 | San Joaquin | 19.9 | 1.87% | \$373,422 | | | 1.88% | \$371,768 | \$371,768 | |
| 2 | San Luis Obispo | | 0.56% | \$111,942 | | | 0.56% | \$111,446 | \$111,446 | |
| 3 | San Mateo | 13.5 | 1.26% | \$252,215 | | | 1.27% | \$251,098 | \$251,098 | |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | 9.2 | 0.86% | \$172,783 | | | 0.87% | \$172,017 | \$172,017 | |
| 4 | Santa Clara | 30.9 | 2.90% | \$579,922 | | | 2.91% | \$577,353 | \$577,353 | |
| 2 | Santa Cruz | 5.2 | 0.49% | \$97,806 | | | 0.49% | \$97,373 | \$97,373 | |
| 2 | Shasta | 6.2 | 0.58% | \$116,178 | | | 0.58% | \$115,664 | \$115,664 | |
| 1 | Sierra | 0.1 | 0.01% | \$1,909 | Х | \$16,667 | | | \$16,667 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024-25 Allocations

| | Initial Allocation of \$20M based on Noncriminal AJN | | | | Allocation of \$20M with Funding Floor adjusted from \$25,000 to \$16,667 on one-third reduction in statewide funding (i.e., from \$30M to \$20N | | | | |
|---------|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|---|-----------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| | | Р | roportion of | | | | Revised AJN | Allocation of | |
| | | Noncriminal | Statewide | Proportion | Funding Floor | Floor Pro | portion for Non- | Non floor | |
| Cluster | Court | AJN * | AJN | of \$20M | Court? | Funding | floor Courts | Funding | Final Allocation |
| | Statewide | 1,067 | 100% | \$20,000,000 | | \$183,337 | | \$19,816,663 | \$20,000,000 |
| 2 | Siskiyou | 1.5 | 0.14% | \$28,646 | | | 0.14% | \$28,519 | \$28,519 |
| 3 | Solano | 11.0 | 1.03% | \$205,416 | | | 1.03% | \$204,506 | \$204,506 |
| 3 | Sonoma | 10.8 | 1.01% | \$202,811 | | | 1.02% | \$201,912 | \$201,912 |
| 3 | Stanislaus | 14.1 | 1.32% | \$263,713 | | | 1.32% | \$262,545 | \$262,545 |
| 2 | Sutter | 3.0 | 0.28% | \$55,853 | | | 0.28% | \$55,605 | \$55,605 |
| 2 | Tehama | 2.3 | 0.22% | \$43,348 | | | 0.22% | \$43,156 | \$43,156 |
| 1 | Trinity | 0.7 | 0.06% | \$12,445 | х | \$16,667 | | | \$16,667 |
| 3 | Tulare | 13.3 | 1.24% | \$248,841 | | | 1.25% | \$247,738 | \$247,738 |
| 2 | Tuolumne | 1.9 | 0.18% | \$36,258 | | | 0.18% | \$36,098 | \$36,098 |
| 3 | Ventura | 18.0 | 1.68% | \$336,926 | | | 1.69% | \$335,433 | \$335,433 |
| 2 | Yolo | 5.3 | 0.50% | \$99,381 | | | 0.50% | \$98,940 | \$98,940 |
| 2 | Yuba | 2.5 | 0.23% | \$46,508 | | | 0.23% | \$46,302 | \$46,302 |

* Assessed Judicial Need (AJN) based on the updated 2022 data.

<u>Noncriminal case types</u>: Civil, Family, Juvenile, Probate, Mental Health <u>Criminal case types</u>: Felony, Misdemeanors, Infractions

Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024-25 Allocations of Total \$30 Million in Funding

| Cluster | Court | Total Allocation of \$30 Million |
|---------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Statewide | \$30,000,000 |
| 4 | Alameda | \$1,031,041 |
| 1 | Alpine | \$25,000 |
| 1 | Amador | \$31,541 |
| 2 | Butte | \$169,753 |
| 1 | Calaveras | \$36,707 |
| 1 | Colusa | \$25,000 |
| 3 | Contra Costa | \$650,187 |
| 1 | Del Norte | \$33,956 |
| 2 | El Dorado | \$118,271 |
| 3 | Fresno | \$796,121 |
| 1 | Glenn | \$25,000 |
| 2 | Humboldt | \$126,022 |
| 2 | Imperial | \$123,729 |
| 1 | Inyo | \$25,000 |
| 3 | Kern | \$695,980 |
| 2 | Kings | \$124,578 |
| 2 | Lake | \$66,394 |
| 1 | Lassen | \$25,000 |
| 4 | Los Angeles | \$9,553,044 |
| 2 | Madera | \$166,742 |
| 2 | Marin | \$142,636 |
| 1 | Mariposa | \$25,000 |
| 2 | Mendocino | \$74,629 |
| 2 | Merced | \$203,529 |
| 1 | Modoc | \$25,000 |
| 1 | Mono | \$25,000 |
| 3 | Monterey | \$262,987 |
| 2 | Napa | \$100,932 |
| 2 | Nevada | \$72,304 |
| 4 | Orange | \$2,156,003 |
| 2 | Placer | \$261,509 |
| 1 | Plumas | \$25,000 |
| 4 | Riverside | \$1,756,704 |
| 4 | Sacramento | \$1,223,119 |
| 1 | San Benito | \$40,478 |
| 4 | San Bernardino | \$1,937,637 |
| 4 | San Diego | \$2,179,163 |
| 3 | San Francisco | \$703,092 |
| 3 | San Joaquin | \$557,652 |
| 2 | San Luis Obispo | \$167,170 |
| 3 | San Mateo | \$376,647 |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | \$258,026 |
| 4 | Santa Clara | \$866,029 |
| 2 | Santa Cruz | \$146,060 |
| 2 | Shasta | \$173,496 |
| 1 | Sierra | \$25,000 |
| | | |

Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024-25 Allocations of Total \$30 Million in Funding

| | | Total Allocation |
|---------|------------|------------------|
| Cluster | Court | of \$30 Million |
| | Statewide | \$30,000,000 |
| 2 | Siskiyou | \$42,778 |
| 3 | Solano | \$306,758 |
| 3 | Sonoma | \$302,868 |
| 3 | Stanislaus | \$393,817 |
| 2 | Sutter | \$83,408 |
| 2 | Tehama | \$64,733 |
| 1 | Trinity | \$25,000 |
| 3 | Tulare | \$371,607 |
| 2 | Tuolumne | \$54,146 |
| 3 | Ventura | \$503,150 |
| 2 | Yolo | \$148,410 |
| 2 | Yuba | \$69,454 |
| | | |