



JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF CALIFORNIA

JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET  
COMMITTEE

JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

**MATERIALS JANUARY 18, 2023**

**Meeting Contents**

<b>Agenda</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Minutes</b>	
Draft Minutes from the December 1, 2022 Action by Email Between Meetings .....	<b>3</b>
Draft Minutes from the December 7, 2022 Meeting .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Discussion and Possible Action Items (Items 1)</b>	
<b>Item 1</b> – 2023 Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) Annual Agenda (Action Required) ...	<b>6</b>



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET  
COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm)  
[JBBC@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JBBC@jud.ca.gov)

Request for ADA accommodations  
should be made at least three business  
days before the meeting and directed to:  
[JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov)

## JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

### NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING WITH CLOSED SESSION

Open to the Public Unless Indicated as Closed (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c), (d), and (e)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

OPEN PORTION OF THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

---

**Date:** January 18, 2023  
**Time:** 2:00 p.m. - 3:30 p.m.  
**Public Videocast:** <https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/2160>

---

Meeting materials for open portions of the meeting will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the open meeting portion of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to [JBBC@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JBBC@jud.ca.gov).

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

---

#### I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

---

##### Call to Order and Roll Call

##### Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the December 1, 2022 action by email between meetings and the December 7, 2022 Judicial Branch Budget Committee meeting.

---

#### II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

---

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to [JBBC@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JBBC@jud.ca.gov) attention: Angela Cowan. Only written comments received by 2:00 p.m. on Tuesday, January 17, 2023, will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

**III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1)**

---

**Item 1**

**2023 Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) Annual Agenda (Action Required)**

Consideration of the TCBAC's 2023 annual agenda and prior year's project highlights and achievements.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee  
Ms. Rose Lane, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

**IV. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)**

---

**Info 1**

**Governor's Budget Proposal for 2023-24**

Overview of the proposed 2023-24 Governor's Budget.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Judicial Council Budget Services

**V. ADJOURNMENT**

---

**Adjourn to Closed Session**

**VI. CLOSED SESSION (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(D))**

---

**Call to Order and Roll Call**

**Approval of Minutes**

Approve closed meeting minutes of the November 16, 2022 Judicial Branch Budget Committee meeting.

**Item 1**

**Innovations Grant Program (California Rules of Court, Rule 10.75 (D)(9))**

***Program Status Updates***

Review and discussion of administrative matters regarding Innovation Grants.

**Adjourn Closed Session**



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET  
COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm)  
[JBBC@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JBBC@jud.ca.gov)

## JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

December 1, 2022

11:00 a.m.

Action by E-mail Between Meetings

---

**Advisory Body Members Present:** Hon. Ann Moorman, Vice Chair; Hon. Carin T. Fujisaki, Hon. Brad R. Hill, Hon. Maria Lucy Armendariz, Hon. C. Todd Bottke, Hon. Harold W. Hopp, Ms. Rachel W. Hill, and Mr. David H. Yamasaki

**Advisory Body Members Absent:** Hon. David. M. Rubin, Chair

**Others Present:** Ms. Angela Cowan

---

#### OPEN MEETING

##### Vote

Voting was opened at 11:04 a.m.

---

#### DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1)

##### Item 1

Consideration of a Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) recommendation to revise a recommendation to the Judicial Council for its January 20, 2023 meeting, for the *Court Interpreters Program Allocation Methodology*. The original recommendation was previously approved at the November 16, 2022, Judicial Branch Budget Committee meeting. The TCBAC recommended a change to clarify Recommendation 5 and the analysis for that recommendation.

**Action:** *The Budget Committee unanimously voted to approve the recommendation.*

---

#### ADJOURNMENT

Voting closed at 5:00 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET  
COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm)  
[JBBC@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JBBC@jud.ca.gov)

## JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

December 7, 2022

3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.

<https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/2124>

**Advisory Body Members Present:** Hon. Ann Moorman, Vice Chair; Hon. Carin T. Fujisaki, Hon. Brad R. Hill, Hon. Maria Lucy Armendariz, Hon. C. Todd Bottke, Hon. Harold W. Hopp, Ms. Rachel W. Hill, and Mr. David H. Yamasaki

**Advisory Body Members Absent:** Hon. David. M. Rubin, Chair

**Others Present:** Mr. John Wordlaw, Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Ms. Angela Cowan, Ms. Fran Mueller, Ms. Frances Ho, Mr. Gregory Tanaka, and Ms. Brandy Olivera

#### OPEN MEETING

##### Call to Order and Roll Call

The vice chair called the meeting to order at 3:03 p.m. and took roll call.

##### Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes from the November 16, 2022, Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) meeting.

#### DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1)

##### Item 1

##### Firearm Relinquishment Grant Program (Action Required)

Consideration of a Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) recommendation for a new methodology to allocate one-time funding included in the 2022 Budget Act to seven trial courts to support court-based firearm relinquishment programs.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Greg Tanaka, Supervising Attorney, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts  
Ms. Frances Ho, Attorney, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts

**Action:** *The Budget Committee unanimously voted to approve the following recommendation from the TCBAC and the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee for consideration by the Judicial Council at its January 20, 2023 business meeting:*

- 1. Approve the allocation and distribution of \$18.5 million to seven trial courts to fund new or expanded firearm relinquishment programs for fiscal years 2022–23 through 2024–25; and*
- 2. Delegate authority to the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee to reallocate and distribute any unspent funding from these grant awards to any of the awarded courts, based on the same criteria established during the application period.*

---

**A D J O U R N M E N T**

---

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:25 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date

# Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

## Annual Agenda<sup>1</sup>—2023

Approved by Judicial Branch Budget Committee: January TBD, 2023

### I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

<b>Chair:</b>	Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Judge, Superior Court of Fresno County
<b>Lead Staff:</b>	Ms. Brandy Olivera, Manager, Judicial Council Budget Services
<b>Committee's Charge/Membership:</b> <a href="#">Rule 10.64(a)</a> of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee, which is to make recommendations to the council on the preparation, development, and implementation of the budget for trial courts and provides input to the council on policy issues affecting trial court funding. <a href="#">Rule 10.64(b)</a> sets forth additional duties of the committee.  <a href="#">Rule 10.64(c)</a> sets forth the membership position of the committee. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee currently has 24 members. The current committee <a href="#">roster</a> is available on the committee's web page.	
<b>Subcommittees/Working Groups<sup>2</sup>:</b> <i>List the names of each subcommittee or working group, including groups made up exclusively of committee/task force members and joint groups with other advisory committees/task forces. To request approval for the creation of a new subgroup, include "new" after the name of the proposed subgroup and describe its purpose.</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Fiscal Planning Subcommittee (FPS) – Review recommendations regarding trial court requests to set aside funds on their behalf that have reverted to the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) pursuant to Government Code section 77203. This group also reviews requests from trial courts that relate to Children's Waiting Room funding.</li><li>2. Funding Methodology Subcommittee (FMS) – Ongoing review and refinement of the Workload Formula, develop a methodology for allocations from the TCTF Court Interpreters Program (CIP) (0150037) in the event of a funding shortfall, and consider funding allocation methodologies for other non-discretionary dollars as necessary.</li><li>3. Revenue and Expenditure (R&amp;E) Subcommittee – Ongoing review of TCTF and State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) allocations supporting trial court projects and programs as well as any systematic cash flow issues affecting the trial courts.</li><li>4. Ad Hoc Interpreter Subcommittee – Develop a methodology for allocations from the TCTF CIP in the event of a funding shortfall and review existing methodologies.</li></ol>	

<sup>1</sup> The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

<sup>2</sup> California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

**Meetings Planned for 2023<sup>3</sup> (Advisory body and all subcommittees and working groups)**

Date/Time/Location or Teleconference:

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee usually holds six meetings annually, four of which are in-person meetings. The Funding Methodology Subcommittee holds two in-person meetings. A budget allocation is provided to the committee to cover the costs of travel and per diem to allow these budget discussions to occur in-person. Beginning with the COVID-19 pandemic and in recognition of ongoing public health guidance and safety protocols, the committee is holding videoconferences in lieu of in-person meetings. Additional meetings to address budget issues will be scheduled as needed.

**Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee**

January 2023/Videoconference; March 2023/Videoconference; April 2023/Videoconference; May 2023/ Videoconference; July 2023/Videoconference; November 2023/Videoconference

**Funding Methodology Subcommittee**

April 2023/Videoconference; October 2023/Videoconference

**Fiscal Planning Subcommittee**

April 2023/Videoconference; August 2023/Videoconference; October 2023/Videoconference

**Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee**

April 2023/Teleconference

Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.

---

<sup>3</sup> Refer to [Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies](#) for governance on in-person meetings.



## COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects <sup>4</sup>	
1.	<b>Project Title: Court Interpreter Employee Incentive Grant Funding</b>	<b>Priority 1<sup>5</sup></b> <b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b>
<p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated as a result of new, one-time \$30 million included in the 2021 Budget Act to establish a Court Interpreter Employee Incentive Grant program intended to increase the number of new interpreters in trial courts, and to increase language access services to court users inside courthouses. Judicial Council Center for Families, Children &amp; the Courts (CFCC) completed one phase of the application process for trial courts consistent with the requirements of the Budget Act resulting in \$2.5 million in grants to nine courts awarded by the Judicial Council in May 2022. A second round of grant applications will be reviewed in the spring of 2023 by CFCC staff with recommendations to be presented to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee, the Judicial Branch Budget Committee, and the Judicial Council for approval. The expected outcome is to assist the courts with funding for staff interpreters based on approved grant applications.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> One-time; targeted completion date is spring of 2023 for allocating funds to approved trial courts in 2022-23.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC and Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Judicial Branch Budget Committee.</p>		
2.	<b>Project Title: Court Cluster System</b>	<b>Priority 2<sup>5</sup></b>

<sup>4</sup> All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

<sup>5</sup> For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

<sup>6</sup> Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

<sup>7</sup> A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or “end of action” to be achieved for the coming year.

#	New or One-Time Projects <sup>4</sup>	
	<p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated from a Funding Methodology Subcommittee recommendation made on February 20, 2020 to initiate an ad hoc subcommittee to reevaluate the cluster system to identify any opportunities for refinement or change. The expected outcome could impact the statewide four-cluster system and/or its criteria.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> One-time.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Business Management Services and Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Data Analytics Advisory Committee; Judicial Branch Budget Committee.</p>	<b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b>
3.	<p><b>Project Title: Judicial Council-Provided Services Review</b></p> <p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated from the Funding Methodology Subcommittee work plan to identify and evaluate the impact of Judicial Council-provided services versus those that are funded by local trial court operations funds. The expected outcome is to determine if any services should be shifted or combined along with any associated funding.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> One-time.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Various Judicial Council offices that provide services to trial courts.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Various advisory bodies that have programs that provide an array of court services; Judicial Branch Budget Committee.</p>	<p><b>Priority 2<sup>5</sup></b></p> <p><b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b></p>
4.	<p><b>Project Title: Civil Assessment Allocation Methodology</b></p>	<p><b>Priority 2<sup>5</sup></b></p> <p><b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b></p>

#	<b>New or One-Time Projects<sup>4</sup></b>	
	<p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated as a result of new, ongoing funding included in the 2022 Budget Act to backfill civil assessment fee revenue loss due to the reduction in the amount of the civil assessment from \$300 to \$100 and elimination of prior debt. Civil assessment revenues are now deposited into the General Fund rather than the TCTF. A methodology for the \$110 million provided in the 2022 Budget Act was approved by the Judicial Council in July 2022. Beginning in 2023-24, the amount is \$100 million ongoing. The expected outcome is an ongoing methodology recommendation for the \$100 million beginning in 2023-24.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> One-time.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Judicial Branch Budget Committee.</p>	
5.	<b>Project Title: Model Self-Help Pilot Program</b>	<b>Priority 2<sup>5</sup></b> <b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b>
	<p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The Model Self-Help Pilot Program has been operating in four trial courts since 2002. A fifth court, operating a technological solutions project, terminated its participation during the 2019-20 program year. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommended and the Judicial Council approved that all courts could apply for funds to expand their use of technology to provide self-help assistance as part of a consolidated technology funding application process. This allows a broader group of courts to expand services using technology. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee made a one-time funding allocation recommendation to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee that was approved on November 16, 2022.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> One-time. The recommendation is to be considered by the Judicial Council at its January 20, 2023 business meeting.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC and Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p>	

#	New or One-Time Projects <sup>4</sup>
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> Judicial Branch Budget Committee.

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities <sup>4</sup>	
1.	<b>Project Title: Workload Formula Adjustment Request Process (ARP)</b>	<b>Priority 1<sup>5</sup></b>
		<b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b>
<p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. At its August 22, 2013 meeting, the Judicial Council approved a recommendation made by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee to approve the Workload Formula Adjustment Request Process to allow courts an annual opportunity to submit recommendations for changes to the Workload Formula. The expected outcome is to assist the courts and the council with ongoing review and refinements to the Workload Formula to support trial court operations.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Business Management Services and Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> The Data Analytics Advisory Committee oversees the Resource Assessment Study model, which informs the Workload Formula and is often the area for recommendation submissions by trial courts.</p>		
2.	<b>Project Title: Court Interpreter Funding Methodology</b>	<b>Priority 2<sup>5</sup></b>
		<b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b>
<p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated due to the declining fund balance in the TCTF CIP (0150037). The Ad Hoc Interpreter Subcommittee was established to develop a methodology for allocations from the CIP in the event of a funding shortfall and to review existing methodologies. The Ad Hoc Interpreter Subcommittee made a recommendation to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee that was approved by the Judicial Council on July 24, 2020, to allocate the 2020 Budget Act appropriation to the trial courts, replacing the prior reimbursement process. This same methodology will continue for 2022-23. Effective July 1, 2022, the Judicial Council approved an update to the methodology that incorporates the prior three years' interpreter expenditures and allocates funds up to the appropriation amount. Unspent funds will reimburse courts with a shortfall. On January 20, 2023, the Judicial Council will consider additional recommendations to the methodology to exclude the 2020-21 pandemic year in the three-year average</p>		

#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities<sup>4</sup></b>	
	<p>expenditure data indefinitely, utilize CIP fund balance to make courts whole in the event court savings are insufficient up to the appropriation amount, and approve a cross-assignment reimbursement process. The Ad Hoc Interpreter Subcommittee will continue its work to refine the allocation methodology for Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and Judicial Branch Budget Committee consideration for the 2023-24 fiscal year. The Subcommittee will also consider what other data can be utilized from current case management systems, reporting capabilities, and if data on video remote interpreting can be utilized in the methodology. The expected outcome is to continue to allocate funds that do not exceed the CIP appropriation via a workload-based methodology using the most reliable data available.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> The latest refinements to this project are scheduled to be considered by the Judicial Council at its January 20, 2023 business meeting.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC and Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Judicial Branch Budget Committee.</p>	
3.	<b>Project Title: Increased Transcript Rates</b>	<b>Priority 1<sup>5</sup></b> <b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b>
	<p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated as a result of new, ongoing funding included in the 2021 Budget Act; \$7 million to address the costs associated with increased transcript rates, which cannot be used to supplant existing expenditures. The Ad Hoc Court Reporter Funding Subcommittee was established to develop a methodology for allocating these funds to all trial courts. A recommendation by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee on December 7, 2021 was approved to allocate the \$7 million proportionally in one lump sum using an average of the prior three-year transcript expenditures. The recommendation also established a baseline for identifying cost increases based on the most-recently published Assessed Judicial Need after a funding floor is provided, beginning in 2021-22. The expected outcome is to assist the courts with covering increased costs for transcript rates.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing; this item was considered and approved by the Judicial Council at its September 20, 2022 business meeting.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Business Management Services and Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p>	

#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities<sup>4</sup></b>	
	<p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i> Judicial Branch Budget Committee.</p>	
4.	<p><b>Project Title: Court Reporter Funding</b></p>	<p><i>Priority 1<sup>5</sup></i></p>
	<p><i>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</i></p> <p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated as a result of new, ongoing funding included in the 2021 Budget Act; \$30 million to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil law case types, which cannot be used to supplant existing expenditures. The Ad Hoc Court Reporter Funding Subcommittee was established to develop a methodology for allocating these funds to all trial courts. A recommendation by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee was approved on December 7, 2022 to allocate the \$30 million proportionally based on the most-recently published Assessed Judicial Need, after a funding floor is provided, beginning in 2021-22. The expected outcome is to assist the courts with costs for increasing the number of court reporters in family and civil law case types.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing; this item was considered and approved by the Judicial Council at its September 20, 2022 business meeting.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Business Management Services and Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i> Judicial Branch Budget Committee.</p>	
5.	<p><b>Project Title: Base Funding Floor Adjustments</b></p>	<p><i>Priority 2<sup>5</sup></i></p>
	<p><i>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</i></p> <p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated from the Funding Methodology Subcommittee work plan to review the trial court base funding floor amounts annually, if requested by the applicable courts. These requests are presented to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee no later than December, to determine whether an inflationary adjustment is needed. Two requests were received from Alpine and Sierra Superior Courts for an ongoing increase effective July 1, 2023 and were approved by the Judicial Council in July 2023. Additional consideration continues in an effort to provide automatic inflationary</p>	

#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities<sup>4</sup></b>	
	<p>adjustments to the base funding floor courts. The expected outcome is to provide these two courts with adequate funding to maintain core operations and provide access to justice.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> This item is scheduled to be considered by the Judicial Council at its March 24, 2023 business meeting.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> External stakeholders include the two smallest trial courts, Alpine and Sierra.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Judicial Branch Budget Committee.</p>	
6.	<b>Project Title: Community Assistance, Recovery, and Empowerment (CARE) Act</b>	<b>Priority 1<sup>5</sup></b> <b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b>
	<p><b>Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:</b> Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated as a result of a new court-based mental health services engagement and oversight program. The 2022 Budget Act includes \$2.8 million for staff or other administrative costs for seven trial courts; Glenn, Orange, Riverside, San Diego, San Francisco, Stanislaus, and Tuolumne, for implementation no later than October 1, 2023. A new funding methodology for 2022-23 CARE Act allocations was recommended by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and approved on November 16, 2022.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> This item is scheduled to be considered by the Judicial Council at its January 20, 2023 business meeting.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC and Budget Services staff.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> External stakeholders include the trial courts.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Judicial Branch Budget Committee.</p>	
7.	<b>Project Title: Firearms Relinquishment Grant Program</b>	<b>Priority 1<sup>5</sup></b> <b>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup> VII</b>

# Ongoing Projects and Activities<sup>4</sup>

**Project Summary<sup>7</sup>:** Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated as a result of \$40 million in one-time funding to the Judicial Council in the 2022 Budget Act, of which \$36 million must be distributed to trial courts to support court-based firearm relinquishment programs. The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee and the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommended that the Judicial Council approve the allocation and distribution of \$18.5 million to seven trial courts for Firearm Relinquishment Grant awards for 2022-23 through 2024-25. The allocations for funding were recommended by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee on November 16, 2022.

**Status/Timeline:** This item is scheduled to be considered by the Judicial Council at its January 20, 2023 business meeting.

**Fiscal Impact/Resources:** CFCC and Budget Services staff.

*The project includes allocations or distributions of funds to the courts, which have been reviewed and approved by Budget Service.*

**Internal/External Stakeholders:** External stakeholders include the trial courts.

**AC Collaboration:** Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee; Judicial Branch Budget Committee.



## LIST OF 2022 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	<p><b>Workload Formula, IMF, and TCTF Allocations</b></p> <p>The Judicial Branch Budget Committee and the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee made 2022-23 recommendations to the Judicial Council that included IMF and TCTF allocations to the trial courts. The recommendations included a \$45.4 million allocation from the IMF and a \$2.8 billion allocation from the TCTF, which included new funding of \$84.2 million for inflationary costs, \$100 million to promote funding equity, \$31.2 million for new judgeships, \$110 million civil assessment backfill, \$137.8 million General Fund for employee benefits and pretrial funding, and \$50 million in State Court Facilities Construction Fund for support of trial court operations.</p>
2.	<p><b>Court Interpreter Funding Methodology</b></p> <p>The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee made a recommendation to the Judicial Council that was approved on May 21, 2021 for a one-time return of unspent CIP funding for 2020-21, as well as a one-time allocation methodology for 2021-22 while the Ad Hoc Interpreter Subcommittee continued development of a workload-based methodology recommendation effective July 1, 2022.</p>
3.	<p><b>Pretrial Release Funding and Allocation Methodology</b></p> <p>The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee made a recommendation to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee that was approved on August 13, 2021 to allocate 2021-22 one-time and ongoing Pretrial Release funding of \$140 million according to the methodologies outlined in SB 129, which included minimum funding floors for trial courts to contract with probation departments or other county departments for the provision of pretrial monitoring and services. The recommendation was approved by the Judicial Council on October 1, 2021.</p>
4.	<p><b>Federally Funded Dependency Representation Program Allocation Methodology</b></p> <p>The project originated as a result of \$30 million General Fund included in the 2021 Budget Act for court-appointed counsel in dependency cases to address a shortfall between the amount estimated to be claimed from Federal Title IV-E funding and the amount that was actually claimed once federal guidance on eligible activities for Title IV-E reimbursement was provided. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee made a recommendation to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee that was approved on November 4, 2021 to proportionally allocate and distribute funds in 2021-22 to Federally Funded Dependency Representation Program providers based on Judicial Council CFCC invoicing and monitoring criteria. The expected outcome is to address funding shortfalls timely and accurately so that dependency counsel providers can provide critical services. The recommendation was approved by the Judicial Council on May 10, 2022.</p>

#	<b>Project Highlights and Achievements</b>
5.	<p data-bbox="201 175 856 212"><b>Delegation of Trial Court Trust Fund Authority</b></p> <p data-bbox="201 250 1948 472">The project originated from an identified opportunity to increase efficiencies to meet the critical funding needs of trial courts and enable council staff to commit funding on a timely basis by delegating authority to the Judicial Council Administrative Director to transfer TCTF funding allocations approved by the Judicial Council between programs or projects. This approach is consistent with the council’s past practice in delegating limited authority to the Administrative Director to transfer allocations funded from the IMF. A recommendation by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee for the TCTF delegated authority was approved by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee on December 7, 2021 and by the Judicial Council on January 21, 2022.</p>
6.	<p data-bbox="201 487 1304 524"><b>State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Allocation Adjustment</b></p> <p data-bbox="201 561 1927 745">Part of the charge of the committee pursuant to rule 10.64. The project originated from a request from Judicial Council Information Technology to transfer approved IMF funds from Local Assistance to State Operations, which the office utilized for staff employees in lieu of contractors for telecommunications, statewide planning, and development support programs. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee approved the recommendation for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and the Judicial Council. The recommendation was approved by the Judicial Council on March 11, 2022.</p>
7.	<p data-bbox="201 763 638 800"><b>AB 177 Allocation Methodology</b></p> <p data-bbox="201 837 1948 1021">The Funding Methodology Subcommittee recommended an allocation methodology for trial court backfill funding developed in consultation with the Department of Finance related to the repeal of fees authorized by AB 177. The Trial Court Budget Advisory approved the recommendation for a two-year average revenue collection methodology to allocate the \$10.3 million included in the 2022 Budget Act for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and Judicial Council. The recommendation was approved by the Judicial Council on September 20, 2022.</p>